Experimental Colitis Enhances the Rate of Antinociceptive Tolerance to Morphine via Peripheral Opioid Receptors
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ABSTRACT

Opioids are highly effective analgesics, however, their therapeutic use is limited by adverse effects that include respiratory depression, dependence, and tolerance. Inflammation has been implicated as a significant driver for the development of tolerance to opioids. Recent studies show that chronic morphine in mice results in gut microbial dysbiosis and inflammation in the colon. In the present study, we examined whether colonic inflammation results in tolerance to the antinociceptive effects of morphine. Colonic inflammation was induced in mice by intrarectal administration of 2,4,6-trinitro-benzene sulfonic acid. The development of antinociceptive tolerance was determined by warm-water tail-immersion assay in mice implanted with 25-, 50-, or 75-mg morphine pellet. Colonic inflammation significantly enhanced the rate at which tolerance developed in each cohort of chronic morphine–treated mice. At the lowest dose of morphine pellet (25 mg), antinociceptive tolerance only developed in the presence of colonic inflammation, whereas in 50- and 75-mg pelleted mice, tolerance developed faster in the inflamed animals than in the noninflamed mice. The enhanced antinociceptive tolerance was attenuated with daily administration of peripheral opioid receptor antagonist, 6β-N-heterocyclic–substituted naltrexamine derivative [17-cyclopropylmethyl-3,14β-dihydroxy-4,5α-epoxy-6β-[4′pyridylacetamido]morphinan (NAP)], irrespective of colonic inflammation. Collectively, these findings show that the rate of tolerance to morphine antinociception is exaggerated in the presence of colonic inflammation, and tolerance is prevented by a peripheral μ-opioid receptor antagonist. These studies suggest a peripheral component to the development of antinociceptive tolerance to opioids. Furthermore, peripherally selective opioid antagonists may be useful adjuncts in opioid-based pain management.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This study supports the notion that inflammation influences the development of antinociceptive tolerance to chronic morphine exposure. We found that, in the presence of colonic inflammation, the rate of development of tolerance to the antinociceptive effects of morphine increased. We also found that treatment with a peripheral opioid receptor antagonist prevented morphine antinociceptive tolerance. Increasing opioid intake during an inflammatory state would result in decreased analgesia and enhanced analgesic tolerance, which puts patients with inflammatory bowel diseases, inflammatory joint diseases, and sickle cell anemia at risk for heavy opioid use.

Introduction

Opioids are frequently used for the treatment of moderate to severe pain and noncancer pain. Their therapeutic use is primarily limited due to the adverse effects with chronic use, particularly the development of tolerance and dependence. Tolerance to the various pharmacological effects of opioids develops to different degrees (Hayhurst and Durieux, 2016), with tolerance to respiratory depression occurring at a slower rate than analgesic and euphoric effects, which may be one of the primary reasons for overdose-related deaths.

Mechanisms by which tolerance occurs are complex and not well understood. We and others have previously reported that chronic morphine administration in mice alters the gut microbiome, which affects the development of antinociceptive tolerance, dependence, and reward pathways (Meng et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2018). It has also been reported that chronic morphine induces neuroinflammation in the brain and spinal cord via glial cell activation (Hutchinson et al., 2008; Milligan and Watkins, 2009), and in the gastrointestinal tract via cytokines released from the enteric glia (Bhave et al., 2017). Studies have suggested that inflammation may contribute to morphine tolerance (Song and Zhao, 2001; Raghavendra et al., 2002; Watkins et al., 2005), thus blocking inflammation with the glial-modulating agent pentofylline (Raghavendra et al., 2004) or with neutralizing antibodies to interleukin 6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor...
α (TNF-α), or with interleukin 1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra) (Johnston et al., 2004; Hutchinson et al., 2008) attenuated the development of antinociceptive tolerance at the spinal level in animal models. Additionally, depletion of gut bacteria with antibiotics also prevented the induction of antinociceptive tolerance as well as inflammation (Kang et al., 2017).

Clinical supporting evidence from the Crohn’s Therapy, Resource, Evaluation, and Assessment Tool registry, comprising over 6000 Crohn’s disease patients, showed that narcotic use carried a high risk for mortality and enhanced infection (Cross et al., 2005; Lichtenstein et al., 2006, 2012). Since chronic morphine also induces inflammation, the combination of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), such as Crohn’s disease, and opioids likely enhances the severity of the disease. According to Targownik et al. (2014), IBD patients taking opioids are 3 times more likely to become heavy opioid users than their matched controls. It is possible that heavy opioid usage could be due to the development of tolerance to opioids. Furthermore, it is not known whether the increased inflammatory response from the combination of both IBD and opioids alters the rate at which tolerance to the opioid develops. This prompted us to investigate the rate of development of tolerance to the antinociceptive effects of morphine in a model of experimental colitis. Peripheral μ-opioid receptors have been implicated in the development of tolerance, as their deletion in dorsal root ganglia nociceptors has been shown to prevent morphine tolerance (Corder et al., 2017). We therefore tested the effect of peripheral opioid receptor antagonist on the development of antinociceptive tolerance in the colonic inflammation model. Notably, our results demonstrate that colonic inflammation enhances the rate of tolerance to morphine, which is abrogated by a peripheral opioid antagonist.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Male Swiss Webster mice weighing 25–35 g (Harlan Sprague Dawley, Inc., Frederick, MD) were housed five per cage in an animal care facility under a 12-hour light/dark cycle with food and water available ad libitum. The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Virginia Commonwealth University.

Experimental Model of Colitis. Colonic inflammation was induced by administering mice with 2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (TNBS) solution containing a 1:1 dilution mixture of 2.5% picrosulfonic acid solution [5% (w/v) in H2O; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO] and 50% ethanol. One hundred microliters of the mixture was administered to each animal intrarectally. Control animals received 100 μl of a 1:1 dilution mixture of saline and 50% ethanol as vehicle. For chronic morphine experiments, morphine pellets (MPs; 25-, 50- (<2 × 25), or 75-mg; National Institute on Drug Abuse) or placebo pellets (PPs; National Institute on Drug Abuse) were implanted under anesthesia, and then the TNBS solution was immediately administered rectally. For the reversal-of-tolerance study, the peripheral antagonist, 6-N-heterocyclic-substituted naltrexamine derivative [17-cyclopentylmethyl-3,14β-dihydroxy-4,5α-epoxy-6β-(4-pyridyl)lactamidomorphin (NAP)], was injected subcutaneously (0.5 mg/kg) immediately after the morphine pelletting and the TNBS administration. NAP was dissolved in double distilled water (ddH2O); control mice received double distilled water (ddH2O) as vehicle (10 μl/kg). NAP or vehicle was injected once daily for 3 days for mice pelleted with the 75-mg morphine pellet, 4 days for mice pelleted with the 50-mg morphine pellet, and 5 days for mice pelleted with the 25-mg morphine pellet. The overall health of the animals was monitored each day, and their weights were recorded daily.

Nociceptive Response Tests. The warm-water tail-immersion assay was used to measure antinociception. Mice were gently detained in a cloth, and the distal 1/3 of the tail was submerged in a water bath at 56°C. A baseline latency to tail flick for all placebo-pelleted or naive mice was between 2 and 4 seconds. A maximum latency of 10 seconds was used to prevent tissue damage. To test for tolerance, an acute morphine challenge dose was administered (10 mg/kg, s.c.) 30 minutes prior to testing. The tail-withdrawal data obtained from the baseline recording and after the challenge dose of morphine was quantified using the percentage of maximum possible effect (%MPE) equation: %MPE = (challenge time − baseline time)/(10 − baseline time) × 100. Higher %MPE indicates less tolerance to morphine.

Cumulative Dose Response. To conduct a dose response, a cumulative dosing schedule was used. On the day of testing, a baseline tail-withdrawal latency was recorded from mice at 56°C followed by subcutaneous challenge doses of morphine every 20 minutes. Mice treated with NAP were injected with 0.5 mg/kg NAP 20 minutes prior to baseline tail-withdrawal latency recording, followed by subcutaneous challenge doses of morphine every 20 minutes using the same paradigm.

Chronic Morphine Treatment. For chronic morphine administration, a 25-, 50- (<2 × 25), or 75-mg MP or PP was implanted subcutaneously on the dorsum. Morphine and placebo pellets were obtained from the National Institute on Drug Abuse. Only 25- and 75-mg morphine pellets are available. Therefore 2 × 25-mg morphine pellets were implanted for the 50-mg morphine-pelleted group. Surgical implantation of the pellets took place under anesthesia (2.5% isoflurane). Mouse hair was shaved from the base of the neck, and the skin was thoroughly cleansed with 10% povidone iodine (General Medical Corp., Walnut, CA) and then rinsed with 70% ethanol. A 1-cm horizontal incision was made at the cleaned area, and the pellet was inserted in the space. The site was closed by stapling the skin with Clay Adams Brand, MikRon AutoClip 9-mm Wound Clips (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). The animals were allowed to recover in their home cages, where they remained throughout the experiment until a day before testing day. Mice were habituated to the testing room for 24 hours; mice receiving 25-, 50- (<2 × 25), or 75-mg morphine pellet were tested on days 5, 4, or 3, respectively.

H&E Staining. Colon samples were isolated and fecal pellets were gently flushed with 1× PBS, and then the distal colon was embedded in Optimal Cutting Temperature Compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA). The embedded tissue was immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80°C. The distal colon tissues were cryosectioned at 12 μm. The cross sections were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and stained using the standard hematoxylin and eosin (H&E; Sigma-Aldrich) protocol.

RNA Isolation and Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed on a Mini-Opticon real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). 18S Ribosomal RNA was used as an internal control. Whole colon tissues were isolated, and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The first-strand cDNA synthesis was amplified at 42°C for 30 minutes, and subsequent polymerization was performed in a single step using the SensiMix One-Step kit (Bio-Rad). The reaction mixture (20 μl) contained 200 nM forward primer, 200 nM reverse primer, 1 μl of 2× SensiMix One-Step buffer, 10 U of RNase inhibitor, and 200 ng of total RNA. The PCR protocol consisted of 40 cycles of denaturation (15 seconds at 95°C), annealing (30 seconds at 58°C), and extension (30 seconds at 72°C). Relative expression of the respective genes to 18S was calculated using the ΔΔCt method, and values were expressed as a fold change from the control group. Primers used for this study are IL-1β and 18S ribosomal ribonucleic acid (18S rRNA).

List of Primers Used for Quantification of 18S rRNA and IL-1β. Primers used for quantification of 18S rRNA and IL-1β are as follows:

target: IL-1β
sequence: forward: 5′-GTCAACGGAGAACCAAGCAA-3′
reverse: 5′-TGTGGAAGCAACCTTGTT-3′
target: 18S rRNA
sequence: forward: 5'-TCAAGAACGAAAAGTCGGAGG-3'
reverse: 5'-GGACATCTAAGGGCATCAC-3'.

Cytokine Measure. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was performed to determine the protein level of IL-1β from TNBS- and vehicle (VEH)-treated mouse colon on day 3. Whole colon tissues were homogenized in cell lysis buffer 2 (R&D Systems) and incubated on ice for 30 minutes, followed by centrifugation (10,000 rpm, 15 minutes at 4°C). Supernatants were collected into clean tubes and assessed for cytokine content. IL-1β levels were measured using a mouse IL-1β/IL-1F2 Quantikine ELISA kit (R&D Systems) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

Fluorescein Isothiocyanate–Dextran Assay. For in vivo intestinal permeability studies, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)–conjugated dextran (Sigma-Aldrich) was administered by oral gavage (44 mg/100 g body weight of FITC-labeled dextran). After 4 hours, mice were euthanized using guillotine, and a sample of their whole blood was collected into a heparinized blood collection tube. The collection process was carried out with lights off due to the light sensitivity of the FITC. Blood samples were centrifuged for 15 minutes at 3000 rpm at 15°C, and plasma was transferred into a new, clean, 1.5-ml tube. Samples were diluted at a 1:1 ratio with 1/2 PBS. One hundred microliters of diluted samples and standards (serial dilution of FITC-dextran: 0, 125, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, and 8000 ng/ml) was pipetted into a 96-well plate in duplicate. FITC concentration was quantified by emission spectrometry (Promega, Madison, WI) at 528 nm using an excitation wavelength of 485 nm. Sample concentrations were measured against a standard curve of serially diluted FITC-dextran.

Statistical Analysis. GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) was used for statistical analyses. Data were analyzed using Student’s unpaired two-tailed t test, or ordinary two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons using Tukey’s or Bonferroni’s post hoc test or ordinary one-way ANOVA with comparison with a single control group using Dunnett’s post hoc test, as indicated in the figure legends. Differences were considered significant if $P < 0.05$. For the cumulative dose-response studies, nonlinear regression analysis was performed and the best-fit line was generated, followed by repeated-measures two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test. The results are expressed as the mean value ± S.E.M.

Results

Pathologic Characteristics of TNBS-Induced Colitis. Colonic inflammation was induced in mice by 2.5% TNBS administered intrarectally. Histologic examination of the distal...
Colon by H&E staining and postmortem necropsy images 3 days after initial TNBS treatment showed an inflamed colon, neutrophil infiltration, and damaged mucosal and crypt architecture compared with the vehicle-treated group (Fig. 1A). The extent of inflammation was assessed by examining inflammatory cytokine IL-1β mRNA expression and protein levels from whole colon tissues using real-time PCR and ELISA, respectively. IL-1β was used as a marker of inflammation, and its expression was normalized with housekeeping gene 18S rRNA. Figure 1B shows a significantly higher IL-1β mRNA expression in TNBS-treated animals compared with the vehicle-treated mice. The housekeeping gene 18S rRNA was not altered by TNBS or VEH treatment (Fig. 1C). IL-1β protein levels were similarly higher in TNBS-treated mice (Fig. 1D), consistent with the mRNA expression. In addition, mice were monitored daily for their overall health, and their body weights were recorded. Mice treated with TNBS exhibited a significant weight loss (15%) after the initial TNBS exposure (Fig. 1E).

**Colonic Inflammation Altered the Rate of Antinociceptive Tolerance.** A cumulative dose response to morphine was carried out in TNBS- and VEH-treated mice on day 3 following TNBS administration. The morphine dose-response curve was shifted slightly to the left in the TNBS-treated mice compared with the noninflamed mice [VEH ED50 = 4.7 mg/kg (4.03–5.47, 95% confidence limit (C.L.)); TNBS ED50 = 3.14 mg/kg (2.58–3.82, 95% confidence limit (C.L.))] (Fig. 2); however, the two dose-response curves were not significantly different (two-way ANOVA).

To test if the extent of tolerance that develops after chronic morphine is altered by colonic inflammation, TNBS-treated mice were pelleted with either 25-, 50- (2 × 25), or 75-mg of MP or PP on the day of TNBS administration. TNBS treatment in combination with morphine pelleting resulted in rapid decline in body weight (Fig. 3). There was a 10%–15% decrease in body weight with TNBS that was slightly enhanced in the presence of morphine; however, the weight loss did not exceed 18%.

The rate of tolerance to morphine was determined each day by measuring the latency to tail withdrawal in warm-water tail-immersion assay. As shown in Fig. 4 (left panels), as expected, the baseline latency in MP-treated groups was higher on day 1 than in PP-treated groups. Over time, the baseline latency of MP-treated mice progressively declined to the level of the PP-treated mice in each of the groups, indicating the loss of morphine’s effect. In preliminary studies, mice treated with TNBS and 75-mg morphine pellets had increased mortality; therefore, the subsequent experiments in which mice were treated with both TNBS + MP to determine tolerance were limited to 5 days (25-mg MP), 4 days (50-mg MP), and 3 days (75-mg MP). Tolerance was determined daily in each cohort of mice by a challenge dose (10 mg/kg) and the %MPE was determined (right panels). When the placebo groups were challenged with morphine (10 mg/kg), they all responded with a maximal antinociception at 100% MPE. In the morphine-pelleted groups, significant tolerance was observed to a morphine challenge on day 5 in the 25-mg MP + TNBS mice (19.3% ± 4% MPE). Interestingly, at this dose of morphine pellet, tolerance did not develop in the MP group alone up to 5 days. In the 50-mg MP group, tolerance was enhanced in the MP + TNBS mice (18.9% ± 13% MPE) compared with MP mice alone by day 4, and similarly in the 75-mg MP group, early onset of tolerance occurred in the MP + TNBS...
group. Tolerance was observed as early as day 2, and by day 3 they were completely tolerant (20.9% ± 13.5% MPE). Collectively, these findings indicate that antinociceptive tolerance to chronic morphine exposure in the presence of colonic inflammation is enhanced and occurred in a dose- and time-dependent manner.

We next determined the time course of IL-1β expression in the colon from each of these groups. The IL-1β mRNA expression in the 25-mg MP + VEH and PP + VEH groups was not significantly altered over 5 days (Fig. 5A). However, IL-1β mRNA expression was significantly increased in TNBS-treated groups. Morphine treatment did not enhance the expression of IL-1β over the levels induced by TNBS in any of the groups. These results indicate that the level of inflammation produced by TNBS alone masks any potential increase in the inflammation induced by morphine over this time frame.

Peripheral Opioid Receptor Antagonist Prevented the Development of Antinociceptive Tolerance. To test the role of peripheral μ-opioid receptors in the development of tolerance induced by colonic inflammation, mice were treated with 6β-N-heterocyclic–substituted naltrexamine derivative (NAP), a peripheral μ-opioid receptor antagonist. NAP was administered daily at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg s.c. To confirm the peripheral selectivity of NAP, we initially evaluated the effect of NAP on morphine-induced antinociception. NAP was administered at doses ranging from 0.1 to 5.0 mg/kg 30 minutes prior to testing the analgesic effects of morphine in the warm-water tail-immersion assay. Supplemental Fig. 1 shows that NAP did not affect morphine-mediated antinociception at lower doses but only significantly reduced it at 5 mg/kg. This is consistent with our previous report of NAP as a peripherally restricted μ-opioid receptor antagonist. At lower doses it substantially reverses morphine-induced inhibition of gastrointestinal transit but not centrally mediated antinociception (Li et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2011, 2012). NAP also produced diarrhea in both inflamed and noninflamed MP mice within 20 minutes of administration (data not shown).

As shown in Fig. 6, NAP reversed the enhanced tolerance that developed in TNBS-treated groups in each of the morphine-pelleted cohorts. Tolerance was determined by a challenge dose of morphine (10 mg/kg) in the tail-immersion assay at day 5 in the 25-mg MP group, at day 4 in the 50-mg MP group, and at day 3 in the 75-mg MP group. At these different time periods for each of the morphine-pelleted cohorts, tolerance is only evident in the MP + TNBS
groups. Daily injections of NAP prevented the development of tolerance.

Because tolerance to morphine does not develop in the absence of inflammation in the time frame in which we tested reversal by NAP, we therefore extended the challenge dose to 7 days following 75-mg morphine pellet. Figure 7A shows that tolerance develops on day 7 in 75-mg morphine-pelleted mice. This was reduced by daily NAP treatment. Figure 7B shows cumulative dose-response curves in 7-day placebo and morphine-pelleted mice treated with NAP. There was a significant leftward shift in the 75-mg MP + NAP dose-response curve compared with MP + VEH. This finding shows that inhibition of peripheral opioid receptors prevents the development of antinociceptive tolerance to morphine.

To test if NAP reduced colonic inflammation, IL-1β mRNA expression was measured from the colons of 50-mg MP + TNBS mice that were treated with NAP (0.5 mg/kg) after 4 days. The expression of IL-1β was not reduced by daily NAP treatment in the TNBS inflamed groups (Fig. 8A). Similarly, gut permeability, which was determined by FITC-dextran concentration in blood plasma, was increased in TNBS-treated mice and in mice treated with morphine alone. However, NAP treatment did not reduce gut permeability (Fig. 8B). These findings suggest that NAP does not reduce colonic inflammation or epithelial permeability in the presence of TNBS but prevents antinociceptive tolerance.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we found that colonic inflammation enhances the rate and extent of antinociceptive tolerance to morphine. These findings may have major clinical implications for IBD patients using narcotic opioids. There is growing evidence that opioids may be a risk factor for IBD patients, as these drugs increase the severity of the disease, the risk of infection and mortality, as well as the risk of becoming heavy opioid users (Cross et al., 2005; Hanson et al., 2009; Long et al., 2012; Targownik et al., 2014). Clinical data...
have shown that prior opioid use before diagnosis with IBD predisposes patients to heavy opioid use later in life, and about 5% of IBD patients using opioids become heavy opioid users (Targownik et al., 2014). The enhanced rate of development of tolerance to morphine in the presence of colonic inflammation may be an important factor in predisposing patients to increased opioid use, thus setting up a vicious cycle.

While inflammation-mediated tolerance to morphine has been reported in an experimental arthritis model with complete Freund’s adjuvant (Li et al., 1999; Fernández-Dueñas et al., 2007), to our knowledge, the present study is the first demonstration of the differential rate of morphine tolerance in an experimental colitis model and its reversal by a peripheral opioid antagonist. The TNBS-induced inflammation is suggested to model Crohn’s disease in terms of both clinical and histopathological findings (Strober et al., 1998; Antoniou et al., 2016). In the experimental colitis model, inflamed mice were more sensitive to acute morphine (Fig. 2). Previous studies by Fernández-Dueñas et al. (2007) also found increased sensitivity to acute morphine in the presence of complete Freund’s adjuvant–induced inflammation. The increase morphine potency in inflamed mice indicated by the leftward shift in the dose-response curve could be due to the increase in μ-opioid receptor (MOR) expression during inflammation (Philippe et al., 2006), which aligns with previous studies in rodents demonstrating the association of peripheral inflammation with increased MOR axonal transport (Hassan et al., 1993; Jeanjean et al., 1995; Mousa et al., 2001). The peripheral axonal transport of MOR during an inflammatory state is thought to be mediated by cytokine production and nerve growth factor (Stein and Lang, 2009), resulting in enhanced antinociceptive efficacy of morphine.

The development of tolerance to morphine was tested by providing a challenge dose of 10 mg/kg morphine in chronic morphine–treated mice. Interestingly, while inflammation alone did not induce tolerance to morphine, tolerance occurred with the lowest dose of morphine in the presence of colonic inflammation (Fig. 4A). These findings suggest that
inflammation sensitizes the process of tolerance development. A possible mechanism may involve the release of endogenous opioid peptides, specifically β endorphins, under inflammatory conditions that may, in combination with the presence of morphine, lead to rapid desensitization of the μ-opioid receptor, resulting in greater tolerance (Stein et al., 1990a,b; Cabot et al., 1997). Another possibility for the enhanced tolerance could be due to gut-derived mediators that may render tolerance to morphine in primary afferent neurons emanating from the colon. We recently reported that colonic supernatants from morphine-treated mice render tolerance in the isolated dorsal root ganglion neurons (Mischel et al., 2018).

It is noteworthy that the development of tolerance to morphine was prevented by a peripheral MOR antagonist. We have previously reported that NAP, a naltrexamine derivative, is a peripherally selective μ-opioid receptor antagonist (Li et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2012). Unlike methylmaltrexone, which can be demethylated and have central effects in rodents (Kotake et al., 1989; Chandrasekaran et al., 2010; unpublished data), NAP did not block acute effects of morphine on antinociception (Supplemental Fig. 1) but did reverse morphine-induced inhibition of gastrointestinal motility (Yuan et al., 2012). At a dose that did not affect centrally mediated antinociception, NAP reversed the antinociceptive tolerance irrespective of the presence of inflammation, suggesting that inhibition of the μ-opioid receptors in the peripheral sites was sufficient to prevent tolerance development. NAP has high binding affinity for MOR, with more than 700-fold and 150-fold selectivity over δ- and κ-opioid receptors, respectively (Li et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2012). A peripheral component for the tolerance to opioids has also been reported recently by Corder et al. (2017), wherein methylmaltrexone bromide, a clinically available peripheral MOR antagonist, prevented the development of tolerance and opioid-induced hyperalgesia without affecting morphine’s antinociceptive effects.

Given that the peripheral contribution is necessary for the development of tolerance, IBD patients may need to take a peripheral opioid receptor antagonist to prevent the development of analgesic tolerance. Methylmaltrexone and alvimopan are peripheral opioid receptor antagonists currently used in the clinic for treatment of opioid-induced constipation and postoperative ileus, respectively. Up to now, there are no clinical studies that have directly assessed the use of peripheral opioid receptor antagonists in IBD patients to prevent analgesic tolerance. There are clinical studies that have investigated the use of low-dose naltrexone in IBD patients to decrease colonic inflammation and prolong remission in these patients (Younger et al., 2014; Raknes and Småbrevke, 2017; Lie et al., 2018; Raknes et al., 2018). This is thought to be mediated via nonopioid receptors, such as Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) (Younger et al., 2014). Low-dose naltrexone binds TLR4 as an antagonist on immune cells and prevents the release of inflammatory mediators. It is possible that NAP did not reduce colonic inflammation in our study, as it may not have an affinity for TLR4 or act on immune cells. Unlike naltrexone, which can act as a nonselective antagonist on both classic opioid receptors and TLR4 (depending on the dose), NAP only acts selectively on μ-opioid receptors as an antagonist peripherally.

In conclusion, the rate of morphine tolerance has a dose and time dependency that is greatly enhanced in the presence of inflammation. The enhanced rate of tolerance is not a direct effect of inflammation per se, but rather a receptor-mediated effect. Cytokines released during the inflammatory state may directly or indirectly modulate the sensitivity of the receptors, thus altering intracellular signaling and affecting antinociceptive tolerance. In the presence of NAP, this effect was attenuated (Fig. 9). Our findings suggest a potential
therapeutic avenue for peripheral opioid receptor antagonists to attenuate the development of opioid tolerance without affecting analgesia.
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