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ABSTRACT

The interferon-induced, double-strand RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR) can play critical roles in inhibiting virus replication and inducing apoptosis. To develop new agents that may inhibit viral replication or induce apoptosis in cancer cells via the PKR signaling pathway, we screened a chemical library for compounds that have differential cytotoxic effects on wild-type (MEF/PKR\(^{+/+}\)) and PKR-knockout (MEF/PKR\(^{-/-}\)) mouse embryonic fibroblast cells. We identified a synthetic compound, 1H-benzimidazole-1-ethanol, 2,3-dihydro-2-imino-a-(phenoxymethyl)-3-(phenylmethyl)-, monohydrochloride (BEPP), that induces a cytotoxic effect more effectively in MEF/PKR\(^{+/+}\) cells than in MEF/PKR\(^{-/-}\) cells. BEPP also relatively effectively inhibited the growth of a human lung cancer cell line overexpressing PKR, compared with other cancer cell lines. In sensitive cells, BEPP induced apoptosis with activation of caspase-3. Treatment with BEPP led to increased phosphorylation of PKR and eIF2\(\alpha\), increased expression of BAX, and decreased expression of Bcl-2. BEPP-induced apoptosis was PKR dependent and was blocked by adenovector expressing the dominant-negative PKR. Furthermore, pretreatment of HeLa cells at a noncytotoxic dose of BEPP effectively inhibited Vaccinia virus replication. Together, our results suggest that BEPP and its analogues may induce PKR-dependent apoptosis and inhibition of viral replication and that they can be a potential anticancer or antivirus agent.
Introduction

The double-strand RNA (dsRNA)-dependent protein kinase (PKR) is a ubiquitously expressed serin-threonine kinase that is dramatically induced by interferon-γ. Consisting of two dsRNA-binding domains at its N-terminus and a conserved kinase domain at its C-terminus, PKR is activated by binding to dsRNA or interaction with other proteins, which leads to dimerization and autophosphorylation of PKR (Williams, 2001). Activated PKR phosphorylates the alpha subunit of protein synthesis initiation factor eIF2 (eIF2α), leading to inhibition of protein synthesis and eliciting antivirus and antitumor activities. Moreover, PKR has been reported to induce apoptosis by modulating activities of eIF2α (Der et al., 1997), NF-κB (Gil et al., 1999), ATF-3 (Hai and Hartman, 2001), and p53 (Garcia et al., 2006). Because of this, activation of PKR in tumor cells has been proposed as a modality for cancer treatment. Indeed, we and others have previously found that adenoviral-mediated overexpression of the melanoma differentiation-associated gene 7 (Ad-mda7) induced apoptosis in cancer cells by activation of PKR (Emdad et al., 2007; Pataer et al., 2002). Blocking PKR activation inhibited Ad-mda7-induced apoptosis, suggesting a critical role of PKR activation in such apoptosis. Similarly, PKR plays an essential role in apoptosis induced by tumor necrosis factor (Yeung et al., 1996) and oncolytic viruses (Gaddy and Lyles, 2007). Furthermore, tumor-specific activation of PKR by dsRNA molecules induced apoptosis of glioblastoma cells in vitro and suppressed glioblastoma in vivo (Shir and Levitzki, 2002). Interestingly, expression and autophosphorylation of PKR were increased in several types of cancer, including melanoma, colon cancer, and breast cancer (Kim et al., 2000; 2002).
The fact that PKR plays an important role in apoptosis induction and that its expression is increased in several types of cancers led us to hypothesize that a balance between increased PKR expression and increased anti-apoptosis molecules may be established in cancer cells, preventing them from undergoing apoptosis, and that small molecules that can break this balance might be useful for selective induction of apoptosis in cancer cells that overexpress PKR. To search for such small compounds, we used PKR wild-type (PKR⁺/⁺) and PKR-knockout (PKR⁻/⁻) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) to screen a chemical library from ChemBridge Research Laboratories (San Diego, CA) for chemicals that can effectively kill PKR⁺/⁺ MEFs but not PKR⁻/⁻ MEFs. We found that the synthetic compound 1H-benzimidazole-1-ethanol, 2,3-dihydro-2-imino-α-(phenoxymethyl)-3-(phenylmethyl)-, monohydrochloride (BEPP) can induce cytotoxic effects more effectively in MEF/PKR⁺/⁺ cells than in MEF/PKR⁻/⁻ cells. The apoptosis induction by BEPP, including caspase activation and BAX overexpression was PKR dependent and was abrogated when PKR activation was blocked with the dominant-negative PKR. Furthermore, we found that BEPP can dramatically suppress Vaccinia virus replication. Thus, BEPP could be a useful PKR inducer and antivirus agent.
Materials and Methods

Cell and Culture Conditions. The PKR wild-type MEFs (MEF/PKR^{+/+}) and PKR-knockout MEFs (MEF/PKR^{-/-}), provided by Dr. Glen Barber (University of Miami, Miami, FL), have been previously described (Yang et al., 1995). The human cervical cancer cell line HeLa and the human lung cancer cell lines H1299, H460, H226B, and A549 were maintained in our laboratory. The human bronchial epithelial cell line HBE was purchased from Clonetics (San Diego, CA, USA). All cells except for HBE cells were routinely cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin and maintained in the presence of 5% CO₂ at 37°C. HBE cells were cultured in serum-free keratinocyte medium (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA).

Chemicals and Antibodies. A chemical library with 10,000 compounds, including BEPP and its analogue 1H-benzimidazole-1-ethanol, α-[([4-chlorophenoxy)methyl]-3-[[4-chlorophenyl)methyl]-2,3-dihydro-2-imino-, monohydrochloride (BECC), was obtained from ChemBridge Research Laboratories. The chemicals in the library were provided at a concentration of 5 mg/ml in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The chemical structure of BEPP is shown in Fig. 1. The compound was dissolved in DMSO to a concentration of 10 mmol/L and stored at 4°C as a master stock solution. Antibodies to the following proteins were used for Western blot analysis: BAX, Bcl-2, PKR, cyclin D1, caspase-3 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); phosphorylated-PKR (p-PKR) (Upstate (Millipore), Temecula, CA); eIF2α, phosphorylated eIF2α (p-eIF2α), phosphorylated JNK (p-JNK),
phosphorylated p38 (p-P38), AKT, phosphorylated AKT (p-AKT) (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA); β-actin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO).

**Cytotoxicity Studies.** The viability of the cell lines was determined using the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay, as previously described (Pauwels et al., 2003). Cells (2–8 × 10^3 cells in 100 μl of culture medium per well) were seeded in 96-well flat-bottomed plates and treated the next day with the agents at the indicated concentrations. After the indicated times, cells were fixed with trichloroacetic acid. The protein was stained with sulforhodamine B, and the optical density at 570 nm was determined. Relative cell viability was determined by setting the viability of the control cells (exposed only to DMSO) at 100% and comparing the viability of the treated cells to that of the controls. The experiments were performed at least three times for each cell line.

**Flow Cytometric Analysis.** For analysis of the intracellular DNA content, floating and attached cells treated with BEPP were harvested, washed twice in PBS, and fixed in 70% ethanol at 4°C overnight. For detection of apoptosis, fixed cells were suspended in PBS containing 10 μg/mL propidium iodide (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) and 10 μg/mL RNase A (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 37°C for 30 minutes. Cell cycle analysis was performed using an Epics Profile II flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) with MultiCycle software (Phoenix Flow Systems, San Diego, CA). Accumulation of sub-G1 cells, a known indicator of DNA fragmentation and apoptosis, was used to quantify apoptosis. All experiments were repeated at least thrice.
Western Blot Analysis. For preparation of whole-cell extracts, cells were washed twice in cold PBS, collected, and lysed in lysis buffer [62.5 mmol/L Tris (pH 6.8), 2% SDS, and 10% glycerol] containing 1x proteinase-inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics). The lysates were spun at 14,000 g in a microcentrifuge at 4°C for 10 minutes, and the resulting supernatants were used as whole-cell extracts. Protein concentrations were determined by using the BCA protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Equal amounts (30-50 μg) of proteins were used for immunoblotting as described previously (Teraishi et al., 2003).

Viruses and Titer Analysis. Oncolytic vaccinia virus has also been described previously (Guo et al., 2005). vSP is a modified vaccinia virus that has deletions in two antiapoptosis serpin genes, SPI-1 and SPI-2 (Guo et al., 2005). Adenoviruses expressing the dominant-negative dsRNA-dependent protein kinase gene (Ad/PKRΔ6) were provided by Dr. Abujiang Pataer (The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX). The expansion, purification, titration, and quality analysis of both vectors were performed at the Vector Core Facility at M. D. Anderson Cancer Center as previously described (Fang et al., 1998). The titer used for vaccinia virus in this study was the infectious units (IUs) determined by the TCID$_{50}$ (50% tissue culture infectious dose) assay (Fang et al., 1998).

Statistical Analysis. Differences among the treatment groups were assessed by ANOVA using StatSoft statistical software (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK); $p < 0.05$ was regarded as significant.

Results
Library Screening for Compounds with Differential Cytotoxic Effects for MEF/PKR^{+/+} and MEF/PKR^{+/−} Cells

We used the MEF/PKR^{+/+} and MEF/PKR^{−/−} cell lines to screen for compounds that have differential effects on cell growth because of cellular PKR status. Cells seeded in 96-well plates in parallel were treated with each compound at a final concentration of about 5 μg/mL. Cells treated with DMSO (final concentration is 1%) were used as controls. A pilot experiment showed that 1% of DMSO did not have obvious impact on viability of the MEF cells. Changes in cell morphology were then observed under a microscope, and cell viability was determined by the SRB assay 2-4 days after treatment. The compounds that were initially observed to have differential effects on MEF/PKR^{+/+} and MEF/PKR^{−/−} cells underwent two additional screenings to confirm the observation. From 3,000 compounds screened, we identified a compound (1H-benzimidazole-1-ethanol, 2,3-dihydro-2-imino-α-(phenoxyethyl)-3-(phenylmethyl)-, monohydrochloride, BEPP) that suppressed the growth of MEF/PKR^{+/+} cells more effectively than that of MEF/PKR^{−/−} cells (Fig. 1a). BEPP killed MEF/PKR^{+/+} cells with an IC50 value of 1.4 μmol/L, while its IC50 value on MEF/PKR^{−/−} cells was about 17.4 μmol/L (Fig. 1b, 1c), about 10-fold higher than the value for MEF/PKR^{+/+}.

Induction of Apoptosis in MEF/PKR^{+/+} by BEPP

To investigate whether the BEPP-mediated difference in cell viability between MEF/PKR^{+/+} and MEF/PKR^{−/−} cells was caused by suppression of cell growth or by induction of apoptosis, we examined the caspase activation in MEF/PKR^{+/+} and MEF/PKR^{−/−} cells treated with
BEPP. Cells were treated with various concentrations of BEPP for 72 h, and cell lysates were subjected to 8-12% SDS-PAGE, following by Western blotting with caspase-3 specific antibodies. Cleavage of caspase-3 was easily detected at concentrations of 2.5 and 10 μM in MEF/PKR+/+ cells but not in MEF/PKR−/− cells, indicating that BEPP can induce apoptosis in MEF/PKR+/+ but not MEF/PKR−/− cells at these concentrations. Western blot analysis of BAX and Bcl-2 showed that, at high concentration (10 μM), BAX expression was increased, whereas Bcl-2 expression decreased in MEF/PKR+/+ cells after treatment with BEPP. The significance of those changes in BAX and Bcl-1 levels was not yet clear. However, treatment with BEPP had no detectable effect on Bax or Bcl2 expression in MEF/PKR−/− cells (Fig. 2a).

The apoptosis induction by BEPP in MEF/PKR+/+ was further confirmed by FACS analysis. We determined the percentage of apoptosis after MEF/PKR+/+ and MEF/PKR−/− cells were treated with different doses of BEPP for 72 h or after the cells were treated with 10 μM BEPP for different times. Cells were then harvested for quantification of apoptotic subdiploid cells by flow cytometry (Fig. 2b, 2c). At 24 and 72 h after treatment with 10 μM BEPP, 32.3% and 84.6% of MEF/PKR+/+ cells, respectively, were in sub-G1 phase. Similarly, dramatic increases of the sub-G1 portion in MEF/PKR+/+ cells were observed at doses of 5 and 10 μM. In contrast, only background levels (less than 5%) of sub-G1 cells were seen in MEF/PKR−/− cells under the same treatment conditions (Fig. 2b, 2c). These results demonstrated that BEPP can induce apoptosis in MEF/PKR+/+ in a dose-dependent and time-dependent manner.

**PKR Activation Is Required for BEPP-Induced Apoptosis**
The induction of apoptosis observed in MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{+/+} but not MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{-/-} cells suggested a role for PKR pathways in BEPP-induced apoptosis. We therefore investigated the changes in PKR, eIF2\textalpha, and cyclin D1 after BEPP treatment. Cells were treated with various concentrations of BEPP for 72 h, and then lysates were analyzed by Western blotting. Control cells were treated with DMSO. The results showed that treatment of MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{+/+} cells with BEPP increased the amounts of phosphorylated PKR and eIF2\textalpha, which were easily detectable at the concentration of 2.5 \textmu mol/L (Fig. 3). Levels of phosphorylated PKR after treatment of BEPP at the dose of 2.5 and 10 \textmu mol/L were increased about 2 and 3.5 folds respectively when determined by a densitometric analysis and normalized with that of \textbeta-actin (Supplementary Figure 1). In contrast, treatment with BEPP did not result in detectable changes of phosphorylated eIF2\textalpha in MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{-/-} cells. Cyclin D1 was decreased after BEPP treatment in MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{+/+} cells, which was consistent with eIF2\textalpha phosphorylation because phosphorylation of eIF2\textalpha results in the inhibition of cyclin D1 translation (Brewer and Diehl, 2000). We also examined the activation of the MAPKs JNK, p38, and AKT after BEPP treatment (Fig. 3). Treatment with BEPP led to an increase in phosphorylated AKT in MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{+/+}. However, changes in phosphorylated JNK did not differ significantly between MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{+/+} and MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{-/-} cells. Moreover, BEPP increased the amount of phosphorylation of p38, but this increase did not differ significantly between MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{+/+} and MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{-/-} cells.

To further investigate the role of PKR activation in BEPP-induced apoptosis, we analyzed the effect of adenovector-mediated transfer of the dominant-negative PKR (Ad/PKR\textsubscript{Δ6}), which can block PKR activation. Cells treated with Ad/LacZ were used as a mock control. MEF/PKR\textsuperscript{+/+} cells were pretreated with 1000 MOI Ad/PKR\textsubscript{Δ6} for 24 h and
then treated with 10 μmol/L BEPP for 72 h. Cells were harvested for FACS analysis to quantify apoptotic cells, or for Western blotting analysis to determine phosphorylated PKR and PKR expression. Pretreatment with Ad/PKRΔ6 but not the control vector dramatically reduced BEPP-induced apoptosis. The sub-G1 percentage decreased from 84.6% to 21.2% (p < 0.01) (Fig. 4a). Moreover, pretreatment of Ad/PKRΔ6 blocked BEPP-induced PKR activation, as evidenced by marked reductions of phosphorylated PKR compared with that of Ad/LacZ-pretreated cells (Fig. 4b). This result indicates that PKR activation is critical for BEPP-induced apoptosis.

**PKR-Overexpressing Cancer Cells Are More Sensitive to BEPP**

We then investigated whether levels of PKR expression may affect the susceptibility to BEPP. For this purpose, we evaluated expression of PKR in the human lung cancer cell lines H1299, H460, H226B, and A549 and in normal human bronchial epithelial (HBE) cells by Western blot analysis. The results showed that H226B cells had higher PKR expression compared with the other four cell lines (Fig. 5a). Next, we determined cell viability of these five cell lines after treatment with BEPP at various concentrations for 72 h. The result showed that BEPP inhibited growth of all five cell lines in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5b). The IC50 values at 72 h for H226B, H460, A549, H1299 and HBE were 4.6, 11.5, 13.8, 15.8, and 15.6 μmol/L, respectively. H226B, which has higher PKR expression than other four cell lines, was more sensitive to BEPP. This result indicates that BEPP or its active analogues might be useful for treatment of cancers with overexpression of PKR.

**Inhibition of Vaccinia Virus Replication by BEPP**
Accumulating evidence has shown that PKR activation is associated with inhibition of virus replication, including Vaccinia virus (Lee and Esteban, 1993). We hypothesized that a PKR-activating compound might suppress virus replication. To test our hypothesis, we evaluated the effect of BEPP on Vaccinia virus replication in human cervical cancer HeLa cells. We first tested the effect of BEPP in HeLa cells. We found that a BEPP concentration of 7.5 μmol/L was suitable for our study because no obvious cytotoxic effect was observed in HeLa cells up to this concentration (data not shown). Next, we pretreated HeLa cells with 7.5 μmol/L BEPP for 48 h and then infected cells with vSP at a dose of 0.2 MOI. Cell lysates were harvested at different points and titrated for vaccine virus by the TCID<sub>50</sub> method. The compound BEEC, which has a structure similar to that of BEPP but which showed no differential cytotoxic effect on MEF/PKR<sup>+/+</sup> and MEF/PKR<sup>-/-</sup> cells, was used as a control compound; it also showed no cytotoxic effect on HeLa cells up to a concentration of 7.5 μmol/L (data not shown). The virus yield in the BEPP pretreatment group was much lower than in the DMSO group or the BECC group (p < 0.01): at day 4 to day 6, the difference was about 100 fold (Fig. 6a). A similar result was observed when vaccinia virus and BEPP were added to HeLa cells at the same time (Supplement Fig. 2). We also harvested cell lysates after infection with vSP for 4 days and tested the status of PKR and eIF2α. BEPP pretreatment resulted in a dramatic increase of phosphorylated PKR and eIF2α (Fig. 6b).
Discussion

PKR is one of the well-characterized molecules induced by INF-γ. The well-known downstream targets of PKR include eIF-2α and the regulatory subunit of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), B56α. Both eIF-2α and B56α, when phosphorylated by PKR, lead to inhibition of protein synthesis, which is critical for PKR-mediated inhibition of virus replication. Whereas inhibition of protein synthesis may contribute to PKR-mediated apoptosis, other mechanisms of PKR-mediated apoptosis have also been reported. In addition to inhibition of protein synthesis, PKR has been reported to increase the expression of pro-apoptotic genes, including Fas and Bax (Balachandran et al., 1998). PKR has also been reported to induce apoptosis by activation of FADD/caspase 8 (Balachandran et al., 1998), by interaction and phosphorylation of p53 and enhancing its transcriptional activity (Cuddihy et al., 1999a; Cuddihy et al., 1999b), and by modulating NFκB activities (Gil et al., 1999; Kumar et al., 1994). Our results showed that BEPP selectively inhibited cell growth and induced apoptosis in MEF/PKR++ cells compared with MEF/PKR+− cells. The IC50 value for MEF/PKR++ was about one tenth of that for MEF/PKR+− cells. Moreover, treatment with BEPP in MEF/PKR++ cells resulted in increased phosphorylation of PKR, and ectopic expression of a dominant-negative PKR can block BEPP-induced apoptosis, demonstrating that BEPP-induced apoptosis is PKR dependent. The fact that BEPP treatment led to an increase of phosphorylation in eIF2α and increased expression of Bax was also consistent with previous reports of PKR-mediated apoptosis (Der et al., 1997; Gil et al., 2002).
Treatment with BEPP resulted in an increase of phosphorylated PKR but not basal PKR, suggesting that the major mechanism of action is activating, but not induction, of PKR. PKR is known to be activated by binding with dsRNA, a vital cellular antiviral response upon viral infection. In addition to activation by virus infection, PKR is activated through various other stimuli, including cytokine, growth factor, and stress signals. It has been reported that PACT, a cellular protein, acts as a protein activator of PKR in response to diverse stress signals such as serum starvation and peroxide or arsenite treatment (Patel and Sen, 1998; Patel et al., 2000). Following exposure of cells to these stress agents, PACT is phosphorylated and associates with PKR with increased affinity. Heterodimerization of PACT with PKR leads to PKR activation in the absence of dsRNA (Li et al., 2006). Moreover, PACT-mediated activation of PKR leads to enhanced eIF2α phosphorylation followed by apoptosis (Patel et al., 2000; Li et al., 2006). Whether PACT is involved in BEPP-mediated PKR activation is not yet clear. Nevertheless, our data suggested treatment with BEPP induced apoptosis in a PKR-dependent manner, as was evidenced by activation of caspase 3 and dramatic increased of apoptotic cells determined flow cytometric assay. However, PKR is known to play important role in other cell death mechanisms, such as autophagy (Talloczy et al., 2002). Whether autophagy is also involved in BEPP-induced cell death remains to be determined.

A small compound that can induce PKR-dependent apoptosis may have two applications. First, such a compound may be useful for treatment of cancers with overexpression of PKR. It has been reported that PKR expression was increased in melanoma, colon cancer, and breast cancer (Kim et al., 2000; 2002). More recently, we have
found that PKR played a role in radioresistance (von Holzen et al., 2007). In this study, we tested whether levels of PKR expression in human lung cancer cell lines may affect their sensitivity to BEPP. We found that H226B cells, which have high levels of PKR compared with other cell lines, were more sensitive to BEPP than were other cell lines tested. This result demonstrated that the PKR level could be a marker of cytotoxic effects for BEPP and its active analogues. Second, because most virus infection can cause activation of PKR, induction of PKR-dependent apoptosis may lead to the death of infected cells, thereby preventing replication of the virus. Indeed, we found that oncolytic vaccinia virus replication was suppressed as much as 99% by pretreatment host cells with BEPP. However, pretreatment of vaccinia virus with BEPP did not have any impact on initial virus infection (data not shown), suggesting BEPP affects vaccinia virus replication rather than infection. The finding was consistent with previous reports that PKR activation can inhibit virus replication, such as that of vaccinia virus, HIV, hepatitis C virus, influenza virus, varicella-zoster, and herpes simplex virus (Adelson et al., 1999; Bergmann et al., 2000; Chang et al., 2006; Desloges et al., 2005; Goodman et al., 2007; Muto et al., 1999; Pflugheber et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2006). Nevertheless, identification of BEPP as a PKR-dependent apoptosis inducer is only the first step in developing novel PKR-targeted therapeutics. Much work remains before BEPP or its analogues can be used as antitumor or antiviral agents.
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Figure Legends

**Fig. 1.** Chemical structure of BEPP (a) and its analogue BECC (b). Effects of BEPP on proliferation of MEF/PKR$^{+/+}$ and MEF/PKR$^{-/-}$ cell lines. Cells were treated with various concentrations of BEPP for 72 h (c) or with 2.5 μmol/L BEPP at the indicated time points of (d). Cell viability was determined by using the SRB assay. Cells treated with DMSO were used as a control, with their viability set at 100%. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

**Fig. 2.** Induction of apoptosis in MEF/PKR$^{+/+}$ and MEF/PKR$^{-/-}$ cell lines. a, MEF/PKR$^{+/+}$ and ME/PKR$^{-/-}$ cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of BEPP for 72 h, and cell lysates were analyzed by Western blotting. Activation of caspase-3 and expression of Bax and Bcl-2 were determined. β-actin was used as a loading control. Arrowheads indicate cleaved proteins. b, Percentage of cells in sub-G1 phase after treatment with the indicated concentrations of BEPP for 72 h. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of data from one of two experiments with similar results. c, Percentage of apoptotic cells with 10 μmol/L BEPP at the indicated times. *$p < 0.01$. d, Histograms derived from flow cytometric analysis.

**Fig. 3.** Effect of BEPP on PKR, eIF2α, AKT, cyclin D1, and MAPK activity. MEF/PKR$^{+/+}$ and MEF/PKR$^{-/-}$ cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of BEPP for 72 h. Whole-cell lysates were analyzed for total and active (phosphorylated) PKR, eIF2α, and cyclin D1, total and active (phosphorylated) AKT, active p38, and JNK by Western blotting. β-actin was used as a loading control.
Fig. 4. Ad/PKRΔ6 inhibited BEPP-induced apoptosis by blocking PKR activation in MEF/PKR+/+ cells. a, Cells were treated with 10 μmol/L BEPP for 72 h after pretreatment with 1000 MOI Ad/PKRΔ6 for 24 h. Ad/ LacZ was used as vector control. Cells were then stained with propidium iodide and analyzed by flow cytometry to determine the percentage of apoptotic cells. Columns, mean of three independent experiments; bars, SD. *p < 0.01. b, Whole-cell lysates treated with the same shown as above were analyzed for total and active (phosphorylated) PKR by Western blotting.

Fig. 5. Cytotoxicity of BEPP in four lung cancer cell lines and in normal bronchial epithelial cells. a, Total PKR expression was determined by Western blot analysis in these cell lines. b, Dose-response to BEPP. Cells were treated with various concentrations of BEPP for 72 h, and cell viability was determined by using the SRB assay. Cells treated with DMSO were used as a control, and their viability was set at 100%. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

Fig. 6. Effect of BEPP on vSP replication in HeLa cells. a, Cells were pretreated with 7.5 μmol/L BEPP for 48 h. DMSO pretreatment was used as a control, and BECC pretreatment was used as a compound control. Cells were then infected with vSP at a dose of 0.2 MOI. Cell lysates were harvested at the indicated time points and titrated using the TCID_{50} method. The virus yield in the BEPP group was much lower than in the DMSO or BECC group (p < 0.01) at day 4 to day 6. b, Cell lysates were harvested after infection of vSP for 4 days. The
lysates were analyzed for total and active (phosphorylated) PKR and eIF2α by Western blotting.
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Figure 4
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![Bar graph showing apoptotic cells percentage.](image)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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<tbody>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
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Western blot for p-PKR, PKR, and β-actin.
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Figure 6
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![Graph showing virus yield (pfu/ml) over days after infection with different treatments: DMSO, BEPP, and BECC.](image)
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![Western blot images for p-PKR, p-eIF2α, and β-actin with different treatments: DMSO, BEPP, and BECC.](image)