Anti-inflammatory Properties of Cannabidiol, a Nonpsychotropic Cannabinoid, in Experimental Allergic Contact Dermatitis

Stefania Petrosino, Roberta Verde, Massimo Vaia, Marco Allarà, Teresa Iuvone, and Vincenzo Di Marzo

Endocannabinoid Research Group, Istituto di Chimica Biomolecolare, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Pozzuoli, Napoli, Italy (S.P., R.V., M.A., V.D.); Epitech Group SpA, Saccolongo, Padova, Italy (S.P., M.A.); and Dipartimento di Farmacologia Sperimentale, Università di Napoli “Federico II”, Napoli, Italy (M.V., T.I.)

Received July 29, 2017; accepted March 6, 2018

ABSTRACT

Phytocannabinoids modulate inflammatory responses by regulating the production of cytokines in several experimental models of inflammation. Cannabinoid type-2 (CB₂) receptor activation was shown to reduce the production of the monocyte chemotactic protein-2 (MCP-2) chemokine in polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid [poly-(I:C)]-stimulated human keratinocyte (HaCaT) cells, an in vitro model of allergic contact dermatitis (ACD). We investigated if nonpsychotropic cannabinoids, such as cannabidiol (CBD), produced similar effects in this experimental model of ACD. HaCaT cells were stimulated with poly-(I:C), and the release of chemokines and cytokines was measured in the presence of CBD or other phytocannabinoids (such as cannabidiol acid, cannabidivarin, cannabidivarinic acid, cannabichromene, cannabigerol, cannabigerolic acid, cannabigevarin, tetrahydrocannabivarin, and tetrahydrocannabivarinic

acid) and antagonists of CB₁, CB₂, or transient receptor potential vanilloid type-1 (TRPV1) receptors. HaCaT cell viability following phytocannabinoid treatment was also measured. The cellular levels of endocannabinoids [anandamide (AEA), 2-arachidonoylglycerol] and related molecules (palmitylethanolamide, oleylethanolamide) were quantified in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with CBD. We show that in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells, CBD elevates the levels of AEA and dose-dependently inhibits poly-(I:C)-induced release of MCP-2, interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor- α in a manner reversed by CB₂ and TRPV1 antagonists 6-iodopravadoline (AM630) and 5'-iodo-resiniferatoxin (I-RTX), respectively, with no cytotoxic effect. This is the first demonstration of the anti-inflammatory properties of CBD in an experimental model of ACD.

Introduction

Allergic contact dermatitis (ACD), a form of delayed-type hypersensitivity, is a typical T-cell-mediated skin inflammatory response that occurs after cutaneous exposure to an allergen. In particular, following first application to the skin, epidermal Langerhans cells (LCs) take up the allergen, process it, and migrate toward the regional lymph nodes, where the antigen is presented to naïve T cells, which, once activated, migrate toward peripheral tissues. During this process, known as “the sensitization phase,” LCs convert from a

“quiescent” into an “activated” functional state. This activation of LCs is initiated by keratinocytes, which secrete inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-6, IL-2, tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), which in turn contribute to LC activation and migration (Enk and Katz, 1992; Becker and Knop, 1993). The subsequent allergen application induces “the elicitation phase” of ACD that involves the degranulation of mast cells, vasodilatation and influx of neutrophils, followed by substantial leukocyte infiltration into tissue and edema formation peaking between 24 and 48 hours. This late-phase response has the same direct effects on the skin as the first allergen contact during sensitization (i.e., proinflammatory effects, LC activation), but T cell activation is subjected to the release of cytokines produced by T lymphocytes, which amplify the inflammatory response by generating a process that leads to further accumulation of infiltrating cells and resulting

S.P. and M.A. are employees of Epitech Group SpA. V.D. is the recipient of research grants, provides consultancy services, and performs sponsored research for GW Research Ltd. No other authors have conflicts of interests.

This work was partly supported by a research grant from GW Research Ltd to V.D.

<https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.117.244368>.

ABBREVIATIONS: ACD, allergic contact dermatitis; AEA, anandamide (*N*-arachidonoyl-ethanolamine); 2-AG, 2-arachidonoylglycerol; AM251, 1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-4-methyl-N-(1-piperidyl)pyrazole-3-carboxamide; AM630, 6-iodopravadoline; APCI, atmospheric pressure chemical ionization; CB₁ and CB₂, cannabinoid receptors of type-1 and -2; CBC, cannabichromene; CBD, cannabidiol; CBDA, cannabidiol acid; CBDV, cannabidivarin; CBDVA, cannabidivarinic acid; CBG, cannabigerol; CBGA, cannabigerolic acid; CBGV, cannabigevarin; DMSO, dimethylsulfoxide; DNFB, 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene; G-CSF, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; HaCaT, human keratinocytes; IL, interleukin; I-RTX, 5'-iodo-resiniferatoxin; LC, Langerhans cell; MCP, monocyte chemotactic protein; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide; OEA, oleylethanolamide; PEA, palmitylethanolamide; poly-(I:C), polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid; THC, Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol; THCV, tetrahydrocannabivarin; THCVA, tetrahydrocannabivarinic acid; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factor- α ; TRPV1, transient receptor potential vanilloid type-1 channel; URB597, [3-(3-Carbamoylphenyl)phenyl] N-cyclohexylcarbamate.

in clinically manifested ACD (van Loveren et al., 1983; Watanabe et al., 2002). However, the production of cytokines (such as IL-1, IL-6, and IL-8) from keratinocytes, as well as the induction of adhesion molecules (such as intercellular adhesion molecule) in keratinocytes, is also required for T cell activation, chemotactic activity, and adhesion in the epidermis, indicating that these cells have a crucial role in ACD (Barker, 1992).

Although many studies reported anti-inflammatory properties for two major cannabinoids present in marijuana, such as the psychoactive compound Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and the nonpsychoactive compound cannabidiol (CBD) (Burststein and Zurier, 2009; Burststein, 2015), the first evidence of the anti-inflammatory effects of cannabinoids in an animal model of ACD was reported by Oka et al. (2006), and soon thereafter by Karsak et al. (2007) in collaboration with our group (Karsak et al., 2007). In particular, it was demonstrated that both subcutaneous and topical application of THC attenuated ACD in 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene (DNFB)-treated wild-type mice (Karsak et al., 2007). THC significantly decreased ear swelling and reduced the recruitment of Gr-positive granulocytes in comparison with untreated mice (Karsak et al., 2007). Intriguingly, the cannabinoid type-2 (CB_2) receptor antagonist SR144528 was reported, on the one hand, to counteract DNFB-induced (Ueda et al., 2005) and oxazoline-induced (Oka et al., 2006) ACD in mice, and on the other hand, to inhibit the anti-inflammatory effect of THC on this condition (Karsak et al., 2007). Nevertheless, Karsak et al. (2007) also demonstrated that the synthetic cannabinoid agonist HU-210 was able to reduce the production of the monocyte chemotactic protein-2 (MCP-2) chemokine in polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid [poly(I:C)]-stimulated human keratinocytes (HaCaT) cells, an *in vitro* model of the first phase of ACD. More recently, THC was suggested to inhibit DNFB-induced dermatitis also via non- CB_1 , non- CB_2 -mediated pathways (Gaffal et al., 2013). Indeed, we reported that the anti-inflammatory compound palmitoylethanolamide (PEA), which belongs to the same chemical class as the endocannabinoid anandamide (AEA) but is unable to directly activate cannabinoid receptors (Petrosino and Di Marzo, 2017), also reduced the production of MCP-2 in poly(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells, as well as the DNFB-induced ear skin edema in mice (Petrosino et al., 2010). Moreover, we also demonstrated that, although PEA is known to directly activate the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α (Lo Verme et al., 2005), only the selective antagonism of transient receptor potential vanilloid type-1 (TRPV1) channels reversed the effects of PEA on MCP-2 production in poly(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells and on the first, keratinocyte-mediated stage of DNFB-induced ear skin edema in mice (Petrosino et al., 2010), whereas CB_2 receptors were involved in PEA effects in the late, mast cell-mediated stage of this *in vivo* model of ACD (Vaia et al., 2016). These previous data are in agreement with the indirect stimulatory actions of PEA on TRPV1 and CB_2 and with the role of TRPV1 and CB_2 in ACD (De Petrocellis et al., 2001; Petrosino et al., 2016).

On the basis of this background, and in view of the fact that it has been demonstrated that CBD also stimulates and desensitizes TRPV1 channels (De Petrocellis et al., 2011; Iannotti et al., 2014), the aim of the present study was to investigate the pharmacological effects of this and other

Fig. 1. CBD reduces MCP-2 levels in poly(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for MCP-2 release in the supernatants of poly(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 μ g/ml) in the presence of vehicle or CBD (1, 5, 10, and 20 μ M) for 6 (A), 12 (B), and 24 hours (C) at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Data represent the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. §§§ P < 0.001 vs. vehicle; *** P < 0.001 vs. poly(I:C). Assay range for MCP-2, 0.8–200 pg/ml.

nonpsychotropic phytocannabinoids in an in vitro model of ACD, as well as to identify the molecular target(s) for its actions in the in vitro model.

Materials and Methods

Drugs and Reagents. Cell culture media, antibiotics, and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milano, Italy). Poly-(I:C) was purchased from InvivoGen (Aurogene Srl, Roma, Italy). Botanical CBD, cannabidiol acid (CBDA), cannabidivarin (CBDV), cannabidivarinic acid (CBDVA), cannabichromene (CBC), cannabigerol (CBG), cannabigerolic acid (CBGA), cannabigevarin (CBGV), tetrahydrocannabivarin (THCV), and tetrahydrocannabivarinic acid (THCVA)

(>99.9% purity) were provided by GW Research Ltd. (Cambridge, UK). 1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-4-methyl-N-(1-piperidyl) pyrazole-3-carboxamide (AM251), 6-iodopravadoline (AM630) 5'-iodio-resiniferatoxin (I-RTX), AEA, and [3-(3-Carbamoylphenyl)phenyl] N-cyclohexylcarbamate (URB597) were purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Space Import-Export Srl, Milano, Italy). The human MCP-2 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit was purchased from RayBio-tech, Inc. (Tebu-Bio Srl, Milano, Italy). The Bio-Plex Pro human cytokine assay was purchased from Bio-Rad (Life Science, Segrate, Milano, Italy). Deuterated standards—[^2H]₈-AEA, [^2H]₅-2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), [^2H]₄-PEA, and [^2H]₂-oleoylethanolamide (OEA)—were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Cabru SAS, Arcore, Italy).

Cell Culture. The HaCaT cell line (item number: 300493; mycoplasma-specific polymerase chain reaction: negative) was purchased from CLS Cell Lines Service (Eppelheim, Germany) and

Fig. 2. CBC, CBG, THCv, and CBGV reduce MCP-2 levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for MCP-2 release in the supernatants of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 μg/ml, 6 hours, 37°C) in the presence of vehicle or CBC (A), CBG (B), THCv (C), and CBGV (D) (all tested at 5, 10, and 20 μM). Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. \$\$\$*P* < 0.001 vs. vehicle; ***P* < 0.01; ****P* < 0.001 vs. poly-(I:C). Assay range for MCP-2, 0.8–200 pg/ml.

cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with glutamine (2 mM), penicillin (400 U/ml), streptomycin (50 mg/ml), and 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in humidified 5% CO₂.

Poly-(I:C)-Induced ACD in HaCaT Cells. HaCaT cells were plated into 24-well culture plates at a cell density of 2×10^5 cells per well, and after 1 day were stimulated with 100 µg/ml poly-(I:C) (Petrosino et al., 2010) or vehicle (water) and incubated for 6, 12, and 24 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂. To study the effect of CBD, poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells were treated with CBD (1, 5, 10, and 20 µM) or vehicle (methanol) for the indicated times. To study the effect of CB₁, CB₂, and TRPV1 antagonists, poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells were treated with AM251 (1, 2.5, and 5 µM), AM630 (0.01, 0.1, and 1 µM), and I-RTX (0.01, 0.1, and 1 µM), respectively, in the presence or absence of CBD (20 µM) for the indicated times. AM251 was dissolved in methanol, AM630 and I-RTX in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). The effects of the other phytocannabinoids (all dissolved in DMSO), such as CBDA, CBDV, CBDVA, CBC, CBG, CBGA, CBGV, THCV, and THCVA (all tested at 5, 10, and 20 µM), on MCP-2 production in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells were also investigated. Finally, the effects of the endocannabinoid AEA (dissolved in methanol) and of URB597 (dissolved in methanol) (a selective inhibitor of the major enzyme responsible for AEA inactivation, fatty acid amide hydrolase) on MCP-2 production in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells were also studied. After 6, 12, and 24 hours, the supernatants were used for MCP-2 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and for Bio-Plex Pro assay [IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), GM-CSF, TNF-α], according to the manufacturer's instructions. Results are expressed as picograms per milliliter of released MCP-2 and cytokines.

Cell Viability. Cell viability was measured after 6, 12, and 24 hours in HaCaT cells treated with CBD, CBC, CBG, THCV, CBGV (all tested at 10 and 20 µM), or vehicle by using the MTT colorimetric assay. In brief, after 6, 12, and 24 hours, HaCaT cells were incubated with MTT (5 mg/ml) for 3 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂. After 3 hours, HaCaT cells were lysed with DMSO, and absorbance was measured at 630 nm. Results are expressed as percentage of cell viability, where optical density values from vehicle-treated cells were defined as 100% of cell viability.

Analysis of Endocannabinoids and Related N-Acylethanolamines. HaCaT cells were plated into six-well culture plates at a cell density of 9×10^5 cells per well, and after 1 day were stimulated with poly-(I:C) (100 µg/ml) and treated with CBD (20 µM) or vehicle, and incubated for 6, 12, and 24 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂. After the indicated times, the resulting cells and supernatants were subjected to measurement of endocannabinoids such as AEA and 2-AG, and N-acylethanolamines related to AEA, i.e., PEA and OEA.

Cells and supernatants were homogenized in a solution of chloroform/methanol/Tris-HCl 50 mM, pH 7.4 (2:1:1 by vol.), containing 10 pmol of [²H]₈-AEA and 5 pmol of [²H]₅-2-AG, [²H]₄-PEA, and [²H]₂-OEA as internal deuterated standards. The lipid-containing organic phase was prepurified by open-bed chromatography on silica gel (Bisogno et al., 1997; Di Marzo et al., 2001), and fractions obtained by eluting the column with a solution of chloroform/methanol (90:10 by vol.) were analyzed by liquid chromatography-atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI)-mass spectrometry by using a Shimadzu high-performance liquid chromatography apparatus (LC10ADVP) coupled to a Shimadzu (LCMS-2020) quadrupole mass spectrometer via a Shimadzu APCI interface. Liquid chromatography-APCI-mass spectrometry analyses of AEA, 2-AG, PEA, and OEA were carried out in the selected ion monitoring mode (Marsicano et al., 2002), using m/z values of molecular ions +1 for deuterated and undeuterated compounds, respectively, as follows: 356 and 348 (AEA), 384.35 and 379.35 (2-AG), 304 and 300 (PEA), and 328 and 326 (OEA). AEA, 2-AG, PEA, and OEA levels were calculated on the basis of their area ratio with the internal deuterated standard signal areas, and their amounts (picomole) were normalized per milliliter of volume.

Statistics. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). The data

Fig. 3. CBD reduces IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells after 6 hours. Bio-Plex Pro assay for IL-6 (A), IL-8 (B), and TNF-α (C) release in the supernatants of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 µg/ml, 6 hours, 37°C) in the presence of vehicle or CBD (1, 5, 10, and 20 µM). Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. §§§ $P < 0.001$ vs. vehicle; * $P < 0.05$; *** $P < 0.001$ vs. poly-(I:C). Assay range for IL-6, 37.68 pg/ml; for IL-8, 42.15 pg/ml; and for TNF-α, 64.80 pg/ml.

Fig. 4. CBD reduces IL-6 and TNF- α levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells after 12 and 24 hours. (A) Bio-Plex Pro assay for TNF- α release in the supernatants of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 μ g/ml, 12 hours, 37°C) in the presence of vehicle or CBD (20 μ M). Bio-Plex Pro assay for IL-6 (B) and TNF- α (C) release in the supernatants of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 μ g/ml, 24 hours, 37°C) in the presence of vehicle or CBD (20 μ M). Data represent the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. of

are expressed as means $\pm$ S.E.M. Student's *t* test or one-way analysis of variance followed by Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test were used for analysis. *P* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

CBD Reduces MCP-2 Protein Levels in Poly-(I:C)-Stimulated HaCaT Cells. We investigated the effects of CBD, CBDA, CBDV, CBDVA, CBC, CBG, CBGA, CBGV, THCV, and THCVA on MCP-2 protein levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells. HaCaT cells stimulated for 6, 12, and 24 hours with poly-(I:C) (100 μ g/ml) and treated with the vehicle of the phytocannabinoids produced significantly higher levels of the MCP-2 chemokine as compared with vehicle-stimulated HaCaT cells (Fig. 1). When HaCaT cells were costimulated with poly-(I:C) and CBD (1, 5, 10, and 20 μ M) for 6 hours, we observed a strong concentration-dependent reduction of MCP-2 protein levels as compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with the vehicle of CBD (Fig. 1A). The maximum effect was observed at the highest concentration of CBD tested (20 μ M), as compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with the vehicle of CBD (Fig. 1A). Likewise, CBD (1, 5, 10, and 20 μ M), in a concentration-dependent manner, was also able to strongly reduce MCP-2 production in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells after 12 and 24 hours, and the maximum effect was also observed with 20 μ M CBD (Fig. 1, B and C). On the contrary, when HaCaT cells were costimulated with poly-(I:C) and CBC or CBG, no effect was observed at low concentrations (5 and 10 μ M), although at the highest concentration tested (20 μ M), these two phytocannabinoids were able to reduce MCP-2 production (Fig. 2, A and B). Likewise, when HaCaT cells were costimulated with poly-(I:C), THCV had no effect at the lowest concentration tested (5 μ M), but at 10 and 20 μ M, it was able to reduce MCP-2 production (Fig. 2C). CBGV was able to reduce MCP-2 production only at 10 μ M (Fig. 2D). No effect was observed on MCP-2 protein levels after treatment of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells with CBDA, CBDV, CBDVA, CBGA, and THCVA as compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with the respective vehicles (data not shown). Likewise, no significant variation was observed on MCP-2 protein levels after HaCaT cells were treated with CBD or the other phytocannabinoids alone (at highest concentration tested, 20 μ M), i.e., in the absence of poly-(I:C), as compared with vehicle-treated HaCaT cells (data not shown), indicating that this concentration of CBD was not cytotoxic.

CBD Reduces IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α Protein Levels in Poly-(I:C)-Stimulated HaCaT Cells. We also investigated the effects of CBD, CBC, CBG, THCV, and CBGV on the production of different cytokines (IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, G-CSF, GM-CSF, TNF- α) in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells. HaCaT cells stimulated for 6 hours with poly-(I:C) (100 μ g/ml) and treated with the vehicle of the phytocannabinoids produced significantly higher levels of only IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α , as compared with vehicle-stimulated HaCaT cells (Fig. 3). CBD

three independent experiments performed in triplicate. $^{\$ \$ \$}P < 0.001$ vs. vehicle; $^{***}P < 0.001$ vs. poly-(I:C). Assay range for IL-6, 37.68 pg/ml; and for TNF- α , 64.80 pg/ml.

(1, 5, 10, and 20 μM), in a concentration-dependent manner, was able to strongly reduce IL-6 and TNF- α protein levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells, as compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with the vehicle of CBD (Fig. 3,

A and C). IL-8 protein levels were strongly reduced only by the two highest concentrations of CBD tested (10 and 20 μM) (Fig. 3B). When HaCaT cells were stimulated for 12 hours with poly-(I:C) and treated with the vehicle of the phytocannabinoids, we only

Fig. 5. CBD, CBG, and THC reduce IL-6 and IL-8 levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells after 6 hours. Bio-Plex Pro assay for IL-6 (A, C, and E) and IL-8 (B and D) release in the supernatants of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$, 6 hours, 37°C) in the presence of vehicle or CBD, CBG, and THC (all tested at 5, 10, and 20 μM). Data represent the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. §§§ P < 0.001 vs. vehicle; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001 vs. poly-(I:C). Assay range for IL-6, 37.68 pg/ml; and for IL-8, 42.15 pg/ml.

observed a strong increase of TNF- α protein levels (Fig. 4A), which was significantly reduced by the highest concentration of CBD tested (20 μ M) (Fig. 4A). When HaCaT cells were stimulated for 24 hours with poly-(I:C) and treated with the vehicle of the phytocannabinoids, we observed a strong increase in both IL-6 (Fig. 4B) and TNF- α (Fig. 4C) protein levels, which were again strongly reduced by treatment with CBD 20 μ M (Fig. 4, B and C). On the contrary, the other phytocannabinoids found here to produce anti-inflammatory effects on MCP-2 levels were able to downregulate only some of these cytokines after 6 hours, i.e., 1) CBC (20 μ M) was able to reduce only IL-6 and IL-8 levels (Fig. 5, A and B), 2) CBG (10 μ M) was able to reduce only IL-6 and IL-8 levels (Fig. 5, B and C), and 3) THCV (20 μ M) was able to reduce only IL-6 levels (Fig. 5D). No effect was observed on the levels of other cytokines, i.e., IL-1 β , IL-2, G-CSF, and GM-CSF, after stimulation of HaCaT cells with poly-(I:C) in the presence or absence of the other phytocannabinoids (data not shown).

CBD and Other Phytocannabinoids Are Not Cytotoxic to HaCaT Cells. No cytotoxicity was observed after treatment of HaCaT cells for 6 hours with CBD, CBC, CBG, THCV, or CBGV at the highest concentrations tested (10 and 20 μ M) (Fig. 6A). Likewise, no cytotoxicity was observed after treatment of HaCaT cells for 12 and 24 hours with 10 and 20 μ M CBD (Fig. 6B). These results indicate that the decreased MCP-2 and/or cytokine levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells were due to the anti-inflammatory effects of these compounds.

CB₁ Receptors Do Not Mediate the Action of CBD in Poly-(I:C)-Stimulated HaCaT Cells. We investigated the effect of a CB₁ receptor antagonist (AM251; 1, 2.5, and 5 μ M) on MCP-2 protein levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells, in the presence or absence of CBD (20 μ M). Our results show that when HaCaT cells were costimulated for 6 hours with poly-(I:C) and low concentrations of AM251 (1 or 2.5 μ M), MCP-2 protein levels were comparable to those found in the absence of the antagonist (Fig. 7A). On the contrary, when HaCaT cells were costimulated with poly-(I:C) and the highest concentration of AM251 tested (5 μ M), MCP-2 protein levels were comparable to those observed in the presence of 20 μ M CBD (Fig. 7A). In addition, when HaCaT cells were costimulated with poly-(I:C), 20 μ M CBD and a per se inactive concentration of AM251 (2.5 μ M), MCP-2 production was

comparable to that observed in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with 20 μ M CBD alone (Fig. 7A). No effect was observed on MCP-2 protein levels after treatment of HaCaT cells with the antagonist AM251 alone (at the highest concentration tested, 5 μ M), i.e., in the absence of poly-(I:C), as compared with vehicle-treated HaCaT cells (data not shown). Likewise, no additive effect was found on MCP-2 protein levels after that poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells were treated with 20 μ M CBD and the highest concentration of AM251 tested (5 μ M), as compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with 20 μ M CBD only (data not shown).

CB₂ and TRPV1 Receptors Mediate the Action of CBD in Poly-(I:C)-Stimulated HaCaT Cells. We investigated the effect of a CB₂ receptor antagonist (AM630; 0.01, 0.1, and 1 μ M) and a TRPV1 receptor antagonist (I-RTX; 0.01, 0.1, and 1 μ M) on MCP-2 protein levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells in the presence or absence of CBD (20 μ M). Our results show that when HaCaT cells were costimulated for 6 hours with poly-(I:C) and low concentrations of AM630 (0.01 or 0.1 μ M) or high concentration of I-RTX (1 μ M), MCP-2 protein levels were comparable to those observed in the absence of the antagonists (Fig. 7B). On the contrary, when HaCaT cells were costimulated with poly-(I:C) and a higher concentration of AM630 (1 μ M) or lower concentrations of I-RTX (0.01 or 0.1 μ M), MCP-2 protein levels were comparable to those observed in the presence of CBD 20 μ M (Fig. 7B). Importantly, when HaCaT cells were costimulated with poly-(I:C), 20 μ M CBD, and the highest per se inactive concentrations of AM630 or I-RTX (0.1 and 1 μ M, respectively), MCP-2 chemokine production was comparable to that observed in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with the vehicle (Fig. 7B). However, no effects of AM630 or I-RTX (0.1 and 1 μ M, respectively) on MCP-2 protein levels were observed after 12 and 24 hours in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with 20 μ M CBD, as compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with 20 μ M CBD alone (data not shown). In addition, no effect was observed on MCP-2 protein levels after that HaCaT cells were treated with the antagonists, AM630 or I-RTX, alone (at highest concentrations tested, 1 μ M), i.e., in the absence of poly-(I:C), as compared with vehicle-treated HaCaT cells (data not shown). Likewise, no additive effects were found on MCP-2 protein

Fig. 6. CBD and other phytocannabinoids are not cytotoxic in HaCaT cells. (A) MTT assay in HaCaT cells treated with vehicle (white histogram) or CBD, CBC, CBG, THCV, and CBGV (all tested at 10 and 20 μ M) for 6 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂. (B) MTT assay in HaCaT cells treated with vehicle or CBD (10 and 20 μ M) for 12 and 24 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Data represent the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

Fig. 7. CB₂ and TRPV1 receptors mediate the action of CBD in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells after 6 hours. (A) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for MCP-2 release in the supernatants of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 μg/ml, 6 hours, 37°C) treated with AM251 (1, 2.5, and 5 μM) in the presence or absence of CBD (20 μM). (B) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for MCP-2 release in the supernatants of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 μg/ml, 6 hours, 37°C) treated with AM630 (0.01 and 0.1 μM) or I-RTX (0.01 and 0.1 μM) in the presence or absence of CBD (20 μM). Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. §§§§*P* < 0.001 vs. vehicle. ****P* < 0.001; ***P* < 0.01 vs. poly-(I:C); oo*P* < 0.01; ooo*P* < 0.001 vs. poly-(I:C) + CBD 20 μM. Assay range for MCP-2, 0.8–200 pg/ml.

levels after that poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells were treated with 20 μM CBD and the highest concentration of AM630 tested (1 μM) or the lowest concentrations of IRTX

tested (0.01 and 0.1 μM), as compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with 20 μM CBD (data not shown). On the basis of these results, we also investigated the effects of AM630 (0.1 μM) and I-RTX (1 μM) on the production of cytokines, such as IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α, in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells in the presence or absence of CBD (20 μM). Our results show that no effect was found with AM630 (0.1 μM) or I-RTX (1 μM) on the inhibitory action of CBD (20 μM) on the levels of the other three cytokines that were elevated after poly-(I:C) stimulation of HaCaT cells (data not shown).

CBD Elevates AEA Levels in Poly-(I:C)-Stimulated HaCaT Cells. We measured the effect of CBD (20 μM) on AEA, 2-AG, PEA, and OEA levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells. We observed that when HaCaT cells were stimulated for 6 hours with poly-(I:C), AEA levels were significantly increased by 3-fold compared with vehicle-treated HaCaT cells, and a nearly statistically significant trend toward elevation of PEA levels (*P* = 0.0633) was also observed (Fig. 8, A and C). When poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells were treated with CBD (20 μM), AEA levels were increased by 8-fold compared with vehicle-treated HaCaT cells, and by 2.7-fold compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (Fig. 8A). These increases were only observed during the early sensitization phase of ACD, i.e., after 6 hours (Fig. 8A). No consistent effect of CBD was observed on 2-AG and OEA levels after 6 hours (Fig. 8, B and D), as well as on AEA levels after 12 and 24 hours (data not shown), in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells.

AEA and URB597 Reduce MCP-2, IL-6, and IL-8 Protein Levels in Poly-(I:C)-Stimulated HaCaT Cells. We investigated the effects of AEA and a selective fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitor, URB597, on the production of MCP-2 and other cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α) in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells. Our results show that when HaCaT cells were costimulated with poly-(I:C) and AEA (10 μM), the levels of MCP-2 and IL-8 proteins were reduced as compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with the vehicle (Fig. 9, A and C). When HaCaT cells were costimulated with poly-(I:C) and URB597 (10 μM), the levels of MCP-2, IL-6, and IL-8 proteins were also reduced as compared with poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells treated with the vehicle (Fig. 9). No effect was observed on IL-6 levels after treatment of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells with AEA (10 μM) (Fig. 9B). Likewise, no effect was observed on TNF-α production after treatment of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells with either AEA (10 μM) or URB597 (10 μM) (data not shown). Thus, the effects of AEA and URB597 in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells were similar, although not identical, to those observed with CBD (20 μM) (Fig. 9).

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated for the first time that a nonpsychotropic plant cannabinoid, CBD, inhibits the production of the MCP-2 chemokine and other proinflammatory cytokines (i.e., IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α) in poly-(I:C)-stimulated keratinocytes more potently/efficaciously/dose-dependently than other nonpsychotropic phytocannabinoids tested here (CBDA, CBDV, CBDVA, CBC, CBG, CBGA, CBGV, THCV,

Fig. 8. CBD elevates AEA levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells after 6 hours. Concentrations of AEA (A), 2-AG (B), PEA (C), and OEA (D) in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 μg/ml, 6 hours, 37°C) in the presence of vehicle or CBD (20 μM). Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. ****P* < 0.001 vs. vehicle; °°°*P* < 0.001 vs. poly-(I:C) + vehicle.

and THCVA). Importantly, we also identified the molecular targets for some of the actions of CBD on keratinocytes.

First, we demonstrated that when HaCaT cells are stimulated for 6 hours and up to 24 hours with an agonist of the toll-like receptor 3, i.e., poly-(I:C), they produce higher levels of MCP-2. This chemokine is a proinflammatory mediator involved in the recruitment of macrophages and mast cells into inflammatory sites (Taub et al., 1995; de Paulis et al., 2001), and is downregulated by CB₁/CB₂ agonists, such as THC and HU-210, in ear keratinocytes of mice with DNFB-induced ACD, as well as in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells in vitro (Karsak et al., 2007). We previously demonstrated that this chemokine can also be downregulated by an endogenous lipid mediator, namely PEA, which is known for its important anti-inflammatory properties and is currently used in the clinic against neuropathic and inflammatory pain but, unlike AEA, is not capable of directly activating CB₁ or CB₂ receptors (Iannotti et al., 2016). In particular, we showed that PEA at a concentration of 10 μM was able to reduce, through a TRPV1-mediated mechanism, both the expression and the production of MCP-2 in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells in vitro (Petrosino et al., 2010). On the contrary, neither the antagonism of CB₂ receptors nor the antagonism of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α receptors reversed the anti-inflammatory effect of PEA in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (Petrosino et al., 2010). Therefore, here we investigated 1) whether CBD, which, like PEA and unlike THC, is unable to directly activate CB₁/CB₂

receptors, can also nevertheless reduce MCP-2 protein levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cell; and 2) the molecular target(s) through which CBD exerts this putative anti-inflammatory action. We found that CBD, in a concentration-dependent manner, strongly reduces MCP-2 protein levels in HaCaT cells stimulated for 6 hours and up to 24 hours with poly-(I:C), with the maximum effect being observed at the highest concentration tested (20 μM), and no significant differences in the extent of the effect being noted at different time points. This concentration is 2-fold higher than the efficacious (and maximally soluble in the same vehicle) concentration of PEA (10 μM), in the same in vitro model of ACD (Petrosino et al., 2010). Here, we also demonstrated for the first time that when HaCaT cells are stimulated for 6 hours and up to 24 hours with poly-(I:C), they produce higher levels of other proinflammatory cytokines (i.e., IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α), which, similar to MCP-2, are produced from keratinocytes during the sensitization phase (Barker, 1992; Enk and Katz, 1992; Becker and Knop, 1993), and that CBD also reduces these effects of poly-(I:C). However, of these three cytokines, only TNF-α exhibited significant increases at all time points, suggesting their potentially different roles in different phases of inflammation, at least in this in vitro model.

Since it is well known that 1) the pharmacological blockade of CB₂ receptor attenuates oxazoline-induced contact dermatitis in mice (Oka et al., 2006); 2) the activation of the CB₂ receptor, but not the CB₁ receptor, is involved in THC-induced

Fig. 9. AEA and URB597 reduce MCP-2, IL-6, and IL-8 levels in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells after 6 hours. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for MCP-2 (A) and Bio-Plex Pro assay for IL-6 (B) and IL-8 (C) release in the supernatants of poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells (100 μ g/ml, 6 hours, 37°C) in the presence of vehicle or CBD (20 μ M), AEA (10 μ M), or URB597 (10 μ M). Data represent the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. \$\$\$ P < 0.001 vs.

anti-inflammatory effects (Yang et al., 2015; Shang, et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2016); 3) CB₁ or CB₂ receptor activation and the activation/desensitization of TRPV1 channels contribute to the in vitro and in vivo pharmacological actions of CBD (Costa et al., 2004; Arnold et al., 2012; Pazos et al., 2013; Stanley et al., 2015); 4) CBD can directly activate and desensitize TRPV1 channels (Iannotti et al., 2014); and 5) CB₁ and CB₂ receptors as well as TRPV1 are targets for the treatment of the early phase of ACD (Karsak et al., 2007; Petrosino et al., 2010), we investigated whether the anti-inflammatory action of CBD could be mediated by one or more of these targets in the in vitro model of ACD. Although it is also known that CBD weakly activates the human 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 1A (Russo et al., 2005), we did not investigate this mechanism because it has been demonstrated that HaCaT cells do not express such receptor (Slominski et al., 2003). Thus, we studied the effects of CB₁, CB₂, and TRPV1 antagonists on MCP-2 and cytokine (i.e., IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α) production in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells in vitro, in the presence or absence of 20 μ M CBD. Our results show that, similar to CBD, the CB₁ antagonist AM251, at the highest concentration tested (5 μ M), was able to only reduce MCP-2 protein levels after 6 hours in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells. The inhibitory effect of CBD on MCP-2 production was not influenced by the highest per se inactive concentration of AM251 (2.5 μ M). Similar to AM251 and CBD, the CB₂ antagonist AM630, at the highest concentration tested (1 μ M), was able to only reduce MCP-2 protein levels after 6 hours in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells, but unlike AM251, the highest per se inactive concentration of AM630 tested (0.1 μ M) was able to reverse the inhibitory effect of CBD on MCP-2 levels. On the other hand, low concentrations of the TRPV1 antagonist I-RTX were able to only reduce MCP-2 protein levels after 6 hours in poly-(I:C)-stimulated HaCaT cells, whereas the highest per se inactive concentration of this compound tested (1 μ M) was able to reverse the inhibitory effect of CBD on MCP-2 levels. These results 1) suggest that, since the effects of CB₂ and TRPV1 antagonists, per se or on the effects of CBD, were only observed on MCP-2 production and only at 6 hours, this chemokine and these receptors are mostly involved in the early sensitization phase of ACD and in the inhibitory actions thereupon of the phytocannabinoid; the effect of the highest concentrations of AM251 per se may be non-CB₁-mediated, since this compound at high concentrations acts as an agonist on another potential anti-inflammatory off-target, that is GPR55 (Ryberg et al., 2007; Cantarella et al., 2011; Montecucco et al., 2016); 2) confirm that CB₂ receptors may play also a facilitatory role in ACD (Ueda et al., 2005), as indicated here by the anti-inflammatory effect of the highest concentration of AM630; and 3) support the previous finding that TRPV1 may play both facilitatory and inhibitory roles against ACD (Banvolgyi et al., 2005), as shown here by the fact that low, but not high, concentrations of I-RTX counteracted poly-(I:C)-induced MCP-2 production in HaCaT keratinocytes. Thus, the anti-inflammatory effect of CBD might be due to both CB₂ activation, as previously shown for THC (Karsak et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2015; Shang et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2016), and

vehicle; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001 vs. poly-(I:C). Assay range for MCP-2, 0.8–200 pg/ml; for IL-6, 37.68 pg/ml; and for IL-8, 42.15 pg/ml.

TRPV1 activation/desensitization, as suggested here by the fact that this effect was reversed by both a low concentration of a CB₂ receptor antagonist (AM630) and by a high concentration of a TRPV1 antagonist (I-RTX). Although this latter effect is not surprising due to the aforementioned capability of CBD to stimulate and desensitize TRPV1 (Iannotti et al., 2014), this phytocannabinoid exhibits only low affinity for CB₂ (Pertwee, 2008). Therefore, we hypothesized that endogenous ligands could mediate the anti-inflammatory effect of CBD at this receptor thus explaining why such effect was antagonized by AM630. Indeed, CBD, at a ~20 μ M concentration (IC₅₀ = 27.5 μ M) inhibits both AEA cellular uptake and enzymatic hydrolysis (Bisogno et al., 2001), and these effects could explain both the present finding of its stimulatory action on AEA levels and indirect activation of CB₂ receptors. Consequently, our hypothesis that CBD acted via elevation of AEA levels in HaCaT cells was supported by our present finding that both AEA and a synthetic inhibitor of its degradation, URB597, similar to CBD, were able to reduce the production of both MCP-2 and other proinflammatory cytokines (i.e., IL-6 and IL-8) produced by poly-(I:C)-stimulated keratinocytes. Interestingly, the stimulatory action of CBD on AEA levels was only observed after 6 hours, and this could explain why the CB₂ antagonist here did not attenuate the anti-inflammatory effects of CBD after 12 and 24 hours. Importantly, whereas the effects of AEA and URB597 on MCP-2 production were comparable to those of CBD, those on IL-6 and IL-8 were statistically significant but less efficacious. This observation supports the aforementioned suggestion that these cytokines play different roles in the sensitization phase of ACD, at least in the in vitro model used here, thus possibly explaining why CB₂ and TRPV1 antagonists did not attenuate the effect of CBD on these inflammatory mediators.

In conclusion, in the present study, we demonstrated that, in an in vitro model of ACD, 1) CBD inhibits the production of MCP-2 as well as IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α ; 2) the endogenous levels of AEA are increased after CBD treatment; and 3) the anti-inflammatory effect of CBD during the early sensitization phase (i.e., after 6 hours) is antagonized both by a selective CB₂ antagonist—and hence potentially mediated by the endogenous agonist for CB₂ receptors, AEA—and a selective TRPV1 antagonist—likely because the phytocannabinoid can directly activate and desensitize the TRPV1 channel. Given the established safety profile of CBD in humans (Leweke et al., 2012; Pertwee, 2015), these data warrant further experiments on the preclinical testing of this compound in animal models of ACD.

Authorship Contributions

Participated in research design: Petrosino, Iuvone, Di Marzo.

Conducted experiments: Petrosino, Verde, Vaia, Allarà, Iuvone.

Performed data analysis: Petrosino, Di Marzo.

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Petrosino, Di Marzo.

References

- Arnold JC, Hone P, Holland ML, and Allen JD (2012) CB₂ and TRPV1 receptors mediate cannabinoid actions on MDR1 expression in multidrug resistant cells. *Pharmacol Rep* **64**:751–757.
- Bánvölgyi A, Pálkás L, Berki T, Clark N, Grant AD, Helyes Z, Pozsgai G, Szolcsányi J, Brain SD, and Pintér E (2005) Evidence for a novel protective role of the vanilloid TRPV1 receptor in a cutaneous contact allergic dermatitis model. *J Neuroimmunol* **169**:86–96.
- Barker JN (1992) Role of keratinocytes in allergic contact dermatitis. *Contact Dermat* **26**:145–148.
- Becker D and Knop J (1993) Mechanism in allergic contact dermatitis. *Exp Dermatol* **2**:63–69.
- Bisogno T, Hanus L, De Petrocellis L, Tchilibon S, Ponde DE, Brandi I, Moriello AS, Davis JB, Mechoulam R, and Di Marzo V (2001) Molecular targets for cannabidiol and its synthetic analogues: effect on vanilloid VR1 receptors and on the cellular uptake and enzymatic hydrolysis of anandamide. *Br J Pharmacol* **134**:845–852.
- Bisogno T, Sepe N, Melck D, Maurelli S, De Petrocellis L, and Di Marzo V (1997) Biosynthesis, release and degradation of the novel endogenous cannabinomimetic metabolite 2-arachidonoylglycerol in mouse neuroblastoma cells. *Biochem J* **322**:671–677.
- Burstein S (2015) Cannabidiol (CBD) and its analogs: a review of their effects on inflammation. *Bioorg Med Chem* **23**:1377–1385.
- Burstein SH and Zurier RB (2009) Cannabinoids, endocannabinoids, and related analogs in inflammation. *AAPS J* **11**:109–119.
- Cantarella G, Scollo M, Lempereur L, Saccani-Jotti G, Basile F, and Bernardini R (2011) Endocannabinoids inhibit release of nerve growth factor by inflammation-activated mast cells. *Biochem Pharmacol* **82**:380–388.
- Costa B, Giagnoni G, Franke C, Trovato AE, and Colleoni M (2004) Vanilloid TRPV1 receptor mediates the antihyperalgesic effect of the nonpsychoactive cannabinoid, cannabidiol, in a rat model of acute inflammation. *Br J Pharmacol* **143**:247–250.
- de Paulis A, Annunziato F, Di Gioia L, Romagnani S, Carfora M, Beltrame C, Marone G, and Romagnani P (2001) Expression of the chemokine receptor CCR3 on human mast cells. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* **124**:146–150.
- De Petrocellis L, Davis JB, and Di Marzo V (2001) Palmitoylethanolamide enhances anandamide stimulation of human vanilloid VR1 receptors. *FEBS Lett* **506**:253–256.
- De Petrocellis L, Ligresti A, Moriello AS, Allarà M, Bisogno T, Petrosino S, Stott CG, and Di Marzo V (2011) Effects of cannabinoids and cannabinoid-enriched Cannabis extracts on TRP channels and endocannabinoid metabolic enzymes. *Br J Pharmacol* **163**:1479–1494.
- Di Marzo V, Goparaju SK, Wang L, Liu J, Bátkai S, Járás Z, Fezza F, Miura GI, Palmiter RD, Sugiura T, et al. (2001) Leptin-regulated endocannabinoids are involved in maintaining food intake. *Nature* **410**:822–825.
- Enk AH and Katz SI (1992) Early events in the induction phase of contact sensitivity. *J Invest Dermatol* **99**:39S–41S.
- Gaffal E, Cron M, Glodde N, and Tüting T (2013) Anti-inflammatory activity of topical THC in DNFB-mediated mouse allergic contact dermatitis independent of CB₁ and CB₂ receptors. *Allergy* **68**:994–1000.
- Iannotti FA, Di Marzo V, and Petrosino S (2016) Endocannabinoids and endocannabinoid-related mediators: targets, metabolism and role in neurological disorders. *Prog Lipid Res* **62**:107–128.
- Iannotti FA, Hill CL, Leo A, Alhusaini A, Soubrane C, Mazzarella E, Russo E, Whalley BJ, Di Marzo V, and Stephens GJ (2014) Nonpsychotropic plant cannabinoids, cannabidivarin (CBDV) and cannabidiol (CBD), activate and desensitize transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) channels in vitro: potential for the treatment of neuronal hyperexcitability. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **5**:1131–1141.
- Karsak M, Gaffal E, Date R, Wang-Eckhardt L, Rehnelt J, Petrosino S, Starowicz K, Steuder R, Schlicker E, Cravatt B, et al. (2007) Attenuation of allergic contact dermatitis through the endocannabinoid system. *Science* **316**:1494–1497.
- Leweke FM, Piomelli D, Pahlisch F, Muhl D, Gerth CW, Hoyer C, Klosterkötter J, Hellmich M, and Koethe D (2012) Cannabidiol enhances anandamide signaling and alleviates psychotic symptoms of schizophrenia. *Transl Psychiatry* **2**:e94.
- Lo Verme J, Fu J, Astarita G, La Rana G, Russo R, Calignano A, and Piomelli D (2005) The nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α mediates the anti-inflammatory actions of palmitoylethanolamide. *Mol Pharmacol* **67**:15–19.
- Maione S, Piscitelli F, Gatta L, Vita D, De Petrocellis L, Palazzo E, de Novellis V, and Di Marzo V (2011) Non-psychoactive cannabinoids modulate the descending pathway of antinociception in anaesthetized rats through several mechanisms of action. *Br J Pharmacol* **162**:584–596.
- Marsicano G, Wotjak CT, Azad SC, Bisogno T, Rammes G, Cascio MG, Hermann H, Tang J, Hofmann C, Zieglgänsberger W, et al. (2002) The endogenous cannabinoid system controls extinction of aversive memories. *Nature* **418**:530–534.
- Montecucco F, Bondarenko AI, Lenglet S, Burger F, Piscitelli F, Carbone F, Roth A, Liberale L, Dallegri F, Brandt KJ, et al. (2016) Treatment with the GPR55 antagonist CID16020046 increases neutrophil activation in mouse atherosclerosis. *Thromb Haemostasis* **116**:987–997.
- Oka S, Wakui J, Ikeda S, Yanagimoto S, Kishimoto S, Gokoh M, Nasui M, and Sugiura T (2006) Involvement of the cannabinoid CB₂ receptor and its endogenous ligand 2-arachidonoylglycerol in oxazolone-induced contact dermatitis in mice. *J Immunol* **177**:8796–8805.
- Pazos MR, Mohammed N, Lafuente H, Santos M, Martínez-Pinilla E, Moreno E, Valdizan E, Romero J, Pazos A, Franco R, et al. (2013) Mechanisms of cannabidiol neuroprotection in hypoxic-ischemic newborn pigs: role of 5HT_{1A} and CB₂ receptors. *Neuropharmacology* **71**:282–291.
- Pertwee RG (2008) The diverse CB₁ and CB₂ receptor pharmacology of three plant cannabinoids: delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol, cannabidiol and delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Br J Pharmacol* **153**:199–215.
- Pertwee RG (2015) Endocannabinoids and their pharmacological actions. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* **231**:1–37.
- Petrosino S, Cristino L, Karsak M, Gaffal E, Ueda N, Tüting T, Bisogno T, De Filippis D, D'Amico A, Saturnino C, et al. (2010) Protective role of palmitoylethanolamide in contact allergic dermatitis. *Allergy* **65**:698–711.
- Petrosino S and Di Marzo V (2017) The pharmacology of palmitoylethanolamide and first data on the therapeutic efficacy of some of its new formulations. *Br J Pharmacol* **174**:1349–1365.
- Petrosino S, Schiano Moriello A, Cerrato S, Fusco M, Puigdemont A, De Petrocellis L, and Di Marzo V (2016) The anti-inflammatory mediator palmitoylethanolamide enhances the levels of 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol and potentiates its actions at TRPV1 cation channels. *Br J Pharmacol* **173**:1154–1162.

- Russo EB, Burnett A, Hall B, and Parker KK (2005) Agonistic properties of cannabidiol at 5-HT_{1a} receptors. *Neurochem Res* **30**:1037–1043.
- Ryberg E, Larsson N, Sjögren S, Hjorth S, Hermansson NO, Leonova J, Elebring T, Nilsson K, Drmota T, and Greasley PJ (2007) The orphan receptor GPR55 is a novel cannabinoid receptor. *Br J Pharmacol* **152**:1092–1101.
- Shang VC, Kendall DA, and Roberts RE (2016) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol reverses TNF α -induced increase in airway epithelial cell permeability through CB₂ receptors. *Biochem Pharmacol* **120**:63–71.
- Slominski A, Pisarchik A, Zbytek B, Tobin DJ, Kauser S, and Wortsman J (2003) Functional activity of serotonergic and melatonergic systems expressed in the skin. *J Cell Physiol* **196**:144–153.
- Stanley CP, Hind WH, Tufarelli C, and O'Sullivan SE (2015) Cannabidiol causes endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation of human mesenteric arteries via CB1 activation. *Cardiovasc Res* **107**:568–578.
- Taub DD, Proost P, Murphy WJ, Anver M, Longo DL, van Damme J, and Oppenheim JJ (1995) Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), -2, and -3 are chemotactic for human T lymphocytes. *J Clin Invest* **95**:1370–1376.
- Ueda Y, Miyagawa N, Matsui T, Kaya T, and Iwamura H (2005) Involvement of cannabinoid CB(2) receptor-mediated response and efficacy of cannabinoid CB(2) receptor inverse agonist, JTE-907, in cutaneous inflammation in mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **520**:164–171.
- Vaia M, Petrosino S, De Filippis D, Negro L, Guarino A, Carnuccio R, Di Marzo V, and Iuvone T (2016) Palmitoylethanolamide reduces inflammation and itch in a mouse model of contact allergic dermatitis. *Eur J Pharmacol* **791**:669–674.
- van Loveren H, Meade R, and Askenase PW (1983) An early component of delayed-type hypersensitivity mediated by T cells and mast cells. *J Exp Med* **157**:1604–1617.
- Watanabe H, Unger M, Tuvel B, Wang B, and Sauder DN (2002) Contact hypersensitivity: the mechanism of immune responses and T cell balance. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* **22**:407–412.
- Xie J, Xiao D, Xu Y, Zhao J, Jiang L, Hu X, Zhang Y, and Yu L (2016) Up-regulation of immunomodulatory effects of mouse bone-marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells by tetrahydrocannabinol pre-treatment involving cannabinoid receptor CB2. *Oncotarget* **7**:6436–6447.
- Yang L, Li FF, Han YC, Jia B, and Ding Y (2015) Cannabinoid receptor CB2 is involved in tetrahydrocannabinol-induced anti-inflammation against lipopolysaccharide in MG-63 cells. *Mediators Inflamm* **2015**:362126.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Vincenzo Di Marzo, Istituto di Chimica Biomolecolare, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Via Campi Flegrei 34, 80078 Pozzuoli, Napoli, Italy. E-mail: vdimarzo@icb.cnr.it