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ABSTRACT

The mechanisms of epithelial wound healing are not completely understood, especially in the context of proteases and their receptors. It was recently shown that activation of protease-activated receptor-2 (PAR2) on intestinal epithelial cells induced the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), which has protective functions in the gastrointestinal tract. It was hypothesized that PAR2-induced COX-2 could enhance wound healing in intestinal epithelial cells. Caco2 cells were used to model epithelial wound healing of circular wounds. Cellular proliferation was studied with an EdU assay, and migration was studied during wound healing in the absence of proliferation. Immunofluorescence was used to visualize E-cadherin and F-actin, and the cellular transcription profile during wound healing and PAR2 activation was explored with RNA sequencing. PAR2 activation inhibited Caco2 wound healing by reducing cell migration, independently of COX-2 activity. Interestingly, even though migration was reduced, proliferation was increased. When the actin dynamics and cell-cell junctions were investigated, PAR2 activation was found to induce actin-cabling and prevent the internalization of E-cadherin. To further investigate the effect of PAR2 on transcriptionally-dependent wound healing, RNA sequencing was performed. This analysis revealed that PAR2-activation, in the absence of wounding, induced a similar transcriptional profile compared to wounding alone. These findings represent a novel effect of PAR2 activation on the mechanisms of epithelial cell wound healing that could influence the resolution of intestinal inflammation.
INTRODUCTION

The intestinal epithelial barrier can be damaged by a variety of external and host-derived factors, resulting in ulceration. If a compromised intestinal epithelial barrier cannot be healed, chronic inflammation will develop due to the constant inflammatory stimuli crossing the damaged mucosal barrier (Pastorelli et al., 2013). Loss of epithelial barrier function is characteristic of gastrointestinal (GI) diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Two main forms of IBD, Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), are relapsing/remitting inflammatory conditions having an increasing prevalence worldwide, but particularly in industrialized countries (Kaplan and Ng, 2017). The primary goal of IBD treatment is mucosal healing, as it is the strongest predictor of sustained clinical remission and reduced rates of hospitalization and surgery (Pineton de Chambrun et al., 2016). Re-establishment of epithelial homeostasis is a key element in mucosal healing, although the mechanisms involved are not fully understood.

It has long been known that epithelial cells at the edge of a damaged area will lose their columnar polarity to flatten and cover more surface area while migrating into the denuded area (Lacy, 1988). This process of epithelial restitution involves cell migration that is in large part mediated by the Rho family guanosine triphosphatases (GTPases) that organize and remodel the actin cytoskeleton through the formation of stress fibers, lamellipodia, and filopodia. There is also a requirement for epithelial cells to modulate the cell-cell junctions in order to allow for migration (Huang et al., 2012). Additionally, epithelial cells will proliferate to increase the number of cells available to cover the wound, and then differentiate into mature columnar epithelial cells restoring the original phenotype of the epithelium.

There are numerous factors that mediate intestinal epithelial wound healing through either increased migration or proliferation, including growth factors, cytokines, and peptides (Iizuka and Konno, 2011;
Kuhn et al., 2014). Transforming growth factor (TGF)-β is considered the central factor of wound healing (Beck et al., 2003). Importantly, it was recently shown in pancreatic cells that TGF-β-induced migration requires the presence of protease-activated receptor (PAR) 2 (Zeeh et al., 2016). PAR2 is a G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) that is activated by cleavage of the extracellular N-terminus, which reveals a new sequence that acts as a tethered ligand to activate the receptor. PAR2 has been associated with IBD, since levels of mast cell tryptase, an endogenous activator of PAR2, were found to be increased in CD and UC (Raithel et al., 2001), and the expression of PAR2 was increased in intestinal epithelial cells in canine IBD (Maeda et al., 2014). Importantly, PAR2 activation increases migration and proliferation in many tissues, including the colon (Darmoul et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2011), pancreas (Shi et al., 2013), prostate (Mize et al., 2008), and breast (Ge et al., 2004; Morris et al., 2006), which could also contribute to PAR2-mediated enhanced wound healing.

The mechanism by which PAR2 induces epithelial cell migration is not known, but may be related to changes in gene expression following PAR2 activation. PAR2-induced up regulation of cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 was first demonstrated in endothelial cells (Houliston et al., 2002), then in airway epithelial cells (Kawao et al., 2005), and more recently in intestinal epithelial cells (Hirota et al., 2012). However, the effects of PAR2-induced COX-2 expression on intestinal epithelial wound healing are unknown. COX-2 and COX-2-derived lipid mediators have been associated with active IBD for decades (Shafran et al., 1977; Singer et al., 1998), but it is now recognized that COX-2-derived prostanoids have important roles in mucosal protection and the resolution of intestinal inflammation (Ajuebor et al., 2000; Wallace and Devchand, 2005). With respect to wound healing, mice deficient in COX-2 are unable to heal biopsy-induced intestinal wounds (Manieri et al., 2012). In gastric mucosal lesions, COX-2 expression is increased early and most strongly at the edges of ulcerated regions, and the inhibition of COX-2 can delay ulcer healing (Mizuno et al., 1997; Schmassmann et al., 1998; Halter et al., 2001). In regards to COX-2-derived
lipid mediators, PGE₂ has been shown to enhance wound closure in both airway epithelial cells (Savla et al., 2001) and intestinal epithelial cells (Miyoshi et al., 2016), which may be due to increased migration (Li et al., 2015).

The purpose of this study was to investigate the mechanisms of intestinal epithelial wound healing, specifically in the context of proteases and their receptors, and how these mechanisms may relate to COX-2 expression and activity. We hypothesized that PAR2 activation in intestinal epithelial cells would drive a pro-wound healing response through the effects of COX-2-derived lipid mediators.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Cell culture

Caco2 cells (human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma), originally from ATCC (Manassas, VA), were kindly provided by Dr. Simon Hirota (University of Calgary, Calgary, AB). This cell line is commonly used in studies of intestinal epithelial wound healing (Charrier et al., 2005). All experiments were performed with cells from passage 56 to 80. Caco-2 media consisted of DME/F12 (HyClone SH30023.01, Chicago, IL) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco 12483-020, Burbury, ON), 100 IU/mL penicillin-100 µg/mL streptomycin (HyClone SV30010), and a low maintenance dose of plasmocin (5 µg/mL; InvivoGen ant-mpt, San Diego, CA). Cells were cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂, fed every 2 days with pre-warmed media, and subcultured every 4-5 days using trypsin-EDTA (Sigma T4174).

PAR2 receptor activation

PAR2 was activated using 2-furoyl-LIGRLO-NH₂ (2fLI), a small PAR2 selective peptide with sequence similarity to the endogenous post-cleavage tethered ligand (McGuire et al., 2004a). The inactive reverse
sequence peptide 2-furoyl-OLRGIL (2fO) was used as a control (Hollenberg et al., 2008). Peptides were kindly provided by Dr. Morley Hollenberg (University of Calgary).

Circular wound healing

Wounding was performed with a pipette tip attached to the end of an aspirator. Caco2 cells were plated and analyzed in a variety of conditions. For standard wound healing experiments, Caco2 cells (8 x 10^4 cells/well) were plated in a 12-well dish and grown for 3 days to confluence. For post-confluent wound healing, Caco2 cells (8 x 10^4 cells/well) were plated in a 12-well plate and grown for 21 days to induce differentiation. When using transwell filter supports, to ensure polarization of the Caco2 cells, 1 x 10^5 cells/insert were plated on transwell supports (Corning 3460, Corning, NY) and grown for 5 days. Cells were then serum-starved overnight, and wounded the following morning. Images of each wound were captured every 12 h (beginning at 0 h) with a Zeiss AxioCam MRc camera on a Zeiss AxioVert25 microscope (Oberkochen, Germany), using AxioVision Rel 4.6 software. Wounds were manually traced using ImageJ software, and percent initial wound area was calculated. In live-cell experiments, Caco2 cells (8 x 10^4 cells/well) were plated in a 12-well dish and grown for 3 days to confluence. Following overnight serum starvation, cells were wounded and treated with peptides at 0 h before being transported to a pre-warmed live cell chamber where cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂ on an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope equipped with a Prosca™III motorized stage and a Hamamatsu OrcaR2 cooled CCD 12-bit camera. Using Volocity acquisition software and point visiting, which saved the x, y, and z coordinates that were manually set for each wound, the wounds were imaged every 15 min for 24 h. With the MTrackJ plugin in FIJI, individual cells around the perimeter of the wound were tracked and the total distance traveled by each cell was recorded. For staining experiments, sterilized glass coverslips (18 mm #1) were placed within a 12-well plate, and Caco2 cells (9 x 10^4 cells/well) were grown for 3 days. Cells were starved overnight, wounded on day 4, and used at two time-points: cells were either treated with peptides at 0 h
and fixed at 12 h, or treated with peptides at 0 h and 12 h and fixed at 24 h. These cells were used for both EdU and immunofluorescence staining. Growth factors and pharmacological inhibitors were used in certain wound healing experiments. Epidermal growth factor (EGF; GF-010-8 Cedarlane, Burlington, ON) was added at 0 h and 24 h (5 and 20 ng/mL). The selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (70590 Cayman) was added to cells at 0 h and 24 h (10 µg/mL), and an equivalent volume of DMSO (1:2000) was added at the same time points as the vehicle control. Actinomycin D (A1410 Sigma), used to inhibit transcription, was added to the cells at 0 h (5 µg/mL), and an equivalent volume of DMSO (1:200) was used as the vehicle control. To inhibit proliferation, cells were pre-treated with the irreversible inhibitor mitomycin C (MMC; 5 µg/mL; sc3517 Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX) for 2 h, washed with PBS, then wounded. As a vehicle control, an equivalent volume of DMSO (1:750) was used to pre-treat the cells.

**EdU proliferation**

In order to assess proliferation in confluent and wound-edge cells, the Click-iT EdU AlexaFluor488 Imaging Kit (Life Technologies C10337) was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 50% of the media was replaced with fresh media containing 20 µM EdU (final concentration 10 µM EdU) and cells were returned to the incubator for 2 h. Coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Alfa Aesar 43368, Ward Hill, MA) for 15 min, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton-X for 20 min, incubated with Click-iT reaction cocktail for 30 min, and lastly incubated with DAPI for 30 min (1:50000, Invitrogen D1306). Coverslips were mounted with FluorSave (Calbiochem 34789, Darmstadt, Germany). Widefield (10X) images were captured with an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope equipped with a Hamamatsu OrcaR2 12-bit camera. Using ImageJ software, cells were counted separately in DAPI and EdU images, and data was expressed as percent EdU-positive cells.
Immunofluorescence staining

Either 12 h or 24 h after wounding Caco2 cells on glass coverslips, cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min. Cells were blocked and permeabilized in 10% w/v BSA/PBS containing 0.1% Triton-X for 1 h at room temperature on a rocking platform. Anti-E-cadherin antibody (1:200, BD, 610182) or anti-PAR2 antibody (1:100, A5, supplied by Dr. M. Hollenberg, University of Calgary) was added to the well and the coverslips were incubated at 4°C overnight on a rocking platform. The following day, the subsequent steps were performed separated by a single wash step, at room temperature and protected from light on a rocking platform: incubation with secondary antibody for 2 h (1:200, Invitrogen A11031), incubation with phalloidin-488 for 30 min (1:1000, Invitrogen A12379), and finally incubation with DAPI for 30 min. Coverslips were mounted with FluorSave. Widefield images (20X) were captured with a Leica DMi6000 B inverted microscope equipped with a fully motorized XY stage and piezo Z stage insert, or a Nikon Ti Eclipse Widefield microscope. Metamorph software with a slide-scan function was used during acquisition to stitch the 20X images together to visualize the entire wound.

Quantification of actin and E-cadherin loss: Four blinded researchers were provided with images that were used to quantify the actin properties and the loss of E-cadherin from the edge of the wound. This was done using ImageJ to manually trace both the length of the actin cable and lamellipodia at the perimeter of the wound, as well as the area surrounding the wound where E-cadherin was not apparent in the intercellular junctions. These values were normalized with the total perimeter length of the respective wound. The average was calculated from the values provided by the four researchers, and was reported here.

RNA sequencing

Caco-2 cells (2 x 10^5 cells/well) were grown to confluence in 6-well plates, starved overnight, then used to create four conditions: non-wounded control (NW-Con), wounded control (W-Con), non-wounded with
PAR2 activation (NW-PAR2) and wounded with PAR2 activation (W-PAR2; 10 µM of 2fLI). Wounding was performed using a pipette tip attached to the end of an aspirator to create a grid pattern (10 vertical, 10 horizontal, and 5 across each diagonal) to maximize the number of wounded/migrating cells. Three hours after wounding, RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen 74106, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The isolated RNA from biological triplicates of each condition, collected from independent experiments performed on different days, were submitted for processing to the Alberta Children’s Hospital Research Institute (ACHRI) Genomics and Informatics facility. The quality of each sample was verified via Nanodrop, Qubit, and TapeStation. Total RNA samples were then prepared into libraries using an Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA sample preparation kit. The indexed libraries were quantified with KAPA qPCR, normalized, and pooled for sequencing. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq500 with a high output v2 sequencing kit (75 cycles, single-end). After sequencing, run metrics were verified and the data were uploaded to the Galaxy server. Raw NextSeq BCL files were converted to FASTQ format using bcl2fastq v2.15.0.4. To analyze the data with the web-interface of Galaxy, FASTQ files were mapped to the hg19(GRCh37) reference genome using TopHat v2.1.0 with the UCSC knownGenes track as a splice site guide, and underlying Bowtie v2.2.6 used in ‘fast’ mode. Multiple BAM files across lanes and runs were merged using samtools v0.1.9 to produce one final BAM file for each input biological sample. BAM files were run with CuffDiff and differential gene expression testing results were exported for further analysis of comparisons between NW-C and each of the three other groups, W-C, NW-PAR2, and W-PAR2. The Gene Ontology (GO) terms “Regulation of response to wounding [1903034]” and “Cell migration [0016477]” were used with adjusted p values of < 0.05 to narrow down gene lists of interest, and fold changes were compared and visualized by creating heatmaps using Microsoft Excel.
Statistics

Data expressed as mean ± standard error were graphed and analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7.00 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Student’s t-test was used to compare 2 groups. One-way and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used when appropriate followed by a Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

PAR2 activation inhibits circular wound healing in Caco2 cells

To test the hypothesis that PAR2 activation would enhance intestinal epithelial wound healing, the area of circular wounds was measured as they healed over 48 h with and without exposure to the PAR2 activating peptide, 2fLI (10 µM). As expected, circular wounds healed significantly over 48 hours, under both serum (10%) and no-serum conditions. Exposure of wounded Caco2 monolayers to 10% serum resulted in much greater wound healing than cells incubated without serum (Figure 1A, B). Contrary to our hypothesis, PAR2 activation significantly inhibited wound closure compared to control and reverse peptide-treated wounds (Figure 1 A-E). To fully characterize this inhibitory response, wounds were analyzed as they healed in media containing full serum (10%, Figure 1A), and media containing low serum (0.5%, Figure 1B). Additionally, when the cells were both polarized on Transwell supports, or differentiated by culturing for 21 days, PAR2 activation was still able to inhibit wound healing (Figure 1C, D). As a positive control, cells were treated with EGF (5 & 20 ng/mL), which significantly enhanced wound healing compared to control (Figure 1E). Therefore, although wounded Caco-2 monolayers are capable of responding to 10% serum and EGF with enhanced wound healing, activation of PAR2 had the opposite effect, and significantly slowed healing of circular wounds.
**COX-2 activity does not play a role in Caco2 circular wound healing**

Since the original hypothesis was generated from the previously published observation that PAR2 activation induced COX-2 expression in Caco2 cells (Hirota et al., 2012), we tested the ability of PAR2 to induce COX-2 in the Caco2 cells used here to ensure the cells were responding as anticipated. Activation of PAR2 using 2fLI was found to induce both a concentration-dependent and time-dependent expression of COX-2 (Supplemental Figure 1A-D). The activity of COX-2 was also confirmed by measuring the levels of PGE metabolite in the supernatant during the time-course (Supplemental Figure 1E). Next, the role of COX-2 during wound healing was analyzed by performing circular wound healing assays in the presence of the COX-2 selective inhibitor, NS-398 (10 μg/mL; Figure 2). The ability of NS-398 to inhibit COX-2 activity following PAR2 activation was confirmed by measuring PGE metabolite levels by ELISA (Supplemental Figure 1E). The inhibition of COX-2 had no effect on circular wound healing in control cells, and importantly, PAR2 activation was still able to inhibit wound closure to the same extent with or without COX-2 inhibition (Figure 2B). Therefore, the inhibitory effect of PAR2 activation on wound healing in Caco-2 cells is not dependent upon COX-2 derived prostanoids.

**PAR2 activation enhances proliferation in confluent Caco2 cells, but has no effect at the wound edge**

With our primary overarching hypothesis disproved, we aimed to characterize the novel observation of PAR2-mediated inhibition of wound healing. First, we studied the effect of PAR2 activation on Caco2 proliferation. Wounded and unwounded cells treated with 2fO or 2fLI (10 μM) for either 12 h or 24 h were exposed to EdU to identify proliferating cells, and DAPI was used to detect total cell number. At 12 h, there was a significant increase in proliferation with PAR2 activation in confluent cells that was lost by 24 h (Figure 3A-C, left panels). At the wound edge, there was no significant difference in proliferation at 12 h or 24 h with 2fLI treatment compared to 2fO or control (Figure 3A-C, right panels). Since the effect of PAR2 activation on Caco2 proliferation at the wound edge does not
explain the inhibition of wound healing, we next investigated the role of PAR2 activation on cell migration.

**PAR2 activation inhibits circular wound healing through an effect on cell migration**

To assess the effect of PAR2 activation on Caco2 sheet migration, wound healing was measured over 48 h in the absence of proliferation by pre-treating cells with MMC (5 μg/mL). Inhibition of proliferation was confirmed by pre-treating cells with DMSO (vehicle control) or MMC, and assessing proliferation using an EdU assay after 48 h (Figure 4A, B). With both DMSO and MMC, wounded Caco2 cells were able to heal, and PAR2 activation significantly inhibited wound closure compared to 2fO and control (Figure 4A, B). These data acquired in the absence of proliferation demonstrated that the PAR2-mediated inhibition of wound healing was through an effect on migration. Additionally, live cell wound healing in Caco2 cells was used to directly measure the distance of wound-edge cell migration by tracking individual cells over 24 h. Live cell videos were captured using control cells, and cells treated with 2fO or 2fLI (10 μM; Supplementary videos 1-3). Cells were tracked from 0 h to 24 h using MTrackJ (Figure 4C). Cells at the wound edge treated with 2fLI traveled a significantly shorter distance (87 μm in 24 h), compared to control cells (139 μm in 24 h; Figure 4D). These data further support the hypothesis that PAR2 activation inhibited wound healing by reducing cell migration.

**PAR2 activation induced actin cabling surrounding the wound edge in Caco2 cells**

It was first hypothesized that PAR2 activation inhibited migration in Caco2 cells by affecting lamellipodia formation at the wound edge. Wounded Caco2 cells were fixed after 12 h or 24 h, and phalloidin was used to stain F-actin. Representative images of the entire wound at 24 h are shown for control (Figure 5A) and 2fLI-treated (Figure 5B) wounds. In control cells, there were numerous
lamellipodia at the wound edge (Figure 5A insets), whereas the wound edge in 2fLI-treated cells had very few lamellipodia, but obvious actin cabling (Figure 5B insets). Actin dynamics (cabling and lamellipodia formation) in wounds at 12 h and 24 h were blindly quantified, and although there was no significant difference in the actin properties at 12 h (Figure 5C, D), there was a significant increase in actin cabling and a significant decrease in lamellipodia in 2fLI-treated wounds compared to control and 2fO-treated wounds at 24 h (Figure 5F, G). These data suggest that alterations in the cytoskeletal response to wounding are inhibited by PAR2 activation.

PAR2 activation affected E-cadherin internalization but not ZO-1 localization in Caco2 cells at the wound edge

It was also hypothesized that PAR2 activation could be inhibiting migration in Caco2 cells by affecting the loss of cell-cell junctions necessary for epithelial cells to migrate. The adherens junction was analyzed in wounded Caco2 cells, fixed at 12 h and 24 h post-wounding, by immunofluorescence staining for E-cadherin. In control cells, there was a distinct internalization of E-cadherin in cells surrounding the wound at 24 h (Figure 5A insets) compared to the maintained intercellular E-cadherin expression in cells treated with 2fLI (Figure 5B insets). When the loss of intercellular E-cadherin was blindly quantified at 12 h, there was no significant difference between control, 2fO-treated, and 2fLI-treated wounds (Figure 5E). However, there was significantly more E-cadherin loss in control and 2fO-treated wounds compared to 2fLI-treated wounds at 24 h (Figure 5H). The tight junction protein ZO-1 was also analyzed in wounded Caco2 cells fixed 24 h after wounding. Representative images are shown for control (Figure 6A) and 2fLI-treated wounds (Figure 6B). Interestingly, ZO-1 expression was even throughout the monolayer up to the edge of the wound, with no differences between control, 2fO-treated (not shown), and 2fLI-treated wounds. Thus, PAR2 activation is associated with the loss
or redistribution of E-cadherin, but not ZO-1, suggesting selective effects on the apical junctional complex in association with the PAR2-mediated inhibition of wound healing in Caco-2 cells.

**Wound healing in Caco2 cells was dependent on transcription**

With a known association between transcriptional activity and cell motility (Olson and Nordheim, 2010), the contribution of transcription to Caco2 wound healing was assessed. When Caco2 cells were treated with actinomycin D (5 μg/mL) during a standard wound healing experiment for 48 h, the ability of the wounds to heal was almost entirely prevented (control wound healed 14% at 48 h) compared to cells treated with DMSO as a control (control wound healed 94% at 48 h; Figure 7). Thus, PAR2 activation did not have a significant effect on the small residual wound healing response that occurs in the absence of transcription, suggesting that any effects of PAR2 on wound healing in Caco-2 cells may be transcriptionally dependent.

**PAR2 activation induced a pro-wound-healing transcriptional program in Caco2**

Differential expression lists were generated based on four comparisons: non-wounded control (NW-Con) versus wounded control (W-Con), NW-Con versus non-wounded PAR2-activated (NW-PAR2), NW-Con versus wounded PAR2-activated (W-PAR2), and wounded control (W-Con) versus wounded PAR2-activated (W-PAR2). Only transcripts with a fold change (increase or decrease) of 2 or greater showing statistical significance (p < 0.05) were considered for downstream comparisons. Analysis of changes in gene expression revealed that 113/128 (88%) of transcripts that were significantly increased with wounding were also increased when PAR2 was activated. Of the overlapping transcripts that were increased, genes of interest are highlighted in Table 1. Importantly, PAR2 activation did not increase any transcript that was decreased with wounding, or conversely did not
decrease any transcript that was increased with wounding (not shown). To further study the effect of PAR2 activation and wound healing on the transcriptional response of Caco2 cells, the Gene Ontology (GO) terms “Cell migration [0016477]” and “Regulation of response to wounding [1903034]” were chosen as gene lists to further characterize. Fold changes were compared and visualized using Microsoft Excel (Supplemental Files 1 and 2 respectively). Paradoxically, many genes associated with wounding and migration were increased in the W-PAR2 condition and yet actual wound closure was inhibited with this group in migration assays.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The inflamed intestinal mucosa contains a plethora of proteases that could alter epithelial cell behaviour in the inflammatory milieu. PAR2 has long been known to be expressed on human colonic epithelial cells (Bohm et al., 1996) and could be activated by inflammatory proteases. PAR2 activation enhances epithelial proliferation and migration (Darmoul et al., 2004), suggesting that PAR2 activation could mediate enhanced wound healing. Indeed, recent evidence suggests that activation of PAR2 can enhance the healing of scratch wounds in the HT29 colonic epithelial cell line (Jiang et al., 2017). COX-2-derived prostanoids play a role in the resolution of intestinal inflammation (Ajuebor et al., 2000), and COX-2 is induced by PAR2 in the Caco-2 epithelial cell line (Hirota et al., 2012), therefore PAR2-induced COX-2 expression was studied in Caco2 intestinal epithelial cells to determine if COX-2-derived lipid mediators were required for PAR2-mediated wound healing. We chose the Caco-2 cell line because of our previous experience using this line to study epithelial responses to PAR2 activation, and because Caco-2 monolayers are a commonly used model for studies of wound healing in the context of inflammatory stimuli (Chiriac et al., 2017). Our data from this study confirm that Caco-2 cells express PAR2 (Supplemental Figure 2). Activation of PAR2 was achieved using the selective activating peptide, 2f-LIGRLO-NH₂ (2fLII). While some small peptide agonists of PAR2 exhibit “biased agonism” that may favor a
non-canonical signaling pathway (Hollenberg et al., 2014), 2fLI activates signaling pathways similar to those activated by enzymatic cleavage of the receptor (McGuire et al., 2004b).

The most significant observation from our study was that, in contrast to the original hypothesis, PAR2 activation in Caco2 intestinal epithelial cells significantly inhibited circular wound healing. This novel observation was made in cells that were serum-starved overnight (0% FBS), and then allowed to heal in the presence of either full serum (10% FBS) or low serum (0.5% FBS), as well as with polarized and differentiated Caco2 cells. Interestingly, although PAR2 activation has been shown to transactivate EGFR in Caco2 cells (Hirota et al., 2012), wound healing was enhanced with EGF treatment compared to control, as has been previously demonstrated (Dise et al., 2008). Our findings suggest that the primary PAR2 signaling pathway resulting in the inhibition of wound healing is not through EGFR transactivation. In addition, although we showed that PAR2 activation induced COX-2 expression in Caco-2 cells (Hirota et al., 2012), PAR2 effects on wound healing occurred independently of COX-2 activity. Furthermore, COX-2 inhibition on its own did not affect the baseline rate of wound healing, likely due to the fact that Caco-2 cells are low constitutive expressers of COX-2 as we have shown (Hirota et al., 2012).

The individual components of wound healing (proliferation and migration) were investigated to further characterize the inhibition of wound healing by PAR2 activation. Decreased proliferation following PAR2 exposure may have explained the inhibitory effect of PAR2 on wound healing. However, proliferation at the wound edge did not differ between groups, and a significant increase in proliferation was detected with PAR2 activation in confluent cells. These data corroborate the previously described PAR2-mediated increases in proliferation (Darmoul et al., 2004), and suggest that altered proliferation does not play a role in the effect of PAR2 activation on Caco2 wound healing. To further assess this, cell proliferation was irreversibly inhibited using MMC. Under these conditions, Caco2 cells were still able to heal and PAR2
activation was still able to significantly inhibit wound healing. These observations fit the “go-or-grow” hypothesis, which suggests that proliferation and migration are temporally, mutually exclusive phenotypes in some cancer models (Xie et al., 2014). If PAR2 signaling was overwhelming the cells with a pro-proliferative signal, it might have been preventing the cells from developing the necessary migratory phenotype required to move.

Cell migration is dependent upon changes in cytoskeletal dynamics, so we investigated the effect of PAR2 activation on actin distribution and cell morphology in wounded Caco-2 monolayers. At 24 h there were significantly fewer lamellipodia and significantly more actin cabling at the wound edge following PAR2 activation. Importantly, actin regulation during epithelial wound healing depends on the size of the wound. Small epithelial wounds (with fewer than 10 cells along the wound circumference) do not require protrusions from wound edge cells, but instead heal through purse-string wound closure that involves the contraction of an actin cable that is transmitted through adherens junctions of cells at the wound edge (Russo et al., 2005). Conversely, larger wounds, such as those that we induced in our Caco-2 monolayers, require filipodia and lamellipodia formation from leader cells at the wound edge. Importantly, leader cells can only form protrusions when the actin cable at the wound edge is no longer present (Reffay et al., 2014). Our data suggest that PAR2 activation may prevent the formation of leader cells by inducing the formation of actin cables, and that this may be a mechanism whereby PAR2 activation blocks wound healing in this cell line.

It is well described that E-cadherin redistribution is required for epithelial cell migration (Peglion et al., 2014; Haeger et al., 2015), so we investigated whether PAR2 activation could prevent the internalization of E-cadherin at the wound edge. Cells were fixed during the wound healing process and stained for E-cadherin, and changes in the area of E-cadherin staining near the wound edge quantified. Because
distribution of junctional proteins is more important functionally than actual amounts, we did not quantify E-cadherin (for example, by Western blot). At 24 h, there was considerable loss of E-cadherin in cells surrounding wounds in untreated, control monolayers. However, E-cadherin expression was maintained at the wound edge in cells treated with the PAR2-activating peptide. This was not a global effect on junctional proteins, since the distribution of the tight junction protein, ZO-1, was not altered by PAR2 activation. The endocytosis of E-cadherin is necessary for proper actomyosin regulation during wound closure (Hunter et al., 2015). Thus, taken together, the data suggest that PAR2 activation results in changes in actin dynamics and E-cadherin distribution that suppress the normal wound healing response. However, we recognize that conflicting data exist, particularly the observation that E-cadherin expression and relocalization to the apical junctional complex is required for collective cell migration in Caco-2 cells under some conditions (Hwang et al., 2012), highlighting the complex nature of E-cadherin, cell-cell junction regulation, and actin dynamics during sheet migration, and suggesting that further studies will be required to uncover the cellular mechanisms responsible for the regulation of wound healing in Caco-2 cells.

Wound healing in epithelial monolayers is primarily transcriptionally dependent, as we confirmed in our studies using actinomycin D. The small residual wound healing response observed in actinomycin D-treated monolayers was not further inhibited by PAR2 activation, suggesting that the inhibitory effect of PAR2 on wound healing is also dependent upon transcription. RNA sequencing was chosen as an optimal method to continue to investigate the PAR2-mediated inhibition of Caco2 wound healing, since it has been shown that cytoskeletal dynamics are intricately related to genome activity (Olson and Nordheim, 2010). Triplicates of four experimental conditions were sequenced: NW-Con, W-Con, NW-PAR2, and W-PAR2. The comparisons first analyzed in this project were NW-Con vs W-Con, and NW-Con vs NW-PAR2, which were prioritized in order to compare the transcriptome induced by wounding to the transcriptome.
induced following PAR2 activation. Interestingly, 88% of the transcripts that were increased (>2-fold) when the control cells were wounded, were also increased with PAR2 activation. Included in this overlap were genes that change their expression at the wound edge during sheet migration, including claudin-2 (Ikari et al., 2011), CXCR4 (Ghosh et al., 2012), as well as c-FOS, FOSB, and FOSL1 (Renaud et al., 2014). In relation to these genes of interest, it has also been shown that ERK1/2 is persistently activated at the leading edge during sheet migration (Block et al., 2010). Importantly, when ERK1/2 is universally activated across the entire monolayer, rather than specifically at the wound edge, there is no organized sheet migration and cells do not move in a coordinated direction (Chapnick and Liu, 2014). Therefore, expression and activation of specific proteins at the wound edge likely plays a large role in communicating the direction of movement that is needed. Since PAR2 activation canonically signals through ERK1/2, and increases the expression of other wound edge-associated factors, it is possible that activation of PAR2 is creating a universal increase in genes that are required solely at the wound edge. This could explain how PAR2 activation inhibits Caco2 wound healing even in the presence of the pro-wound healing transcriptional profile.

Additional genes of interest that were upregulated with both wounding and PAR2 activation, and have previously been shown to modulate wound healing, include regulators of ECM components (ADAMTS9, MMP1, MMP10) (Rohani and Parks, 2015), annexin A1 (Leoni et al., 2013; Leoni et al., 2015), EGFR ligands (amphiregulin, epiregulin) (Yamaoka et al., 2011), as well as chemokines and chemokine receptors (CCL20, CXCR4, and IL-8) (Wilson et al., 1999; Vongsa et al., 2009; Ghosh et al., 2012). Due to their strong association with epithelial wound healing in the literature, these select genes of interest are important candidates for potential future studies. To further investigate wound healing factors from the RNA sequencing results, we used gene lists for specific GO terms to narrow our focus. With both “Regulation of Response to Wounding” and “Cell Migration”, many of the genes induced or repressed by wounding
and/or PAR2 activation remained at similar levels under wounded-PAR2-activated conditions. While at first glance these RNA sequencing data are contradictory to the observation that PAR2 activated and wounded CaCo2 monolayers do not heal as quickly as controls, it does emphasize just how complex the wound healing process is. It is tempting to speculate that PAR2 activation is indeed inducing a specific type of pro-wound healing response, but it is not the response required by Caco2 cells needed to heal a large circular wound. Indeed, we have shown previously that PAR2 is an important modulator of the apoptotic response under inflammatory conditions (Iablokov et al., 2014), and several mediators of apoptosis normally supressed by PAR2 activation such as BCL2L11, BIRC3, and IKBKB are seen to be changed by PAR2 activation, however these transcript changes are lost when activated cells are also wounded (Supplemental Files). This is supported by the presence of the actin cable and E-cadherin expression at the wound edge, that are required to heal small circular wounds. Taken together with the increased proliferation in Caco2 cells distant from the wound edge, and the increased expression of many wound-edge associated genes, it is likely that the wound healing signals and PAR2 activation signals may working at cross-purposes, resulting in uncoordinated movement and proliferation that leads to cell jamming (Sadati et al., 2013; Garcia et al., 2015).

In conclusion, contrary to observations in other intestinal epithelial cell lines, PAR2 activation inhibits, rather than enhances, wound healing in Caco-2 cells, likely due to the net effect of inducing the expression of some, but inhibiting the expression of other, genes that regulate the complex pathways associated with wound healing and cell migration. Our findings provide a cautionary tale for the use of cancer cell lines in the study of these repair pathways. The advent of primary cell organoid cultures may provide a better option for future studies of the factors that regulate wound healing and repair in the inflamed gut.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. PAR2 activation inhibited circular wound healing and single cell migration. For circular wound healing, Caco2 cells were grown in several conditions including standard (A, B, E), polarized (C), and post-confluent (D). 2fO or 2fLI (10 µM) were added every 12 h. Representative images are provided for the 48 h time-point, and the dashed line represents the size of the wound at 0 h. A, B: After the cells were wounded, media was replaced with fresh media containing either 10% serum (A, n=6-9) or 0.5% serum (B, n=3-5). C: Caco2 cells were polarized on Transwells (n=5). D: Caco2 cells were differentiated by growing for 21 days (n=4). E: As a positive control, following the addition of media containing 10% serum, EGF (5 and 20 ng/mL added at 0 h and 24 h) was added to the wounded cells (n=8). Data were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test. (*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001 – significantly different from control and 2fO. ## p<0.01 – significantly different from control only.)

Figure 2. COX-2 did not play a role in Caco2 wound healing. Caco2 cells were plated and wounded according to the standard protocol, and either DMSO or the COX-2 specific inhibitor NS398 (10 µg/mL) was added to the cells at 0 h and 24 h, in addition to 2fO or 2fLI (10 µM) every 12 h. A: Representative images of the same wound at 0 h and 48 h are shown for select conditions. Scale bar 500 µm. B: Quantification of wound closure (n=4-5). Data in B were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test. (**p<0.001 – significantly different from control and 2fO, independent of NS398).

Figure 3. PAR2 activation enhanced proliferation in confluent cells, but had no effect on proliferation at the wound edge. Caco2 cells were plated and wounded according to the staining protocol, and analyzed
at 12 h and 24 h. For the 12 h time-point, cells were wounded, then treated with 2fO or 2fLI (10 µM) at 0 h and returned to the incubator for 12 h. From 12 – 14 h, cells were incubated with EdU and then fixed. For the 24 h time-point, cells were wounded then treated with peptides at 0 h and 12 h, and incubated with EdU from 22-24 h before fixation. Wide-field images were captured, and ImageJ was used to automatically count both DAPI (blue) and EdU+ (green) cells. A: Representative images from confluent and wound-edge conditions for control, 2fO and 2fLI-treated cells at the 12 h time point. Scale bar 200 µm. B: Quantification (expressed as percent EdU positive cells compared to total DAPI positive cells) of the confluent and wound-edge data from the 12 h and 24 h time-points (n=4 individual experiments with 3 FOV/experiment). Data in B were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test. (**p<0.001 – compared to control and 2fO).

Figure 4. PAR2 activation inhibited cell migration. A-B: Caco2 cells were plated and wounded similar to the standard wounding protocol. However, 2 h prior to wounding, cells were treated with either DMSO (vehicle control, A) or MMC (5 µg/mL, B) to irreversibly inhibit proliferation (n=6). In a similar experiment, cells were plated at the same density and treated for 2 h with either DMSO or MMC, washed well, and then incubated with EdU from 48 – 50 h in order to confirm that proliferation was inhibited for the entirety of the wound healing experiment (right panels in A and B). Scale bar 200 µm. C-D: Caco2 cells were plated according to the live-cell wound healing protocol. Using the images acquired from live-cell wound healing experiments, the MTrackJ plugin (ImageJ) was used to track wound-edge cells during the first 24 h following wounding. An example showing 10 cells around the perimeter of a single wound tracked from 0 h to 24 h (C). Quantification of the distance that the wound-edge cells travelled, comparing control, 2fO, and 2fLI (10 µM) (D). (n=4 separate experiments, with 3 wounds quantified per experiment, and 10 cells tracked in each wound). Data were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test. (*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001 – compared to control and 2fO).
**Figure 5.** PAR2 activation induced actin cabling and prevented the loss of E-cadherin at the wound edge. Caco2 cells were plated according to the staining protocol, and were fixed at 12 h or 24 h post-wound. Cells were stained for F-actin (phalloidin, green), E-cadherin (red), and nuclei (DAPI, blue). A-B: Representative images of control and 2fLI-treated wounds, 24 h after wounding. Scale bars – stitched image 300 µm; 20X image 100 µm. C-H: Blinded quantification of the actin cabling, lamellipodia formation, and E-cadherin loss in wounds at 12 h (C-E) and 24 h (F-H). Data in C-H were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test (*** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001 – compared to control and 2fO).

**Figure 6.** ZO-1 expression in maintained at the wound edge. Caco2 cells were plated according to the staining protocol, and were fixed at 12 h or 24 h post-wound. Cells were stained for ZO-1 (green), and nuclei (DAPI, blue). A-B: Representative images of control and 2fLI-treated wounds, 24 h after wounding. Scale bars – stitched image 400 µm; 20X image 50 µm.

**Figure 7.** Wound healing in Caco2 cells is dependent on transcription. Caco2 cells were plated and wounded according to the standard protocol, and treated with either actinomycin D (5 µg/mL) or an equivalent volume of DMSO (1:200) prior to the addition of 2fO or 2fLI (10 µM at 0 h). A: Representative images of the same wound at 0 h and 48 h, with either 2fO or 2fLI, and DMSO or actinomycin D (n=4). Scale bar 400 µm. B: Quantification of wound closure. Data in B were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test. (** p<0.01; **** p<0.0001. Grey: 2fLI + DMSO significantly different than 2fO and control + DMSO. Black: Actinomycin D significantly different than DMSO).
Table 1. Genes of interest increased with wounding in control cells, and increased with PAR2 activation in unwounded cells. N/D= not detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENE SYMBOL</th>
<th>W-Con fold change compared to NW-Con</th>
<th>NW-PAR2 fold change compared to NW-Con</th>
<th>W-PAR2 fold change compared to NW-Con</th>
<th>W-PAR2 fold change compared to W-Con</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTGS2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2RL1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLDN2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAMTS9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP10</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANXA1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREG</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EREG</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOS</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOSB</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOSL1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUN</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNB</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL20</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCR4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL8</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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