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ABSTRACT

The number of drug overdoses and deaths has increased significantly over the past decade and co-use of opioids and stimulants is associated with greater likelihood of overdose and decreased likelihood of accessing treatment, compared with use of opioids alone. Potential adverse effects of opioid/stimulant mixtures, particularly methamphetamine, are not well characterized. Two structurally different drugs with agonist properties at μ-opioid receptors (MOR), fentanyl and heroin, and d-methamphetamine, alone and in mixtures, were assessed for their effects on ventilation in rats breathing normal air. Whole-body phethysmography chambers were equipped with a tower and swivel allowing infusions to indwelling intravenous (i.v.) catheters. After a 45-minute habituation period, saline, fentanyl, heroin, or d-methamphetamine, alone and in mixtures, was administered. Five minutes the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone or vehicle was injected. Fentanyl (0.0032-0.1 mg/kg) and heroin (0.32-3.2 mg/kg) decreased ventilation [frequency (f) and tidal volume (VT)] in a dose-related manner whereas d-methamphetamine (0.1-3.2 mg/kg) increased f to >400% of control and decreased VT to <60% of control, overall increasing minute volume (product of f and VT) to >240% of control. When combined, d-methamphetamine (0.1-3.2 mg/kg) attenuated the ventilatory depressant effects of fentanyl (0.1 mg/kg) and heroin (3.2 mg/kg). d-Methamphetamine did not alter the potency of naloxone to reverse the ventilatory depressant effects of fentanyl or heroin. These studies demonstrate that d-methamphetamine can attenuate the ventilatory depressant effects of moderate doses of opioid receptor agonists while not altering the potency of naloxone to reverse opioid hypoventilation.
SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Co-use of opioids and stimulants is associated with greater likelihood of overdose and decreased likelihood of accessing treatment, compared with use of opioids alone. Potential adverse effects of opioid/stimulant mixtures are not well characterized. This study reports that 1) \(d\)-methamphetamine attenuates the ventilatory depressant effects of moderate doses of two structurally different opioid receptor agonists, fentanyl and heroin, and 2) \(d\)-methamphetamine does not alter potency or effectiveness of naloxone to reverse the ventilatory depressant effects of the opioid receptor agonists.
INTRODUCTION

In part as a response to the opioid epidemic, prescriptions for opioid receptor agonists decreased by 44.4% between 2011 and 2020 (AMA, 2021). However, opioid misuse remains a significant public health challenge with 107,622 drug overdose deaths reported in the US in 2021, 80,816 attributed to opioids (CDC, 2021). The predominant life-threatening effect of opioids is hypoventilation, often resulting in death (Stoeckel et al., 1982; Pattinson, 2008). Opioid-induced hypoventilation results from activation of $\mu$-opioid receptors (MORs) located throughout the pontomedullary area (Mansour et al., 1994; Lonergan et al., 2003) and is characterized by decreased frequency ($f$) and volume (tidal volume [$V_T$]), resulting in an overall decrease in ventilation (minute volume [$V_E$], the product of $f$ and $V_T$) that is often accompanied by an irregular breathing pattern (Stoeckel et al., 1982; Bouillon et al., 2003; Pattinson, 2008) and upper airway dysfunction (Savilampi et al., 2013; Savilampi et al., 2014).

Although the opioid epidemic evolved from the misuse of prescription opioids to the misuse of heroin, synthetic MOR agonists (e.g., non-morphinan phenylpiperidine fentanyl and its analogs) are most commonly detected in overdose victims (Jones et al., 2018; Hedegaard et al., 2021). The opioid receptor antagonist naloxone (Narcan®) is one of two (nalmefene was recently approved) Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved medications for treating opioid overdose. There are suggestions that naloxone is less effective in reversing the ventilatory depressant effect of fentanyl and its analogs compared with its ability to reverse ventilatory depressant effects of morphinan opioids, including heroin and morphine. One epidemiological study (Coffin et al., 2022) reported that naloxone was less effective at reducing the annual overdose death rate of fentanyl (12.0%) relative to that of heroin (26.4%). Under hypercapnic condition (i.e., 5% carbon dioxide [CO$_2$]) in mice, naloxone was less effective at reversing the ventilatory depressant effects of fentanyl compared with morphine (Hill et al., 2020).

Many overdose deaths are caused by fentanyl being an adulterant not only with another opioid but increasingly with other classes of drugs (Jones et al., 2018). A growing number of overdoses and deaths occur in individuals using more than one drug, intentionally or unintentionally, often an opioid with a stimulant (Hoots et al., 2020; Lockwood et al., 2021). Stimulants are the second leading class of drugs causing overdose deaths, contributing to 32,856 overdose deaths in 2021 (CDC, 2021). In contrast to opioid overdose, stimulant overdose is characterized by hyperthermia (Buchanan and Brown, 1988) and cardiac dysfunction, often leading to death (e.g. Dalal et al., 2020). Overdoses involving stimulants increasingly involve an opioid and the contribution of stimulants to the adverse effects.
of opioids is not fully characterized. Conversely, it is not entirely clear whether or how opioids contribute to stimulant overdose.

In contrast to the ventilatory depressant effects of opioid receptor agonists, stimulant drugs alone increase ventilation. For example, \textit{d}-methamphetamine and \textit{d}-amphetamine increase \textit{f} in human subjects breathing normal air (Martin et al., 1971) and \textit{d}-amphetamine also increases \textit{f} in rats breathing normal air (Schierok et al., 2000). \textit{d}-Amphetamine also increases \textit{V}\textsubscript{E} in humans under hypercapnic conditions (3-7\% CO\textsubscript{2}) that increase ventilation (Bourke et al., 1983). Clinical studies have shown that \textit{d}-amphetamine can attenuate the ventilatory depressant effect of morphine under both normal air and hypercapnic conditions (Bourke et al., 1983; Jasinski and Preston, 1986). In rats breathing normal air, \textit{d}-amphetamine attenuates fentanyl-induced changes in \textit{ex vivo} respiratory parameters (i.e., increase in partial pressure CO\textsubscript{2} and decrease in percent oxygen saturation; Moody et al., 2020). However, a recent study using hypercapnic (5\% CO\textsubscript{2}) conditions in mice reported that both (±)-methamphetamine and \textit{d}-amphetamine produced bi-phasic changes in \textit{V}\textsubscript{E} in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Elder et al., 2023). Moreover, doses of stimulant drugs that decreased \textit{V}\textsubscript{E} exacerbated the ventilatory depressant effects of fentanyl (Elder et al., 2023). Another study in mice reported that methamphetamine potentiates the antinociceptive effects of morphine and methadone (Sprague and Takermori, 1978). Thus, under some conditions stimulant drugs can enhance the effects of agonists acting at MOR.

The present study assessed the ability of \textit{d}-methamphetamine to modify the ventilatory depressant effects of fentanyl and heroin under normal air conditions that model the physiological conditions under which drug overdoses typically occur in humans. Additionally, \textit{d}-methamphetamine was evaluated for whether it alters the potency or effectiveness of naloxone to reverse the ventilatory depressant effects of fentanyl and heroin. Rectal temperature was also compared before and after ventilation experiments to provide another assessment of possible interactions between agonists acting at MOR and \textit{d}-methamphetamine, both of which can produce hyperthermia (Solis et al., 2017; Solis et al., 2018).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

\textit{Subjects}. Eight adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (weighing 338–356 g at the beginning of the study and 430–508 g six months later at the end of the study) were purchased from Envigo RMS, LLC (Indianapolis, IN). The sample size was determined by a power analysis (\(\alpha=0.05\), 80\% power) and was sufficient to detect a shift of at least 2-fold in
the dose-effect function for the effects of opioid agonists on ventilation (G*Power version 3.1.9.6). Rats were housed individually in a vivarium maintained at 23.0 ± 1.5°C (mean ± standard deviation) and 40 ± 20% humidity under a 14/10 hour light/dark cycle (lights on at 0600 hours). Experiments were conducted during the early light cycle (0700-1130 hours). Rats had free access to food (7912 irradiated LM-485 Mouse/Rat Sterilizable Diet, Envigo RMS, LLC) and filtered reverse osmosis tap water (Edstrom BFS-675 Bottle Filling Station, Edstrom Industries, Inc., Waterford, WI) in the home cage. Subjects were maintained and experiments were conducted in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio and the guidelines of the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animal Resources and the Guide for Use of Laboratory Animals (National Research Council, Department of Health, Education and Welfare, 85-23, revised 2011).

**Surgery.** Following a minimum of 5 days in the vivarium, rats received a chronic indwelling intravenous (i.v.) catheter. Anesthesia was induced and maintained via inhalation of isoflurane (Fluriso™, VetOne®, Boise, ID [2.5-5% v/v and 0.5-3.5% v/v, up to 0.4-1 L/minute, respectively]) using SomnoSuite® Low-Flow Digital Vaporizer (Kent Scientific Co., Torrington, CT). Once the absence of a righting reflex was observed, rats were placed on a heated area of SurgiSuite® (Kent Scientific Co.) set at 37°C to provide heat support and transferred to an anesthesia nose cone. Veterinary ophthalmic ointment (Puralube®, Dechra Pharmaceuticals, Leawood, KS) was applied to the eyes and a thermosensor probe was inserted into the rectum to monitor body temperature. Using aseptic techniques, a catheter (CNC-3H-30-6/6.5, Access Technologies, Skokie, IL) was implanted in the right external jugular vein as described previously (Hiranita et al., 2010; Jimenez et al., 2021). The catheter was attached to a 22-G access port (VABR1B/22, Instech Laboratories, Inc., Plymouth Meeting, PA) anchored in a subcutaneous pocket and the port exited through the midscapular region. Immediately after surgery, rats received subcutaneous injections of 5.0 mg/kg Baytril® (Bayer HealthCare LLC, Shawnee, KS) and 1.0 mg/kg meloxicam (Covetrus, Portland, ME). After surgery, rats were allowed at least 5 days to recover before experiments commenced. Additionally, 5.0 mg/kg Baytril® and 1.0 mg/kg meloxicam were administered 24 and 12 hours, respectively after surgery. Catheters were flushed daily with 0.5 mL heparinized saline (100 U/mL; Mylan, Canonsburg, PA) immediately before experiments and also on nonexperimental days. If a catheter malfunctioned (e.g., blockage or leakage), a new catheter was implanted in the right or left femoral or left external jugular vein. Rats were handled and habituated to the experimental chamber and conditions for 5–7 days prior to beginning the study.
Rectal Temperature. Rectal temperature was measured immediately before and immediately after each ventilation experiment using a digital thermometer with an uninsulated microprobe (BAT7001H, Physitemp, Clifton, NJ). McKesson lubricating jelly (16-8919, McKesson, Irving, TX) was applied to the tip of the microprobe prior to each use.

Ventilation. Prior to testing drugs, rats were habituated to whole-body plethysmography chambers (Buxco Small Animal WBP 4-Site System, Data Sciences International [DSI], St. Paul, MN) located in sound-attenuating cubicles that were not illuminated during experiments. Chambers were connected with Tygon tubing to a 2-SITE FPWBP Controller (Part # 601–1401-001, DSI). A continuous flow (2.2 L/minute) of normal air was circulated through the chambers by the 2-SITE FPWBP Controller. The top of each chamber was equipped with a single-channel fluid swivel (KVABR1T/25-MBS, Instech Laboratories, Inc.) that was connected with Tygon tubing to one of three valves from a Namic 3-way stopcock (Navilyst Medical, Marlborough, MA). One of the two valves from the stopcock was connected to a 30 mL syringe (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) mounted on an infusion pump (PHM-100, Med Associates, Inc., Fairfax, VT), located outside the chamber, which delivered a saline flush through the stopcock. The third stopcock valve was used to deliver test compounds. The tip of each swivel was connected to a 22-G access port exiting the rat midscapular region with Tygon tubing protected by a surrounding metal spring tether. Infusion pumps were controlled by a 28V T/T interface cabinet (SG-6510D, Med Associates, Inc.), Med PC IV software (Med Associates, Inc.), and a computer (Dell OptiPlex GX620, Dell, Round Rock, TX) and delivered programmed infusions of 0.5 mL over five seconds. Ventilation was monitored and recorded by changes in pressure in the chamber as detected and amplified by the 2-SITE FPWBP controller and digitized by FinePointe Software New (DSI) in a computer (Dell Optiplex 7010). Chambers were calibrated using the FinePointe Software New immediately before each experiment. Two rats were randomly assigned to one of four chambers used in this study and 60% isopropyl alcohol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in tap water was used to thoroughly clean chambers between consecutive sessions. Stopcocks and Tygon tubing were flushed with at least 1.0 mL of saline after each experiment.

Immediately after measuring rectal temperature, rats were placed in the whole-body plethysmography chambers and studied under normal air conditions (Supplemental Figure S1). Rats were habituated to the chamber for 45 minutes (habituation period: -45 to 0 minutes) followed by administration of vehicle or drugs(s) and a 60-minute test period (test period: 0 to 60 minutes). Test compounds were administered i.v. at the beginning of the test period (time 0) and 5 minutes later. The first infusion was saline vehicle, fentanyl alone (0.0032-0.1 mg/kg), heroin alone
(0.1-3.2 mg/kg), d-methamphetamine alone (0.1-3.2 mg/kg), or a mixture of d-methamphetamine (0.1-3.2 mg/kg) and fentanyl (0.032 or 0.1 mg/kg) or heroin (0.56 or 3.2 mg/kg). The second infusion was 10% β-cyclodextrin vehicle alone or with naloxone (0.0001-0.1 mg/kg). Vehicle and drug infusions were followed by an infusion of saline (0.5 mL over five seconds). Immediately after the test period, rats were removed from chambers and rectal temperature was again measured. Test sessions were separated by at least 4 days with the order of drugs, doses, and tests with drugs alone and in mixtures varying nonsystematically across subjects. A control session was conducted on the day prior to each drug test session; in control sessions rats received an infusion of saline (time 0) followed by an infusion of 10% β-cyclodextrin vehicle (time 5 minutes).

Data Analyses. The primary dependent variables were ventilatory frequency (f, breaths per minute), tidal volume (VT, mL/breath/kg), and resulting minute volume (VE = f × VT, mL/minute/kg) for ventilation and change from baseline (°C) for rectal temperature. Ventilatory parameters were averaged in five-minute bins and normalized to individual averages during the ventilation test period under the saline/vehicle condition. Data normalization reflects individual changes more precisely compared with presentation of group averages of absolute values. The baseline temperature for individual subjects was the average of all baseline values immediately before each ventilation experiment. Changes from baseline for rectal temperature were the temperatures measured after ventilation experiments (°C) subtracted by the baseline temperature (°C). Figures were created with GraphPad Prism version 9 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Data are expressed as the mean ± 1 standard error of mean (SEM) for 8 rats. Time- and dose-effect functions were statistically analyzed with SigmaPlot version 12.0 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA) by one- (dose) or two- (dose and time, or presence of d-methamphetamine) way repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc Bonferroni t tests for pairwise comparisons. Using the GraphPad Prism software, the dose-effect functions were further analyzed with linear regression (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967) to calculate effective doses as follows: 1) ED50, potency of heroin and fentanyl to decrease ventilation; 2) ED80, potency for naloxone to reverse opioid agonist-induced hypoventilation; and 3) ED150, potency for d-methamphetamine to increase ventilation and modify the ventilatory depressant effects of heroin and fentanyl. Also calculated were the slopes and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). For the dose-effect analyses of test compounds alone, the first 10-minute average of the area under the curve (AUC) for VE in the test period (5- and 10-minute time points, AUC5-10) was used for individual subjects. For the dose-effect analyses of naloxone reversal, the
first 10-minute average of AUC for $V_E$ after administration of naloxone or vehicle (10- and 15-minute time points, AUC$_{10-15}$) was used for individual subjects. For all analyses, the criterion for statistical significance was $p < 0.05$.

Drugs. Fentanyl hydrochloride (HCl), heroin HCl, $d$-methamphetamine HCl, and naloxone HCl were generously provided by the Drug Supply Program of the National Institute on Drug Abuse (Rockville, MD). Fentanyl, heroin, and $d$-methamphetamine were dissolved in physiological saline (0.9% sodium chloride, 2B1323, Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Deerfield, IL) and naloxone was dissolved in 10% w/v β-cyclodextrin (Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Raritan, NJ) in sterile water (B. Braun Medical Inc., Irvine, CA). All drugs and their vehicles were administered i.v. in a volume of 0.5 mL/kg body weight. Solutions were passed through a syringe filter (CH2225-PES, polyethersulfone syringe filter, 0.22 µm, 25 mm, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) prior to i.v. infusion. Doses are expressed in mg/kg body weight as the salt forms.

RESULTS

Baseline ventilation. The left panels in Supplemental Figure S2 show ventilation time-effect functions under baseline conditions when an infusion of saline was followed by an infusion of 10% β-cyclodextrin (saline/vehicle). During the habituation period (-40 to 0 minutes), $f$ decreased by approximately 100 breaths/minute over the first 30 minutes before stabilizing at approximately 105 breaths/minute for the remainder of the session. In contrast, $V_T$ was relatively stable at 4.2 to 5.2 mL/breath/kg for the entire session including the habituation period. As a result, $V_E$ decreased by approximately 400 mL/minute/kg for the first 30 minutes before stabilizing at approximately 440 mL/minute/kg for the reminder of the control session. Table 1 shows the mean ± 1 SEM of baseline (no drug) ventilation parameters of the test period as well as baseline rectal temperature. Rectal temperature was similar before and after ventilation control sessions (difference of -0.04°C ± 0.07°C).

Effects of fentanyl alone, heroin alone, $d$-methamphetamine alone, and naloxone alone. The effects of fentanyl, heroin, and $d$-methamphetamine on ventilation are shown in Figure 1 (filled symbols represent significant differences from saline/vehicle). Values in each panel are normalized to the saline/vehicle conditions shown in the left panels of Supplemental Figure S2. Table S1 summarizes results of statistical analyses of the time-effect functions.

Fentanyl altered ventilation in a dose- and time- related manner (left panels, Figure 1). The smallest dose (0.0032 mg/kg) of fentanyl (diamonds) had no effect on ventilation whereas 0.01 mg/kg (triangles) significantly
increased \( f \) to 195% of control for 5 to 25 minutes (upper left panel, Figure 1). A dose of 0.032 mg/kg fentanyl (circles) initially decreased \( f \) to 54% of control for 5 to 10 minutes then increased \( f \) to 189% of control for 15 to 25 minutes. A dose of 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl (squares) had a more sustained decrease and subsequently increase in ventilation although the magnitude of changes was similar across the two larger doses of fentanyl. The time-effect functions of fentanyl on \( V_T \) varied somewhat from its effects on \( f \). A dose of 0.01 mg/kg fentanyl (triangles) significantly decreased \( V_T \) to 62% of control for 5 to 10 minutes (middle left panel, Figure 1); 0.032 mg/kg (circles) initially increased \( V_T \) to 125% of control at 5 minutes then decreased \( V_T \) to 79% of control from 15 to 25 minutes. At a dose of 0.1 mg/kg, fentanyl (squares) had a more sustained effect in decreasing \( V_T \) to 57% of control from 5 to 40 minutes. The time-effect function for fentanyl on \( V_E \) (lower left panel, Figure 1) were most similar to the effects of fentanyl on \( f \), with \( V_E \) initially decreased then increased in a dose- and time- related manner. The duration and magnitude of the effects of fentanyl on \( V_E \) were time- and dose-related.

Similar to fentanyl, heroin altered ventilation in a dose- and time-related manner although the duration of action of heroin was longer compared with fentanyl. The smallest dose (0.1 mg/kg) of heroin (diamonds) had no effect on ventilation (upper, middle, and lower center panels, Figure 1), whereas 0.32 mg/kg (triangles) significantly increased \( f \) to 189% of control from 5 to 25 minutes. A dose of 0.56 mg/kg heroin (inverted triangles) initially decreased \( f \) to 73% of control at the 5-minute time point before increasing \( f \) to 175% of control for 25 to 40 minutes. A dose of 1.0 mg/kg heroin (circles) had a more sustained decrease in \( f \), compared with smaller doses; the subsequent increase in \( f \) was similar to smaller doses although occurring later after drug administration. The upper center panel of Figure 1 clearly shows the dose- and time-related decrease in \( f \) by increasing doses of heroin. The largest dose of heroin, 3.2 mg/kg (squares), decreased \( f \) for 5 to 25 minutes and did not increase \( f \) during the 60-minute session. In contrast to dose-related decreases followed by increases in \( f \), heroin only decreased \( V_T \) (middle center panel, Figure 1). A dose of 0.32 mg/kg heroin (triangles) significantly decreased \( V_T \) to 76% of control for 10 to 20 minutes whereas 0.56 mg/kg (inverted triangles) decreased \( V_T \) to 79% of control for 25 to 35 minutes. A slightly different pattern of effect was obtained with 1.0 mg/kg heroin (circles) on \( V_T \), with an initial decrease to 54% of control from 5 to 15 minutes followed by a slight recovery then a second significant decrease (the second coinciding with a significant increase in \( f \); compare circles in upper and middle center panels, Figure 1). A dose of 3.2 mg/kg heroin (squares) significantly decreased \( V_T \) to a maximum of 55% of control for 5 to 35 minutes. The time-effect functions for heroin on \( V_E \) were more similar to the effects of heroin on \( f \), compared with effects on \( V_T \) (lower center panel, Figure 1). A
A dose of 0.32 mg/kg heroin (triangles) significantly increased \( V_E \) to a maximum of 150% of control for 5 to 25 minutes. \( V_E \) was initially decreased then increased in a dose- and time-related manner across the three larger doses of heroin. The magnitude and duration of increases in \( V_E \) were relatively similar across the three larger doses of heroin.

\( d \)-Methamphetamine significantly altered ventilation (right panels, Figure 1), although the time- and dose-effect functions for \( d \)-methamphetamine were qualitatively different from those of fentanyl and heroin. For example, the effects of \( d \)-methamphetamine were monophasic and longer-lasting, compared with the biphasic and relatively shorter duration of effects observed with fentanyl and heroin (Figure 1). The smallest dose (0.1 mg/kg) of \( d \)-methamphetamine (diamonds) had no significant effect on ventilation while 0.178 mg/kg (triangles) significantly increased \( f \) to 154% of control for 10 to 20 minutes; 0.32 mg/kg (inverted triangles) increased \( f \) up to 175% of control for the entire 60-minute test period (upper right panel, Figure 1). Doses of 1.0 and 3.2 mg/kg \( d \)-methamphetamine (circles and squares, respectively) further increased \( f \) to 315 and 409% of control, respectively. In marked contrast to increases in \( f \), \( d \)-methamphetamine decreased \( V_T \) in a dose-related manner (middle right panel, Figure 1). The two smallest doses of \( d \)-methamphetamine (0.1 and 0.178 mg/kg, diamonds and triangles, respectively) had no effect on \( V_T \) whereas 0.32 mg/kg (inverted triangles) significantly decreased \( V_T \) to a maximum of 77% of control at 5, 40, 45, and 50 minutes. Further decreases in \( V_T \) were obtained with 1.0 and 3.2 mg/kg \( d \)-methamphetamine (circles and squares, respectively), to a maximum of 57 and 62% of control, respectively. Significant increases in \( f \) and significant, although more modest, decreases in \( V_T \), resulted in overall marked increases in \( V_E \) (lower right panel, Figure 1). The smallest dose (0.1 mg/kg) of \( d \)-methamphetamine (diamonds) had no significant effect whereas 0.178 mg/kg \( d \)-methamphetamine (triangles) increased \( V_E \) to 135% of control for 10 and 20 minutes. Similar to 0.178 mg/kg, 0.32 mg/kg \( d \)-methamphetamine (inverted triangles) increased \( V_E \) to 138% of control for 5 to 20 minutes. Further increases in \( V_E \) were obtained with 1.0 and 3.2 mg/kg \( d \)-methamphetamine (circles and squares, respectively), to a maximum of 204 and 245% of control, respectively.

The right panels in Supplemental Figure S2 show the effects of naloxone (0.0001-0.1 mg/kg) on ventilation. Across a 1000-fold dose range, naloxone did not significantly alter ventilation (Table S2).

The three leftmost panels in Figure 2 show dose-effect functions for fentanyl alone, heroin alone, and \( d \)-methamphetamine alone on ventilation, plotted as the area under the curve (AUC) for minutes 5 to 10 and expressed as a percentage of the saline/vehicle control condition (calculated for individual subjects then averaged among 8 subjects). Tables 2 and S3 summarize results of statistical analyses of the dose-effect functions in Figure 2. Fentanyl
significantly (denoted by filled symbols) increased $f$ to 86% of control at a dose of 0.01 mg/kg and significantly decreased $f$ to 42% of control at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg (circles, leftmost panel, Figure 2; $ED_{50}$ value = 0.0840 mg/kg, Table 2). Similarly, heroin significantly increased $f$ to 49% of control at a dose of 0.32 mg/kg and significantly decreased $f$ to 41% of control at a dose of 3.2 mg/kg (diamonds, leftmost panel, Figure 2; $ED_{50}$ value = 2.51 mg/kg).

In contrast, $d$-methamphetamine only increased $f$, to a maximum of 387% of control at a dose of 3.2 mg/kg (squares, leftmost panel, Figure 2). Fentanyl significantly decreased $V_T$ to 56% of and 62% of control at 0.01 and 0.1 mg/kg, respectively (circles, second leftmost panel, Figure 2), heroin significantly decreased $V_T$ at doses of 0.32, 1.0, and 3.2 mg/kg, to a maximum of 54% of control, and $d$-methamphetamine significantly decreased $V_T$ to a maximum of 61% of control at a dose of 3.2 mg/kg (second leftmost panel, Figure 2). Fentanyl significantly decreased $V_E$ up to a maximum of 26% of control at 0.1 mg/kg (third panel from the left, Figure 2; $ED_{50}$ value = 0.0679 mg/kg, Table 2) and heroin significantly decreased $V_E$ to a maximum of 23% of control at 3.2 mg/kg ($ED_{50}$ value = 1.90 mg/kg), whereas $d$-methamphetamine increased $V_E$ up to a maximum of 229% of control at 3.2 mg/kg. There was no significant difference in slope among the fentanyl, heroin, and $d$-methamphetamine dose-effect functions, with fentanyl being 30-fold more potent than heroin to decrease $f$ and $V_E$.

The rightmost panel in Figure 2 shows the effects of fentanyl alone, heroin alone, and $d$-methamphetamine alone on rectal temperature (Table S4 summarizes results of statistical analyses of the dose-effect functions). There was no significant change in rectal temperature in saline/vehicle control sessions (diamonds with cross-hatch) or sessions preceded by an infusion of naloxone (triangles). In contrast, fentanyl, heroin, and $d$-methamphetamine significantly increased rectal temperature up to 1.5, 1.7, and 1.1 °C, respectively.

**Effects of $d$-methamphetamine on fentanyl and heroin.** The effects of mixtures of $d$-methamphetamine with fentanyl or heroin on ventilation are shown in Figure 3 (gray symbols represent significant differences from saline/vehicle; Table S5 summarizes results of statistical analyses of the time-effect functions). First, the most effective doses of fentanyl (0.1 mg/kg) and heroin (3.2 mg/kg) were combined with different doses of $d$-methamphetamine (two leftmost panels, Figure 3). The smallest dose of $d$-methamphetamine (0.1 mg/kg) did not affect the time-effect functions of fentanyl or heroin on any ventilatory parameter. $d$-Methamphetamine dose-dependently and significantly shifted upward the time-effect function of fentanyl on $f$, to a maximum of 465% of control (top leftmost panel, Figure 3). In contrast, $d$-methamphetamine with fentanyl dose-dependently and significantly further decreased $V_T$ (middle leftmost panel, Figure 3). Mixtures of $d$-methamphetamine and fentanyl
increased $V_E$, compared with fentanyl alone, to a maximum of 275% of control (bottom leftmost panel, Figure 3). Combining $d$-methamphetamine with heroin resulted in a similar pattern of effects with $f$ and $V_E$ significantly increased and $V_T$ significantly decreased, compared with heroin alone (second leftmost panels, Figure 3). Mixtures of $d$-methamphetamine and heroin resulted in maximum increases in $f$ to 418% of control (top second leftmost panel), in $V_E$ to 255% of control (middle second leftmost panel), and a maximum decrease in $V_T$ to 55% of control (bottom leftmost panel). The temporal pattern of effect on ventilation by 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl and 3.2 mg/kg heroin (i.e., increases in $f$ and $V_E$) was not markedly changed by combining each opioid receptor agonist with $d$-methamphetamine (top and bottom leftmost and second leftmost panels, respectively, Figure 3).

$d$-Methamphetamine was also combined with smaller, less effective doses of fentanyl (0.032 mg/kg) and heroin (0.56 mg/kg) to ascertain whether the nature of interaction was related to the dose of opioid receptor agonist (two right panels, Figure 3). With the exceptions of the 10-minute time point when $f$ was modestly but significantly decreased from 171 to 155% of control, the 10-minute time point when $V_T$ was modestly decreased from 99 to 78% of control, the 25-minute time point when $V_T$ was modestly increased from 79 to 88% of control, and the 10-minute time point when $V_E$ decreased from 72 to 61% of control, the effects of mixtures of 0.1 mg/kg $d$-methamphetamine and 0.032 mg/kg fentanyl were not different from the effects of that dose of fentanyl alone (third leftmost panels, Figure 3). The effects of mixtures of 0.1 mg/kg $d$-methamphetamine and 0.56 mg/kg heroin also were not different from the effects of that dose of heroin alone (third rightmost panels, Figure 3).

The leftmost six panels in Figure 4 represent dose-effect functions (AUC for 5-10 minutes) for the effects on ventilation of mixtures of $d$-methamphetamine and fentanyl or heroin (Table 3 and Supplemental Table S6 summarize results of statistical analyses of the time-effect functions). Based on comparisons of ED$_{50}$ and slope values (Tables 3), dose-effect functions for $f$ and $V_E$ were not different between $d$-methamphetamine alone and $d$-methamphetamine in combination with 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl (upper panels) or 3.2 mg/kg heroin (lower panels).

The rightmost panel in Figure 4 shows the effects on rectal temperature of $d$-methamphetamine alone and in mixtures with fentanyl or heroin (Supplemental Table S6 summarizes results of statistical analyses of the time effect functions). Increases in body temperature by fentanyl or heroin alone (data points above “Saline”, Figure 4) were not significantly altered by $d$-methamphetamine.

Naloxone reversal of drug effects. The effects of naloxone on the ventilatory effects of 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl, 3.2 mg/kg heroin, and 3.2 mg/kg $d$-methamphetamine are shown in Figure 5 (gray symbols represent significant
differences from solid diamonds; Supplemental Table S7 summarizes results of statistical analyses of the time effect functions. Naloxone reversed the ventilatory depressant effects of fentanyl and heroin in a dose- and time-related manner. The smallest dose of naloxone studied (0.0001 mg/kg, triangles) had no effect whereas a 10-fold larger dose (0.001 mg/kg, inverted triangles) fully reversed the effects of fentanyl and heroin on $f$, $V_T$, and $V_E$ within 15 minutes (left and middle panels, respectively, Figure 5). A 10-fold larger dose of naloxone (0.01 mg/kg, circles) fully reversed the ventilatory depressant effects of fentanyl and heroin within 10 minutes. Up to a dose (0.1 mg/kg) 10-fold larger than the dose that fully reversed the ventilatory effects of opioid receptor agonists within 10 minutes, naloxone did not significantly alter the effects of $d$-methamphetamine on ventilation (squares, right panels, Figure 5).

The four leftmost panels in Figure 6 show dose-effect functions for naloxone alone and in combination with 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl, 3.2 mg/kg heroin, and 3.2 mg/kg $d$-methamphetamine. The AUC values in each panel are expressed as a percentage of values obtained under saline/vehicle conditions for 10 to 15 minutes (gray symbols represent significant differences from vehicle [no naloxone]; Table 4 and Supplemental Table S8 summarize results of statistical analyses of the dose-effect functions). Naloxone alone had no significant effect on $f$, $V_T$, or $V_E$ (leftmost panel, Figure 6). However, naloxone dose-dependently reversed decreases in $f$, $V_T$, and $V_E$ by 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl and 3.2 mg/kg heroin (second and third leftmost panels, Figure 6). ED$_{50}$ values were not significantly different for naloxone to reverse the effects of fentanyl or the effects of heroin. In contrast, up to a dose of 0.1 mg/kg, naloxone did not significantly alter the effects of $d$-methamphetamine on ventilation (second rightmost panel, Figure 6).

The rightmost panel in Figure 6 shows effects on rectal body temperature of naloxone alone and with 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl, 3.2 mg/kg heroin, and 3.2 mg/kg $d$-methamphetamine (gray symbols represent significant differences from vehicle [no naloxone]; Supplemental Table S8 summarizes results of statistical analyses of the dose-effect functions). Whereas naloxone did not significantly affect rectal temperature, fentanyl, heroin, and $d$-methamphetamine significantly increased rectal temperature (data points above “Vehicle”). Naloxone reversed fentanyl- (circles) and heroin- (inverted triangles) induced hyperthermia but not $d$-methamphetamine-induced hyperthermia (squares).

Naloxone was also studied for its ability to reverse the ventilatory and hyperthermic effects of mixtures of heroin, morphine, and $d$-methamphetamine. Figure 7 shows time-effect functions for vehicle and naloxone administered 5 minutes after infusion of a mixture of 0.1 mg/kg $d$-methamphetamine and either 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl or 3.2 mg/kg heroin (gray symbols represent significant differences from solid diamonds; Supplemental Table S9 summarizes results of statistical analyses of the time-effect functions). Naloxone reversed in a dose- and time-related
manner the ventilatory depressant effects of mixtures of \(d\)-methamphetamine and either fentanyl or heroin. The smallest dose of naloxone (0.0001 mg/kg, upward triangles) had no effect on the effect of drug mixtures whereas 10-fold and 100-fold larger doses of naloxone (0.001 and 0.01 mg/kg, inverted triangles and circles, respectively) fully reversed the ventilatory depressant effects of drug mixtures. Dose-effect functions for naloxone reversal of the effects of drug mixtures on ventilation and rectal temperature are shown in Figure 8 (AUC values in each panel are expressed as a percentage of the saline/vehicle for minutes 10 to 15; gray symbols represent significant differences from 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl alone; Table 4 and Supplemental Table S10 summarize results of statistical analyses of the dose-effect functions). With the exception of \(V_E\) for the mixture of \(d\)-methamphetamine and fentanyl, reversal by naloxone was not different between drug mixtures and each opioid receptor agonist alone (Supplemental Table S10). Analyses of ED\(_{80}\) and slope values revealed no significant difference in the ED\(_{80}\) or slope ratio for the dose-effect function for naloxone to reverse the effects of fentanyl or heroin (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The major findings of this study are the following. First, the pattern of effects on ventilation of \(d\)-methamphetamine alone was qualitatively different from the pattern of effects of heroin and fentanyl alone. Whereas \(d\)-methamphetamine increased \(f\) and decreased \(V_T\), resulting in an overall increase in \(V_E\) (the product of \(f\) and \(V_T\)), fentanyl and heroin decreased \(f\), \(V_T\), and \(V_E\). Second, \(d\)-methamphetamine attenuated decreases in \(f\) by fentanyl or heroin, resulting in an overall attenuation of the effects of each opioid receptor agonist on \(V_E\). Third, \(d\)-methamphetamine did not affect the potency of naloxone to reverse the ventilatory depressant effects of fentanyl or heroin. Fourth, \(d\)-methamphetamine did not alter hyperthermic effects of fentanyl or heroin. Fifth, \(d\)-methamphetamine did not affect the potency of naloxone to reverse opioid agonist-induced hyperthermia. These results suggest that \(d\)-methamphetamine, under normal air conditions, can attenuate the ventilatory depressant effects of opioid receptor agonists acting at MOR without altering the potency of naloxone to reverse ventilatory depression.

In the present study, \(d\)-methamphetamine increased \(f\) and decreased \(V_T\), resulting in an overall increase in \(V_E\). This pattern of hyperventilation is consistent with previous studies with stimulant drugs in humans and other species. For example, in human subjects \(d\)-methamphetamine and \(d\)-amphetamine (0.11-0.43 mg/kg,
subcutaneously) increased f compared with placebo (Martin et al., 1971) and d-amphetamine (3 mg/kg, subcutaneously) also increased f in rats (Schierok et al., 2000). However, the generally consistent monotonic effects of stimulants on ventilation under normal air conditions is not always observed under hypercapnic (5% CO₂) conditions in mice. For example, both (+)-methamphetamine and d-amphetamine (1.0-10 mg/kg, subcutaneously) had bi-phasic dose- and time- dependent effects on Vₑ (Elder et al., 2023). The smallest dose of (+)-methamphetamine (1.0 mg/kg) modestly but significantly decreased Vₑ by 10% to 15% of control for 10 to 30 minutes whereas an intermediate dose of (+)-methamphetamine (3.0 mg/kg) did not significantly change Vₑ. However, the largest dose of (+)-methamphetamine (10 mg/kg) significantly increased Vₑ to approximately 150% of control for 15 to 60 minutes. Similarly, two smaller doses of d-amphetamine (1.0 and 3.0 mg/kg) modestly but significantly decreased Vₑ by 10% to 20% of control for 10 to 25 minutes whereas the largest dose of d-amphetamine (10 mg/kg) significantly increased Vₑ to 150% of control for 15 to 60 minutes. The different patterns and time-effect functions of stimulant drugs on ventilation in the present study (monophasic increases for d-methamphetamine under the normal air conditions) and a previous study (bi-phasic changes for (+)-methamphetamine and d-amphetamine under hypercapnic conditions; Elder et al., 2023) might be related to the use of different CO₂ levels in the two studies (normal air in the current study and hypercapnic in the previous study); however, d-amphetamine (0.046-0.215 mg/kg, i.v.) produced only monophasic increases in Vₑ relative to placebo in humans even under hypercapnic conditions (3%-7% CO₂; Bourke et al., 1983). Another difference between the current study using rats and the previous study using mice, is that the experiments were conducted in the light cycle in the current study and in the dark cycle in the previous study (Elder et al., 2023). The combined impact of hypercapnic conditions and experiments being conducted during the dark cycle might have increased ventilation thereby increasing the likelihood of observing bi-phasic effects of (+)-methamphetamine and d-amphetamine on Vₑ. Moreover, different housing conditions of subjects (group housed mice in the prior study and single housed rats in the current study) might have contributed to the different patterns of the effects of stimulant drugs on ventilation. For example, (+)-amphetamine is 12-fold more potent in group housed mice (five mice per cage; LD₅₀ value: 21 mg/kg, orally) compared with single housed mice (LD₅₀ value: 248 mg/kg; (Fanelli, 1973)). It is not entirely clear what factors account for differences in the effects of stimulant drugs between the present study and a previous study (Elder et al., 2023).
In the present study, *d*-methamphetamine attenuated the effects of fentanyl and heroin on *f*, resulting in an overall attenuation of the ventilatory depressant effects (*V* E) of these opioid receptor agonists. This effect of *d*-methamphetamine on opioid agonist-induced hypoventilation is consistent with previous studies in humans and other species. For example, *d*-amphetamine antagonized morphine-induced hypoventilation under both normal air and hypercapnic conditions in humans (Bourke et al., 1983; Jasinski and Preston, 1986). Under normal air conditions in rats, *d*-amphetamine antagonized fentanyl-induced changes in *ex vivo* respiratory parameters (increases in partial pressure of CO2 and decreases in percent oxygen saturation; Moody et al., 2020). In contrast, a previous study using hypercapnic conditions in mice (Elder et al., 2023) reported that both (+)-methamphetamine and *d*-amphetamine (1.0 mg/kg) modestly but significantly exacerbated the depressant effects of fentanyl (0.3 mg/kg, subcutaneously) on *V* E by 10% to 25% of control for 15 to 60 minutes. Moreover, the largest dose of each stimulant drug (10 mg/kg) fully antagonized fentanyl-induced hypoventilation. It remains to be determined precisely what factors contribute to whether stimulant drugs enhance or attenuate the ventilatory effects of opioid agonists acting at MOR.

In the present study, *d*-methamphetamine did not alter the potency of naloxone to reverse heroin- or fentanyl-induced hypoventilation. That *d*-methamphetamine did not alter the potency of naloxone to reverse ventilatory depression (by a mixture of *d*-methamphetamine and fentanyl or heroin) is consistent with the lack of effect of naloxone in altering the ventilatory (stimulant) effects of *d*-methamphetamine alone. Together these results support the view that any effect of stimulant drugs in modifying the ventilatory effects of opioid agonists acting at MOR is not mediated by MOR (i.e., functional antagonism). Other studies corroborate that view; for example, the opioid receptor antagonist naltrexone does not antagonize the reinforcing effects of *d*-methamphetamine (Hiranita et al., 2013) or cocaine (Tanda et al., 2016). Moreover, the lack of effect of *d*-methamphetamine on naloxone reverse of opioid hypoventilation is consistent with distinct patterns of overdose caused by stimulants and opioids. For example, opioid overdose (White and Irvine, 1999) but not stimulant overdose (Buchanan and Brown, 1988) is characterized by hypoventilation. In the present study, naloxone was equipotent in reversing the ventilatory effects of fentanyl and heroin; however, naloxone was less potent to reverse the depressant effects of fentanyl on *V* E compared with the depressant effects of the morphinan agonist morphine on *V* E in mice under hypercapnic conditions (5% CO2) in the dark cycle (unspecified housing conditions; Hill et al., 2020). Differences in the ability of naloxone to reverse the effects of fentanyl between the current study and a prior study (Hill et al., 2020) might...
also be due to CO₂ levels, light/dark cycle differences, use of different species (mice versus rats), and/or housing conditions. However, the magnitude of rightward shifts with 0.1 mg/kg naltrexone did not significantly differ across the dose-effect functions of fentanyl or heroin on % fentanyl-appropriate responding in rats discriminating 0.01 mg/kg fentanyl from saline (Flynn and France, 2021), a study conducted under normal air condition during the light cycle and singly housed condition. Thus, hypercapnia and group-housed conditions might create a condition experimentally distinct from a normal air condition that alters sensitivity to drugs.

_d-Methamphetamine_ did not alter opioid receptor agonist-induced hyperthermia or the potency of naloxone to antagonize that hyperthermia. Moreover, naloxone had no effect on _d_-methamphetamine-induced hyperthermia. The lack of effect (increasing or decreasing) of _d_-methamphetamine on opioid-induced hyperthermia and the lack of effect of naloxone in altering _d_-methamphetamine-induced hyperthermia, suggest that distinct mechanisms underly hyperthermia produced by opioid receptor agonists and _d_-methamphetamine, consistent with other studies under normal air conditions in humans (Jasinski and Preston, 1986).

In the present study, fentanyl and heroin markedly, but safely, decreased Vₑ to approximately 23.0% of control without any adverse effect. However, the possibility remains that _d_-methamphetamine might interact differently with still larger (e.g., otherwise lethal or apnea-inducing) doses of fentanyl or heroin. The ventilatory depressant and particularly the lethal effects of opioids can vary dramatically depending on dose, dosing parameters, and other experimental conditions and rats can survive even after extended periods (e.g., 60 seconds) of opioid agonist-induced apnea (e.g., following an i.v. infusion of 0.3 mg/kg fentanyl; Haouzi et al., 2020). The largest dose of heroin used in the present study was smaller than a reported LD₅₀ value of heroin (21.8 mg/kg, Barai et al., 2009) whereas the largest dose of fentanyl used in the present study was similar to the smaller LD₅₀ values reported for fentanyl (0.005-3.1 mg/kg, Niemegeers et al., 1976; Yadav et al., 2018; Miner et al., 2021). Thus, generalizations from the results of this study might be limited to mixtures of non-lethal doses of drugs. Given the continued high number of drug overdose deaths, increasingly involving opioids and other (often stimulant) drugs, studies on larger doses might be warranted to fully understand the nature of interactions for drugs that continue having a significant impact on public health. Moreover, measures of arterial blood gas would provide potentially important information on the physiological impact of these drugs on respiration. A recent study suggested that male rats are less sensitive than females to ventilatory depressant effects of heroin (Marchette et al., 2023) whereas the present study used only
male rats. Thus, additional studies using females are also warranted. In summary, the present results suggest that under normal air conditions, d-methamphetamine attenuates the ventilatory depressant effects of “moderate” doses of opioid agonists acting at MOR and does not alter the potency of naloxone to reverse opioid agonist-induced hypoventilation.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Effects of fentanyl alone, heroin alone, and d-methamphetamine alone on ventilation in rats breathing normal air (normal air conditions). Abscissae: time in minutes. Ordinates: top panels, frequency of ventilation (f); middle panels, tidal volume (VT); and lower panels, minute volume (VE). Data are expressed as a percentage of values in control conditions (horizontal dashed lines) when rats received an infusion of saline followed 5 minutes later by an infusion of 10% β-cyclodextrin (saline/vehicle) as shown in Supplemental Figure S2. Symbols represent the mean ± 1 SEM for 8 rats. Fentanyl (left), heroin (center), and d-methamphetamine (right) were administered i.v. at time 0 and 10% β-cyclodextrin was administered i.v. 5 minutes later (indicated by arrows on abscissae and vertical dashed lines). Gray symbols indicate a significant difference from saline/vehicle values (see Supplemental Table S1 for details of statistical analyses).

Figure 2. Dose-effect functions (from time-effect functions shown in Figure 1) for the effects of fentanyl, heroin, and d-methamphetamine on ventilation (f, leftmost; VT, second leftmost; and VE, third leftmost) and on rectal body temperature (rightmost panel). Abscissae: dose in mg/kg (i.v.). Ordinates: three left panels, area under the curve (AUC) from 5 to 10 minutes after drug administration expressed as a percent of control (saline/vehicle; horizontal dashed lines) AUC; rightmost panel, change from baseline rectal temperature in degrees C. Symbols represent the mean ± 1 SEM for 8 rats. Gray symbols represent a significant difference from saline/vehicle values (see Table 2 and Supplemental Tables S3, and S4 for details of statistical analyses).

Figure 3. Ventilatory effects of 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl (leftmost), 3.2 mg/kg heroin (second leftmost), 0.032 mg/kg fentanyl (third leftmost), and 0.56 mg/kg heroin (rightmost) alone and in mixtures with various doses of d-
methamphetamine. Effects of fentanyl and heroin after vehicle (solid diamonds) are replotted from Figure 1. See Figure 1 for other details (see Supplemental Table S5 for details of statistical analyses).

Figure 4. Dose-effect functions for ventilatory effects of d-methamphetamine alone and in mixtures with fentanyl (top, three left panels) and heroin (bottom, three lest panels) and for hyperthermic effects of d-methamphetamine alone and in mixtures with fentanyl and heroin (rightmost panels). Effects of fentanyl alone (symbols above Saline), heroin alone (symbols above Saline), and d-methamphetamine (solid diamonds) after vehicle are replotted from Figure 2. See Figures 2 and 3 for other details (see Table 3 and Supplemental Table S5 for details of statistical analyses).

Figure 5. Ventilatory effects of naloxone administered 5 minutes after administration of 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl (left panels), 3.2 mg/kg heroin (center panels), or 3.2 mg/kg d-methamphetamine (right panels). Effects of fentanyl, heroin, and d-methamphetamine after vehicle (solid diamonds) are replotted from Figure 1. See Figures 1 and 3 for other details (see Supplemental Table S7 for details of statistical analyses).

Figure 6. Dose-effect functions for the effects of naloxone on ventilation (from time-effect functions shown in Figure 5) and rectal temperature when administered alone and when administered 5 minutes after administration of saline, 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl, 3.2 mg/kg heroin, or 3.2 mg/kg d-methamphetamine. See Figure 2 for other details (see Table 4 and Supplemental Table S8 for details of statistical analyses).

Figure 7. Ventilatory effects of naloxone administered 5 minutes after administration of either 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl combined with 0.1 mg/kg d-methamphetamine (left panels) or 3.2 mg/kg heroin combined with 0.1 mg/kg d-methamphetamine (right panels). See Figures 1 and 3 for other details (see Supplemental Table S9 for details of statistical analyses).

Figure 8. Dose-effect functions for the effects of naloxone on ventilation (left panels, from time-effect functions shown in Figure 7) and on rectal temperature (right panels) when administered alone and when administered 5 minutes after administration of 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl alone (upper panels, replotted from Figure 6), 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl combined with 0.1 mg/kg d-methamphetamine (upper panels), 3.2 mg/kg heroin alone (lower panels, replotted from Figure 6), or 3.2 mg/kg heroin combined with 0.1 mg/kg d-methamphetamine (lower panels). See Figures 2 and 4 for other details (see Table 4 and Supplemental Table S10 for details of statistical analyses).
Table 1. Baseline values for frequency ($f$), tidal volume ($V_T$), minute volume ($V_E$), and rectal temperature (see Supplemental Figure S2 for source data). Value for pre-session rectal temperature includes all measurements made prior to all daily sessions including test session and values for ventilatory parameters ($f$, $V_T$, and $V_E$) and post-session rectal temperature are from saline/vehicle control test sessions only (i.e., average of ventilatory values from 1 to 60 minutes and of changes from pre-session temperature). Shown are the mean ± 1 SEM for 8 rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Baseline value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f$ (breaths/minute)</td>
<td>105.4 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_T$ (mL/breath/kg)</td>
<td>4.96 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_E$ (mL/minute/kg)</td>
<td>442.6 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal temperature (°C) prior to sessions</td>
<td>37.07 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-session temperature, change from pre-session (°C)</td>
<td>-0.04 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.** ED<sub>50</sub> and slope values (95% CI) for the effects of fentanyl alone and heroin alone on ventilation (calculated from data shown in Figure 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>ED&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>VT</th>
<th>VE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fentanyl alone</td>
<td>0.0840 (0.0676, 0.113)</td>
<td>Not determined (no less than 56.9% of control)</td>
<td>0.0679 (0.0616, 0.0752)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>-144 (-175, -113)</td>
<td>56.9% of control</td>
<td>-82.4 (-90.5, -74.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heroin alone</td>
<td>2.51 (2.02, 3.38)</td>
<td>Not determined (no less than 54.5% of control)</td>
<td>1.90 (1.51, 2.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>-99.3 (-120, -78.9)</td>
<td>54.5% of control</td>
<td>-103 (-122, -83.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 3.** ED$_{150}$ and slope values (95% CI) for the effects on ventilation of $d$-methamphetamine administered alone and in mixtures with fentanyl or heroin (calculated from data shown in Figure 4). Potency and slope ratios represent the values determined with mixture divided by values determined with $d$-methamphetamine alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$f$</th>
<th>$V_E$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d$-methamphetamine alone</td>
<td><strong>ED$_{150}$</strong> 0.189 (0.148, 0.455)</td>
<td>0.793 (0.467, 1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope 180 (150, 211)</td>
<td>81.0 (65.5, 96.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$-methamphetamine + 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl</td>
<td><strong>ED$_{150}$</strong> 0.698 (0.387, 0.964)</td>
<td>2.10 (1.79, 2.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ED$_{150}$ ratio</strong> 3.69 (0.851, 6.51)</td>
<td>2.65 (1.63, 5.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope 181 (158, 204)</td>
<td>105 (92.6, 118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope ratio 1.01 (0.749, 1.36)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.960, 1.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$-methamphetamine + 3.2 mg/kg heroin</td>
<td><strong>ED$_{150}$</strong> 0.770 (0.477, 1.03)</td>
<td>2.21 (1.91, 2.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ED$_{150}$ ratio</strong> 4.07 (1.05, 6.96)</td>
<td>2.79 (1.74, 5.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope 178 (159, 197)</td>
<td>103 (92.8, 114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope ratio 0.989 (0.754, 1.31)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.962, 1.74)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. ED<sub>80</sub> and slope values (95% CI) for reversal by naloxone of the ventilatory effects of 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl alone, 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl with 0.1 mg/kg d-methamphetamine, 3.2 mg/kg heroin alone, and 3.2 mg/kg heroin with 0.1 mg/kg d-methamphetamine (calculated from data shown in Figures 8). Potency and slope ratios represent the values determined with mixtures of d-methamphetamine with fentanyl or heroin divided by values determined with fentanyl or heroin alone, respectively. Significant differences are denoted as bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>ED&lt;sub&gt;80&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>ED&lt;sub&gt;80&lt;/sub&gt; ratio</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Slope ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>naloxone versus 0.1 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fentanyl alone</td>
<td>4.39 (3.49, 5.34)</td>
<td>0.772 (0.161, 1.65)</td>
<td>8.30 (6.67, 9.94)</td>
<td>0.775 (0.342, 1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>naloxone versus 0.1 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fentanyl + 0.1 mg/kg d-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methamphetamine</td>
<td>3.39 (0.859, 5.75)</td>
<td>0.772 (0.161, 1.65)</td>
<td>6.43 (3.40, 9.46)</td>
<td>0.775 (0.342, 1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>naloxone versus 3.2 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heroin alone</td>
<td>6.10 (4.51, 8.34)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.561, 1.94)</td>
<td>5.61 (3.69, 7.54)</td>
<td>0.930 (0.447, 1.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>naloxone versus 3.2 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heroin + 0.1 mg/kg d-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methamphetamine</td>
<td>6.33 (4.68, 8.76)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.561, 1.94)</td>
<td>5.22 (3.37, 7.07)</td>
<td>0.930 (0.447, 1.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1

Fentanyl alone (mg/kg, i.v.)
- 0.0032
- 0.01
- 0.032
- 0.1

Heroin alone (mg/kg, i.v.)
- 0.1
- 0.32
- 0.56
- 1.0
- 3.2

d-MA alone (mg/kg, i.v.)
- 0.1
- 0.178
- 0.32
- 1.0
- 3.2

Graphs show the effect of Fentanyl, Heroin, and d-MA on various parameters such as % salione/vehicle, V_T, and V_E over time (minutes).
Figure 3

![Graphs showing the effects of fentanyl and heroin alone or in combination with d-MA on various parameters over time.](image-url)
Figure 4

AUC_{5-10} (% saline/vehicle)

- **f**
  - d-MA alone
  - d-MA + 0.032 mg/kg fentanyl
  - d-MA + 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl

- **V_T**
  - d-MA alone
  - d-MA + 0.032 mg/kg fentanyl
  - d-MA + 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl

- **V_E**
  - d-MA alone
  - d-MA + 0.032 mg/kg fentanyl
  - d-MA + 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl

**d-MA dose (mg/kg, i.v.)**

- Saline: 0.1, 0.32, 1, 3.2

**Change from baseline (°C)**

- d-MA alone
- d-MA + 0.56 mg/kg heroin
- d-MA + 3.2 mg/kg heroin

**d-MA dose (mg/kg, i.v.)**

- Saline: 0.1, 0.32, 1, 3.2
Figure 6

- Saline
- 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl
- 3.2 mg/kg heroin
- 3.2 mg/kg d-MA

VE\_AUC\_{10-15} (% salienc/vehicle)

Naloxone dose (mg/kg, i.v.)

Change from baseline (°C)
Figure 7

Naloxone (mg/kg, i.v.)
- Vehicle  
- 0.0001  
- 0.001  
- 0.01

0.1 mg/kg fentanyl + 0.1 mg/kg d-MA

3.2 mg/kg heroin + 0.1 mg/kg d-MA

f (% saline/vehicle)
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Fentanyl + d-MA

Heroin + d-MA