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Abstract

Neuroinflammation (NI) is an important physiological process which promotes the tissue repair and homeostatic maintenance in the central nervous system (CNS) after different types of insults. However, when it is exacerbated and sustained in time, NI plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of different neurological diseases. The high systemic doses required for brain-specific targeting leads to severe undesirable effects. The intranasal (IN) route has been proposed as an alternative drug administration route for a better NI control. Herein, the brain biodistribution of intranasally administered dexamethasone (Dex) versus intravenous administered one is reported. A higher amount of dexamethasone was found in every brain analyzed regions of those brains of intranasally administered mice. HPLC analysis also revealed that IN administration allows Dx arrives faster and in a greater concentration to the brain in comparison with intravenous administration, data confirmed by immunofluorescence and HPLC analysis. These data support the proposal of the IN administration of Dx as an alternative for a more efficiently control of NI.
**Significant statement**

This work highlights the biodistribution of dexamethasone after its intranasal administration. Intranasal administration allows for a faster arrival, better distribution and a higher concentration of the drug within the brain compared to its intravenous administration. These results explain some of the evidence shown by us in a previous work in which dexamethasone controls neuroinflammation in a murine stroke model and can be used to propose alternative treatments for neuroinflammatory diseases.
INTRODUCTION

Neuroinflammation (NI), is an inflammatory process that is present in the central nervous system, and is a common feature of different neurological diseases. In many of these pathologies, it is clearly associated with its pathogenesis. However, NI is almost treated only when it is exacerbation leads the patient’s life at risk. A limitation for its treatment is the low efficiency of the available therapies. Synthetic glucocorticoids (GCs) are powerful immunosuppressive drugs for controlling inflammation (Cain and Cidlowski, 2017). In spite of being the most extensively drugs for controlling inflammation, the available marketed GCs are in oral and intravenous (IV) presentations that have a low brain-targeting efficiency. Thus, high doses of GCs are required to reach the therapeutic central levels. GCs are secreted naturally by our adrenal gland in response to stress and have numerous effects in metabolic pathways essential for life. Consequently, the high doses required to reach central nervous system (CNS), results in multiple negative side effects in peripheral tissues (Oray et al., 2016). This panorama points the clear need to improve GC brain-targeting. One novel alternative to address this challenge is the intranasal (IN) delivery. This route can transport GC directly to the central nervous system through the olfactory and trigeminal nerves bypassing the blood-brain barrier (BBB) (Erdő et al., 2018a). In addition, the IN route avoids the first-pass metabolism and gastrointestinal degradation when oral administered (Gibaldi et al., 1971)(Erdő et al., 2018b) or the cost and inconvenience and possible complications that arise when intravenously administered. Intranasal delivery has been reported as a safe alternative to the parenteral administration of different drugs (Erdő et al., 2018b). Indeed, we first demonstrated the higher efficiency of the IN than the intravenous route to control NI in an experimental murine model of aseptic sepsis (Meneses, Gevorkian, Florentino, M. A.)
Bautista, et al., 2017; Meneses et al., 2019) and in the experimental autoimmune encephalitis (Rassy et al., 2020).

Considering these data, in this study we extended the knowledge of the IN administration of GC through the study of the drug brain distribution in mice following intranasal administration of dexamethasone, one of the most extensively used GC to control NI.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

Male C57BL/6 mice, aged 7–8 weeks (approximately 36 g body weight), purchased from Harlan-México (Ciudad de México, México) and further bred at Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas (IIB), were employed. For in vivo experiments, male CD1 nu/nu mice (purchase at Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán bioterium) of 6-8 weeks old were employed. All mice were kept in plexiglass boxes with food (Teklad Sani-Chips® 7090) and water (filtered, acidified and sterilized) ad libitum in groups of five to six animals before and during the experiments. The animal room was maintained at 22 ± 3°C with a 12/12 hours light–dark cycle. The number of mice used in each individual experiment are mentioned in the respective figure.

All housing and experimental procedures were conducted under the guidelines established by the Committee on the Care and Use of Experimental Animals of the IIB at the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) and by the U.S. National Institutes of Health. Experimentation protocol was approved by the animal safety and ethical committee of the IIB, UNAM (Protocol Number approval ID 140).

Glucocorticoids Treatment

For IN and IV administration groups of 5 mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of Ketamine-Xylazine (3:1). A dose of 0.25 mg/Kg (2.25:17.75 μL Dex:saline solution) of Dexamethasone (Dex) Alin® (Disodium phosphate of Dexamethasone 8mg/2ml) was inoculated by drip with a micropipette into each nostril of the mice for IN administration.
For the IV administration, Dex was injected at the same dose (2.25:47.75 μL Dex:saline solution) through the tail vein. 24 hours after Dx administration, the mice were sacrificed for brains collection and their further analysis by immunofluorescence and tissue transparency. Serum was obtained by differential centrifugation from blood samples at 15,000 rpm. The brains were quickly rinsed with isotonic saline solution and fixed in paraformaldehyde or used to prepare homogenates for further analysis. Samples were stored at -20 °C until HPLC analysis. The same anesthesia procedure mentioned above and the mice handing was performed for intranasally and intravenously inoculated animals and for brain transparency experiments.

**Immunofluorescence**

We divided each mouse brain in 8 sections taking Bregma as an anatomical reference (Fig. 1A), to have representative sections of all brain structures, areas at 3.92, 2.80, 1.98, 1.34, 0.62, -0.22, -1.70 and -2.80 mm from Bregma were selected and analyzed. For immunofluorescence stains, brains from either IN or IV Dex treated mice were fixed in 4% buffered paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight. Free-floating 30 μm-thick mouse to brain sections were processed as described previously (Meneses, Gevorkian, Florentino, M. A. Bautista, et al., 2017). After antigen retrieval by incubating in sodium citrate buffer (10 mM Sodium citrate, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 6.0) at 70°C for 50 min, samples were washed thoroughly several times with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) and blocked with a solution of 2% immunoglobulin (Ig)G-free albumin (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) in TBS for 20 min at room temperature. Sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-dexamethasone antibody (1:250, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) to study Dex distribution. This antibody has been chosen as it has been reported to have high specificity against dexamethasone (Graversen et al., 2012; Grewal et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2018). Mouse anti-GFAP (1:1000) antibody (#130300, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) was used to analyze if astrocytes internalize Dex.
After washing, the former sections were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:250, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). Another group additionally was incubated with 1:250 Alexa Fluor 488 rat anti-mouse IgG (#A21131, Thermo Fisher Scientific USA), both diluted in TBS–2% BSA. Samples were mounted onto glass slides in Vectashield medium (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) containing 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for nuclei imaging. Images were processed using Image J software (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA), with which the coverage as well as the average fluorescence intensity (AFI) of the administered Dex were analyzed. For the Dex biodistribution analysis, immunofluorescence stains were analyzed using an Olympus BX51-WI microscope and for the intracellular distribution of Dex a Confocal Nikon A1R+ STORM microscope was used.

**Brain transparency**

To visualize the distribution of Dex with a three-dimensional perspective, a brain transparency protocol was performed as previously reported (Xu et al., 2017). Mice were transcardially perfused with 40mL ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (1M, pH 7.6) followed by 20mL of 4% (wt/vol) PFA in 1M PBS. After collecting 1 mm coronal sections with a mouse brain mold, the brain slices were post-fixed in the same fixative solution at 4°C for 3 days. The slices were cleared 4% (wt/vol) SDS in sodium borate buffer (200mM; pH 8.5) at 37 °C with gentle rotational shaking. The solutions were refreshed daily until visual confirmation of complete tissue transparency by viewing black grid lines on a white sheet of paper through the tissue itself.

**High performance liquid chromatography**

The extraction of Dex from the samples was carried out as previously reported with some modifications (Yuan et al., 2015). Samples were tacked at 15, 60, 180 and 300 minutes after
Dex IN or IV administration. To each brain, a volume of 500 μl of HPLC grade ethanol (Merck, 64-17-5) was added and for serum samples, ethanol was added in 1:1 ratio. The tissues were homogenized at 40 MHz with an ultrasound sonicator (hielscher UP400St) at 4 °C. The homogenates were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm at 4° C for 15 minutes. The supernatant from each sample was recovered and a second extraction was carried out at the same conditions described above. Then, recovered supernatants were lyophilized and reconstituted adding 100 μL of ethanol, 50 μL of acetonitrile and 50 μL of water/acetonitrile (80:20) for its subsequent analysis. Samples were analyzed using a reverse phase HPLC with UV detection and a C18 column Spherisorb ODS2 4.6 X 150 mm (Cat. PSS832113 WATERS), at flow rate 1.0 mL/min, detection wavelength 243 nm and using a mobile phase composed of water-methanol (20:80 ml). The method was linear in the range of 0.781 μg/mL to 12.50 μg/ml. The detected Dex concentration was reported in μg/ml.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis of the immunofluorescence data AFI, the coverage of the Dex in the brain and the concentration of Dex in the brain quantified by HPLC was carried out by ANOVA test with a post-hoc analysis of Tukey. All differences were considered significant at P<0.05. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation and statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad InStat (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Graphical representations were performed using the GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA).
RESULTS

Brain distribution of Dexamethasone

Twenty-four hours after Dex administration, its distribution in the brain was determined by immunofluorescence (Fig. 1C). The slices of mice brains were made taking Bregma as an anatomical reference region as shown in Figure 1A. Analysis were performed in 8 brain sections. As observed, the Dex brain coverage after IN administration was higher than IV one in every analyzed section (Fig 1B). The highest differences between IV vs IN administered Dex coverage was at 0.62, -0.22, -1.70 and -2.80 mm from Bregma (Fig. 1B). A more detailed breakdown sections at 1.34, 0.62 and -0.22 mm from Bregma was performed since they have an especially relevant roll in the motor control of mice and human body, this because these areas include basal ganglia structures like striatum, caudate nucleus, motor cortex, putamen, subthalamic nucleus, and substantia nigra (Groenewegen, 2003). As shown, Dex mainly distributes in the cortex and the caudate nucleus of these areas. As shown in Figure 2, statistically significant differences between IN and IV administration were observed in all analyzed sections (1.34, 0.62 and -0.22 mm from Bregma), except for the cortex at -0.22 mm from Bregma. For striatum brain transparency see supplementary Figure 1. Thus, higher levels of Dex were found in IN vs IV administered.
A simple and highly sensitive HPLC method was developed to determine Dex concentration in brain and blood serum samples (Dex detection limit for this method was 0.11 μg/ml and quantification limit was 0.35 μg/ml), the minimum amount of drug detected in brain was 1.050 μg/ml and in serum 1.108 μg/ml. Figure 3A shows the chromatogram resulting from this assay. Figure 3B shows that 30 minutes after Dex administration, a significantly higher concentration of the drug was detected in the brain of the mice administered intranasally compared to those IV treated. Levels remained statistically higher until 60 minutes. Differences were not observed after 180 minutes post-administration. The opposite was observed in serum, where the highest levels were reached in serum of intravenously Dex administered mice. Regardless the route employed no differences between both treatments were observed at 180 minutes after administration.

Figure 4A depicts the reconstruction of a mouse olfactory bulb after 15 minutes of intranasal administrated Dex. As observed, Dex is widely distributed in the olfactory bulb and also within different cells (Figure 4B). These results indicate the relevance of studying the different cell types in which Dex had been internalized. As shown in Figure 4C and 4D, astrocytes internalize Dex and it is not only distributed inside or around the nucleus but all along the astrocyte soma.

**DISCUSSION**

Herein, we used Dex (dexamethasone sodium phosphate, a salt form of dexamethasone), a lipophilic synthetic anti-inflammatory and antialgic glucocorticoid metabolized by the cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 within the liver and which elimination occurs via renal excretion. In addition to binding to specific nuclear steroid receptors, dexamethasone also activates NF-kB and apoptotic pathways(Ciobotaru et al., 2019).
A comparison of dexamethasone brain distribution is presented when administered IN vs IV using different experimental approaches. Several studies have reported the bioavailability of different drugs in the central nervous system after intranasal administration. Although, GCs have been widely used due to its powerful and effective anti-inflammatory property, to our knowledge this is the first study that addresses their bioavailability in the CNS when they are intranasally delivered.

The experiments reported in Figures 1, 2 and 4 showed clear higher fluorescence in intranasally administered mice after 24 hours of drug administration. We chose this timepoint because in previous studies, we identified that after 24 hours of its intranasal administration, Dex controls more efficiently neuroinflammation than at shorter periods of time in a sepsis model (Meneses et al., 2017). Meanwhile, HPLC experiments (Figures 3) were analyzed at shorter times, because we couldn`t detect the drug with each technique after 24 of administration. This could be due to the caption of Dex by the glucocorticoid receptor, where is no longer bioavailable. Nevertheless, at shorter times the differences between IN and IV administration remain.

One important finding of this study is that Dex can be rapidly detected and in a higher concentration in the brain when it is intranasally administered in comparison with the intravenous administration. This difference may be related to the fact that intranasally administered Dex can reach the CNS through the olfactory and trigeminal nerves, as shown in Figure 4A. Moreover, drugs like prednisone and Dex are natural substrates for the (mdr) P-glycoprotein (ABC transporter) coded by the MDR1 gene. This transporter favors the efflux of Dex through the BBB regardless of the administration route, however, as the IN route is more efficient to deliver Dex to the CNS than IV route, higher concentrations of the drug remained in intranasally administered mice brains (Meijer et al., 1998; Karssen et al., 2002; Löscher and Potschka, 2005). How P-glycoprotein and Dex interacts after its IN or IV
administration should be analyzed in future studies. Furthermore, when GCs are administered intravenously, a "protein crown" around the drug is formed; when this happens, different proteins such as albumin, α-1 glycoprotein, lipoproteins and α, β and γ globulins bind in a reversible way to GCs, which decreases its range of arrival to the brain or to any target organ. In particular, in the case of Dex, it binds initially and mostly to albumin and then to lipoproteins (Peets et al., 1969; Cummings et al., 1990).

Inside mice brain, the drug is mainly distributed in the regions at 1.34, 0.62 and -0.22 mm from Bregma, and as mention above, these areas have neuroanatomical regions which are relevant for the motor control in the brain, and within these areas, the drug is mostly detected in the cortex and caudate nucleus (Fig. 2), except for the cortex at -0.22 mm from Bregma, probably due to a more homogeneous distribution of Dex in the cortex of this area no matter the administration route. A more detailed analysis of the anatomical implications of the Dex biodistribution at -0.22 mm from Bregma should be done. Previous studies demonstrate that molecules administered by the IN route can take its way through the vasculature adjacent to the nasal cavity as well as the trigeminal and olfactory nerves to arrive to the SNC (Lochhead and Thorne, 2012; Crowe et al., 2018). Together, these results and previously reported ones (Espinosa et al., 2020), suggest that IN administration of Dex could be used to recover motor impairment associated to neurological disorders.

Considering the higher amount of Dex in the mentioned regions, the possibility of using this administration route for the treatment of NI in pathologies like the cerebrovascular event is highlighted, especially considering that these regions have been shown to be affected by this pathology, in particular structures from the basal ganglia like the striated nucleus (Longa et al., 1989).

Dex pharmacokinetics was analyzed by HPLC in order to determine if differences between its concentration after IN and IV administration. It was observed that 15 minutes post-
administration, the Dex concentration administered in the brain was higher after the IN administration than after IV administration but in serum the opposite was observed, probably due to a low interaction of the drug with the BBB when it was administered intranasally. Meanwhile, Dex intravenously administered takes longer to reach brain, mainly because it has to pass through BBB, so it takes longer time to get similar Dex levels in the CNS compared with it is administered by the IN route. It has been observed that drugs like Dex reaches their equilibrium faster when a highly vascularized organ is their target, but this phenomenon take place in a lower range when the drug has to cross different cellular membranes to reach its target organ. Additionally, this phenomenon can explain our results at later times. Indeed, after 60 minutes of IV Dex administration, its concentration in serum decreased to values similar to those observed when administered intranasally, at this moment, Dex reached its equilibrium within the CNS (Cummings et al., 1990; Al Katheeri et al., 2006) (Samtani and Jusko, 2005). As expected, no differences in the levels of Dex were observed between IN and IV administration after 120 minutes post-administration. Overall, these results suggest that Dex availability in to the brain will be faster after its IN administration, time at which it is expected exerts its central anti-inflammatory functions. It is important to note that Dex metabolites were measured in this study at any time, so in future studies the measurement of these metabolites should be carried out to complete the data of intranasally administered Dex. The Dex concentration values shown in this work coincide with those previously reported, where the maximum Dex concentration was detected in plasma 30 minutes after intraperitoneal administration, that became undetectable 10 hours post-administration (Chang-Lin et al., 2011; Cubana et al., 2011; Yuan et al., 2015). High values of Dex detected in mice brains within the first 60 minutes after its IN administration compared to IV administered drug, highlight the possibility of a therapeutic use of Dex in which better drug dosage could be carried out and the control of inflammation in short laps and of time is crucial for the patient recover, like in stroke patients.
Figure 4A and 4B shows an olfactory bulb reconstruction where the distribution of the drug is observed within the microvasculature and an intracellular distribution, demonstrating that after IN administration, Dex pass through the olfactory bulb to reach the brain. To find out how Dex interacts with astrocytes an immunodetection assay was performed. As seen in Figure 4C and 4D, there is an internalization of Dex in astrocytes from basal ganglia which may account to the modulation in the expression of molecules such as pro and anti-inflammatory cytokines, as well as in the response to the stimulation by neurotransmitters (Ciaranello and Axelrod, 1975; Chao et al., 1992; Brenner et al., 1993; Riva et al., 1995, 1998; Rock et al., 2005; Slotkin et al., 2006). A confocal reconstruction of Dex into the astrocytes is presented in supplementary Figure 2 and supplementary Figure 3. Further studies will be conducted to evaluate the effect of Dex on astrocytes and other cell types in different brain regions, so that possible therapeutic foci can be identified.

Another point that merits some comments is that in the present study Dex was solubilized only in saline solution, so it is possible to improve the deposition of the drug in the nasal area and the efficiency to target the olfactory mucosa by its reformulation. Moreover, many advances have been done in the design of a special disposer to be used for IN to Brain delivery that is currently accessible in the market (Djupesland, 2013; Jassim and Al-akkam, 2018).
CONCLUSIONS

In this study the *in vivo* biodistribution of intranasally administered Dex is reported, a knowledge that will contribute to expand its use for the control of NI event associated with different pathologies using very low doses of steroids and therefore reducing its possible negative peripheral side effects. More detailed research should be performed to elucidate the specific mechanisms that are carried out by Dex to control NI in different pathological conditions.
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Legends for Figures

Figure 1. Dex distribution in the mouse brain. A) Lateral view of a mouse brain indicating the distance from Bregma of the cuts that correspond to the sections analyzed by immunofluorescence. Brain image from a Vascular Stereotaxic Atlas (Xiong et al., 2017) B) Intranasally administered Dex showed higher coverage area and statistically significant differences in all analyzed regions compared to intravenous administration. Regions at 0.62, -0.22, -1.70 and -2.80 mm from Bregma presented the higher statistically significant differences ("****" P<0.01). C) Red immunofluorescence stained by Alexa Fluor 594 that indicates the presence of Dex in all the analyzed brain regions when IN administered in comparison to the IV administration. These areas include corpus callosum, striatum, motor cortex, putamen and lateral and third ventricles. Each analyzed section has a n=3 for both, IN and IV administration. Scale bar length is 500 μm.

Figure 2. A) Immunostained coronal slice of the cortex and caudate nucleus of mouse brain. A higher level of fluorescence was observed in the cortex and in the caudate nucleus in those mice that received IN Dex. Scale bar length is 500 μm. B) Dex immunofluorescence was estimated in brain sections analyzed at 1.34, 0.62 and -0.22 mm from Bregma. A statistically significant higher AFI was detected in caudate nucleus and cortex at 1.34 mm ("**" P=0.029, "***" P=0.0028) and 0.62 mm ("****" P=0.0002, "*****" P=0.0001) from Bregma, while at -0.22 mm ("***" P=0.007) from Bregma significant differences were observed only in caudate nucleus. Each analyzed section has a n=3 for both, IN and IV administration.
Figure 3. Dex concentration in sera and brain of mice previously administered by IN or IV route with Dex. A) A Representative chromatogram of Dex detected is depicted. B) Levels of Dex in sera and brains of mice at different time after IN or IV Dex administration. During the first 60 minutes after its administration, intranasal administered Dex shows a greater bioavailability within the brain than intravenously administered one (** P=0.0132, *** P=0.0089, **** P=0.0001). Each analyzed time has a n=5 for both, IN and IV administration.

Figure 4. Dex biodistribution in mouse olfactory bulb and internalization in astrocytes and other cell types. A) Immunofluorescence showing the distribution of Dex in the mouse olfactory bulb. In red Dex and in blue cell nuclei. Scale bar length is 500 μm. B) Cell magnification showing internalization of Dex in cell cytoplasm and nucleus of different cells. Scale bar length is 10 μm. C) Internalization of Dex in astrocytes. Merged signal between Dex (red) and GFAP+ cells (green), nuclei shown in blue. Scale bar length is 20 μm. D) Confocal image showing with a higher detail the intracellular distribution of Dex in astrocytes. View a video for this reconstruction in supplementary figure 2 and 3.
**Figure 2**

A

<table>
<thead>
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Figure 3
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
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<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
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</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Brain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
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</tr>
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<tbody>
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****

**Notes:**

- The graph on the left (A) shows a time-course of serum concentrations with specific time points labeled.
- The graph on the right (B) compares serum and brain concentrations between IN and IV routes, indicating a decline over time with statistical significance marked.

**Legend:**

- IN: Intranasal
- IV: Intravenous