Introduction

Patients with schizophrenia experience debilitating cognitive symptoms related to deficits in sensory processing and neural network function (O’Donnell et al., 2013; Javitt and Freedman, 2015; Schuelert et al., 2018; Shen et al., 2020); however, there are currently no approved pharmacotherapies targeting cognitive impairment associated with schizophrenia (CIAS) (Sinkeviciute et al., 2018). Thus, there is a need for better understanding of the mechanisms underlying sensory processing deficits and neural network dysfunction to support the development of effective treatments.

Sensory processing deficits and neural network dysfunction in patients with schizophrenia can be assessed using neurophysiological biomarkers detectable with electroencephalography (EEG).
Since the relevant sensory processes are phylogenetically conserved, these deficits have the potential to be modeled in preclinical studies (Bickel and Javitt, 2009; Rosen et al., 2015; Loidice et al., 2021) for use as translatable biomarkers in the development of novel pharmacotherapies for CIAS (Javitt et al., 2008; Light and Swerdlow, 2015; Kim et al., 2020; Light et al., 2020). Patients with schizophrenia exhibit deficits in sensory gating, the process by which redundant or unnecessary stimuli are filtered out to prevent overload of higher-order processes (Shen et al., 2020). Deficits in auditory event-related potentials (AERPs) in paradigms such as the 40 Hz auditory steady-state response (ASSR), which demonstrates the ability of auditory circuits to support entrainment to temporally or continuous amplitude modulated tones, have been described in patients with schizophrenia (O’Donnell et al., 2013; Javitt and Freedman, 2015). Patients also exhibit disturbances in local field potential oscillations in the gamma frequency range (~25–100 Hz), which are critical for coordinating network activity during typical brain functioning (Schueert et al., 2018) and integral to several aspects of learning and memory (Uhlhaas and Singer, 2010; Cohen et al., 2015; Stefanescu and Shore, 2015).

N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors play a key role in cognition and sensory processing by providing excitatory input to gamma aminobutyric-acid (GABA) inhibitory interneurons, supporting the generation of gamma oscillations in cortical regions (Collingridge et al., 2013; Cohen et al., 2015). Thus, NMDA receptor hypofunction and GABAergic interneuron dysfunction are implicated in the pathophysiology of CIAS (Dauvermann et al., 2017; Uno and Coyle, 2019). NMDA receptor hypofunction is thought to disturb the excitatory/inhibitory (E/I) balance in prefrontal cortex (PFC) through impaired interneuron function resulting in disinhibition of pyramidal cells, leading to the disruption of gamma oscillations and impaired network connectivity in PFC (Gonzalez-Burgos and Lewis, 2012; Lisman, 2012; Cohen et al., 2015; Schoonover et al., 2020).

Postmortem studies of patients with schizophrenia reveal altered expression of NMDA receptor, z-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic receptor subunits, and proteins associated with clustering of both receptors (Beneyto and Meador-Woodruff, 2008; Vrajovi et al., 2010; Forsyth and Lewis, 2017; Uno and Coyle, 2019). Large-scale genomic studies have demonstrated a strong link between schizophrenia and gene variants related to glutamatergic signaling, including the NMDA receptor complex (Kirov et al., 2012; Fromer et al., 2014; Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2014; Forsyth and Lewis, 2017). Moreover, postmortem studies of patients with schizophrenia have found a reduced density of small interneurons and a 73% reduction in GABAergic interneurons expressing the NR2A NMDA receptor subunit in the cingulate cortex (Cohen et al., 2015). These data support a role for inhibitory interneuron dysfunction mediated by NMDA receptor hypofunction in the pathophysiology of CIAS (Dauvermann et al., 2017; Uno and Coyle, 2019).

The link between NMDA hypofunction, E/I imbalance, and CIAS is supported by the effects of noncompetitive NMDA receptor antagonists such as ketamine and phencyclidine, which induce psychosis and schizophrenia-like cognitive symptoms in healthy volunteers and exacerbate symptoms in patients with schizophrenia (Krystal et al., 1994; Moghaddam and Krystal, 2012). NMDA receptor antagonists also induce sensory deficits and neural network dysfunction in animal models and humans similar to those observed in patients. Exposure to NMDA receptor antagonists causes heterogeneous disturbances in gamma oscillations in rodents (Pinault, 2008; Hakami et al., 2009) and healthy volunteers (Hong et al., 2010; Ahnaou et al., 2017), which may impair synchrony and reduce signal-to-noise ratio in neural networks (Hakami et al., 2009). These findings are in line with reports describing atypical gamma oscillations in patients with schizophrenia compared with healthy controls (Cho et al., 2006; Hirano et al., 2015; Tanaka-Koshiyama et al., 2020), although the precise nature of these abnormalities varies across experimental paradigms (Molina et al., 2020).

Therefore, novel pharmacotherapies to ameliorate NMDA receptor hypofunction may have the potential to reverse deficits in sensory processing, restore neural network function, and improve cognition (Collingridge et al., 2013). Examples of novel pharmacotherapies include NMDA receptor positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) that directly activate the NMDA receptor, D-amino acid oxidase (DAAO) inhibitors that increase the synaptic concentration of the NMDA receptor co-agonist D-serine, and kynurenine aminotransferase-2 (KAT-2) inhibitors that decrease the concentration of the endogenous competitive NMDA receptor antagonist kynurenic acid (Yoshida et al., 2019; Pei et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2021; Geoffroy et al., 2022). NMDA receptor PAMs and DAAO inhibitors have reached phase I and II clinical trials, respectively, whereas the KAT-2 inhibitors are still in preclinical development. Another novel strategy to ameliorate NMDA receptor hypofunction, which has progressed into phase III clinical trials, involves increasing the synaptic concentration of glycine, an obligatory co-agonist at NMDA receptors, by inhibition of glycine transporter-1 (GlyT1) (Harvey and Yee, 2013; Pinard et al., 2018; Pei et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2021). Synthetic glycine concentration is regulated by GlyT1, which is expressed both pre- and postsynaptically at glutamatergic synapses and on astrocytes (Zafra and Giménez, 2008; Harvey and Yee, 2013; Marques et al., 2020). Thus, increasing synaptic glycine concentration by inhibiting GlyT1 is thought to enhance NMDA receptor function (Depoortere et al., 2005; Alberati et al., 2012; Harvey and Yee, 2013; Ahnaou et al., 2020), which would be expected to normalize network function and therefore ameliorate cognitive impairment. Several GlyT1 inhibitors have been developed previously and evaluated in clinical trials for indications such as schizophrenia (positive, negative, or cognitive symptoms), obsessive-compulsive disorder, and addiction (Cioffi and Guzzo, 2016; Pei et al., 2021). One of the first GlyT1 inhibitors, the prototype inhibitor sarcosine, showed efficacy for the treatment of positive, negative, and cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia in small clinical trials (Tsai et al., 2004; Lane et al., 2010). However, development of sarcosine and other GlyT1 inhibitors has been later discontinued due to adverse effects, negative results in phase II, or undisclosed reasons (Cioffi and Guzzo, 2016; Pei et al., 2021). The most advanced GlyT1 inhibitor, bitopertin, showed promising phase II results for treatment of negative symptoms in schizophrenia, but efficacy on negative symptoms was not confirmed in larger phase III trials (Pinard et al., 2018).

Iclepertin is a novel GlyT1 inhibitor under development for treatment of CIAS. Previous studies have demonstrated that iclepertin is a potent and selective inhibitor of GlyT1 that shows functional target engagement by increased glycine levels in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of rodents and healthy.
volunteers (Moshetti et al., 2018a,b; Rosenbrock et al., 2018). Furthermore, iclepertin has demonstrated pro-cognitive effects in a phase II trial in patients with schizophrenia (Fleischhacker et al., 2021). Phase III trials investigating the efficacy and safety of iclepertin in patients with schizophrenia are currently underway (NCT04846868, NCT04846881, and NCT04860830). We report preclinical studies characterizing the effects of iclepertin on EEG biomarkers related to sensory processing and neural network function and on rodent cognition tasks related to schizophrenia.

**Materials and Methods**

**Drugs**

Iclepertin (BI 425809) was synthesized at Boehringer Ingelheim Research Italia (Milan, Italy). The molecular structure of iclepertin is shown in Fig. 1. The uncompetitive NMDA receptor antagonist, MK-801, was obtained from Sigma (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France).

**Ethical Considerations**

Procedures involving animals and their care were conducted in conformity with institutional and European Union guidelines (EEC Council Directive 86/609 and Directive 2010/63/EU) and were approved by the ethical committees of the respective regional councils.

**In Vivo Pharmacokinetics of iclepertin in Rats**

To assess plasma exposure of iclepertin, male Han Wistar rats (Charles River, Sulzfeld; 8 weeks old, 250–270 g, n = 3) were treated orally with iclepertin 2.6 mg/kg in a Natrosol (Aschland, Krefeld)/Tween-80 suspension (Dr. W. Kolb AG, Stuttgart) used as vehicle. Iclepertin was suspended under stirring in 0.5% Natrosol/0.01% Tween-80 suspension (Dr. W. Kolb AG, Stuttgart) used as vehicle. Iclepertin was dissolved in 20% HP-β-CD in water. Iclepertin was dissolved in 20% HP-β-CD in water and then sonicated for 10 minutes, resulting in a clear suspension (1/X weighting) was used to directly inject into the LC-MS/MS system. A linear calibration standard (13 concentrations) with 1/X weighting was used to determine iclepertin concentrations over 1–10,000 nmol/l.

**EEG Biomarkers in the MK-801 Challenge Model in Mice**

**Animals.** Male C57BL/6JRj mice (12–14 weeks old, 28–30 g, n = 21) (Janvier Laboratories, Le Genest-Saint-Ilie, France) were group housed prior to surgery (four animals per cage) and single housed after surgery under standard light-dark conditions (12 hour/12 hour, lights on 06:00–18:00) with food and tap water available ad libitum. C57BL/6JRj mice were used as they are well suited for neurophysiological cortical network investigations using EEG techniques (Schuelert et al., 2018). Five epidural EEG electrodes were placed 10 days prior to recording (PFC: anterior posterior (AP) = ±1.7 mm, medial lateral (ML): ±1.0 mm; auditory cortex (AC): AP = ±2.7 mm, ML: ±4.0 mm; reference electrode placement: AP = −5.7 mm, ML ± 0 mm). Blood samples were collected from satellite mice (n = 3 per dose group) to measure plasma drug exposure 60 minutes after subcutaneous administration.

**Procedure.** Mice were placed in a plexiglass cage in an attenuated sound box and allowed to adapt to their environment for 20 minutes before subcutaneous administration of iclepertin 0.3, 1, or 4 mg/kg or vehicle (20% HP-β-CD in water). Iclepertin was dissolved in 20% HP-β-CD in water and then sonicated for 10 minutes, resulting in a clear solution. After 15 minutes, all animals then received a subcutaneous dose of the MK-801 0.15 mg/kg or vehicle (saline). All injections had a total volume of 10 μl/kg. A crossover treatment schedule was used such that each mouse received all treatment conditions separated by a 3-day washout period. EEG, AERP, and auditory evoked oscillation recordings in PFC and AC began 15 minutes after administration of either MK-801 or vehicle, and were conducted as described previously (Schuelert et al., 2018).

**EEG Analysis.** EEG parameters were analyzed as previously described (Schuelert et al., 2018). Briefly, four recording channels (one AC channel and one PFC channel for each hemisphere) and one trigger channel (to identify the timing of tone presentations) were analyzed per animal. The sampling rate of recording channels and trigger channels was set to 1000 Hz. Any EEG recording segments with artifacts were removed. Analyzer 2 software (Brain Products GmbH, Munich, Germany) was used for data analysis.

EEG parameters of interest that were tested using a double-click protocol (Schuelert et al., 2018) included N1 amplitude, N1 gating, and basal and evoked gamma power. N1 (also known as N100) is a large negative potential elicited by sensory stimuli, which peaks approximately 100 milliseconds after stimulus onset in humans (Rosburg et al., 2008; Joos et al., 2014; Du et al., 2017) and 40 milliseconds after stimulus onset in rodents (Aguilar et al., 2021). In this study, N1 was defined as the maximum negative deflection between 30 and 50 milliseconds after the click. A representative trace of the N1 response is shown in Supplemental Fig. 1A. Sensory gating of N1 amplitude between paired click stimuli was measured using the ratio between the N1 amplitude of the response evoked by click 1 and the N1 amplitude of the response evoked by click 2 to evaluate the capacity of the sensory system to filter repeated stimuli. Basal gamma power (50–100 Hz) was defined as power outside of the auditory evoked response (3 seconds before click presentations), whereas evoked gamma power (35–80 Hz) was defined as the total event-related power (evoked plus basal power) minus the basal power. These frequency ranges were selected to demonstrate the largest effect of MK-801 compared with vehicle, thus providing greater sensitivity to detect any effects of iclepertin on MK-801–induced changes in basal and evoked gamma power (Supplemental Fig. 2). ASSR intertrial coherence (ITC) and ASSR evoked gamma power were assessed by presentation of a click train 2 seconds in length with a frequency of 40 Hz. The ASSR paradigm explores cortical capacity to support an entrained 40 Hz oscillation. ASSR ITC is a measure of the phase synchronization of brain oscillations in response to auditory stimuli across trials, indicating the ability of the sensory network to stereotypically process repeated input (Schuelert et al., 2018). A representative trace of the ASSR response is shown in Supplemental Fig. 1B. An ITC of 0 represents an absence of synchrony (no phase locking), whereas a score of 1 equates to perfect synchronization (fully phase-locked).

**Fig. 1.** Structure of iclepertin.
T-Maze Spontaneous Alternation Task in Mice

Animals. Male CD-1 mice (4–5 weeks old, 25–30 g; Janvier Laboratories) were group housed (10 mice per cage) in a temperature-controlled room (21–22°C) on a reversed light-dark cycle (12 hour/12 hour, lights on: 17:30–05:30) with food and water available ad libitum. Satellite mice (n = 3 per dose group) were used for blood sample collection to measure plasma drug exposure 60 minutes after oral administration. CD1 mice were used as they are well suited for the T-maze due to their strong exploratory behavior, especially if tested when in their active phase under a reversed light cycle.

Procedure. Mice (n = 10 in each treatment group) were randomly assigned to receive iclepertin 0.005, 0.05, 0.15, 0.5, 1.5, or 4.5 mg/kg or vehicle (0.5% Natrosol/0.01% Tween-80) orally 60 minutes prior to the T-maze test, in addition to MK-801 0.1 mg/kg or vehicle (saline) administered subcutaneously 30 minutes after administration of iclepertin. Iclepertin was suspended under stirring in 0.5% Natrosol/0.01% Tween-80 in water and then sonicated for 10 minutes, resulting in a fine, slightly cloudy suspension. The T-maze spontaneous alternation task was performed at NeuroFit SAS. (Illkirch, France) using a non-transparent T-maze as previously described (Rosenbrock et al., 2019).

The experimental protocol comprised an individual session with one forced-choice trial proceeded by 14 free-choice trials. During the forced-choice trial, either the left or right arm of the T-maze was blocked to allow mice to explore the open arm. Thereafter, mice were allowed to enter either arm in the 14 free-choice trials. An error response was recorded if a mouse entered the same arm on two subsequent trials. A session ended when 14 free-choice trials had been completed or 10 minutes had elapsed, whichever occurred first. All mice completed the 14 free-choice trials within the 10-minute time frame. Working memory performance was assessed by the percentage of successful alternation over the 14 free-choice trials.

Social Recognition Task in Rats

Animals. The social recognition task was performed in rats rather than mice due to species differences in social cognition; rats are more likely to seek the company of other rats and are less territorial and less aggressive in social contexts than mice. This makes the rat a more appropriate species to address social cognition preclinically (Kent Scientific Corporation, 2019; Kondrakiewicz et al., 2019). Adult male Wistar rats (12–15 weeks old, 300–330 g, n = 72) and juvenile males (23–25 days old, 50–70 g, n = 72) (Janvier, Le Genest-Saint-Ile, France) were group housed (three animals per cage) under standard light-dark conditions (12 hour/12 hour, lights on: 07:00–19:00) with food and water available ad libitum.

Procedure. Animals were randomly assigned to receive iclepertin 0.2 (n = 17), 0.6 (n = 18), or 1.8 mg/kg (n = 18) or vehicle (0.5% Natrosol/0.01% Tween-80; n = 18) administered orally 60 minutes prior to trial 1 (T1) and trial 2 (T2) with a volume of 5 μl/kg. Iclepertin was suspended under stirring in 0.5% Natrosol/0.01% Tween-80 in water and then sonicated for 10 minutes, resulting in a fine, slightly cloudy suspension. Only the adult rats were administered with iclepertin; the juvenile rats received no treatment. The social recognition task was performed as previously described (van der Staay et al., 2008). Briefly, rats were tested in a black square box with the floor covered with bedding material and under dimmed light conditions. A camera above the box recorded video footage for analysis using a DVD recorder. The social recognition test was performed across two consecutive days. On day 1 (T1), a conspecific caged juvenile rat was placed into the testing arena with the adult rat, and they were allowed to interact for 3 minutes. At the end of T1, both rats were returned to their home cage. After 24 hours, the adult rat was reintroduced to the testing arena for another 30 minutes of habituation, whereas juveniles were kept singly housed. After habituation, the familiar juvenile (used in T1) was introduced to the testing arena with the adult rat and allowed to interact for 3 minutes (T2). All digitalized trials were analyzed by a trained observer blinded to the experimental conditions and treatment group. Sniffing and grooming of body parts, anogenital sniffing, and close following were scored. The difference in social investigation time between T1 and T2 was used as an index of social memory performance. Overall investigation time in T1 and T2 was also noted to detect nonspecific treatment effects on arousal or attention.

Statistical Analysis

EEG data were analyzed using a generalized linear model with factors of ‘animal,’ ‘day,’ and ‘treatment.’ Mean and standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) were calculated over all treatment days. To focus on the procognitive effects of iclepertin, only findings from PFC are reported in the main manuscript; EEG data from AC are shown in the supplement. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on the T-maze spontaneous alternation task data with Fisher’s Protected Least Significant Difference for pairwise comparisons. For the rat social recognition task, quantitative data are presented as mean and S.E.M. and were analyzed using two-way ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni tests. The significance threshold was set at P < 0.05 for all analyses.

Results

In Vivo Pharmacokinetics of Iclepertin

Mean plasma concentration of iclepertin over 24 hours in rats after an oral dose of 2.6 mg/kg is shown in Fig. 2. The mean maximum concentration in plasma of 1010 nM was reached at 1.67 hours, and the resulting area under the concentration time-curves from time zero to infinity was 21 400 nM*h. In a previous study, the concentration of iclepertin in CSF, which was used as a surrogate for free brain concentration, was determined on average as 2% of the plasma concentration (Rosenbrock et al., 2018).

Effects of Iclepertin on Sensory Processing and Cortical Network Function in Mice

In PFC, treatment with iclepertin 0.3 (P < 0.05), 1 (P < 0.01), or 4 mg/kg (P < 0.05) significantly reversed MK-801-induced deficits in N1 amplitude and N1 gating (Fig. 3, A and B), whereas iclepertin 0.3 (P < 0.01) or 1 mg/kg (P < 0.05) significantly reversed deficits in 40 Hz ASSR evoked gamma power (Fig. 3C). Iclepertin 1 mg/kg reversed deficits in 40 Hz ASSR ICT (P < 0.05; Fig. 3D) and reversed MK-801–induced increases in basal gamma power (P < 0.05; Fig. 3E).

Similarly, iclepertin 0.3, 1, or 4 mg/kg significantly reversed the effects of MK-801 in AC for N1 amplitude, N1 gating, and basal gamma network function.
Fig. 3. Effects of iclepertin on EEG measurements in PFC. The effects of orally administered iclepertin 0.3, 1, or 4 mg/kg on EEG measurements in PFC in mice after administration of MK-801 0.15 mg/kg or vehicle. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M. (n = 21 for each treatment group). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005 compared with vehicle; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01 compared with MK-801.
power \((P < 0.01; \text{Supplemental Fig. 3})\), but no MK-801-induced deficit compared with vehicle was observed in AC for ASSR evoked gamma power or ASSR TIC (Supplemental Fig. 3, C and D). No MK-801–induced deficits were observed in either brain region for evoked gamma power in the click paradigm; treatment with iclepertin 0.3, 1, or 4 mg/kg significantly increased evoked gamma power compared with vehicle in both PFC and AC \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 3F; Supplemental Fig. 3F})\), whereas iclepertin 0.3 or 1 mg/kg significantly increased evoked gamma power in PFC compared with MK-801 \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 3F})\).

Mean plasma exposures in satellite mice after subcutaneous administration of iclepertin 0.3, 1, and 4 mg/kg were 251, 842, and 2650 nM, respectively. Based on a rat CSF/plasma ratio of 0.02, these values correspond to estimated iclepertin CSF levels in the range of 1- to 10-fold of the GlyT1 half-maximal inhibitory concentration \((IC_{50})\) \((IC_{50} \text{ on GlyT1} = 5.2 \text{ nM; Rosenbrock et al., 2018})\).

**Effects of Iclepertin on Working Memory in Mice**

Administration of MK-801 0.1 mg/kg led to a significant reduction in spontaneous alternation (i.e., the percentage of trials in which correct alternation was observed) from a mean of 67.9% with vehicle to 40.7% with MK-801 \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 4})\), corresponding approximately to chance level (50% correct alternation). Oral treatment of mice with iclepertin at doses of 0.5–4.5 mg/kg led to a significant attenuation or reversal of the MK-801–induced reduction in spontaneous alternation compared with the MK-801–treated group \((n = 10 \text{ animals per group}; P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 4})\). For the assessment of a potential procognitive compound in the T-maze spontaneous alternation test, a pharmacologically induced impairment of performance by an NMDA receptor antagonist such as MK-801 is needed to evaluate the drug effect. This is because naïve mice perform well in the test, with an average alternation of approximately 70% that is unlikely to be substantially further improved by the study drug.

Mean plasma exposures in satellite mice after oral administration of 0.5, 1.5, and 4.5 mg/kg were 51, 509, and 1523 nM, respectively, corresponding to estimated CSF levels of iclepertin in the range of 0.2- to 6-fold of the GlyT1 \(IC_{50}\).

**Effects of Iclepertin on Social Recognition Memory in Rats**

Treatment with iclepertin 0.2, 0.6, and 1.8 mg/kg, but not vehicle, improved social recognition memory as shown by the significant reduction in social investigation times between T1 and T2 for all dose groups (iclepertin 0.2, 0.6 mg/kg; \(P < 0.01\); iclepertin 1.8 mg/kg; \(P < 0.001\); \(\text{Fig. 5})\). As this is a test for assessment of long-term memory (episodic/recognition memory), a potential procognitive compound like iclepertin is tested without an additional pharmacological challenge since the rats are already compromised in their memory performance due to the 24-hour delay between T1 and T2. In addition, there were no significant differences in overall investigation time observed in T1 \((P > 0.2)\), suggesting that there were no detectable nonspecific effects of iclepertin on behavior. Further, there were no overt effects on locomotion or other nonspecific effects of iclepertin observed during T1 and T2.

Based on these findings as well as the previously reported rat CSF/plasma ratio of 0.02 and \(IC_{50}\) for inhibition of GlyT1 by iclepertin of 5.2 nM \((\text{Rosenbrock et al., 2018})\), the doses used in the social recognition test would be expected to result in plasma levels of iclepertin in the range of 180–1800 nM at T1 and in the range of 250–2500 nM at T2. These estimated values correspond to CSF levels of iclepertin in the range of 0.7- to 7-fold of the GlyT1 \(IC_{50}\) at T1 and in the range of 1- to 10-fold of the GlyT1 \(IC_{50}\) at T2. The increase in exposure at T2 of approximately 40% is due to residual exposure after administration at T1 (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Previous studies have demonstrated that the GlyT1 inhibitor iclepertin has shown high potency against GlyT1 \((IC_{50} = 5.0 \text{ nM and 5.2 nM on human and rat GlyT1, respectively})\) and is highly selective, as demonstrated by a lack of activity toward GlyT2 \((IC_{50} > 10 \mu M)\), or 102 other off-targets \((IC_{50} > 10 \mu M)\) \((\text{Rosenbrock et al., 2018})\). Central target engagement of iclepertin was demonstrated by a dose-dependent increase

![Fig. 4](https://example.com/fig4.png) Effects of iclepertin on working memory in mice. The effects of orally administered iclepertin 0.005, 0.05, 0.15, 0.5, 1.5, or 4.5 mg/kg on spontaneous alternation in the T-maze task in mice, after administration of subcutaneous MK-801 0.1 mg/kg or vehicle. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M. \((n = 10 \text{ in each treatment group})\). *\(P < 0.05\) vs. MK-801 0.1 mg/kg/vehicle; #\(P < 0.05\) vs. saline/vehicle.

![Fig. 5](https://example.com/fig5.png) Effects of iclepertin on social recognition in rats. The effects of orally administered iclepertin 0.2, 0.6, or 1.8 mg/kg on social investigation times in rats, compared with vehicle. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M. \((\text{vehicle: } n = 18; \text{iclepertin 0.2 mg/kg: } n = 17; \text{iclepertin 0.6 mg/kg: } n = 18; \text{iclepertin 1.8 mg/kg: } n = 18)\). **\(P < 0.01\), ***\(P < 0.001\); PO, orally administered.
of glycine in rat and human CSF (Rosenbrock et al., 2018), and the compound was generally well tolerated in single and multiple rising dose studies in healthy volunteers at doses and exposure levels expected to be clinically relevant (Moschetti et al., 2018a,b).

In these preclinical studies, iclperatin attenuated deficits in EEG parameters induced by the NMDA receptor antagonist MK-801 and improved working and episodic memory performance in rodent cognition tasks. With regard to quantitative EEG parameters, treatment with iclperatin reversed MK-801–induced deficits in N1 amplitude and N1 gating and significantly reversed an MK-801–induced increase in basal gamma power. Furthermore, iclperatin attenuated MK-801–induced deficits in ASSR ITC and ASSR evoked gamma power and also significantly attenuated an MK-801–induced increase in basal gamma power. Overall, these data suggest a normalization of E/I balance and improved signal-to-noise ratio, based principally on the normalization of N1 gating and basal gamma power alongside an increase in evoked gamma power after treatment with iclperatin.

With regard to cognition, iclperatin reversed MK-801–induced deficits in working memory in the spontaneous alternation task in mice and led to significant improvement of episodic memory function in social recognition in rats using a 24-hour forgetting paradigm (time interval between T1 and T2). Thus, this study demonstrates the ability of iclperatin to reverse deficits in clinically relevant EEG biomarkers and working memory in a rodent model of NMDA receptor hypofunction related to schizophrenia (Deserno et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2022). Iclperatin also improved recognition/episodic memory in naive rats, a memory domain that is also impaired in patients with schizophrenia (Bonner-Jackson et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2019).

The main objective of the EEG part of the study was to test the ability of iclperatin to attenuate cortical network disturbances in a rodent model of NMDA receptor hypofunction related to schizophrenia. Thus, potential effects of iclperatin alone on EEG parameters were not explored. Nonetheless, a previous rodent EEG study using the GlyT1 inhibitor bitopperatin showed similar attenuating effects on MK-801–induced EEG disturbances (Voehringer et al., 2019). In that study, effects of the GlyT1 inhibitor were also investigated without MK-801 challenge; no relevant effects on EEG were observed compared with vehicle, suggesting that iclperatin alone is unlikely to have relevant effects on EEG parameters. Disturbances in sensory processing and neural network function, thought to underlie CIAS, are commonly observed in patients with schizophrenia (O’Donnell et al., 2013; Javitt and Freedman, 2015; Shen et al., 2020). N1 (or N100) is an AERP associated with neurons in primary and association AC, and therefore pathology affecting the connectivity and projections of these areas may reduce N1 responses (Gonzalez-Heydrich et al., 2015). Deficits in auditory N1 amplitude are commonly found in patients with schizophrenia (Rosburg et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2020) and have also been observed in unaffected first-degree relatives of patients, suggesting that these deficits may reflect genetic predisposition for schizophrenia (Ahveninen et al., 2006; Force et al., 2008; Foxe et al., 2011). Patients with schizophrenia also exhibit an impaired ability to filter sensory stimuli, as evidenced by deficits in sensory gating such as decreased N1 gating (Rosburg et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2020). Our findings demonstrate similar MK-801–induced deficits in N1 amplitude and N1 gating in mice, supporting the translatability of our findings. These data are also consistent with a previous EEG study in rodents, in which low doses of MK-801 (0.03 mg/kg) increased N1 amplitude whereas higher doses of 0.1 and 0.3 mg/kg reduced N1 amplitude (Schuelert et al., 2018); this is also in line with reported findings in patients with schizophrenia (Rosburg et al., 2008; Mota et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2020).

Patients with schizophrenia also exhibit robust deficits in 40 Hz ASSR (Sivaraao, 2015), including decreased ITC (Spencer et al., 2009; Mulert et al., 2011; O’Donnell et al., 2013; Hirano et al., 2015; Thuné et al., 2016) and reduced power (Spencer et al., 2009; O’Donnell et al., 2013; Thuné et al., 2016). These deficits are present from the first psychotic episode (Spencer et al., 2008; Wilson et al., 2008), and ITC deficits have been observed before onset in ultrahigh-risk individuals (Tada et al., 2016). In line with these observations, the current study demonstrated MK-801–induced deficits in ASSR evoked gamma power and ITC in PFC in mice. Further, comparable deficits in auditory evoked gamma power and ITC have been shown in a clinical trial in healthy volunteers treated with subanesthetic doses of the NMDA receptor antagonist ketamine (Curic et al., 2019).

The MK-801–induced increase in basal gamma power reported here has also been previously described in mice (Saunders et al., 2012; Hyoshi et al., 2014) and is thought to represent electrophysiological “noise” that impairs detection and discrimination of transient stimuli or event-related changes in gamma oscillations (Sivaraao, 2015; Schuelert et al., 2018). Patients with schizophrenia display similar abnormal increases in basal gamma power (Grent-t’ Jong et al., 2018) as well as deficits in evoked gamma power (Ferrarelli et al., 2008). It should be noted that in the present study, treatment with MK-801 only had an effect on ASSR evoked gamma power in PFC but not in the double-click paradigm. This is in contrast to previous preclinical studies, which have demonstrated an MK-801–induced reduction in evoked gamma power in addition to increased basal gamma power (Saunders et al., 2012; Sokolenko et al., 2019). This disparity may again be attributable to differences in the doses of MK-801 administered; in the present study mice received a 0.15-mg/kg dose of MK-801, whereas in previous studies the reduction in evoked gamma was observed at higher doses of MK-801 (0.3–1 mg/kg) (Saunders et al., 2012; Sokolenko et al., 2019).

Iclperatin showed a relatively consistent pharmacokinetic (PK) exposure-effect relationship in the current study. In all of the models used, efficacy could be achieved at CSF concentrations in the range of approximately 1- to 6-fold of the previously reported IC50 for inhibition of GlyT1 by iclperatin (Rosenbrock et al., 2018), and efficacy on working memory in the T-maze task was achieved even at lower iclperatin exposure levels. These findings are consistent with the results of phase I and II clinical trials (Moschetti et al., 2018a,b; Rosenbrock et al., 2018). In fact, data from a phase I study on functional target engagement in healthy volunteers demonstrated that after a single oral dose of iclperatin, CSF glycine was increased by 50%–60% at iclperatin CSF concentrations approximately equal to the GlyT1 IC50 (Rosenbrock et al., 2018). Furthermore, in the recent phase II trial in patients with schizophrenia, iclperatin improved cognition after 12 weeks of treatment at 10 mg and 25 mg doses (Fleischhacker et al., 2021), corresponding to mean steady-state postdose plasma concentrations of 246 and 529 nM (Fleischhacker et al., 2021). Based on the previously reported human CSF/plasma ratio of approximately 0.08 (Rosenbrock et al., 2018), patients exhibited improvements in cognitive...
function at estimated iclperptin CSF concentrations in the range of 3.5- to 8-fold of the GlyT1 IC_{50}. Thus, the PK exposure-effect relationship in the rodent cognition tasks described here and the previously reported evidence of functional target engagement (Rosenbrock et al., 2018) (i.e., glycine increase in CSF) are in agreement with the PK exposure-effect relationship underlying cognitive improvement in patients with schizophrenia.

Overall, this study demonstrates EEG disturbances in animal models of NMDA receptor hypofunction, indicative of neural network dysfunction similar to those observed among patients with schizophrenia. Our data in this study demonstrate that iclperptin can reverse sensory and neural network deficits related to NMDA receptor hypofunction, indicating its potential for further development as a viable treatment option for CIAS. GlyT1 inhibitors have previously been shown to reverse MK-801-induced deficits in EEG parameters related to lower-frequency oscillations (Depoortere et al., 2005). To our knowledge the present study is the first to report positive effects of a GlyT1 inhibitor on AERPs in an animal model related to schizophrenia, evaluating basal and evoked gamma oscillations and ASSR.

An advantage of exploring the effects of treatment on EEG biomarkers in animal models is that for many relevant biomarkers, homologous or analogous processes can be studied in humans, meaning that EEG data are readily translatable (Javitt et al., 2008). Indeed, our findings are largely consistent with known deficits in patients with schizophrenia, supporting the clinical translatability of these EEG parameters as neurophysiological biomarkers of CIAS (Javitt et al., 2008; Light and Swerdlow, 2015; Kim et al., 2020; Light et al., 2020).

One potential limitation of this study is that the animal models used in this study do not capture all aspects of schizophrenia but instead reflect certain key features of the behavioral phenotype and pathophysiology associated with schizophrenia. Nonetheless, previous studies have shown that MK-801 administration in rodents induces a range of schizophrenia-like behavioral phenotypes, including hyper-locomotor activity, reduced sensorimotor gating, deficits in gamma oscillations and ASSR, decreased social interaction, and cognitive impairments including learning and memory deficits, supporting the validity of this model for drug development in schizophrenia (Bubenikova-Valesova et al., 2008; Svoboda et al., 2015; Schuelert et al., 2018; Lee and Zhou, 2019; Mabunga et al., 2019). It should be noted, however, that the current study assessed gamma oscillations at a higher frequency (basal: 50–100 Hz; evoked: 35–80 Hz) since the effects of MK-801 in rodents are most prominent in this frequency range (Ahnaou et al., 2017; Schuelert et al., 2018) compared with the lower frequencies (< 50 Hz) that are typically analyzed to explore deficits in patients with schizophrenia (Ferrarelli et al., 2008; Gent-Y-Jong et al., 2018).

In conclusion, this study provides additional evidence for the role of NMDA receptor hypofunction in neural network dysfunction and sensory processing deficits relevant to CIAS. Our data also demonstrate that the GlyT1 inhibitor iclperptin can reverse deficits in clinically relevant EEG parameters relating to sensory processing and neural network activity. In addition, the reported data demonstrate that iclperptin has pro-cognitive effects in learning and memory tasks in rodents. The preclinical efficacy demonstrated in this study provides solid support for the development of iclperptin, which is currently being tested in phase III trials (Connex-1 (NCT04846881), Connex-2 (NCT04860830)) as a potential treatment of CIAS.
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