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Abstract:
Nitric oxide is implicated in methamphetamine-induced neurotoxicity; however, the source of the nitric oxide has not been identified. Previous work also demonstrates that animals with partial dopamine loss induced by a neurotoxic regimen of methamphetamine fail to exhibit further decreases in striatal dopamine when re-exposed to methamphetamine 7-30 days later. The current study examined nitric oxide synthase expression and activity, and protein nitration in striata of animals administered saline or neurotoxic regimens of methamphetamine at postnatal days 60 and/or 90, resulting in four treatment groups: Saline:Saline, METH:Saline, Saline:METH, and METH:METH. Acute administration of methamphetamine on PND90 (Saline:METH and METH:METH) increased nitric oxide production as evidenced by increased protein nitration. Methamphetamine did not, however, change the expression of endothelial or inducible isoforms of nitric oxide synthase, nor did it change in the number of cells positive for neuronal nitric oxide synthase mRNA expression or the amount of nNOS mRNA per cell. However, nitric oxide synthase activity in striatal interneurons was increased in the Saline:METH and METH:METH animals. These data suggest that increased nitric oxide production following a neurotoxic regimen of methamphetamine results from increased nitric oxide synthase activity rather than an induction of mRNA and that constitutively expressed neuronal nitric oxide synthase is the most likely source of nitric oxide following methamphetamine administration. Interestingly, animals rendered resistant to further methamphetamine-induced dopamine depletions still show equivalent degrees of methamphetamine-induced nitric oxide production, suggesting that nitric oxide production alone in response to methamphetamine is not sufficient to induce acute neurotoxic injury.
Introduction:

It is estimated that 60 million people worldwide have abused amphetamine-type psychostimulants including methamphetamine (METH; (Maxwell, 2005). METH abuse results in selective damage to central monoamine systems. In particular, repeated high-dose administration of METH results in persistent dopamine deficits in rodents, non-human primates, and humans. These dopamine (DA) deficits are manifested as decreases in DA concentration (Kogan et al., 1976; Wagner et al., 1980), DA transporter (DAT; (Volkow et al., 2001; Guilarte et al., 2003) and vesicular monoamine transporter-2 (VMAT-2) levels (Guilarte et al., 2003), and tyrosine hydroxylase activity (Kogan et al., 1976), particularly in striatum. The exact mechanisms contributing to this phenomenon have yet to be fully elucidated; however, a number of factors occurring during or shortly after administration of a neurotoxic regimen of METH, including the production of nitric oxide (NO), have been implicated in this toxicity.

Nitric oxide production is involved in a variety of normal physiological processes, as well as various pathological conditions. Nitric oxide is produced by nitric oxide synthase (NOS), of which there are three isoforms: neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS), inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), and endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS). The work of several groups has suggested an important role for NO in METH-induced monoamine system damage. First, NO can interact with O₂ to form peroxynitrite, a potent oxidant (Radi et al., 1991). Second, prior studies have suggested that nNOS protein (Deng and Cadet, 1999), nitrate (Anderson and Itzhak, 2006), and protein nitration—an indirect measure of peroxynitrite production (Imam et al., 1999; Imam et al., 2000)—are increased in striatum following METH exposure. Third, co-administration of peroxynitrite decomposition catalysts prevents METH-induced DA depletions (Imam et al., 1999). Fourth, METH-induced DA depletions are blocked in mice with deletion of nNOS (Itzhak et al., 1998; Itzhak et al., 2000b) and partially attenuated in mice with deletion of iNOS (Itzhak et al., 1999; Itzhak et al., 2000b). However, the use of peroxynitrite decomposition catalysts and nNOS and iNOS knockout mice also mitigated METH-induced hyperthermia.
(Itzhak et al., 1998; Imam et al., 1999; Itzhak et al., 1999) known to be critical for METH-induced monoamine toxicity (Ali et al., 1994). Additionally, studies using pharmacological inhibitors of NOS are similarly inconclusive. Some studies suggest protection against METH-induced DA depletions when NOS inhibitors are co-administered (Di Monte et al., 1996; Itzhak and Ali, 1996; Ali and Itzhak, 1998; Itzhak et al., 2000a), whereas others suggest that the neuroprotective effects of NOS inhibitors result from mitigation of METH-induced hyperthermia (Taraska and Finnegan, 1997; Callahan and Ricaurte, 1998). And adding further debate to the role of NO production in METH-induced neurotoxicity is data demonstrating that the elimination of nNOS expressing cells in striatum fails to protect against METH-induced TH depletions (Zhu et al., 2006).

To further explore factors sufficient for METH-induced monoamine toxicity, we have turned to a model of resistance to this toxicity, in which animals are treated with a binge regimen of METH, but do not show acute monoamine toxicity. That is, our lab and others have conducted studies in which animals are treated with a neurotoxic regimen of METH and are challenged seven or 30 days later with a second neurotoxic regimen of METH. The data from these studies show that animals with partial DA loss induced by an initial exposure to a neurotoxic regimen of METH fail to exhibit further DA, DAT and VMAT-2 depletions when exposed to the second neurotoxic regimen (Thomas and Kuhn, 2005; Hanson et al., 2009). The extent to which this resistance to subsequent METH-induced neurotoxicity is associated with decreased NO production is unknown. Thus, the purpose of the current study was to determine the source of NO following METH exposure and to examine whether animals rendered resistant to further METH-induced DA depletions demonstrate decreases in NO production.
Materials and Methods:

Animals. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Raleigh, NC) were housed in wire mesh cages in a temperature-controlled room on a 12:12-hr light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. All animal care and experimental procedures were in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (8th Ed., National Research Council) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Utah.

METH Administration. On days of METH injections (post-natal day (PND)60 and PND90), rats were housed in groups of four in plastic tub cages (33 cm x 28 cm x 17 cm) with corncob bedding. Rats were given injections of (±)-METH•HCl (National Institute on Drug Abuse, Bethesda, MD; 10 mg/kg, free base, s.c.) or 0.9% saline (1 ml/kg, s.c.) at 2-hr intervals for a total of four injections. This METH-dosing regimen has previously been shown to significantly reduce dopamine levels and tyrosine hydroxylase activity in the striatum (Kogan et al., 1976). To monitor METH-induced hyperthermia, rectal temperatures were recorded using a digital thermometer (BAT-12, Physitemp Instruments, Clifton, NJ). Temperatures were taken 30 min prior to the first injection of saline or METH and 1 hr after each injection thereafter. Animals whose core temperature exceeded 40.5°C were cooled by placement in a cool chamber until their core temperature fell below 39°C. Eighteen hrs after the last injection of METH or saline on PND60, animals were returned to their home cages and allowed to recover for 30 days. On PND90, animals were again housed in plastic tub cages as described above and injected with either saline or the neurotoxic regimen of METH, similar to PND60 treatments. This experimental protocol resulted in four treatment groups based on treatments on PND60 and PND90 (PND60:PND90): Saline:Saline, Saline:METH, METH:Saline, and METH:METH. Animals were sacrificed 1 hr or 48 hr after their last injection on PND90.
**Tissue Preparation.** Rats were sacrificed by exposure to CO₂ for 1 min, followed by decapitation. To perform both in situ hybridization histochemistry for the NOS isoforms and histochemistry for NOS activity and immunohistochemistry for protein nitration, brains were rapidly removed and hemisected. One hemisphere was immediately frozen in 2-methylbutane chilled on dry ice and stored at -80°C. The other hemisphere was submerged in 4% formaldehyde with 0.9% NaCl for 24 hr at 4°C, then cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in 0.1M PBS and stored at 4°C. The fresh-frozen hemispheres were cut into 12-µm thick sections on a cryostat (Cryocut 1800; Cambridge Instruments, Bayreuth, Germany). These striatal sections (Bregma: + 1.6 mm to +0.2 mm) were thaw-mounted on slides and stored at -20°C. Slides from all animals to be used for a particular in situ hybridization histochemical analysis were then post-fixed in 4% formaldehyde/0.9% sodium chloride; acetylated in 0.25% acetic anhydride in 0.1M triethanolamine/0.9% sodium chloride (pH 8); dehydrated in alcohol; delipidated in chloroform; and rehydrated in a descending series of alcohol concentrations. Slides were air-dried and stored at -20°C until further processing. The fixed hemispheres were cut into 30-µm thick sections on a freezing microtome (Microm, HM 440E). These sections of striatum (Bregma: + 1.6 mm to +0.2 mm) were stored at 4°C in 1mg/ml sodium azide in 0.1M PBS.

**DAT Autoradiography.** DAT levels in striatum were determined by [125I]RTI-55 (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) binding, as previously described (Pastuzyn et al., 2012). Slides were apposed to film (Biomax MR; Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY) for 24hr and developed. Film autoradiograms, were analyzed using ImageJ to yield average background-subtracted gray values in the dorsomedial (DM) and dorsolateral (DL) striatum. Two rostral and two middle striatal sections were analyzed per rat and averaged. Average gray values were then converted to percent of control (Saline:Saline).

**Nitrotyrosine Immunohistochemistry.** Tissue sections from the fixed hemispheres were processed for nitrotyrosine immunohistochemistry. The sections were washed in 0.1M PBS, incubated for 10 min in 0.1M PBS with 3% H₂O₂ to block endogenous peroxidases, and washed again in 0.1M PBS. The tissue
was blocked with 0.1M PBS containing 10% normal horse serum for 1 hr, then incubated overnight at
4°C with 5% normal horse serum and anti-nitrotyrosine mouse monoclonal antibody (1:100, Abcam,
ab78163). The following day, sections were washed in 0.1M PBS and incubated for 1 hr at RT with 5%
normal horse serum and biotinylated horse anti-mouse IgG (1:200, Vector Labs, BA-2001). Sections
were then rinsed and incubated with avidin-biotinylated peroxidase complex (ABC Elite kit; Vector, PK-
6100) for 1 hr at RT. The reaction was terminated by rinsing sections three times in 0.1M PBS. The
tissue was then incubated in nickel-enhanced diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Ni-DAB, Vector, SK-
4100) for 3-5 min, washed again in 0.1M PBS, mounted onto slides, dried, dehydrated and coverslipped.

Images were digitized and densitometric analysis performed with ImageJ, yielding average gray values.
Two rostral and two middle striatal sections were analyzed per rat and the values averaged. Average gray
values were then compared across treatment groups.

**nNOS, iNOS, and eNOS in situ Hybridization Histochemistry.** Full-length rat nNOS and iNOS
cDNAs were generously provided by Dr. Michael Marletta (UC Berkeley). PCR amplification with
forward and reverse primers containing T7 and SP6 promoter sequences were used to amplify the nNOS
and  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sense/Antisense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nNOS antisense:</td>
<td>5’-AATACGACTCACTATAGGGCAGTTCATCATGTTCCCCGAT-3’, nNOS sense: 5’-ATTTAGGTGACACTATAGATGGAAGAGAACGTTTGGGTGTT-3’, iNOS antisense: 5’-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGACAATCCACAACAGCTCGCTCAA-3’, and iNOS sense 5’-ATTTAGGTGACACTATAGGTTCAGCTACGCTTCAACACCA-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ribonucleotide probes were then synthesized from the amplified cDNAs using T7 (antisense) or SP6 (sense) RNA polymerases (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) and [35S]-UTP (Perkin Elmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA). Full-length, rat eNOS cDNA in a pCMV-Sport6 vector was purchased from Origene (RN200806; Rockville, MD) transformed into E. coli cells for amplification and extracted using a DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). eNOS cDNA was then linearized with NcoI and transcribed with T7 (sense) and SP6
(antisense) RNA polymerases and $^{[33]P}$-UTP. For all in situ hybridizations, probes were prepared and added to hybridization buffer to a final concentration of $1 \times 10^6$ cpm/µL as previously described (Keefe and Gerfen, 1996). Hybridization buffer (100µL) with probe was applied to each slide containing four brain sections, each slide was covered with a glass coverslip, and slides were hybridized overnight in humid chambers at 55°C. The following day, slides were washed four times in 2 x SSC (0.15 m NaCl with 0.015 m sodium citrate), treated with Ribonuclease-A (5 mg/ml; Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA) in 2 x SSC for 15 min at RT, washed again in 2 x SSC, dried, and apposed to X-ray film (Biomax MR; Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY) for approximately 1 week.

Film autoradiograms were digitized and analyzed using ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). The images of sections from all groups within an experiment that were processed and hybridized together were captured and measured under constant lighting and camera conditions. nNOS mRNA expression, which was punctate due to its expression in striatal interneurons (Kawaguchi et al., 1995), was quantified by counting the number of cells labeled for nNOS mRNA. To this end, images were thresholded to include cell bodies of nNOS-positive cells. The average signal density per labeled cell was also measured from the thresholded images. For iNOS and eNOS mRNA expression, both of which were more diffuse, the average gray value of the dorsal striatum was measured and the average gray value of the corpus callosum overlying the striatum was subtracted for background correction. Two rostral and two middle striatal sections were analyzed per rat and averaged.

The specificity of our iNOS probe was confirmed by examining the induction of iNOS mRNA in the brain of an animal infected with Theiler's Murine Virus (TMV), as previous work has demonstrated an induction of iNOS in these animals (Oleszak et al., 1997; Iwahashi et al., 1999). As shown in Figure 1A, hybridization of a brain section from this animal with the antisense ribonucleotide against iNOS revealed iNOS induction in the area of the intrahemispheric injection of the virus. Alternatively, hybridization of an adjacent brain section from the same animal with the sense ribonucleotide probe did not yield any
staining (Figure 1B). We also evaluated the specificity of our eNOS ribonucleotide probe. As shown in Figure 1C, hybridization with the antisense ribonucleotide probe revealed expression in the pyramidal cell layer of the hippocampus, consistent with prior reports (Dinerman et al., 1994; Vaid et al., 1996; Doyle and Slater, 1997). Additionally, hybridization of an adjacent brain section with the sense ribonucleotide probe again yielded no signal (Figure 1D). Finally, the specificity of the nNOS antisense ribonucleotide probe used was based on the correspondence between the staining obtained in the present study and previous findings from our lab and others showing the distribution of the nNOS/somatostatin/neuropeptide Y-containing interneuron population in striatum (Uhl and Sasek, 1986; Rushlow et al., 1995; Horner et al., 2006). Thus, the antisense ribonucleotide probes generated for this study appear to specifically label NOS isoform mRNAs in the brain.

**NADPH Diaphorase Histochemical Staining.** Tissue sections from the fixed hemispheres were processed for NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining to assess NOS activity (Hope et al., 1991). Tissue was washed in 0.1M Tris-HCL (pH 8.0) followed by preincubation in 0.1M Tris-HCl containing 0.04% Tween-80 and 0.05% TritonX-100. The tissue was then incubated in Tris-HCl containing 0.8 mg/ml NADP, 0.16 mg/ml NBT, 0.04% Tween-80, 0.05% TritonX-100, 1mM MgCl₂, and 15mM malate for two hours at 37°C. Sections were then rinsed in 0.1M Tris-HCL for 5 min, mounted onto slides, dried, and coverslipped. Digitized images of the NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining were captured under bright field conditions with a 40X objective using a Leica DM 4000B microscope. A 3x3 montage (0.63mm²) centered over dorsal striatum was captured from one hemisphere per section resulting in four images per animal. The images were analyzed using ImageJ. Each image was thresholded such that the minimum threshold value in ImageJ was set to zero and the maximum threshold value was set to 16 points above the lowest edge of the threshold histogram. Blood vessels with NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining (indicative of eNOS activity) were excluded. The percent area of the total remaining field with signal was measured and averaged across the four sections for each animal. This
standardized image analysis allowed for the measurement of NADPH diaphorase-positive cell bodies and processes while excluding NADPH-diaphorase histochemical staining associated with endothelial cells. In addition, the number of cell bodies positive for NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining per image was recorded, averaged across the four sections for each animal, and then compared across treatment groups.

**Statistical Analysis.** All image analysis was conducted by an experimenter blinded to the treatment groups. Statistical analysis was performed using a two-factor ANOVA (PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment) followed by post hoc analysis via a Student’s t or Tukey HSD test, as appropriate. Statistical analysis of body temperature data was conducted using a MANOVA with repeated measures (PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment x Time) followed by post-hoc analysis via a t-tests at individual time points to assess main effects of treatments, as appropriate. No differences between DL and DM striatum were observed for the DAT binding, iNOS and eNOS mRNA expression, or nitrotyrosine staining, so values were averaged across these two regions for each striatal section.
Results:

METH-Induced Hyperthermia in Rats Sacrificed 1 hr After the Final Treatment on PND90. For the body temperature data collected during treatment of this cohort of animals on PND60 (Figure 2A), MANOVA revealed a main effect of PND60 treatment ($F_{(1,33)}=169.5, p<0.0001$) and time ($F_{(4,30)}=49.5, p<0.0001$). There was also a significant PND60 treatment x time interaction ($F_{(4,30)}=53.5, p<0.001$). Post hoc analysis revealed that the temperatures of animals receiving METH were significantly greater than those of controls at all four time points after the injections of METH began (60 min, $t=16.3, p<0.0001$; 180 min, $t=15.5, p<0.0001$; 300 min, $t=9.4, p<0.0001$; 420 min, $t=9.7, p<0.0001$), but were not different from controls at baseline ($t=1.6, p=0.1$).

For the body temperature data collected during treatment of this cohort of animals on PND90 (Figure 2B), MANOVA revealed a main effect of PND90 treatment ($F_{(1,31)}=343.3, p<0.0001$), a main effect of time ($F_{(3,29)}=33.9, p<0.0001$), and significant PND60 treatment x time ($F_{(3,29)}=5.2, p=0.005$) and PND90 treatment x time ($F_{(3,29)}=51.4, p<0.0001$) interactions. Post hoc analysis of the PND60 x time interaction revealed that, at baseline on PND90, the rats treated with METH at PND60 had statistically higher body temperatures than did rats treated with saline at PND60 ($t=4.1, p=0.0002$). However, the body temperatures at the other time points recorded on PND90 were not different between the rats treated with METH vs. saline at PND60 (60 min, $t=1.1, p=0.3$; 180 min, $t=0.2, p<0.8$; 300 min, $t=0.5, p=0.6$). Post hoc analysis of the PND90 treatment x time interaction again revealed that the temperatures of animals acutely receiving METH (i.e. PND90 treatment) were significantly higher than those of controls at all time points after the administration of METH began (60 min, $t=12.3, p<0.0001$; 180 min, $t=17.9, p<0.0001$; 300 min, $t=9.7, p<0.0001$), but were not different from controls at baseline ($t=1.7, p=0.1$). Importantly, there was no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction ($F_{(1,31)}=0.3, p=0.6$) or PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment x time interactions ($F_{(3,29)}=0.6, p=0.6$), indicating that the pretreatment on PND60 did not impact METH-induced hyperthermia on PND90.
METH-Induced Hyperthermia in Rats Sacrificed 48 hr After the Final Treatment on PND90. For the body temperature data collected during treatment of this cohort of animals on PND60 (Figure 2C), MANOVA revealed a main effect of PND60 treatment ($F_{(1,26)}=213$, $p<0.0001$), a main effect of time ($F_{(4,23)}=52.8$, $p<0.0001$), and a significant PND60 treatment x time interaction ($F_{(4,23)}=33.8$, $p<0.0001$). Post hoc analysis revealed that the body temperatures of the rats given METH at PND60 were significantly greater than the temperatures of the controls at all time points (BL, $t=2.5$, $p=0.02$; 60 min, $t=15.9$, $p<0.0001$; 180 min, $t=14.2$, $p<0.0001$; 300 min, $t=10.8$, $p<0.0001$; 420 min, $t=8.1$, $p<0.0001$).

For the body temperature data collected during treatment of this cohort of animals on PND90 (Figure 2D), MANOVA revealed a main effect of PND90 treatment ($F_{(1,24)}=195.1$, $p<0.0001$), a main effect of time ($F_{(4,21)}=35.7$, $p<0.0001$), and a significant PND90 treatment x time interaction ($F_{(4,21)}=51.4$, $p<0.0001$). Post hoc analysis of the PND90 treatment x time interaction again revealed that the temperatures of animals acutely receiving METH (i.e. PND 90 treatment) were significantly higher than those of controls at all time points after the administration of METH began (60 min, $t=10.8$, $p<0.0001$; 180 min, $t=16.9$, $p<0.0001$; 300 min, $t=9.4$, $p<0.0001$; 420 min, $t=9.1$, $p<0.0001$), but were not different from controls at baseline ($t=1.2$, $p=0.3$). Importantly, there was no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction ($F_{(1,24)}=1.7$, $p=0.2$), PND60 treatment x time ($F_{(4,21)}=1.6$, $p=0.2$), or PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment x time interaction ($F_{(4,21)}=1.3$, $p=0.3$), indicating again that the pretreatment on PND60 did not impact METH-induced hyperthermia on PND90.

METH-Induced DA Depletions. Administration of METH resulted in significant decreases in $[^{125}I]$RTI-55 binding to the DAT compared to saline-treated controls (Figure 3). In animals sacrificed 1 hr after the last injection on PND90 (Figure 3A), a two-factor ANOVA revealed a main effect of PND60 treatment ($F_{(1,30)}=42.1$, $p<0.0001$), with rats treated with METH at PND60 showing decreased DAT binding compared to rats treated with saline. There was no significant main effect of PND90 treatment ($F_{(1,30)}=79.3$, $p=0.6$) and no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction ($F_{(1,30)}=0.1$, $p=0.6$).
In the cohort of animals sacrificed 48 hr after the last injection on PND90 (Figure 3B, C), a two-factor ANOVA revealed a main effect of PND60 treatment ($F_{(1,23)}=11.2$, $p<0.003$), a main effect of PND90 treatment ($F_{(1,23)}=202.2$, $p<0.0001$), and a significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction ($F_{(1,23)}=78.9$, $p<0.0001$). Post hoc analysis of the interaction revealed that all treatment groups were significantly different from each other (Tukey’s test, $p$ values $\leq 0.005$).

To assess whether the decrease in DAT autoradiographic labeling reflects METH-induced DA terminal degeneration, we examined, in another cohort of animals treated with the same neurotoxic regimen of METH, the relation between this measure and other indices of METH-induced DA depletion. [125I]RTI-55 binding to the DAT correlates strongly with decreases in DA tissue content measured via HPLC ($n=9$; DM $r^2=0.897$, $p<0.0001$, DL $r^2=0.794$, $p<0.0013$). Thus, [125I]RTI-55 binding to the DAT appears to reflect the degree of DA loss induced by the neurotoxic regimen of METH.

**Effect of METH on protein nitration in striatum.** Consistent with prior reports in the literature implicating reactive nitrogen species in METH-induced neurotoxicity (Di Monte et al., 1996; Itzhak and Ali, 1996; Imam et al., 1999), in this study treatment of rats with a neurotoxic regimen of METH resulted in a significant increase in protein nitration in the striata of rats sacrificed 1 hr after the final injection on PND90 (Figure 4). A two-factor ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of PND90 treatment ($F_{(1,31)}=8.8$, $p<0.006$), but no main effect of PND60 treatment ($F_{(1,31)}=0.1$, $p=0.7$) and no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction ($F_{(1,31)}=0.3$, $p=0.6$). Thus, all rats receiving a neurotoxic regimen of METH on PND90 (i.e. Saline:METH and METH:METH groups), whether they experienced acute toxicity or not, showed equivalent increases in protein nitration in striatum.

**Effect of METH on iNOS expression in striatum.** Repeated high dose administrations of METH did not result in an induction of iNOS mRNA expression either at 1 hr (Figure 5A) or 48 hr (Figure 5B, C) after the last injection on PND90. A two-way ANOVA on iNOS expression in the striata of rats
sacrificed 1 hr after the final injection on PND90 revealed no significant main effects of PND60 treatment \((F_{(1,30)}=0.0002, p=0.99)\) or PND90 treatment \((F_{(1,30)}=0.3, p=0.6)\) and no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction \((F_{(1,30)}=0.0000, p=0.99)\). Similarly, analysis of iNOS expression in the striata of animals sacrificed 48 hr after the last injection on PND90 showed no significant main effects of PND60 treatment \((F_{(1,23)}=0.9, p=0.3)\) or PND90 treatment \((F_{(1,23)}=0.04, p=0.8)\) and no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction \((F_{(1,23)}=0.1, p=0.7)\).

**Effect of METH on eNOS expression in striatum.** Administration of the neurotoxic regimen of METH did not alter eNOS mRNA expression in the striata of rats sacrificed either at 1 hr (Figure 6A) or 48 hr (Figure 6B, C) after the last administration of METH on PND90. That is, in the cohort of rats sacrificed at 1 hr after the last injection, there was no significant main effect of PND60 treatment \((F_{(1,31)}=0.6, p=0.5)\) or PND90 treatment \((F_{(1,31)}=0.7, p=0.4)\) and no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction \((F_{(1,31)}=0.3, p=0.6)\). Likewise, in the cohort of rats sacrificed 48 hr after the last injection, there was no significant main effect of PND60 treatment \((F_{(1,23)}=1.3, p=0.3)\) or PND90 treatment \((F_{(1,23)}=0.4, p=0.6)\) and no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction \((F_{(1,23)}=0.8, p=0.4)\).

**Effect of METH on nNOS expression in striatum.** Repeated high dose administrations of METH did not alter the number of cells expressing nNOS mRNA (Figure 7A) or the average density of nNOS mRNA signal per cell (Figure 7B) in rats sacrificed either 1 hr or 48 hr after the last injection on PND90. That is, there was no main effect of PND60 treatment (1-hr survival cohort, \(F_{(1,30)}=0.4, p=0.5\); 48-hr survival cohort, \(F_{(1,23)}=0.3, p=0.8\), no main effect of PND90 treatment (1-hr survival cohort, \(F_{(1,30)}=0.1, p=0.7\); 48-hr survival cohort, \(F_{(1,23)}=0.0003, p=0.99\) and no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction (1-hr survival cohort, \(F_{(1,30)}=0.003, p=0.96\); 48-hr survival cohort, \(F_{(1,23)}=0.3, p=0.6\)) on the number of nNOS-positive cells in each striatum. Likewise, there was no main effect of PND60 treatment (1-hr survival cohort, \(F_{(1,30)}=0.02, p=0.9\); 48-hr survival cohort, \(F_{(1,23)}=0.3, p=0.6\), no main
effect of PND90 treatment (1-hr survival cohort, $F_{(1,30)}=0.5$, $p=0.5$; 48-hr survival cohort, $F_{(1,23)}=3.1$, $p=0.09$), and no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction (1-hr survival cohort, $F_{(1,30)}=0.7$, $p=0.4$; 48-hr survival cohort, $F_{(1,23)}=2.8$, $p=0.1$) on the average density of nNOS mRNA staining per cell.

To further validate the nNOS mRNA results, we quantified the number of NADPH diaphorase-positive cells in the striata of animals sacrificed at 1 hr after the last injection. These data confirmed that the number of NADPH diaphorase-positive cells did not change following single or repeated exposure to a neurotoxic regimen of METH (data not shown).

**Effect of METH on NOS activity in the striatum.** Because eNOS and nNOS are constitutively expressed, the enzymes increase their production of NO without observable changes in mRNA expression. Previous work has shown that the NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining allows for the quantification of nNOS activity in the striatum (Dawson et al., 1991; Hope et al., 1991; Morris et al., 1997). Therefore, we examined the effects of a neurotoxic regimen of METH on NOS activity as reflected in NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining in striatum. Administration of the METH binge regimen on PND90 resulted in a significant increase in NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining in the striata of rats sacrificed 1 hr after the final injection on PND90 (Fig. 8). A two-factor ANOVA revealed a main effect of PND90 treatment ($F_{(1,28)}=5.8$, $p<0.05$), but no main effect of PND60 treatment ($F_{(1,28)}=0.06$, $p=0.8$) and no significant PND60 treatment x PND90 treatment interaction ($F_{(1,28)}=0.9$, $p=0.4$). Thus, as was the case for protein nitration in striatum, NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining, and thus nNOS activity, was increased in all rats receiving a neurotoxic regimen of METH on PND90, regardless of whether they experienced acute DA neuron toxicity (Saline:METH group) or not (METH:METH group).
Discussion:

Recent data suggest that abuse of METH may contribute to increased incidence of Parkinsonism secondary to damage to striatal DA systems (Callaghan et al., 2012). Thus, delineating the necessary and sufficient factors involved in METH-induced damage to central DA systems is critical for advancing our ability to mitigate long-term consequences of METH use. Our lab and others have found that animals pretreated with a neurotoxic regimen of METH do not show further depletions of striatal DA when challenged with a subsequent neurotoxic regimen of METH (Thomas and Kuhn, 2005; Hanson et al., 2009). This paradigm thus affords a model in which animals can be matched for acute METH exposure, but differentiated with respect to acute DA neuron toxicity, to identify factors that are sufficient to induce striatal DA toxicity. Prior evidence has suggested that NO may be such a critical factor for METH-induced neurotoxicity (Di Monte et al., 1996; Itzhak and Ali, 1996; Deng and Cadet, 1999; Imam et al., 1999). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine whether NO production secondary to METH exposure is sufficient to induce striatal DA depletions and to determine the source of NO following METH exposure. The data reveal that production of NO, as reflected in protein nitration is similar whether an animal is experiencing acute DA toxicity or not, suggesting that NO production is not sufficient to induce such toxicity. Furthermore, the data suggest that the NO likely arises from the constitutively expressed isoforms of NOS, most likely the nNOS-containing interneuron population in striatum.

The present results suggest that NO production in response to METH may not contribute to METH-induced DA neuron toxicity, as both rats experiencing acute toxicity to METH administration on PND90 and those resistant to it showed equivalent increases in protein nitration and NOS activity in striatum. As noted above, studies have implicated NO in METH-induced DA neuron toxicity based on observations that inhibition of NOS blocks or attenuates such toxicity (Di Monte et al., 1996; Itzhak and Ali, 1996; Ali and Itzhak, 1998; Itzhak et al., 1998; Imam et al., 1999; Itzhak et al., 2000a; Itzhak et al., 2000b; Itzhak et
However, there is controversy over whether this protection reflects a critical mechanistic role of NO in METH-induced DA neuron toxicity or whether it results from a disruption of METH-induced hyperthermia necessary for the toxicity (Taraska and Finnegan, 1997; Callahan and Ricaurte, 1998). The present findings of a dissociation between indices of NO production and acute DA neuron toxicity support the conclusion that the generation of NO is not sufficient for METH-induced DA toxicity.

While the present data suggest that generation of NO is not sufficient for METH-induced DA terminal damage, we cannot rule out the possibility that NO is necessary for such toxicity when it occurs (e.g. in the Saline:METH group), as NO may act in concert with other factors under those conditions to contribute to the toxicity. For example, evidence suggests that NO regulates DA release in striatum (Zhu and Luo, 1992; West and Galloway, 1997; West et al., 2002), including METH-induced DA release (Bowyer et al., 1995; Inoue et al., 1996), which has been suggested to play an important role in damage to DA terminals (O'Dell et al., 1991; O'Dell et al., 1993; Gross et al., 2011). Thus, NO production during an initial exposure to a neurotoxic regimen of METH may increase DA overflow, and this DA overflow may result in the DA neuron toxicity. Under conditions in which DA overflow is reduced, such as in animals with prior METH-induced DA neuron toxicity (Hanson et al., 2009), such a role of NO might not be apparent. Clearly, studies with strict control over potential contributing factors allowing for systematic independent and coordinate manipulation of the factors will be necessary to fully understand the process by which METH induces DA neurotoxicity. Furthermore, we cannot exclude a role of NO in striatal efferent neuron toxicity observed in some models of METH-induced neurotoxicity (Zhu et al., 2009).

While the necessity of NO for METH-induced DA neurotoxicity remains in question, it is clear from the present findings that administration of a binge regimen of METH increases NO production. The data further suggest that METH-induced increases in NOS activity/NO production may largely arise secondary to activation of nNOS, which is found in striatal somatostatin/NPY-positive interneurons (Kawaguchi et al., 1995). First, we found no induction of iNOS mRNA 1 or 48 hr following the last administration on 18
PND90. These data are consistent with previous work (Deng and Cadet, 1999) showing that iNOS protein expression is not induced following a neurotoxic regimen of METH. However, in that study iNOS protein was examined at 1 hr, 24 hr, and 1 week after exposure to METH, time points when glial cells may not be fully activated (LaVoie et al., 2004). Importantly, it is these cell types in which induction of iNOS mRNA expression typically occurs (Gibson et al., 2005). Therefore, we examined iNOS expression at 1 and 48 hr, as previous work has shown that glial reactivity peaks at 48 hr after a neurotoxic regimen of METH (LaVoie et al., 2004). Our data combined with the work of others (Deng and Cadet, 1999) strongly suggest that iNOS is not a likely source of NO following METH exposure.

Second, induction of eNOS and nNOS isoforms also does not appear to underlie the METH-induced increases in NO production. At both 1 and 48 hr after the last administration of METH on PND90, there were no changes in the numbers of cells expressing eNOS or nNOS mRNA. Likewise, there was no increase in the numbers of NADPH diaphorase-positive cells and no increase in eNOS immunohistochemical staining in sections from animals sacrificed 48 hr after the last injection (data not shown). A prior study in mice has reported an increase in the number of cells expressing nNOS protein following a neurotoxic regimen of METH (Deng and Cadet, 1999) but others have not (Wang et al., 2008; Wang and Angulo, 2011). Differences in nNOS expression have been observed between species and strains of animals within a species (Blackshaw et al., 2003), suggesting that differences between our results and the prior report by Deng and Cadet may reflect a species difference. Our data thus suggest that induction of eNOS or nNOS expression by METH exposure is not contributing to METH-induced NO production in this rat model.

Taken together, the data suggest that activation of constitutively expressed NOS isoforms (i.e. eNOS or nNOS) is the likely basis for METH-induced NO production. This conclusion is based on the data showing increased NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining, which reflects NOS activity (Hope et al., 1991). We further restricted this assay to determination of nNOS activity in striatum by excluding stained...
vasculature from the images during the analysis and thresholding the images to include only cell bodies and processes of NADPH-positive cells. We found that animals acutely exposed to a neurotoxic regimen of METH on PND90 showed increased staining, suggesting increased nNOS activation by the binge regimen of METH. Based on these observations, we conclude that activation of nNOS is a major source of NO production in response to the binge regimen of METH. However, we cannot rule out a contribution of eNOS activation to METH-induced NO production and METH-induced protein nitration in striatum.

Activation of nNOS in striatal interneurons in the context of binge regimens of METH is not surprising, given what is known about NO production in striatum and the cascade of events occurring during and after METH administration. First, activation of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) subtype of glutamate (GLU) receptors initiates NO production via Ca-dependent activation of nNOS (Garthwaite et al., 1988; Bredt and Snyder, 1989). Furthermore, binge regimens of METH increase GLU efflux in striatum and activation of NMDA receptors (Nash and Yamamoto, 1992; Mark et al., 2004). Second, activation of DA D1 receptors increases NO efflux in striatum (Le Moine et al., 1991; Sammut et al., 2006) and NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining (Morris et al., 1997; Hoque et al., 2010), and striatal nNOS-containing interneurons express DA D1 family receptors (Le Moine et al., 1991). Furthermore, NMDA and DA D1 receptor activation work in concert to increase NO production (Park and West, 2009), and stimulation of either type of receptor or blockade of either type of receptor decreases NOS activity as assessed via NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining (Morris et al., 1997). Together with data showing increased extracellular levels of both DA and GLU during and after METH exposure (O'Dell et al., 1991; Nash and Yamamoto, 1992; O'Dell et al., 1993), it seems likely that activation of nNOS in striatal interneurons is a major source of NO production following METH exposure.

In conclusion, the data presented herein demonstrate that administration of a binge regimen of METH in rats increases protein nitration in striatum. The data further show that activation of nNOS, as reflected in
increased NADPH-diaphorase histochemical staining in cell bodies and processes of striatal interneurons, was apparent in rats acutely exposed to a binge regimen of METH, implicating nNOS as the likely source of METH-induced NO production. However, the data also show a dissociation between measures of NOS activity (NADPH diaphorase staining) and NO production (immunohistochemical staining of protein nitration) and METH-induced DA neurotoxicity, suggesting that NO production by a binge regimen of METH is not sufficient to induce acute DA neurotoxicity and that NO may not be a useful therapeutic target for prevention of acute methamphetamine-induced neurotoxicity in human METH abusers.
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Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Controls for iNOS and eNOS in situ hybridization histochemistry. (A) Positive control image of a striatal section from a mouse with Theiler's Murine Virus (TMV) infection in the brain (indicated by the arrow) showing detection of iNOS mRNA induction using the antisense ribonucleotide probe described in this paper. (B) Negative control image showing a lack of signal in a brain section from the same TMV-infected mouse hybridized with the sense ribonucleotide probe. (C) Positive control image of a rat brain section at the level of the dorsal hippocampus hybridized with the antisense ribonucleotide probe against eNOS mRNA used in the present study. (D) Control image of a rat brain section at the level of the dorsal hippocampus hybridized with the sense ribonucleotide probe against eNOS mRNA used in the present study. Scale bar = 2 mm, applies to all panels.

Figure 2. Core body temperatures (mean±SEM; n=5-12) of animals that received systemic injections of saline (4 x 1 mL/kg, s.c. at 2-hr intervals) or METH (4 x 10 mg/kg, s.c. at 2-hr intervals). Treatment group designations in the legend indicate PND60 treatment:PND90 treatment, resulting in the four treatment groups. Rectal temperatures were obtained 30 min prior to the first injection (baseline; BL) and 1 hr after each subsequent injection. X-axis values represent minutes after the first injection and arrows represent the time of each saline or METH injection. (A & C) Temperatures recorded during treatment on PND60 of animals sacrificed 1 hr (A) or 48 hr (C) after the last injection on PND90. (B & D) Temperatures recorded during treatment on PND90 of animals sacrificed 1 hr (B) or 48 hr (D) after the last injection on PND90. * p<0.05; *** p<0.001 indicate that groups receiving METH were significantly hyperthermic relative to groups receiving saline.

Figure 3. Striatal DAT binding density following single or repeated exposure to a neurotoxic regimen of METH (mean±SEM; n=5-12). Treatment group designations indicate PND60 treatment:PND90 treatment, resulting in the four treatment groups: Saline:Saline (SS); METH:Saline (MS); Saline:METH
(SM); and METH:METH (MM). (A) Animals sacrificed 1 hr after the last injection on PND90. *** indicates a main effect of PND60 treatment, $p<0.001$. (B) Animals sacrificed 48 hr after the last injection on PND90. ** SS group significantly different from all other groups, $p<0.005$; †† MS group significantly different from all other groups, $p<0.005$; ‡‡ SM group significantly different from all other groups, $p<0.005$. (C) Representative images of DAT autoradiography in animals sacrificed 48 hr after the last injection. Scale bar = 2 mm, applies to all images.

**Figure 4.** Quantitative analysis of the effects of single or repeated METH exposure on protein nitration in the striata of animals sacrificed 1 hr after the last injection on PND90. Protein nitration data are expressed as average (avg.) gray values (mean±SEM, n=6-12) obtained from densitometric analysis of immunohistochemically stained sections. Treatment group designations indicate PND60 treatment:PND90 treatment, resulting in the four treatment groups: Saline:Saline (SS); METH:Saline (MS); Saline:METH (SM); and METH:METH (MM). ** indicates a significant main effect of PND90 treatment, $p<0.01$.

**Figure 5.** Quantitative analysis of the effects of single or repeated METH exposure on iNOS mRNA expression in the striata of animals sacrificed 1 hr (A) or 48 hr (B) after the last injection on PND90. Treatment group designations indicate PND60 treatment:PND90 treatment, resulting in the four treatment groups: Saline:Saline (SS); METH:Saline (MS); Saline:METH (SM); and METH:METH (MM). Values are background-subtracted average (avg.) gray values (mean ± SEM; n=5-12). No significant differences between treatment groups were observed. (C) Representative images of iNOS mRNA in situ hybridization histochemical staining in rats sacrificed 48 hr after the last injection on PND90. Scale bar =2 mm, applies to all images.

**Figure 6.** Quantitative analysis of the effects of single or repeated METH exposure on eNOS mRNA expression in the striata of animals sacrificed 1 hr (A) or 48 hr (B) after the last injection on PND 90.
Treatment group designations indicate PND60 treatment:PND90 treatment, resulting in the four treatment groups: Saline:Saline (SS); METH:Saline (MS); Saline:METH (SM); and METH:METH (MM). Values are background-subtracted average gray values (mean ± SEM; n=5-12). No significant differences between treatment groups were observed. (C) Representative images of eNOS mRNA in situ hybridization histochemical staining in rats sacrificed 48 hr after the last injection on PND90. Scale bar = 2 mm, applies to all images.

**Figure 7.** Quantitative analysis of the effects of single or repeated METH exposure on nNOS mRNA expression in the striata of animals sacrificed 1 or 48 hr after the last injection on PND90. Treatment group designations indicate PND60 treatment:PND90 treatment, resulting in the four treatment groups: Saline:Saline (SS); METH:Saline (MS); Saline:METH (SM); and METH:METH (MM). Values are expressed as the mean number of cells expressing nNOS per mm$^2$ of the imaged striatal sections (A; ± SEM; n=4-12) and the average density (i.e. average (avg.) gray value) of the nNOS signal per labeled cell (B) in the striatal sections analyzed. No significant differences between treatment groups were observed. (C) Representative images of nNOS mRNA in situ hybridization histochemical staining in rats sacrificed 48 hr after the last injection on PND90. Scale bar = 2 mm, applies to all images.

**Figure 8.** (A) Quantitative analysis of the effects of single or repeated METH exposure on nNOS activity in the striata of animals sacrificed 1 hr after the last injection on PND90. Treatment group designations indicate PND60 treatment:PND90 treatment, resulting in the four treatment groups: Saline:Saline (SS); METH:Saline (MS); Saline:METH (SM); and METH:METH (MM). Data are expressed as the percent area of the field with signal above threshold. * indicates a significant main effect of PND90 treatment, $p<0.05$. (B) Representative image of NADPH diaphorase histochemical staining in a Saline:METH (SM) animal sacrificed 1 hr after the last injection on PND90. Scale bar = 50μm.
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