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Abstract

Exemestane (EXE) is used to treat post-menopausal women diagnosed with estrogen receptor positive (ER+) breast cancer. A major mode of metabolism of EXE and its active metabolite, 17β-dihydroexemestane (17β-DHE), is via glutathionylation by glutathione-S-transferase (GST) enzymes. The goal of the present study was to investigate the effects of genetic variation in EXE-metabolizing GST enzymes on overall EXE metabolism. Ex vivo assays examining human liver cytosols (HLC) from 75 subjects revealed the GSTA1 *B/*B genotype was associated with significant decreases in EXE-GS (P=0.034) and DHE-GS (P=0.014) formation. In the plasma of 68 ER+ breast cancer patients treated with EXE, the GSTA1 *B*B genotype was associated with significant decreases in both EXE-cys (29%, P=0.0056) and DHE-cys (34%, P=0.032) as compared to patients with the GSTA1*A*A genotype, with significant decreases in EXE-cys (Ptrend=0.0067) and DHE-cys (Ptrend=0.028) observed in patients with increasing numbers of the GSTA1*B allele. A near-significant (Ptrend=0.060) trend was also observed for urinary EXE-cys levels from the same patients. In contrast, plasma and urinary DHE-Gluc levels were significantly increased (36%, P=0.00097 and 52%, P=0.0089; respectively) in patients with the GSTA1 *B*B genotype. No significant correlations were observed between the GSTM1 null genotype and EXE metabolite levels. These data suggest that the GSTA1*B allele is associated with interindividual differences in EXE metabolism and may play a role in interindividual variability in overall response to EXE.
Significance Statement

The present study is the first comprehensive pharmacogenomic investigation examining the role of genetic variability in GST enzymes on exemestane metabolism. The GSTA1 *B*B genotype was found to contribute to interindividual differences in the metabolism of EXE both ex vivo and in clinical samples from patients taking EXE for the treatment of ER+ breast cancer. Since GSTA1 is a major hepatic phase II metabolizing enzyme in EXE metabolism, the GSTA1*B allele may be an important biomarker for treatment outcomes and toxicities.
Introduction

Breast cancer accounts for 30% of all malignancies in women and continues to be the leading cause of cancer-related death in women worldwide (Loibl et al., 2021). Additionally, over their lifetime, women in the United States have a greater than 12% chance of being diagnosed with breast cancer (Akram et al., 2017). Primarily due to earlier detection and more effective systemic treatments (Harbeck and Gnant, 2017; Jahan et al., 2021), a steady decrease in mortality has been observed since the 1970s; however, variability exists in patient clinical response and survival, suggesting a need for treatment optimization and implementation of pharmacogenomics to personalize current standards of care (Vianna-Jorge et al., 2013).

Endocrine therapy is a cornerstone for the systemic treatment of estrogen receptor positive breast cancer (ER+) (Zelnak and O'Regan, 2015). The two major classes of endocrine therapies for post-menopausal women are selective ER modulators [SERMs; e.g., tamoxifen (TAM)] and aromatase inhibitors [AIs; e.g., exemestane (EXE)] (Coombes et al., 2007). Although tamoxifen has been the standard of care for over 30 years, aromatase inhibitors are superior for both the treatment and prevention of ER+ breast cancer (Coombes et al., 2007; Kieback et al., 2010; Goss et al., 2011). Recently updated clinical practice guidelines from the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) suggest that post-menopausal women with lymph node positive ER+ breast cancer, as well as some high-risk women with lymph node negative breast cancer, should be offered an extended 10 years of AI therapy (Burstein et al., 2019).
EXE is a third generation steroidal AI that acts by inhibiting the aromatase enzyme encoded by the CYP19A1 gene, thus preventing the conversion of androgens to estrogens (Campos, 2004). EXE binds irreversibly in the active site of aromatase, where it effectively inactivates the enzyme. Consequently, estrogen levels in the blood are reduced by 85-95% (Campos, 2004; Kittaneh and Glück, 2011) and new aromatase must be generated before estrogen synthesis can be resumed (Kittaneh and Glück, 2011). De novo synthesis of aromatase takes on average five days after a single dose of EXE, therefore a relatively small dose of EXE (25 mg) can effectively inhibit this enzyme when taken long term (Kittaneh and Glück, 2011). EXE is also highly efficacious as an adjuvant treatment and prevention therapy, demonstrating a 65% reduction in the incidence of invasive breast cancer among high-risk post-menopausal women [5-year Gail risk score higher than 1.66%; (Goss et al., 2011)]. However, some efficacy studies have shown that only 46% of ER+ breast cancer patients responded to EXE treatment (Paridaens et al., 2003; Paridaens et al., 2008). Additionally, some women experience adverse events such as musculoskeletal arthralgia, joint pain, fatigue, and hot flashes, which can lead to treatment discontinuation (Henry et al., 2012). One possible explanation for interindividual variability in patient response may be differential EXE metabolism, due to genetic polymorphisms in key metabolizing enzymes.

EXE metabolism proceeds primarily through conversion to an active metabolite, 17β-dihydroexemestane (17β-DHE), via phase I enzymes including cytochromes P450 (CYPs), aldo-keto reductases (AKRs), and carbonyl reductases (CBRs) (Kamdem et al., 2011; Platt et al., 2016; Peterson et al., 2017). 17β-DHE is further metabolized by phase
Il glucuronidation via UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 2B17 (Sun et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2017). In addition, both EXE and 17β-DHE can be glutathionylated by glutathione-S-transferase (GST) enzymes, primarily GSTs A1 and M1 (Teslenko et al., 2021), with the resulting glutathione (GSH) conjugates further metabolized to cysteine conjugates by γ-glutamyl transferase (GGT) and dipeptidases (Hinchman and Ballatori, 1994; Hayes et al., 2005; Luo et al., 2018). In post-menopausal patients with ER+ breast cancer, cysteine conjugates of EXE and 17β-DHE comprise 77% and 35% of the total urinary and plasma EXE metabolites, respectively, indicating that glutathionylation is a major metabolic pathway for EXE (Luo et al., 2018).

The GST superfamily of enzymes are highly polymorphic and several GST variants have been linked to a greater risk of developing a variety of cancers, as well as variability in drug toxicity, cancer resistance, and altered drug metabolism (Perera et al., 2002; Elhasid et al., 2010; Josephy, 2010; Allocati et al., 2018). Genetic variants in the GSTA1 and GSTM1 enzymes are associated with altered enzyme expression or catalytic activity, often resulting in altered drug metabolism and clinical outcomes. The GSTA1 gene exhibits three well-characterized single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in high linkage disequilibrium in the promoter region (-567 T>G, -69C>T, and -52 G>A); (Morel et al., 2002) resulting in the GSTA1*A and GSTA1*B alleles. The GSTA1*B allele has been linked to lower hepatic expression of GSTA1 and altered metabolism of certain medications (Hayes and Strange, 2000; Ansari et al., 2017). In the Caucasian population, the GSTA1*B allele has a minor allele frequency (MAF) of 0.43-0.49 (Mikstacki et al., 2016; Michaud et al., 2019). Additionally, a polymorphic copy number variant (CNV) of GSTM1 has been identified (MAF of 0.48-0.57 in Caucasian
populations) (Geisler and Olshan, 2001), and has been shown to influence drug metabolism (Lucafò et al., 2019b). Genetic variations in these two enzymes could potentially alter overall patient response and the toxicities related to EXE treatment. The primary objective of this study was to investigate the effect of polymorphisms in GSTA1 and GSTM1 on both glutathione conjugation activity in human liver tissue and in the formation of major phase II metabolites found in the plasma and urine of patients taking EXE.
Materials and Methods

Chemicals and materials

EXE was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, Missouri, USA) and gamma-L-glutamyl-L-cysteinyl-glycine (glutathione; GSH) was obtained from Alfa Aesar (Haverhill, MA, USA). Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) grade formic acid and acetonitrile were obtained from Thermo-Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA), while LC-MS grade ammonium formate was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, Missouri, USA). An Acquity UHPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 x 100 mm) was purchased from Waters (Milford, Massachusetts, USA). Pooled human liver cytosol (HLC) was obtained from Xenotech (Kansas City, KS). Pierce BCA protein assay kits, PureLink® Genomic DNA Isolation kits, TaqMan® Copy Number Reference Assays (RNase P, Human; catalog #A30064), GSTM1 TaqMan® Copy Number Variant (CNV) Assays (catalog # 4400291), and GSTA1 TaqMan® SNP Genotyping Assays (catalog # 4351374) were purchased from Thermo-Fisher Scientific. All other chemicals were purchased from Thermo-Fisher Scientific unless otherwise specified.

Human liver specimens

Normal adjacent human liver tissue specimens and corresponding genomic DNA samples were procured from the Tissue Procurement Core at the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center (Tampa, FL) from 75 patients undergoing hepatocarcinoma surgery (Coughtrie et al., 1987; Yokota et al., 1989). Tissues were flash frozen within two hours of removal. The majority of subjects (>90%, n=70 were Caucasian, with 6% (n=5) of Hispanic descent; 36% (n=27) were female and the average age was 63 y. Cytosolic fractions
were prepared from each tissue sample using differential centrifugation methods described previously (Dellinger et al., 2007; Ashmore et al., 2018) and stored at -80°C. All procedures involving tissue specimens have been approved by the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center's institutional review board and are in compliance with assurances submitted to and approved by the US Department of Health and Human Services.

**Clinical study subjects**

A total of 132 postmenopausal women diagnosed with breast cancer at the Penn State Hershey Medical Center (Hershey, PA) were recruited for this study. The Penn State Institutional Review Board approved the study with informed consent obtained from all individuals and all specimens de-identified. A majority of the subjects (94%; n=124) were Caucasian, and their age range was 35 - 89 y. All subjects were women who had ER+ tumors and agreed to orally ingest 25 mg of EXE daily for at least 28 days. Patients receiving EXE simultaneously with adjuvant chemotherapy, other adjuvant endocrine treatments, or chronic corticosteroid or megestrol acetate therapy were excluded from the study. Included as control subjects were 10 healthy volunteers (all Caucasian, ages 45-70 y) who were not taking EXE. On day 28 of the study, subjects were instructed to provide blood (10 cc) and urine (up to 50 mL) at a time point 4 – 6 hours after taking their last daily EXE dose. Differential centrifugation (1300 $g$ for 15 min) was performed to fractionate the whole blood; aliquots of plasma, buffy coat and urine were stored at -80°C until analysis (Luo et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2018), with genomic DNA extracted from corresponding buffy coats using standard protocols as previously described (Luo et al., 2018).
Glutathione Activity Assays

Glutathione conjugation activity assays were performed with the cytosolic fraction of the human liver specimens obtained from the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center. Reactions were performed in duplicate in a total volume of 25 µL, containing 1 µL of HLC protein, 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer and 250 µM of either EXE or 17β-DHE (Platt et al., 2016). Pre-incubation at 37°C for 3 min was followed by the addition of 5 mM GSH to initiate the reaction (1 h, 37°C). Reactions were quenched with a mixture of ice-cold acetonitrile (25 µL) and deuterium labeled S-(androsta-1,4-diene-3,17-dion-6α-ylmethyl)-L-glutathione (D3-EXE-GS) and S-(androsta-1,4-diene-17β-ol-3-on-6α-ylmethyl)-L-gutathione (D3-DHE-GS) internal standards (Teslenko et al., 2021). After thorough mixing by vortex and centrifugation at 16,100 g (10 min at 4°C), supernatants (10 µL) were transferred to glass vials containing 10 µL water. As a positive control, reactions using commercial pooled (50 subjects) HLC protein were performed. Negative control reactions contained all components except an enzyme source. GSH conjugate metabolites of EXE and DHE were quantified against standard curves with known concentrations of EXE-GS or DHE-GS. Chemical synthesis of the EXE-GS and 17β-DHE-GS standards were previously described (Teslenko et al., 2021). Rates of EXE-GS and 17β-DHE-GS conjugation were calculated in nmol•min⁻¹•mg cytosolic protein⁻¹. Total HLC protein concentration was determined via the Pierce BCA assay.

UHPLC-MS Conditions for GS Conjugate Detection
A validated method for monitoring EXE-GS and 17β-DHE-GS conjugate formation was used to quantify GSH conjugates (Teslenko et al., 2021). Briefly, GSH conjugates were detected using a LC-MS system (Waters Acquity UHPLC / XEVO G2-S QToF) with analyte separation achieved using a UHPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 x 100 mm) with a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min, a column temperature of 35°C, and a sample temperature of 8°C. EXE-GS was detected using mobile phase A (5 mM ammonium formate and 0.01% formic acid) and mobile phase B (100% acetonitrile) under the following conditions: 0.5 min at 25% of B, a linear gradient to 100% B from 0.5 to 4 min, maintenance at 100% of B for 1.5 min, with a re-equilibration step for 2 min at the initial conditions. 17β-DHE-GS was detected using the same mobile phases A and B with the following gradient: 2 min at 20% B, a linear gradient to 100% B from 0.5 to 4 min, 1.5 min at 100% B, followed by re-equilibration to initial conditions for 2 min. The MS was operated in MS/MS mode monitoring EXE-GS (m/z 604.2692→297.184), D3-EXE-GS (m/z 607.281→300.203), 17β-DHE-GS (m/z 606.2849→299.2) and D3-17β-DHE-GS (m/z 609.3037→302.203).

Plasma and Urine Metabolites

Urine and plasma EXE and its major metabolites (EXE, 17β-DHE, 17β-DHE-Gluc, 6-EXE-cys, and 6-17β-DHE-cys) of 68 subjects taking EXE were identified and quantified as previously described (Luo et al., 2018). The quantification limit for EXE and the metabolites 17β-DHE, 17β-DHE-Gluc, 6-EXE-cys, and 6-17β-DHE-cys were as follows: 2.1, 1.6, 1.2, 0.7, and 7.2 nM, respectively, in plasma and 2.1, 1.6, 6.3, 1.5, and 7.2 nM, respectively, in urine. All subjects had plasma EXE levels that were higher than
3 nM. As the average plasma concentration of EXE 8 h after ingestion was previously shown to be greater than 3 nM (Jannuzzo et al., 2004; Valle et al., 2005), this ensured compliance with study protocols (i.e., that subjects had taken EXE within 8 h of blood and urine collection).

**Genotype Analysis**

Genomic DNA (20 ng) from either human liver specimens or whole blood was used for genotyping using real-time PCR and TaqMan probes according to the manufacturer's recommended protocols. GSTA1 (GSTA1*A and GSTA1*B) genotyping was performed using the GSTA1 TaqMan® SNP Genotyping Assay. The promoter region -69 C>T (rs3957356) SNP was investigated, as it has previously been demonstrated that this SNP is in high linkage disequilibrium with the -567 T>G and -52 G>A SNPs (Morel et al., 2002). To genotype the GSTM1 deletion polymorphism, the TaqMan® Copy Number Variant Assay was used in conjunction with the TaqMan® Copy Number Reference Assay of the human RNase P gene. All genotyping reactions were performed in quadruplicate, using a Bio-Rad CFX384 real-time PCR machine. CopyCaller® software was used to analyze GSTM1 copy number variation.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.01 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Statistical analysis included unpaired t-test and the one-way ANOVA trend test.
Results

Glutathione conjugate formation vs. GST genotype in human liver specimens.

EXE-GS and DHE-GS rates of formation were measured in 75 liver specimens as described above. Within this panel, the mean rates of EXE-GS and DHE-GS formation were $0.76 \pm 0.27$ nmol$\cdot$min$^{-1}$$\cdot$mg cytosolic protein$^{-1}$ and $0.12 \pm 0.028$ nmol$\cdot$min$^{-1}$$\cdot$mg cytosolic protein$^{-1}$, respectively (Table 1). The rate of GS conjugate formation with EXE ranged from 0.16 to 1.44 nmol$\cdot$min$^{-1}$$\cdot$mg cytosolic protein$^{-1}$ and with DHE from 0.062 to 0.23 nmol$\cdot$min$^{-1}$$\cdot$mg cytosolic protein$^{-1}$.

Genomic DNA corresponding to the 75 liver tissue specimens was genotyped for common GSTA1 and GSTM1 polymorphisms. The GSTA1 locus was genotyped for the wild type GSTA1*A allele (-567T, -69C, and -52G) and the variant GSTA1*B allele (-567G, -69T, and -52A) (Morel et al., 2002; Coles and Kadlubar, 2005b; Suvakov et al., 2014). The panel contained 29 samples that were homozygous wild type *A*A, 35 samples that were heterozygous *A*B, and 11 that were homozygous polymorphic *B*B. The GSTA1*B minor allelic frequency (0.39) was close to reported frequencies in Caucasian populations (Miksetaki et al., 2016; Michaud et al., 2019) and GSTA1 genotypes were in Hardy Weinberg equilibrium. Additionally, the GSTM1 deletion polymorphism was genotyped, with GSTM1 *0*0 corresponding to the null genotype with no functional copies and GSTM1 *1*1 corresponding to a wild-type genotype with two functional copies. Five samples were wild type *1*1, while 35 specimens were homozygous null *0*0 and another 35 specimens were heterozygous *1*0. The minor allele frequency of the GSTM1*0 allele of 0.70 in this population was higher than
previously reported values in Caucasians (0.48-0.57) (Geisler and Olshan, 2001) and
GSTM1 genotypes were not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

There was a significant ($P_{\text{trend}}=0.025$) decrease in EXE-GS formation with
increasing numbers of the GSTA1*B allele, with a rate of $0.82 \pm 0.27 \text{ nmol\cdot min}^{-1}\cdot \text{mg cytosolic protein}^{-1}$ observed for specimens with the *A*A genotype, $0.76 \pm 0.25 \text{ nmol\cdot min}^{-1}\cdot \text{mg cytosolic protein}^{-1}$ observed for specimens with the *A*B genotype, and
$0.61 \pm 0.25 \text{ nmol\cdot min}^{-1}\cdot \text{mg cytosolic protein}^{-1}$ observed for specimens with the *B*B genotype (Figure 1, panel A). The rates of DHE-GS formation showed a similarly
significant ($P_{\text{trend}}=0.0076$) decrease with increasing numbers of the GSTA1*B allele:
$0.13 \pm 0.032 \text{ nmol\cdot min}^{-1}\cdot \text{mg cytosolic protein}^{-1}$ for specimens with the *A*A genotype,
$0.12 \pm 0.025 \text{ nmol\cdot min}^{-1}\cdot \text{mg cytosolic protein}^{-1}$ for specimens with the *A*B genotype,
and $0.11 \pm 0.02 \text{ nmol\cdot min}^{-1}\cdot \text{mg cytosolic protein}^{-1}$ for specimens with the *B*B genotype.
Both EXE-GS and DHE-GS rates of formation were significantly lower ($p=0.034$ and $p=
0.014$, respectively) in the *B*B genotype specimens as compared to the wild-type *A*A
genotype specimens.

Since GSTA1 was the only enzyme previously shown to exhibit DHE-GS
formation activity (Teslenko et al., 2021), only EXE-GS rates of formation were analyzed
against the GSTM1 null genotype. After stratifying by GSTM1 genotype, no significant
differences in EXE-GS formation were observed in the same human liver specimens
(Figure 1, panel B).

**Phase II plasma and urine metabolites vs. GST genotype in subjects taking EXE.** The levels of major phase II EXE metabolites in both plasma and urine are shown
in Table 2. In plasma, the major phase II metabolites were DHE-Gluc (35% of total EXE metabolites), EXE-cys (32% of total EXE metabolites) and DHE-cys (9.5% of total EXE metabolites). A similar pattern was observed in urine, with EXE-cys, DHE-cys, and DHE-Gluc comprising 63%, 19%, and 16% of the total EXE metabolites, respectively.

The frequency of GSTA1 genotypes in this population were 29% for *A*A subjects (n=20), 46% for *A*B subjects (n=31), and 25% for *B*B subjects (n=17). The minor allele frequency of the GSTA1*B allele of 0.48 was, as expected, close to previously reported values in Caucasian populations (Mikstacki et al., 2016; Michaud et al., 2019) and in Hardy Weinberg equilibrium. GSTM1 genotyping results indicated that 42 subjects exhibited the GSTM1 null genotype (62%), 18 subjects had one copy of GSTM1 (26%), while only 6 subjects had both copies present (9%). In addition, 2 subjects (3%) had 3 copies of the GSTM1 gene. The minor allele frequency of the GSTM1*0 allele of 0.78 in this population was higher than previously reported values in Caucasians (0.48-0.57) (Geisler and Olshan, 2001) and the GSTM1 genotypes were not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

The level of each plasma or urinary metabolite was expressed as a fraction of total exemestane metabolites (TEM). TEM included both phase I (EXE and DHE) and phase II metabolites (DHE-Gluc, EXE-cys, and DHE-cys). Subjects with the GSTA1*B*B genotype exhibited significantly lower relative levels of EXE-cys ($p=0.0056$) and DHE-cys ($p=0.032$) metabolites in plasma (0.25 EXE-cys/TEM and 0.073 DHE-cys/TEM) as compared to those with the GSTA1*A*A genotype (0.35 EXE-cys/TEM and 0.11 DHE-cys/TEM; Figure 2, panel A). The observed decreases corresponded to 29% for plasma EXE-cys levels and 34% for plasma DHE-cys levels. A significant trend toward
decreasing levels of EXE-cys ($p_{trend}=0.0067$) and DHE-cys ($p_{trend}=0.028$) was observed in plasma in subjects with increasing numbers of the GSTA1*B allele. In contrast, the relative plasma DHE-Gluc levels were significantly ($p=0.013$) higher for subjects with the GSTA1*B*B genotype (0.47 DHE-Gluc/TEM) as compared to subjects with the GSTA1*A*A genotype (0.30 DHE-Gluc/TEM); a significant ($p_{trend}=0.0089$) trend of increasing plasma DHE-Gluc levels was observed in subjects with increasing numbers of the GSTA1*B allele. No significant differences were observed for the levels of plasma EXE or 17β-DHE in the plasma of subjects after stratification by GSTA1 genotype (data not shown). Additionally, no significant correlations were observed between plasma phase II EXE metabolite levels and GSTM1 genotypes (Figure 2, panel B). While the two patients with 3 copies of the GSTM1 gene were not included in the association analysis of GSTM1 genotype vs plasma or urinary EXE metabolites, their mean EXE-cys levels were $24 \pm 4.8$ nM and $7.1 \pm 7.1$ nmol/mg creatinine, respectively, which were lower than the $35 \pm 26$ nM and $9.2 \pm 2.8$ nmol/mg creatinine observed in plasma and urine, respectively, for patients who were homozygous wild-type for GSTM1.

Similarly, there was a non-significant trend ($p_{trend}=0.060$) towards decreasing levels of urinary EXE-cys in subjects with increasing numbers of the GSTA1*B allele (Figure 3, panel A), with urinary EXE-cys levels 15% lower for subjects with the GSTA1*B*B genotype (0.56 EXE-cys/TEM) as compared to subjects with the GSTA1*A*A genotype (0.66 EXE-cys/TEM). Also similar to that observed in plasma, a significant ($p=0.026$) 52% higher level of urinary DHE-Gluc was observed in subjects with the GSTA1*B*B (0.25 DHE-Gluc/TEM) genotype as compared to subjects with the GSTA1*A*A (0.12 DHE-Gluc/TEM) genotype, and a significant ($p_{trend}=0.0089$) trend in urinary
DHE-Gluc levels observed in subjects with increasing numbers of the GSTA1*B allele. Also, similar to the results observed in the plasma, no significant correlations were observed between urinary phase II EXE metabolite levels and GSTM1 genotypes (Figure 3, panel B), and no correlation between urinary EXE, 17β-DHE or DHE-cys levels and GSTA1 genotype was observed (results not shown).
Discussion

Despite the increased clinical benefits of AI therapy, up to 56% of patients do not respond to therapy, with patient compliance rates low due to adverse events such as hot flashes, fatigue, and myalgia (Paridaens et al., 2003; Paridaens et al., 2008; Goss et al., 2011; Hadji et al., 2013; Blok et al., 2018). Genetic variation in key EXE-metabolizing enzymes may be an important factor that contributes to individual variation in the metabolism, efficacy, and adverse events in patients taking EXE, and identifying pharmacogenomic factors contributing to this variation can help personalize treatment (Ingle, 2013), potentially resulting in improved compliance and patient outcomes. Previous studies have shown that the glucuronidation of the major active EXE metabolite, 17β-DHE, by UGT2B17 is an important phase II metabolic pathway for EXE (Luo et al., 2017), and that the UGT2B17 deletion was associated with altered 17β-DHE and DHE-Gluc levels in the plasma and urine of women taking EXE (Luo et al., 2017) and influences EXE pharmacokinetics (Chen et al., 2016). However, more recent studies have shown that glutathionylation via GST enzymes is also a major phase II elimination pathway for EXE, with GSTA1 the major glutathione S-transferase involved in the hepatic metabolism of EXE and 17β-DHE (Luo et al., 2018; Teslenko et al., 2021). GST-mediated glutathione-conjugated products of EXE and 17β-DHE are further metabolized to cysteine conjugates by γ-glutamyl transferase (GGTs) and dipeptidases, resulting in the major EXE-cys and DHE-cys metabolites observed in the plasma and urine of patients taking EXE (Luo et al., 2018).
In the present study, we demonstrated that the GSTA1*B allele was associated with significant decreases in HLC GSH conjugation activity for both EXE and 17β-DHE, with a significant decrease in both EXE-cys and DHE-cys observed in specimens from subjects with the GSTA1*B*B genotype as compared to specimens from subjects with the GSTA1*A*A genotype. This pattern was also observed in the plasma of subjects taking EXE. Subjects with the GSTA1*B*B genotype exhibited significant decreases in plasma EXE-cys and DHE-cys levels, respectively, as compared to subjects with the GSTA1*A*A genotype, with statistically significant linear trends indicating decreasing levels of plasma EXE-cys and DHE-cys with increasing numbers of the GSTA1*B allele.

The data in the present study are consistent with previous functional studies demonstrating that the GSTA1*B allele is associated with decreased GSTA1 expression based on results from luciferase reporter assays (Morel et al., 2002). Previous studies demonstrated that the lower transcriptional activity observed for the GSTA1*B allele was due to the G>A substitution at the -52 residue interfering with the binding of the ubiquitous transcription factor Sp1, resulting in four-fold lower GSTA1 hepatic expression as compared to wild-type GSTA1 encoded by the GSTA1*A allele (Morel et al., 2002; Coles and Kadlubar, 2005a). Consistent with these previous studies, the homozygous GSTA1*B*B genotype was shown to be associated with the altered metabolism, efficacy and toxicity of several agents including cyclophosphamide, busulfan and azathioprine (Ansari et al., 2017; Lucafò et al., 2019a; Michaud et al., 2019; Attia et al., 2021), and multiple studies have linked the GSTA1*B*B genotype to increased risk of colorectal, prostate, breast, and bladder cancer (Deng et al., 2015).
A similar, though non-significant, pattern was observed in the urine from the same subjects taking EXE, where a 15% decrease in urinary EXE-cys levels were observed in subjects with the GSTA1 *B*B genotype. This decrease is roughly 1.5-fold less than the decrease observed in plasma EXE-cys levels for the same subjects. This lack of statistical significance may be attributed to the extra-hepatic GSTM3 enzyme, which was previously shown to exhibit the highest intrinsic clearance of all GST enzymes tested against EXE in vitro (Teslenko et al., 2021). According to the human protein atlas, GSTM3 is highly expressed in human kidney [http://www.proteinatlas.org; queried on February 20th, 2022; (Uhlén et al., 2015)]. This suggests that while this enzyme may not be playing a major role in EXE conjugation in the liver, it could be playing a more important role in kidney-related urinary metabolism. Interestingly, the GSTM3 gene has a 3 base pair deletion polymorphism in intron 6 [resulting in the GSTM3*B allele (rs200126965)] with a low minor allele frequency of 2-4% (Wang et al., 2020). GSTM3 genotyping analysis was performed in the present study, resulting in the identification of only one *A/*B heterozygote and no homozygous GSTM3*B/*B subjects in this population (results not shown).

Most interestingly, in contrast to the decreases observed for EXE-cys and DHE-cys, DHE-Gluc levels were significantly increased by 36-52% in both the plasma and urine from patients with the GSTA1 *B*B genotype. This suggests that decreases in GSTA1 conjugation leads to a corresponding shift in phase II metabolism towards the glucuronidation pathway. Given that both EXE-cys and DHE-cys are active metabolites of EXE (unpublished results), this corresponding increase in the inactive DHE-Gluc in
subjects with the \textit{GSTA1} *B*B genotype would result in decreased levels of total active EXE in these subjects.

No significant correlation between \textit{GSTM1} genotype and either HLC EXE-GS rate of formation or EXE-cys and DHE-Gluc levels in both plasma and urine from patients taking EXE were observed in the present study. These data suggest that \textit{GSTM1} genotype has little influence on EXE metabolism \textit{in vivo} and are consistent with previous studies showing that compared with \textit{GSTA1}, the \textit{GSTM1} intrinsic clearance is 2.6-fold lower and its hepatic expression is 7.5-fold lower (Teslenko et al., 2021). Interestingly, the \textit{GSTM1} null genotype was not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the populations examined in this study. A likely explanation is that the \textit{GSTM1} null genotype was shown in previous studies to be associated with risk for both hepatocellular carcinoma as well as breast cancer (Qiu et al., 2016; Li et al., 2019). The higher-than-expected \textit{GSTM1} null genotype frequency observed in the present studies is consistent with the fact that the liver specimens used in this study were originally from patients who had hepatocarcinoma, and the patients taking EXE who participated in this study were diagnosed with breast cancer.

In summary, this is the first comprehensive pharmacogenomic study to assess the effects of major GST polymorphisms on EXE metabolism both \textit{ex vivo} and in patients taking EXE for ER+ breast cancer. These studies demonstrate an association with the \textit{GSTA1} *B* allele and decreases in (1) EXE-GS and DHE-GS formation in liver specimens \textit{ex vivo} and (2) in EXE-cys and DHE-cys levels \textit{in vivo} in patients taking EXE. Decreases in these metabolite levels also corresponded with increases in the relative levels of DHE-Gluc in patients homozygous for \textit{GSTA1} *B* allele, indicating an increased
importance of the glucuronidation pathway in EXE metabolism in these individuals. Further studies evaluating the role of the \textit{GSTA1} *B*B genotype in EXE efficacy, side effects, and overall treatment outcomes should be performed to fully elucidate the importance of this genotype in patients taking EXE.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1.** Association between GST genotype and glutathione conjugate formation. The rate of EXE-GS and DHE-GS formation was examined in HLC from 75 normal human liver specimens and were stratified by GSTA1 and GSTM1 genotypes. Each individual dot represents the mean of two independent experiments. **Panel A,** EXE-GS and DHE-GS formation (nmol•min⁻¹•mg cytosolic protein⁻¹) vs. GSTA1 genotype. The GSTA1*A represents the wild type allele and GSTA1*B represents the polymorphic allele. **Panel B,** EXE-GS formation (nmol•min⁻¹•mg cytosolic protein⁻¹) vs. GSTM1 copy number variant. The GSTM1*1 allele represents the wild-type gene and the GSTM1*0 allele corresponds to the GSTM1 deletion variant. Since GSTM1 is not active against 17β-DHE, the DHE-GS conjugation rate vs. GSTM1 genotype was not included in this analysis. Within each genotype, the middle bars indicate the mean while the upper and lower bars represent the standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis included unpaired t-test and ANOVA test for trend.

**Figure 2.** Association between GST genotype and plasma EXE metabolites. The levels of EXE and its metabolites (17β-DHE, EXE-cys, DHE-cys, and DHE-Gluc) were determined in the plasma of 68 patients taking EXE, then stratified by GSTA1 and GSTM1 genotype. EXE and metabolite levels are presented as a fraction of total EXE + EXE metabolites (TEM = EXE + 17β-DHE + EXE-cys + DHE-cys + DHE-Gluc). **Panel A,** EXE-cys/TEM, DHE-cys/TEM and DHE-Gluc/TEM vs. GSTA1 genotype. GSTA1*A
represents the wild type GSTA1 allele while GSTA1*B represents polymorphic GSTA1 allele. Panel B, EXE-cys/TEM and DHE-Gluc/TEM vs. GSTM1 copy number variant genotype. The GSTM1*1 represents the wild-type gene while the GSTM1*0 corresponds to the GSTM1 deletion variant. Since GSTM1 is not active against DHE, the DHE-cys fraction vs. GSTM1 genotype was not included in this analysis. Within each genotype, the middle bars indicate the mean while the upper and lower bars represent the standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis included unpaired t-test and ANOVA test for trend.

Figure 3: Association between GST genotype and urinary EXE metabolites. The levels of EXE and its metabolites (17β-DHE, EXE-cys, DHE-cys, and DHE-Gluc) were determined in the urine of 68 patients taking EXE, then stratified by GSTA1 and GSTM1 genotype. EXE and metabolite levels are presented as a fraction of total EXE + EXE metabolites (TEM = EXE + 17β-DHE + EXE-cys + DHE-cys + DHE-Gluc). Panel A, EXE-cys/TEM, DHE-cys/TEM and DHE-Gluc/TEM vs. GSTA1 genotype. The GSTA1*A represents the wild type GSTA1 allele while GSTA1*B represents polymorphic GSTA1 allele. Panel B, EXE-cys/TEM and DHE-Gluc/TEM vs. GSTM1 copy number variant genotype. The GSTM1*1 represents the wild-type gene while the GSTM1*0 corresponds to the GSTM1 deletion variant. Since GSTM1 is not active against DHE, the DHE-cys fraction vs. GSTM1 genotype was not included in this analysis. Within each genotype, the middle bars indicate the mean while the upper and lower bars represent the standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis included unpaired t-test and ANOVA test for trend.
Table 1. Rate of EXE-GS and DHE-GS formation in HLC specimens.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± SD&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (nmol·min&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;·mg HLC protein&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Range ± SD&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (nmol·min&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;·mg HLC protein&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXE-GS</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.16 - 1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHE-GS</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.062 - 0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> n = 75 HLC specimens  
<sup>b</sup> SD, standard deviation
Table 2. Major EXE metabolite concentrations in the plasma and urine of subjects taking EXE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Plasma (nM)</th>
<th>Urine (nmol/mg creatinine)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXE</td>
<td>24 ± 22</td>
<td>3 - 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17B-DHE</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.87 - 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHE-Glu</td>
<td>50 ± 64</td>
<td>0.39 - 358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXE-cys</td>
<td>39 ± 37</td>
<td>4.3 - 226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHE-cys</td>
<td>10 ± 6.8</td>
<td>1.2 - 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a SE, standard error
b TEM, total exemestane metabolites (EXE, 17B-DHE, 17B-DHE-Glu, EXE-cys, 17B-DHE-cys)
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