Combining a Candidate Vaccine for Opioid Use Disorders with Extended-Release Naltrexone Increases Protection against Oxycodone-Induced Behavioral Effects and Toxicity
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ABSTRACT

Opioid use disorders (OUDs) and opioid-related fatal overdoses are a significant public health concern in the United States and worldwide. To offer more effective and safer treatments for the management of opioid addiction, a variety of biomedical approaches are under development. Established small-molecule opioid receptor antagonists (e.g., naltrexone) reduce the distribution of opioids to brain and subsequently reduce the associated behavioral and toxic effects. It is of critical importance that opioid receptor antagonists do not interfere with medications that treat OUD. Hence, this study tested the preclinical proof of concept of combining a candidate oxycodone vaccine [oxycodone–keyhole limpet hemocyanin (OXY-KLH)] with a currently approved extended-release naltrexone (XR-NTX) depot formulation in rats. The effects of XR-NTX on oxycodone-induced motor activity and antinociception were first assessed in nonvaccinated naïve rats to establish a baseline for subsequent studies. Next, OXY-KLH and XR-NTX were coadministered to determine whether the combination would affect the efficacy of each individual treatment, and it was found that the combination of OXY-KLH and XR-NTX offered greater efficacy in reducing oxycodone-induced motor activity, thigmotaxis, antinociception, and respiratory depression over a range of repeated or escalating oxycodone doses in rats. These data support the feasibility of combining antibody-based therapies with opioid receptor antagonists to provide greater or prolonged protection against opioid-related toxicity or overdose. Combining antiopioid vaccines with XR-NTX may provide prophylactic measures to subjects at risk of relapse and accidental or deliberate exposure. Combination therapy may extend to other biologics (e.g., monoclonal antibodies) and medications against substance use disorders.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Opioid use disorders (OUDs) remain a major problem worldwide, and new therapies are needed. This study reports on the combination of an oxycodone vaccine [oxycodone–keyhole limpet hemocyanin (OXY-KLH)] with a currently approved OUD therapy, extended-release naltrexone (XR-NTX). Results demonstrated that XR-NTX did not interfere with OXY-KLH efficacy, and combination of low doses of XR-NTX with vaccine was more effective than each individual treatment alone to reduce behavioral and toxic effects of oxycodone, suggesting that combining OXY-KLH with XR-NTX may improve OUD outcomes.

Introduction

Opioid use disorders (OUDs) are a worldwide public health concern, and the World Health Organization estimated that there are 27 million people that suffer from an OUD [WHO, 2018]. In the United States, 2.6 million people are diagnosed with an OUD and at least 50,000 opioid-related fatal overdoses are reported annually (Jones et al., 2018). Clinical and epidemiologic data highlight that current treatment strategies for OUD are still insufficient to curb the occurrence of opioid-related fatal overdoses (Volkow and Collins, 2017). Approved medications for OUD largely consist of small molecule–targeting opioid receptors. Methadone, an opioid agonist, and buprenorphine, a partial opioid agonist, are effective treatments for OUD, but regulations, abuse liability, and diversion complicate their clinical use (Dodrill et al., 2011; Johanson et al., 2012). Naltrexone, an opioid antagonist used to treat OUD, may be less appealing because it lacks agonist replacement effects and requires an abstinence period before treatment can begin. If patients stop taking naltrexone and resume opioid use, there is also an increased risk of overdose due to loss of opioid tolerance (Miottto et al., 1997). The approval of extended-release naltrexone (XR-NTX) formulations reduced overall mortality compared with oral naltrexone therapy (Kelty and Hulse, 2012). An XR-NTX intramuscular depot formulation (Vivitol; Alkermes, Waltham, MA) improved antagonist therapy compliance and retention in treatment (Krupitsky et al., 2011, 2013; Coviello et al., 2012;
DeFulio et al., 2012). This extended-release formulation consisting of NTX embedded in microspheres provides stable plasma NTX concentrations in both animal and human subjects for up to 28 days (Bartus et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2008; Bigelow et al., 2012). XR-NTX decreased hydromorphone effects in human subjects (Bigelow et al., 2012) and blocked fentanyl and hydrocodone effects in rats (Dean et al., 2008). Although XR-NTX improved clinical efficacy of NTX (Krupitsky et al., 2011; Coviello et al., 2012; DeFulio et al., 2012; Kelty and Hulse, 2012), its use and compliance may still be limited by the need for monthly injections and increased likelihood of overdose during relapse or discontinuation of therapy (Kjome and Moeller, 2011). This suggests the need to develop new alternative treatments for OUD and to test their efficacy in combination with current FDA-approved medications.

Vaccines may provide a safe, long-lasting complementary option to treat OUD. Active immunization with opioid-based conjugate vaccines elicits antibodies that selectively bind the target opioid in serum and extracellular fluids and effectively reduce opioid distribution to brain, ultimately blunting opioid-induced behavior and toxicity (Bonese et al., 1974; Anton and Leff, 2006; Stowe et al., 2011; Pravetoni et al., 2012b). Conjugation of an oxycodone (OXY)-based hapten to native or good manufacturing practice–grade subunit keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) carrier protein generated a vaccine (OXY-KLH) that reduced the distribution of oxycodone and hydrocodone to brain and their subsequent behavioral effects in mice and rats, including acquisition of oxycodone self-administration and oxycodone-induced gene expression in the midbrain (Pravetoni et al., 2013, 2014a,b; Raleigh et al., 2017; Robinson et al., 2019). Similarly, an analogous oxycodone vaccine reduced maintenance of oxycodone intravenous self-administration (Nguyen et al., 2018). Although antidrug vaccines have been highly effective in rodents and primates, clinical trials for nicotine and cocaine vaccines showed proof of efficacy in a subset of high responders (Cornsuz et al., 2008; Martell et al., 2009; Hatsuakami et al., 2011). To increase efficacy against OUD, combining vaccination and XR-NTX could circumvent the shortcomings of both antibody–and small molecule–based interventions.

The goal of this study was to test whether opioid receptor antagonist therapy could be combined with vaccines against opioids to provide better protection against OUD and possibly overdose. To this end, OXY-KLH and XR-NTX were administered alone or in combination to evaluate their effect on oxycodone-induced antinociception, motor activity, respiratory depression, and bradycardia. Results demonstrated that XR-NTX did not impair oxycodone-specific antibody responses to OXY-KLH and did not interfere with the effects of vaccination on preventing oxycodone-induced motor activity. The combination therapy offered better protection than either treatment alone against opioid-induced locomotor activity, respiratory depression, and bradycardia after repeated exposure to high doses of oxycodone. Although it is acknowledged that combining vaccines with antagonists may limit analgesic options for patients, these data suggest that combination of an anti-opioid vaccine with XR-NTX may enhance efficacy of either treatment and may provide extra protection during non-compliance or in treatment-resistant patients.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Male Holtzman rats (300–324 g at arrival) were purchased from Envigo (Indianapolis, IN) housed in pairs on a 12/12 hour light/dark schedule and food restricted to 18 g/day of standard rat chow. Housing and feeding conditions replicated previous preclinical studies of the OXY-KLH vaccine (Pravetoni et al., 2012a, 2013). This study was performed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. Animal protocols were approved by the Hennepin Healthcare Research Institute Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Drugs**

Oxycodone was obtained through Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Oxycodone doses and concentrations were expressed as the weight of the base. Extended-release naltrexone (Vivitrol; Alkermes) was obtained through the Hennepin County Medical Center pharmacy, and its dose was expressed as the weight of the microsphere as provided by the manufacturer.

**Vaccine**

An oxycodone-based hapten containing a tetraglycine linker at the C6 position (OXY) was first synthesized and then conjugated to chicken ovalbumin (OVA) or the KLH carrier proteins (Pravetoni et al., 2012a). Rats were immunized with either a decamer native KLH or a good manufacturing practice–grade subunit KLH (dimer KLH, Stellar Biotechnologies). OXY-KLH was mixed with Freund’s adjuvant and administered intraperitoneally as described (Pravetoni et al., 2012a,b). In the final experiment, OXY-KLH was instead adsorbed onto Alhydrogel “85” 2% aluminum hydroxide (Sergeant Chem, Clifton, NJ) and administered intramuscularly as described (Raleigh et al., 2017, 2018) to determine whether XR-NTX affected immunogenicity of a clinically relevant OXY-KLH formulation. To measure oxycodone-specific serum IgG antibodies postimmunization, ELISA 96-well plates were coated with OXY-OVA or unconjugated OVA control in carbonate buffer and blocked with 1% gelatin. Primary antibodies were incubated with goat anti-rat IgG antibodies to measure oxycodone-specific serum IgG antibody titers, as described previously (Pravetoni et al., 2012a).

**Opioid Level Analysis**

Oxycodone concentrations were measured by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry as previously described (Raleigh et al., 2017, 2018) and represented as total drug levels. Briefly, trunk blood was centrifuged at 3100g for 3 minutes at 4°C, and serum was collected. Brains were rinsed with distilled water and patted dry. Serum and brains were stored at −20°C until analysis.

**Opioid-Induced Motor Activity and Thigmotaxis**

Motor activity studies were conducted during the light cycle. Rats were first given 1 hour to habituate after transport to the behavioral testing room and then injected with saline or oxycodone, according to the experimental design, and placed in an open field (ENV-510S; Med Associates, St. Albans, VT). The entire apparatus was enclosed in a sound-attenuating cubicule. Locomotor activity was monitored via infrared beam breaks and recorded by Activity Monitor 5.0 software (Med Associates). The thigmotaxis index was calculated as the ratio of the distance traveled in the external area to the one traveled in the center, which could be set in the software as a virtual divide (Pravetoni and Wickman, 2008).

**Opioid-Induced Respiratory Depression and Bradycardia**

After 1 hour of habituation to the behavioral room, baseline % arterial oxygen saturation (SaO2) and heart rate were assessed.
using a MouseOx (STARR Life Sciences Corp., Oakmont, PA) monitor collar placed around the neck of awake, freely moving rats \((n = 8\) each group). Rats further received oxycodone every 17 minutes subcutaneously so that their cumulative oxycodone doses at successive intervals were 2.25, 4.5, and 9.0 mg/kg. Fifteen minutes after each oxycodone injection, 10-second average \% \(\text{SaO}_2\) and heart rate were again measured, which took 2 minutes for each animal, resulting in the 17-minute oxycodone-dosing interval. When the last dose was administered, data were recorded twice 15 minutes apart.

**Experiments**

**Experiment 1: Effect of Oxycodone on Motor Activity and Thigmotaxis Index in Nonimmunized Rats.** Effect of oxycodone \((0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0 \text{ mg/kg, s.c.})\) on motor activity was established in nonvaccinated naïve rats \((n = 7\) per dose) to determine oxycodone doses to be used in subsequent studies. Rats were first given saline and habituated to the testing environment and activity chambers on three consecutive daily sessions \(\text{(test days 1, 2, and 3)}\). Oxycodone-induced motor activity was then assessed on alternate sessions \(\text{(test days 4, 7, 9, and 11)}\). All testing sessions started immediately after injection, and activity was recorded for 90 minutes. See Fig. 1 for an overview of all experiments.

**Experiment 2: Effect of XR-NTX on Oxycodone-Induced Motor Activity, Thigmotaxis Index, Antinociception, and Oxycodone Distribution in Nonvaccinated Naïve Rats.** The effect of XR-NTX \((\text{Alkermes})\) on oxycodone-induced motor activity, thigmotaxis index, and oxycodone antinociception was evaluated in nonvaccinated naïve rats. Rats \((n = 8–16\) per group) received either saline or a range of XR-NTX doses \((0, 1, 2.5, 5, 25, \text{ and 50 mg/kg, i.m.})\) on days 0 and 36 to ensure consistent naltrexone serum concentrations throughout the entire study, because it has been shown that XR-NTX’s behavioral effects and serum naltrexone are no longer detectable by day 36 \((\text{Bartus et al., 2003)}\). This formulation has been previously administered to rats at a dose of 50 mg/kg i.m., which has been shown to elicit serum naltrexone concentrations of 4–15 ng/ml for 28 days in rats shortly after administration \((\text{Bartus et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2008)}\), which are similar to the therapeutic range observed in humans treated with the same formulation \((\text{Bigelow et al., 2012)}\). Rats received saline on days 7, 8, and 9. Rats received 0.5 mg/kg oxycodone s.c. on days 10, 13, 15, 17, and 20. The oxycodone dose was chosen because it elicited a substantial increase in motor activity in a pilot study \(\text{(Supplemental Fig. 1)}\). The thigmotaxis index was calculated for each dose of XR-NTX. Two rats had very high thigmotaxis indices \((46.5 \text{ and } 168.5)\) in the 5 mg/kg group that were unexplained \(\text{(Supplemental Fig. 1)}\).

**Experiment 3: Effect of High Doses of XR-NTX on Oxycodeone-Induced Motor Activity in Rats Immunized with OXY-KLH.** The effect of mid and high doses of XR-NTX \((5 \text{ or } 50 \text{ mg/kg})\) on OXY-KLH vaccine behavioral efficacy was tested in rats to assess whether combining antagonist with vaccination would show increased efficacy compared with each individual treatment. Groups \((n = 8\) per group) were as follows: 1) KLH + saline, 2) KLH + XR-NTX, 3) OXY-KLH + saline, and 4) OXY-KLH + XR-NTX. Rats received either saline or 50 mg/kg XR-NTX i.m. at 0 and 27 days. Concurrently, rats were immunized with either 100 \(\mu\text{g}\) of the OXY-KLH vaccine or the unconjugated KLH administered on days 0, 21, and 42 in Freund’s adjuvant as previously described \((\text{Pravetoni et al., 2012a)}\). On day 48, rats were tailbled for titers. On day 55, rats were tested for antinociception as described in Experiment 2. On days 60, 61, 62, 63, 66, 68, and 70, rats were tested in motor activity chambers with saline for the first three sessions and then challenged with oxycodone \((0.5 \text{ mg/kg, s.c.})\) for the next four sessions. Because 50 mg/kg XR-NTX completely blunted oxycodone-induced motor activity, rats were held for 1 month before retesting at a lower XR-NTX dose. Rats were vaccinated on days 83 and 104. Rats received a 5 mg/kg i.m. dose of XR-NTX on study day 98. On days 116, 117, 118, 119, 122, 124, and 126, rats were tested in motor activity chambers with saline for the first three sessions and then challenged with oxycodone \((0.5 \text{ mg/kg, s.c.})\) for the next four sessions.

**Experiment 4: Effect of Low Doses of XR-NTX on Oxycodeone-Induced Motor Activity and Thigmotaxis in Rats Immunized with OXY-KLH.** The effect of a low dose of XR-NTX \((2.5 \text{ mg/kg})\) on OXY-KLH vaccine behavioral efficacy was tested in rats to assess whether combining antagonist with vaccination would show increased efficacy compared with each individual treatment. To this end, a dose of XR-NTX \((2.5 \text{ mg/kg, i.m.})\) was used because it produced a suboptimal reduction of oxycodone-induced motor activity. Rats received either saline or XR-NTX intramuscularly on days 0, 42, and 70. Concurrently, these rats were immunized with either 100 \(\mu\text{g}\) of the OXY-KLH vaccine or the unconjugated KLH on days 0, 21, 42, and 72 in Freund’s adjuvant as previously described \((\text{Pravetoni et al., 2012a)}\). Groups \((n = 8\) per group) were designated as follows: 1) KLH + saline, 2) KLH + XR-NTX, 3) OXY-KLH + saline, and 4) OXY-KLH + XR-NTX. On day 49, rats were tailbled for titers. Rats were first tested for baseline motor activity after subcutaneous saline injections of 1 ml/kg on days 53, 54, and 55, which were followed by oxycodone-induced motor activity \(\text{(as described above in Opioid-Induced Motor Activity and Thigmotaxis)}\) after subcutaneous injections of 0.5 mg/kg oxycodone on days 56, 59, 61, 63, and 66. To demonstrate increased efficacy of a combination with XR-NTX and vaccination, rats were subsequently challenged with 1.0 mg/kg oxycodone s.c. on testing days 73, 75, and 77 and 2.0 mg/kg on day 80. All sessions recorded motor activity and thigmotaxis.

**Experiment 5: Effect of Vaccination with OXY-KLH, Alone or in Combination with XR-NTX, on Oxycodeone-Induced Motor Activity, Respiratory Depression, and Bradycardia.** Rats received 2.25 mg/kg XR-NTX i.m. at 0 and 36 days. Concurrently, rats were immunized intramuscularly with either 60 \(\mu\text{g}\) of the OXY-KLH vaccine or the unconjugated KLH administered at days 0, 21, and 42. Aluminum hydroxide \((90 \mu\text{g})\) was used as the adjuvant. Groups \((n = 8\) per group) were as follows: 1) KLH + saline, 2) OXY-KLH + saline, and 3) OXY-KLH + XR-NTX. On day 49, rats were tailbled for titers. One week later, rats were given saline on three consecutive habituation sessions \(\text{(days 53, 54, and 55)}\).
Fig. 1. Design overview of Experiments 1 through 5. XR-NTX doses were given intramuscularly with subscripts denoting milligrams per kilogram dose received. Sal, saline administration. The gap in the x-axis in Experiment 2 depicts a separate cohort of animals. HP + PK refers to antinociceptive testing after subcutaneous administration of 2.25 mg/kg oxycodone on the hot-plate (HP) set to 54°C, which was followed by blood and brain collection, whereas PK2.25 refers to the pharmacokinetics (PK) study. For Experiments 3 through 5, vaccinations (Vax) are shown as Vax # with # denoting order of vaccination and subscripts denoting Freund’s Adjuvant (FA) or aluminum (Al). Blood draws for titer analyses are shown as “Titers.” Motor activity sessions are shown from start to finish, with subscripts denoting subcutaneous oxycodone doses. %SaO2 + HR refer to oximetry and bradycardia (HR, heart rate) testing in Experiment 5.
and 55). On testing days 56, 59, 61, 63, 66, 68, and 70, rats were given escalating doses of oxycodone subcutaneously (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, and 3.5 mg/kg, respectively) to track a dose-dependent variation in motor activity. On day 73, rats were tested for oxycodone-induced respiratory depression and bradycardia (as described above in Opioid-Induced Respiratory Depression and Bradycardia) 15 minutes after each dose of oxycodone that represented cumulative doses of 2.25, 4.5, and 9 mg/kg. Oxygen saturation and bradycardia were also measured 30 minutes after administration of 9 mg/kg oxycodone.

Statistical Analyses and Calculations

One- or two-way ANOVA paired with Dunnett’s or Bonferroni post hoc tests, respectively, were used to compare oxycodone antinociception, serum and brain distribution, and oximetry data across groups. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons post hoc test was used to compare antinociceptive effects between groups in Experiment 3. Pharmacokinetic parameters were compared between saline and XR-NTX–treated groups using unpaired t tests, with Welch’s correction being used to compare groups. All statistics were performed with GraphPad Prism (version 8.0; La Jolla, CA).

Results

Experiment 1: Oxycodone-Induced Motor Activity and Thigmotaxis Index in Nonimmunized Rats. The effect of varying doses of oxycodone on total traveled distance in motor activity chambers was characterized in the absence of other treatments to determine baseline values (Supplemental Fig. 1A). Two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVAs showed a significant effect of time (F(6,162) = 32.92; P < 0.0001) and interaction (F(24,162) = 3.56; P < 0.0001) but not treatment (F(4,162) = 2.16). The 0.25 and 0.50 mg/kg oxycodone doses did not significantly increase motor activity compared with the saline control group. The 0.75 mg/kg oxycodone dose increased activity on test day 7 (t(4) = 3.42; P < 0.05) and days 9 and 11 (respectively, t(4) = 4.61 and 4.48; P < 0.001). The 1.0 mg/kg oxycodone dose increased motor activity on test days 9 and 11 (t(4) = 3.53 and 3.49; P < 0.05) compared with saline controls; however, this dose did not further increase motor activity compared with 0.75 mg/kg. Based on this preliminary study, subsequent testing of the efficacy of XR-NTX, vaccination, or their combination in blocking oxycodone-induced motor activity started from a midrange dose of 0.5 mg/kg oxycodone. Thigmotaxis index increased as a function of the oxycodone dose (Supplemental Fig. 1B), with one-way ANOVA showing a significant effect of treatment (F(4,47) = 3.291; P < 0.001) and a significant increase after 0.75 mg/kg oxycodone dose compared with control (q = 4.94; P < 0.05).

Experiment 2: Effect of XR-NTX on Oxycodone-Induced Motor Activity, Thigmotaxis Index, Antinociception, and Oxycodone Distribution in Nonvaccinated Naïve Rats. Administration of XR-NTX dose-dependently reduced oxycodone-induced motor activity (Fig. 2A). Two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant effect of time (F(7,406) = 54.98; P < 0.0001), treatment (F(5,58) = 9.45; P < 0.0001), and interaction (F(35,406) = 6.24; P < 0.001) on oxycodone-induced activity. XR-NTX significantly decreased oxycodone-induced motor activity at all doses at and above 5 mg/kg (t = 4.01–6.97; P < 0.01). One-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of treatment (F(3,2169) = 14.44; P < 0.01) on thigmotaxis index. All doses of XR-NTX, except 1.0 mg/kg, were associated with a significant reduction (respectively, 2.5–50 mg/kg, t = 4.84–7.23; P < 0.001) of the thigmotaxis index compared with saline control (Fig. 2B). XR-NTX administration reduced oxycodone antinociception (Fig. 2C). One-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of treatment (F(5,58) = 22.43; P < 0.0001) on nociception in the hot-plate test. All doses of XR-NTX, except 1.0 mg/kg, significantly reduced oxycodone antinociception (2.5 mg/kg, q = 2.7; P < 0.05; and 5–50 mg/kg, q = 6.96–7.5; P < 0.0001, respectively).

One-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of treatment on serum oxycodone distribution (F(3,28) = 7.51; P < 0.001, Fig. 2D) and brain (F(3,28) = 5.37; P < 0.01, Fig. 2E). The two highest doses of XR-NTX (25 and 50 mg/kg) significantly reduced oxycodone in serum (q = 3.83 and 4.29; P < 0.01 and P < 0.001, respectively) and brain (q = 2.74 and 3.61; P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively) 30 minutes after subcutaneous injection of 2.25 mg/kg oxycodone.

In rats, pretreatment with 50 mg/kg XR-NTX significantly reduced cmax, AUC, and Cl/F after a 2.25 mg/kg, s.c. oxycodone challenge compared with saline pretreated rats (Table 1). Two-way ANOVA showed an effect of time (F(6,53) = 24.16; P < 0.0001) but no effect on treatment or interaction (Fig. 2F). No differences between groups were detected at any single time point.

Experiment 3: Effect of High Doses of XR-NTX on Oxycodone-Induced Motor Activity in Rats Vaccinated with OXY-KLH. Oxycodone-specific antibody titers in OXY-KLH– and OXY-KLH + XR-NTX (50 mg/kg)–treated rats were 41 ± 16 × 103 and 84 ± 52 × 103, respectively (mean ± S.D.). There were no differences in titers between groups (Supplemental Fig. 3). Only XR-NTX and OXY-KLH + XR-NTX showed reduction in oxycodone-induced antinociception on the hot-plate (Supplemental Fig. 4). After subcutaneous administration of 0.5 mg/kg oxycodone, two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVAs showed a significant effect on time (F(1,954, 54.70) = 24.08; P < 0.0001), treatment (F(3, 28) = 20.76; P < 0.0001), and interaction (F(18, 168) = 8.74; P < 0.0001) (Fig. 3A) on motor activity. Vaccination with OXY-KLH alone had no effect on motor activity compared with KLH-vaccinated rats. Rats treated with XR-NTX + OXY-KLH showed a significantly reduction in oxycodone-induced motor activity on every test day (t(43 days) = 3.464, t(46 days) = 3.454, t(48 days) = 5.010, t(50 days) = 3.520; P < 0.05) compared with saline control as well as after XR-NTX alone (t(43 days) = 4.057, t(46 days) = 3.891, t(48 days) = 5.382, t(50 days) = 4.176; P < 0.05).

After 1 month, rats were revaccinated and received a lower dose of XR-NTX (5 mg/kg). After subcutaneous administration of 0.5 mg/kg oxycodone, two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant effect on time (F(6, 167) = 19.10; P < 0.0001), treatment (F(3, 28) = 3.377; P < 0.05), and interaction (F(18, 167) = 2.315; P < 0.01) (Fig. 3B). Vaccination with OXY-KLH alone had no effect on motor activity compared with KLH-vaccinated rats. Rats treated with XR-NTX plus OXY-KLH showed a significantly reduction in oxycodone-induced motor activity on every test day (t(119 days) = 3.632, t(122 days) = 2.937, t(124 days) = 2.626, t(126 days) = 2.727; P < 0.05) compared with saline control as well as after XR-NTX alone on 2 days (t(122 days) = 2.640, t(124 days) = 2.839; P < 0.05).
Fig. 2. Effect of XR-NTX on oxycodone-induced motor activity, thigmotaxis index, antinociception, and distribution in naïve rats. Rats (n = 8 each group) received 0, 1, 2.5, 5, 25, or 50 mg/kg XR-NTX (i.m.). (A) Rats then received 0.5 mg/kg oxycodone s.c, and their activity was measured for 90 minutes. (B) Thigmotaxis measurements were recorded during motor activity. (C) Antinociception was measured using the hot-plate (set to 54°C) 30 minutes after subcutaneous administration of 2.25 mg/kg oxycodone. (D and E) Serum and brain oxycodone levels were measured after antinociception. (F) Separately, nonvaccinated naïve rats (n = 8) were administered either 50 mg/kg XR-NTX or saline, and blood was collected at 15, 30, 60, 120, and 180 minutes. Data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. Thigmotaxis index was calculated as the ratio of the distance traveled in the external area to the one traveled in the center. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test (A and F) or one-way ANOVA using Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test (B–E) was used to compare groups to saline. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001 from saline control. MPE, maximum possible effect.
TABLE 1
Effect of XR-NTX on pharmacokinetic parameters of oxycodone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>t Value</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Half-life (h)</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.4525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmax (h)</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmax (ng/ml)</td>
<td>440.8 ± 112.1</td>
<td>309.1 ± 64.8</td>
<td>3.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC0-inf (h*ng/ml)</td>
<td>798.5 ± 217.0</td>
<td>525.4 ± 158.5</td>
<td>2.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.72</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.9395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vd/F (l/kg)</td>
<td>4.33 ± 1.92</td>
<td>5.81 ± 1.47</td>
<td>1.1806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl/F (l/h per kilogram)</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.77</td>
<td>4.61 ± 1.27</td>
<td>3.143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimates for pharmacokinetic parameters include half-life; AUC0-inf, AUC from zero to infinity; MRT, mean residence time; tmax time to reach cmax; Vd/F, volume of distribution over the fraction absorbed; Cl/F, clearance over the fraction absorbed.

P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 compared with saline treatment.

Experiment 4: Effect of Low Doses of XR-NTX on Oxycodone-Induced Activity and Thigmotaxis in Rats Immunized with OXY-KLH. Oxycodone-specific antibody titers in OXY-KLH and OXY-KLH + XR-NTX (2.5 mg/kg) were 207 ± 69 × 10^3 and 98 ± 80 × 10^3, respectively (mean ± S.D.), which were significantly different (P < 0.05, Supplemental Fig. 3). In rats repeatedly challenged with 0.5 mg/kg oxycodone s.c. (Fig. 4A), two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant effect on time (F(7,189) = 46.54; P < 0.0001), treatment (F(3,27) = 15.21; P < 0.0001), and interaction (F(21,189) = 9.2; P < 0.001). Vaccination with OXY-KLH alone and in combination with XR-NTX significantly reduced oxycodone-induced motor activity compared with KLH controls (P < 0.001).

Subsequently, on testing days 73–77, rats were challenged with 1.0 mg/kg oxycodone s.c. (Fig. 4B). Two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant main effect of treatment (F(3,26) = 4.17; P < 0.05). Treatment with OXY-KLH combined with XR-NTX reduced oxycodone-induced motor activity compared with KLH controls on testing days 73 and 75 (t(73 days) = 3.85; P < 0.01, t(75 days) = 3.27; P < 0.05, respectively). No other differences were observed. There was no effect of vaccine or XR-NTX on oxycodone-induced thigmotaxis (Fig. 4C). Rats were then challenged with a final dose of 2 mg/kg oxycodone, s.c. but no differences were detected between groups (Fig. 4D).

Experiment 5: Effect of Vaccination with OXY-KLH, Alone or in Combination with XR-NTX, on Oxycodone-Induced Motor Activity, Respiratory Depression, and Bradycardia. Oxycodone-specific antibody titers in OXY-KLH and OXY-KLH + XR-NTX (2.25 mg/kg) were 203 ± 98 × 10^3 and 136 ± 76 × 10^3, respectively (mean ± S.D.). There were no differences in titers between groups (Supplemental Fig. 3). Rats (n = 8 each group) were first given saline on three consecutive habituation sessions. On alternate sessions afterward rats were administered 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, and 3.5 mg/kg of oxycodone (s.c.) to track dose-dependent variations in motor activity brought on by oxycodone. Two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant effect of time (F(7, 147) = 12.23) but not of treatment and interaction on oxycodone-induced activity (Fig. 5A). Because of the motor depressive effects of oxycodone at 2.5 mg/kg and above, the data were split and examined from 0 to 2 mg/kg oxycodone dosing (Supplemental Fig. 5 shows motor activity up to 3.5 mg/kg). Two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant effect of time (F(4, 84) = 24.42) but not of treatment and interaction on oxycodone-induced activity. Combination of XR-NTX and OXY-KLH vaccine showed a significant effect on reducing oxycodone-induced motor activity after 1.0 mg/kg oxycodone administration (t = 3.08; P < 0.01) compared with KLH control. No other differences were observed.

Three days after motor activity testing, rats were tested for oxycodone-induced respiratory depression and bradycardia 15 minutes after each dose of oxycodone that represented cumulative doses of 2.25, 4.5, and 9 mg/kg. Two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVA also showed a significant effect of oxycodone dose on % SaO2 (F(4, 104) = 25.43; P < 0.0001) and heart rate (F(4, 104) = 7.316; P < 0.0001). Two-way (treatment × time) repeated-measures ANOVA also showed a significant effect of treatment on % SaO2 (F(2, 104) = 14.36; P < 0.0001) and heart rate (F(2, 104) = 9.283; P < 0.0001). There was no significant interaction for either oxycodone dose or treatment. Combination of XR-NTX and OXY-KLH showed higher % SaO2 levels after oxycodone administration of 4.5 mg/kg (t = 2.841; P < 0.05), 915 minutes mg/kg (t = 4.229; P < 0.001), and 930 minutes mg/kg (t = 4.091; P < 0.001) compared with KLH control (Fig. 5B). Both KLH and OXY-KLH showed reduced % SaO2 levels at 4.5 mg/kg (t = 3.981, t = 3.116; t = 5.102; P < 0.05), 915 minutes mg/kg (t = 3.397; t = 3.081, t = 7.427; P < 0.05), and 930 minutes mg/kg (t = 3.981; t = 3.116; t = 5.102; P < 0.05) compared with baseline levels, whereas combination of XR-NTX and OXY-KLH showed reduced % SaO2 levels only at 915 minutes mg/kg (t = 3.589; P < 0.05).

Combination of XR-NTX and OXY-KLH reduced the extent of bradycardia induced by 9 mg/kg oxycodone (t = 2.917, t = 2.881; P < 0.05, 15 and 30 minutes after injection of the drug, respectively) compared with the KLH control and compared with OXY-KLH alone (t = 3.358; P < 0.01) (Fig. 5C). Only KLH alone reduced % SaO2 levels at 915 minutes mg/kg (t = 3.281; P < 0.05) and at 930 minutes mg/kg (t = 3.587; P < 0.05) compared with baseline levels.

Discussion

This study provided preclinical proof of concept for combination therapy against OUD by coadministration of an FDA-approved extended-release antagonist formulation and a candidate vaccine against the prescription opioid oxycodone. The major findings were: 1) XR-NTX blocked oxycodone-induced motor activity and oxycodone antinociception in rats
To develop an animal model to test the effect of combining antipiotioid vaccines with XR-NTX and compare their efficacy to each individual treatment, the effects of XR-NTX on oxycodone-induced motor activity and hot-plate analgesia were tested in rats. Very low doses of naltrexone (naltrexone:oxycodone dose ratio of 1:100) have been shown to enhance the motor activity induced by oxycodone (Leri and Burns, 2005). Higher naltrexone doses used in the current study (naltrexone:oxycodone dose ratio between 1:1.5 and 1:25) reduced oxycodone-induced locomotor activity in a dose-dependent manner. Yet, differences in experimental design complicate interpretation of preclinical data across studies. Abstinence from opioid use after XR-NTX has been demonstrated in humans with doses of 380 mg [5.4 mg/kg in a 70-kg human, (Nunes et al., 2015)], suggesting that these higher XR-NTX doses, as those used in the current study, are more typical of those used clinically. Combining XR-NTX and vaccine concurrently so that lower doses of both could be used and side effects minimized would be the ultimate goal.

XR-NTX dose-dependently decreased oxycodone-induced antinociception in the hot-plate test. Although this effect has not previously been demonstrated using oxycodone, similar effects have been shown for XR-NTX against morphine, fentanyl, and hydrocodone (Bartus et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2008). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX (50 mg/kg) were used to demonstrate that XR-NTX can block antinociception. The current data extend this range of efficacy to much lower XR-NTX doses (down to 2.5–5 mg/kg). However, different opioids may require more or less XR-NTX depending on their relative potency at opioid receptors.

Unexpectedly, XR-NTX dose-dependently decreased distribution of oxycodone to serum and brain in rats. A second experiment showed that XR-NTX reduces the $c_{\text{max}}$, clearance, and AUC after subcutaneous administration of oxycodone. These findings suggest that XR-NTX alters the pharmacokinetics of oxycodone and the overall exposure of oxycodone in rats. It is not clear why XR-NTX produces these effects. Although it is possible that XR-NTX affected oxycodone absorption from the injection site into blood in this study, it is unlikely because XR-NTX and oxycodone were administered at different sites and times. Another possibility is that naltrexone interfered with analysis of oxycodone; however, this was not seen in preliminary in vitro studies. Although XR-NTX could have increased oxycodone clearance, accounting for the reduced oxycodone brain concentration in presence of naltrexone could be due to an effect of naltrexone on opioid transport across the blood brain barrier (BBB). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX were tested in rats. Very low doses of naltrexone (naltrexone:oxycodone dose ratio between 1:1.5 and 1:25) reduced oxycodone-induced locomotor activity and hot-plate analgesia. Although this effect has not previously been demonstrated using oxycodone, similar effects have been shown for XR-NTX against morphine, fentanyl, and hydrocodone (Bartus et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2008). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX (50 mg/kg) were used to demonstrate that XR-NTX can block antinociception. The current data extend this range of efficacy to much lower XR-NTX doses (down to 2.5–5 mg/kg). However, different opioids may require more or less XR-NTX depending on their relative potency at opioid receptors.

Although it is possible that XR-NTX affected oxycodone absorption from the injection site into blood in this study, it is unlikely because XR-NTX and oxycodone were administered at different sites and times. Another possibility is that naltrexone interfered with analysis of oxycodone; however, this was not seen in preliminary in vitro studies. Although XR-NTX could have increased oxycodone clearance, accounting for the reduced oxycodone brain concentration in presence of naltrexone could be due to an effect of naltrexone on opioid transport across the blood brain barrier (BBB). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX were tested in rats. Very low doses of naltrexone (naltrexone:oxycodone dose ratio between 1:1.5 and 1:25) reduced oxycodone-induced locomotor activity and hot-plate analgesia. Although this effect has not previously been demonstrated using oxycodone, similar effects have been shown for XR-NTX against morphine, fentanyl, and hydrocodone (Bartus et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2008). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX (50 mg/kg) were used to demonstrate that XR-NTX can block antinociception. The current data extend this range of efficacy to much lower XR-NTX doses (down to 2.5–5 mg/kg). However, different opioids may require more or less XR-NTX depending on their relative potency at opioid receptors.

Although it is possible that XR-NTX affected oxycodone absorption from the injection site into blood in this study, it is unlikely because XR-NTX and oxycodone were administered at different sites and times. Another possibility is that naltrexone interfered with analysis of oxycodone; however, this was not seen in preliminary in vitro studies. Although XR-NTX could have increased oxycodone clearance, accounting for the reduced oxycodone brain concentration in presence of naltrexone could be due to an effect of naltrexone on opioid transport across the blood brain barrier (BBB). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX were tested in rats. Very low doses of naltrexone (naltrexone:oxycodone dose ratio between 1:1.5 and 1:25) reduced oxycodone-induced locomotor activity and hot-plate analgesia. Although this effect has not previously been demonstrated using oxycodone, similar effects have been shown for XR-NTX against morphine, fentanyl, and hydrocodone (Bartus et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2008). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX (50 mg/kg) were used to demonstrate that XR-NTX can block antinociception. The current data extend this range of efficacy to much lower XR-NTX doses (down to 2.5–5 mg/kg). However, different opioids may require more or less XR-NTX depending on their relative potency at opioid receptors.

Although it is possible that XR-NTX affected oxycodone absorption from the injection site into blood in this study, it is unlikely because XR-NTX and oxycodone were administered at different sites and times. Another possibility is that naltrexone interfered with analysis of oxycodone; however, this was not seen in preliminary in vitro studies. Although XR-NTX could have increased oxycodone clearance, accounting for the reduced oxycodone brain concentration in presence of naltrexone could be due to an effect of naltrexone on opioid transport across the blood brain barrier (BBB). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX were tested in rats. Very low doses of naltrexone (naltrexone:oxycodone dose ratio between 1:1.5 and 1:25) reduced oxycodone-induced locomotor activity and hot-plate analgesia. Although this effect has not previously been demonstrated using oxycodone, similar effects have been shown for XR-NTX against morphine, fentanyl, and hydrocodone (Bartus et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2008). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX (50 mg/kg) were used to demonstrate that XR-NTX can block antinociception. The current data extend this range of efficacy to much lower XR-NTX doses (down to 2.5–5 mg/kg). However, different opioids may require more or less XR-NTX depending on their relative potency at opioid receptors.

Although it is possible that XR-NTX affected oxycodone absorption from the injection site into blood in this study, it is unlikely because XR-NTX and oxycodone were administered at different sites and times. Another possibility is that naltrexone interfered with analysis of oxycodone; however, this was not seen in preliminary in vitro studies. Although XR-NTX could have increased oxycodone clearance, accounting for the reduced oxycodone brain concentration in presence of naltrexone could be due to an effect of naltrexone on opioid transport across the blood brain barrier (BBB). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX were tested in rats. Very low doses of naltrexone (naltrexone:oxycodone dose ratio between 1:1.5 and 1:25) reduced oxycodone-induced locomotor activity and hot-plate analgesia. Although this effect has not previously been demonstrated using oxycodone, similar effects have been shown for XR-NTX against morphine, fentanyl, and hydrocodone (Bartus et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2008). In these previous studies, very large doses of XR-NTX (50 mg/kg) were used to demonstrate that XR-NTX can block antinociception. The current data extend this range of efficacy to much lower XR-NTX doses (down to 2.5–5 mg/kg). However, different opioids may require more or less XR-NTX depending on their relative potency at opioid receptors.
interaction, XR-NTX did not impair the efficacy of vaccination for blocking oxycodone-induced motor activity, which was the main focus of this study.

XR-NTX significantly lowered oxycodone-specific antibody titers only in selected experiments. XR-NTX’s effect on antibody titers was less evident when OXY-KLH was administered intramuscularly in aluminum adjuvant. Similarly, the immunomodulatory properties of naltrexone (or its XR-NTX formulation) seemed dose-dependent because this effect was significant at a low dose of XR-NTX (2.5 mg/kg) but not after a high dose (50 mg/kg). In a previously published study, XR-NTX did not interfere with the ability of OXY-KLH to induce antibodies in rats (Raleigh et al., 2017). In contrast, naltrexone has been shown to have immunostimulant activity in one
study (Sacerdote et al., 1997), whereby administration of 10 mg/kg naltrexone 60 minutes prior to tissue collection and analysis showed elevated interleukin-2 production, suggesting elevated T cell activation (Liao et al., 2011). Chronic exposure in the current study versus acute exposure in the Sacerdote et al. (1997) study may explain these differences. Other studies have shown the use of naltrexone as an adjuvant to increase IgG1 and IgG2a antibody levels for vaccines against various parasites in mice (Tappeh et al., 2013; Mohammadzadeh Hajipirloo et al., 2014; Khorsheidvand et al., 2016; Azizi et al., 2018). The authors suggested that naltrexone may promote a type 1 helper T cell and cellular immune response by increasing local proinflammatory neuropeptides and may also block opioid receptors on innate immune cells to promote local inflammation. Interference at the B cell receptor could reduce B cell activation and hapten-specific antibody production. Nevertheless, the immunomodulatory effect of XR-NTX in the current study was minimal, and all observed oxycodone-specific IgG antibody titers were within the range previously described for OXY-KLH (Pravetoni et al., 2012a,b, 2013; Laudenbach et al., 2015; Raleigh et al., 2018).

Drug-induced motor activity has been previously used as a means of screening vaccination efficacy against opioids and other drugs of abuse (Carrera et al., 2001; Cornish et al., 2011; Li et al., 2011; Wee et al., 2012; Raleigh et al., 2013; Robinson et al., 2019). Fewer studies have characterized oxycodone-induced motor activity (Leri and Burns, 2005; Niikura et al., 2013) or tested the preclinical efficacy of XR-NTX in preventing oxycodone-induced behaviors (Bartus et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2008). In the current study, vaccination with OXY-KLH alone had mixed effects on oxycodone-induced motor activity. At low oxycodone doses (0.5 mg/kg), OXY-KLH reduced oxycodone-induced motor activity if antibody titers were above 200/ml but not if titers were under 50/ml. At oxycodone doses at or above 1.0 mg/kg, vaccine alone did not alter motor activity. In contrast, a previous publication demonstrated that OXY-KLH in alum reduced oxycodone-induced motor activity after a much larger oxycodone dose of 5 mg/kg in mice (Robinson et al., 2019). However, rats and mice demonstrate differences in metabolism of oxycodone, which may in part explain why oxycodone doses differed so much between studies (Ishida et al., 1982). In fact, doses of oxycodone that exceeded 2.0 mg/kg began showing reduced motor activity compared with lower doses, suggesting that these doses are not appropriate for testing vaccine and XR-NTX effects.

Combination of OXY-KLH and XR-NTX using high doses of XR-NTX (50 mg/kg) had no effect over XR-NTX alone because of the high XR-NTX dose. However, human doses are around 5.4 mg/kg (Bisaga et al., 2018). Thus, high XR-NTX doses may not reflect real-world application of a combination therapy. In the current study, XR-NTX doses that were half of typical human doses (2.25–2.5 mg/kg) were combined with OXY-KLH and demonstrated reduced motor activity compared with controls. Furthermore, combination of XR-NTX and OXY-KLH was more effective than vaccine alone in reducing every 17 minutes subcutaneously. Data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test was performed to compare groups to controls, and a separate two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test was performed to compare each group to its baseline. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 different from saline control; ++P < 0.01 different from OXY-KLH; +++P < 0.05 different from baseline.
oxycodone-induced respiratory depression and bradycardia. Only one study has previously measured the effect of XR-NTX (at a dose of 50 mg/kg) on opioid-induced respiratory depressive effects (respiratory rate) and found that pretreatment with XR-NTX prevented fentanyl-induced respiratory depression (Dean et al., 2008). Combined, these data support the use of a combination therapy of OXY-KLH and XR-NTX. The clinical efficacy of vaccines against prescription opioids, and against opioids in general, may be limited by highly variable and low serum antibody concentrations achieved in immunized subjects or by the occurrence of opioid users switching or transitioning between prescription opioids and other opioids, such as heroin or fentanyl. These limitations can be overcome by combining vaccination with medications targeting opioid receptors. Opioid antagonists may provide additional protection at the beginning of the vaccination regimen prior to development of clinically effective serum antibody titers and may enhance efficacy of vaccination in people who are relatively poor vaccine responders by providing additional blockage against the effects of prescription opioids. Additionally, if vaccination does not interfere with the efficacy of XR-NTX, patients wishing to change their type of opioid therapy may do so without fear of losing efficacy during the transition.
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