Dopamine Receptor Agonist Treatment of Idiopathic Dystonia: A Reappraisal in Humans and Mice
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ABSTRACT

Although dystonia is often associated with abnormal dopamine neurotransmission, dopaminergic drugs are not currently used to treat dystonia because there is a general view that dopaminergic drugs are ineffective. However, there is little conclusive evidence to support or refute this assumption. Therefore, to assess the therapeutic potential of these compounds, we analyzed results from multiple trials of dopamine receptor agonists in patients with idiopathic dystonias and also tested the efficacy of dopamine receptor agonists in a mouse model of generalized dystonia. Our results suggest that dopamine receptor agonists were effective in some, but not all, patients tested. Further, the mixed D1/D2 dopamine receptor agonist apomorphine was apparently more effective than subtype selective D2 dopamine receptor agonists. However, rigorously controlled trials are still needed. In a mouse model of dystonia, a selective D1 dopamine receptor agonist was not effective while a selective D2 dopamine receptor had modest efficacy. However, when combined, these receptor-selective agonists acted synergistically to ameliorate the dystonia. Coactivation of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors using apomorphine or by increasing extracellular concentrations of dopamine was also effective. Thus, results from both clinical trials and tests in mice suggest that coactivation of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors may be an effective therapeutic strategy in some patients. These results support a reconsideration of dopamine receptors as targets for the treatment of dystonia, particularly because recent genetic and diagnostic advances may facilitate the identification of the subtypes of dystonia patients who respond and those who do not.

Introduction

Dystonia, the third most common movement disorder after tremor and Parkinson’s disease, is characterized by involuntary sustained or intermittent muscle contractions that cause debilitating abnormal twisting movements and/or postures (Albanese et al., 2013). The pathobiology of dystonia is not understood in part because most dystonias result from neuronal dysfunction rather than overt degeneration or detectable insult. Although the cellular mechanisms that lead to the abnormal movements are unknown, a reduction in dopamine neurotransmission is observed in many forms of dystonia.

Dystonia is a prominent feature of inherited disorders that disrupt dopamine neurotransmission such as dopa-responsive dystonia, vesicular monoamine transporter 2 (VMAT2) deficiency, and dopamine transporter deficiency (Rilstone et al., 2013; Ng et al., 2014; Wijemanne and Jankovic, 2015). Dystonia may also occur in degenerative disorders that affect dopamine neurons, such as Parkinson’s disease (Jankovic, 2005; Tolosa and Compta, 2006) or in response to dopamine receptor antagonists (Mehta et al., 2015). Abnormal dopamine neurotransmission is also observed in dystonias that are otherwise not overtly associated with dopaminergic defects. For example, a reduction in D2 dopamine receptor density and abnormalities in striatal dopamine metabolites are observed in DYT1 dystonia, an inherited form of generalized dystonia caused by mutations in torsinA (Ozelius et al., 1997; Augood et al., 2002; Asanuma et al., 2005). More common idiopathic forms of dystonia such as writer’s cramp and spasmodic dysphonia are also associated with a reduction in striatal dopaminergic neurotransmission (Berman et al., 2013; Simonyan et al., 2013).

Consistent with findings in patients, striatal dopamine transmission is disrupted in mouse models of dystonia. Mouse models of DYT1 dystonia, dopa-responsive dystonia, and paroxysmal nonkinesigenic dyskinesia exhibit reduced presynaptic dopamine release and abnormal postsynaptic dopamine receptor signaling (Balcioglu et al., 2007; Page et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012; Song et al., 2012; Rose et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). A reduction in extracellular striatal dopamine concentrations is also observed in mouse models in which the dystonia originates in the cerebellum, including tottering mice and dystonia induced by an intracerebellar microinjection of kainic acid (Neychev et al., 2008; Raike et al., 2013). Thus, evidence from both patients and animal models implicates abnormal dopamine signaling even in dystonias that are not obviously caused by intrinsic dopamine neuron dysfunction.

ABBREVIATIONS: ANOVA, analysis of variance; GBR-12909, 1-[2-[(4-fluorophenyl)methoxy]ethyl]-4-(3-phenypropyl)piperazine dihydrochloride; SKF-81297, (±)-6-chloro-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1-phenyl-1H-3-benzazepine hydrobromide.
Despite the strong association between abnormal dopamine neurotransmission and dystonia, dopaminergic agonists are not commonly prescribed to treat dystonia. Dopamine receptor agonists were tested in dystonia patients decades ago but were abandoned due to intolerable side effects and the unpredictable response across patients, which fostered the notion that dopamine receptor agonists are ineffective for the treatment of dystonia. However, the evidence supporting the presumed lack of efficacy is unclear. Therefore, to assess the validity of the claim that dopamine receptor agonists are not effective, we first analyzed the published trials of dopamine receptor agonists in patients with idiopathic dystonia. Next, we tested the efficacy of dopamine receptor agonists in a mouse model of generalized dystonia. Results from both patients and mice suggest that potentiating dopamine neurotransmission through coactivation of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors may be an effective strategy for the treatment of dystonia.

Materials and Methods

Clinical Trial Analysis. PubMed searches were performed using keywords that included general (e.g., focal dystonia) and specific (e.g., blepharospasm) terms for dystonias, plus dopaminergic drugs, including amphetamine, apomorphine, bromocriptine, lisuride, pramipexole, ropinirole, and rotigotine. Studies of Parkinson’s disease patients and suspected dopa-responsive dystonia patients, as determined by positive responses to L-DOPA and diurnal fluctuation in the dystonia, were excluded. Studies were classified based on the level of evidence as described by the American Academy of Neurology (Gronseth et al., 2011).

Mice. Normal C57BL/6J mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and bred at Emory University. Male and female mice (8–12 weeks of age) were included in all experiments. Of the 354 mice tested, 175 were male, and 179 were female; no statistically significant differences between male and female responses within drug or vehicle conditions were observed. Mice were housed in 29-cm deep × 19-cm wide × 13-cm high cages (two to five mice per cage) in standard environmental conditions (72°C, 40%–50% relative humidity) with a 12-hour light/dark cycle and ad libitum access to food and water. All procedures conformed to the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Emory University Animal Care and Use Committee.

Microinjections. Cerebellar microinjections were performed as described elsewhere (Pizoli et al., 2002). The mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (Piramal Healthcare, Mumbai, India), and a midline incision was made over the skull. A small hole was drilled over the cerebellum (approximate coordinates: anteroposterior −4.0 mm lambda, lateral 0 mm), and a Hamilton syringe with a 2-μl needle was used to deliver 0.5 μl of 50 μg/ml kainic acid over 10 seconds to the midline cerebellum at the primary fissure. The incision was closed with wound glue (Vetbond; 3M, St. Paul, MN). The mice recovered within 10 minutes. The injections were performed without the aid of a stereotaxic apparatus to facilitate rapid surgeries and recoveries. With this freehand method, the interanimal injection sites are subjectively homogeneous, thus fostering the notion that dopamine receptor agonists are ineffective for the treatment of dystonia. However, the evidence supporting the presumed lack of efficacy is unclear. Therefore, to assess the validity of the claim that dopamine receptor agonists are not effective, we first analyzed the published trials of dopamine receptor agonists in patients with idiopathic dystonia. Next, we tested the efficacy of dopamine receptor agonists in a mouse model of generalized dystonia. Results from both patients and mice suggest that potentiating dopamine neurotransmission through coactivation of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors may be an effective strategy for the treatment of dystonia.

Dystonia Assessments. Animals were placed in an empty cage immediately after kainate challenge, and their behaviors were assessed for 1 hour. To quantify the dystonia, we rated the mice using timed behavioral sampling. The observers were blinded to the treatment. Each mouse was rated for 1 minute every 10 minutes using a well-established rating scale (Jinnah et al., 2000), where 0 = normal motor behavior; 1 = slightly slowed or abnormal movements; 2 = fleeting abnormal postures; 3 = moderate impairment, limited ambulation even when disturbed, frequent abnormal postures; and 4 = severe impairment, sustained abnormal postures. The six scores obtained during the 1-hour scoring period were summed to obtain a total score for the entire scoring period for each mouse. Therefore, the highest cumulative score was 24. Cumulative scores lower than 10, which would be achieved by ratings of 1 or 2 in each scoring interval, suggested abnormal motor behavior such as motor slowing or an unsteady gait but not overt dystonia. The mice were gently prodded at the start of each scoring bin to encourage movement because dystonia is movement induced.

Drugs. Kainic acid [(2S,3S,4S)-carboxy-(1-methyllethenyl)-3- pyrrolidineacetic acid], SKF-81297 ((±)-6-chloro-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1-phenyl-1H-3-benzazepine hydrobromide), and quinpirole were obtained from Toecis (Bristol, United Kingdom) and were dissolved in 0.9% saline or phosphate-buffered saline. Amphetamine was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and dissolved in 0.9% saline. Nisoxetine, citalopram, GBR-12909 (1-[2-[bis-(4-fluorophenyl)-methoxyethyl]-4-(3-phenylpropyl)piperazine dihydrochloride), and apomorphine were purchased from Toecis and dissolved in water. For all compounds, the behaviorally active doses were selected based on previously published studies (Yamashita et al., 2006; Fan and Hess, 2007; Laloux et al., 2007; Rose et al., 2015).

Data Analysis. An arithmetic sum of the disability scores was used to calculate a total score for the entire 1-hour session. These data approximate a continuous variable when total scores from one animal are added together, so the data were analyzed using parametric tests, in this case one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) was used for statistical analyses. All results are expressed as mean ± S.E.M.

Results

We analyzed reports examining the efficacy of dopamine receptor agonist treatment of idiopathic dystonia. After eliminating the studies examining Parkinson’s disease patients, our search retrieved 25 reports. Of these, two examined dopamine receptor agonist responses in suspected dopa-responsive dystonia patients, so these reports were excluded. A total of 23 reports describing 191 unique cases of presumptive idiopathic dystonias were included in our analysis (Table 1). The types of dystonia were varied and comprised of focal, segmental, and generalized dystonias.

The dopamine receptor agonists tested included the indirect-acting agonist amphetamine and the direct-acting agonists apomorphine, bromocriptine, and lisuride; some patients were tested with more than one drug. Our searches did not retrieve any published reports examining the efficacy of the newer dopamine receptor agonist medications pramipexole, ropinirole, or rotigotine. Indeed, most of the studies were performed before the introduction of these drugs.

Treatment with amphetamine, which increases extracellular dopamine concentrations, thus indirectly activating both dopamine D1 and D2 receptors. However, the response to amphetamine is transient and does not foster the notion that dopamine receptor agonists are ineffective for the treatment of dystonia. After eliminating the studies examining Parkinson’s disease patients, our search retrieved 25 reports. Of these, two examined dopamine receptor agonist responses in suspected dopa-responsive dystonia patients, so these reports were excluded. A total of 23 reports describing 191 unique cases of presumptive idiopathic dystonias were included in our analysis (Table 1). The types of dystonia were varied and comprised of focal, segmental, and generalized dystonias.

The dopamine receptor agonists tested included the indirect-acting agonist amphetamine and the direct-acting agonists apomorphine, bromocriptine, and lisuride; some patients were tested with more than one drug. Our searches did not retrieve any published reports examining the efficacy of the newer dopamine receptor agonist medications pramipexole, ropinirole, or rotigotine. Indeed, most of the studies were performed before the introduction of these drugs.

Treatment with amphetamine, which increases extracellular dopamine concentrations, thus indirectly activating both dopamine D1 and D2 receptors. However, the response to amphetamine is transient and does not foster
# Patient studies examining the efficacy of dopaminergic drugs for the treatment of dystonias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Type of Dystonia</th>
<th>Patients Improved/Patients Tested</th>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamine</td>
<td>Cervical, multifocal (cervical and foot)</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>Case reports</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>Myerson and Loman (1942)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1943</td>
<td>Patterson and Little (1943)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apomorphine</td>
<td>Cervical</td>
<td>2/7</td>
<td>Double-blind, placebo crossover and open-label</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Tolosa (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Craniofacial</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>Single-blind, placebo crossover</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Tolosa and Lai (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Craniofacial</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>Case reports</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Micheli et al. (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>Case reports</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Braham and Sarova-Pinhas (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Zuddas et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Segmental (cervical, spasmodic dysphonia, and craniofacial)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Vidalihat et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromocriptine</td>
<td>Cervical</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>Single-blind, placebo crossover</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Lees et al. (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo crossover</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Juntunen et al. (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Craniofacial</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>Case reports</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Micheli et al. (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized, unspecified focal</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>Open-label followed by double-blind study in the two responders</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Obeso and Luquin (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized, hemidystonia, cervical, segmental (oromandibular and laryngeal), multifocal (cervical and foot) blepharospasm</td>
<td>7/13</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo crossover</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Newman et al. (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Craniofacial</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>Case reports</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Micheli et al. (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Craniofacial</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>Open label</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Marsden et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Craniofacial</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Micheli et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Craniofacial</td>
<td>1/9</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo crossover</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Bassi et al. (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized, unspecified focal</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>Open-label</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Obeso and Luquin (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized, segmental (unspecified regions), hand, cervical, craniofacial, myoclonic, Parkinsonism with dystonia, tardive, unspecified</td>
<td>8/42</td>
<td>Open-label followed by randomized, double-blind, placebo crossover for the eight patients who improved</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Quinn et al. (1985)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*The definition of “improved” varied across publications and is summarized here exactly as reported.

*Based on the updated scheme for “Classification of Evidence for Therapeutic Studies” established by the American Academy of Neurology in 2015.

*Craniofacial is used synonymously with Meige syndrome or orofacial dystonia.
D1 and D2 dopamine receptor subtypes, was reported for only three patients and was effective in all three. The mixed D1/D2 dopamine receptor agonist apomorphine was effective in 28 (63%) of 44 patients tested. Bromocriptine D2 dopamine receptor-selective agonist, was effective in 18 (25%) of 71 patients. Lisuride, which is a D2 dopamine receptor agonist that also acts at serotonergic and adrenergic receptor subtypes, was effective in 41 (36%) of 113 patients. There was no apparent relationship between the response to dopamine receptor agonist treatment and the type of dystonia.

Of the 23 reports identified, none achieved the level of evidence that is required for a class I therapeutic trial as defined by the American Academy of Neurology (Gronseth et al., 2011). Class I trials are randomized controlled clinical trials with rigorously defined criteria for study design, patient recruitment, outcomes measures, and statistical analyses. Only one study met the criteria for a class II trial, a somewhat lower level of rigor than class I. A few class III studies were performed, but the vast majority were class IV due to less stringent study designs, which is a consequence of the small samples sizes available for trials in rare disorders such as dystonia. Additionally, because many of the studies were performed decades ago, before the modern understanding of the etiologic heterogeneity of dystonia, the trials often included several different types of dystonia. These results demonstrate that rigorous trials to determine the efficacy of dopamine agonists for the treatment of dystonia have not yet been performed.

To further explore the efficacy of dopamine receptor agonists in dystonia, we used a mouse model of dystonia to dissect the underlying pharmacologic mechanisms. A mouse model in which generalized dystonia is induced in the cerebellum through local application of kainic acid (Pizoli et al., 2002), was used because 1) striatal extracellular dopamine is reduced upon induction of dystonia (Neychev et al., 2008), similar to the decrease in dopamine neurotransmission observed in many different types of dystonia, and 2) the cerebellar excitation caused by kainic acid mimics the abnormal increase in cerebellar activity observed in many forms of dystonia, including DYT1, blepharospasm, cervical dystonia, writer’s cramp, and spasmodic dysphonia (Neychev et al., 2011).

Because the dystonia is initiated in the cerebellum yet induces a reduction in striatal dopamine, we first determined the role of dopaminergic transmission in the expression of dystonia by using the indirect-acting dopamine agonist amphetamine to increase extracellular dopamine concentrations before the induction of dystonia. Mice were challenged with peripheral administration (intraperitoneal) of 1–4 mg/kg amphetamine, which are doses that reliably increase both extracellular dopamine and locomotor activity without inducing stereotypic behaviors (Fan and Hess, 2007). Amphetamine dose-dependently reduced the severity of the dystonia caused by intracerebellar microinjection of kainic acid (Fig. 1A). Indeed, at the highest dose of amphetamine, dystonia was nearly abolished.

Because amphetamine increases the extracellular concentrations of norepinephrine and serotonin in addition to dopamine (Kuczenski et al., 1995), we examined the effect of increasing the extracellular concentration of each individual monoamine on kainate-induced dystonia to identify the specific neurotransmitter(s) involved. To accomplish this, the norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor nisoxetine or the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor citalopram was administered (intraperitoneally) before intracerebellar kainate administration. Neither nisoxetine nor citalopram affected the dystonia caused by intracerebellar microinjection of kainic acid (Fig. 1, B and C).

To determine the role of enhanced dopaminergic tone, the selective dopamine reuptake inhibitor GBR-12909 was administered (intraperitoneally) before kainate challenge. GBR-12909 dose-dependently reduced the severity of dystonia (one-way ANOVA; F3,26 = 3.853, P < 0.05, n = 8/dose). Disability scores lower than 10 (dashed lines) suggest abnormal motor behavior, such as an unsteady gait, but not overt dystonia. Values represent the mean of the cumulative disability score in 1 hour ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 compared with vehicle, Holm-Sidak multiple comparisons test. NS, not statistically significant.

**Fig. 1.** Effects of enhanced monoaminergic transmission on dystonia induced by cerebellar microinjection of kainate. (A) Peripheral administration of amphetamine, which nonselectively increases the extracellular concentrations of the monoamines dopamine, norepinephrine, and serotonin, dose-dependently reduced the severity of dystonia induced by microinjection of kainate to the cerebellum (one-way ANOVA; F3,26 = 4.813, P < 0.01, n = 8/dose). (B) The selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor nisoxetine did not affect the disability score (one-way ANOVA; F3,27 = 0.468, NS, n = 7 to 8/dose). (C) Citalopram, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, did not affect the disability score (one-way ANOVA; F3,26 = 0.317, NS, n = 7 to 8/dose). (D) The selective dopamine reuptake inhibitor GBR-12909 dose-dependently reduced the severity of dystonia (one-way ANOVA; F3,26 = 3.853, P < 0.05, n = 8/dose). Disability scores lower than 10 (dashed lines) suggest abnormal motor behavior, such as an unsteady gait, but not overt dystonia. Values represent the mean of the cumulative disability score in 1 hour ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 compared with vehicle, Holm-Sidak multiple comparisons test. NS, not statistically significant.
to the mixed D1/D2 dopamine receptor agonist apomorphine, administration of the D1 dopamine receptor agonist SKF-81297 (intraperitoneally) did not affect the dystonia induced by cerebellar microinjection of kainic acid (Fig. 2B). Administration of the selective D2 dopamine receptor agonist quinpirole (intraperitoneally) resulted in a modest but significant reduction in the severity of the dystonia induced by intracerebellar administration of kainic acid (Fig. 2C).

Because the response to quinpirole alone was less robust than that observed with amphetamine and because SKF-81297 alone had no effect, we hypothesized that D1 plus D2 dopamine receptor coactivation contributes to the amelioration of dystonia. To test this hypothesis, we administered a fixed low dose of the D2 dopamine receptor agonist quinpirole (0.5 mg/kg, i.p.), which alone had no effect on cerebellar kainate-induced dystonia, along with several different doses of the D1 dopamine receptor agonist SKF-81297 (1, 2 or 5 mg/kg, i.p.). As expected, the severity of the cerebellar kainate-induced dystonia observed after 0.5 mg/kg quinpirole was comparable to that observed after saline administration. However, in the presence of this behaviorally inactive dose of quinpirole, SKF-81297 dose-dependently reduced the severity of dystonia (Fig. 3), although SKF-81297 alone did not affect the severity of dystonia. These results suggest that D1 and D2 dopamine receptor signaling acts synergistically to mediate the severity of dystonia.

To identify brain region(s) associated with the ameliorating effects of dopamine agonists, we microinjected apomorphine into brain regions that are thought to play a prominent role in dystonia. Recent evidence suggests that dystonia is a network disorder involving cortico-striato-pallido-thalamo-cortical and cerebello-thalamo-cortical pathways (Carbon et al., 2010; Neychev et al., 2011; Niethammer et al., 2011; Lehericy et al., 2013). Therefore, the striatum and cerebellum were targeted for microinjection. Bilateral striatal microinjection of apomorphine significantly reduced the severity of the cerebellar-induced dystonia ($P < 0.05$) compared with striatal microinjection of saline. Microinjection of apomorphine into midline cerebellum had no effect on intracerebellar kainate-induced dystonia compared with saline (Fig. 4). These results suggest that dopaminergic signaling within the striatum mediates the severity of dystonia induced by abnormal cerebellar signaling.

**Discussion**

Understanding the role of dopamine in the pathogenesis of dystonia is critically important for therapeutic strategies, in light of the strong association between dopamine and dystonia. However, dopaminergic drugs are not currently used to treat dystonia and were abandoned decades ago because they were thought to be ineffective. Table 1 clearly illustrates that this assumption, which has become dogma, is neither supported nor refuted by the evidence. Despite this limitation, the analysis presented in Table 1 did reveal trends in patient responses. A positive response was reported for more than two-thirds of patients who received the mixed D1/D2 dopamine receptor agonist apomorphine. Amphetamine, which also results in the activation of both classes of dopamine receptors, was reportedly effective, but it is important to note that only a few patients were tested. In contrast, the more selective D2 dopamine receptor agonists bromocriptine and lisuride were not nearly as effective. This distinction between selective and nonselective dopamine receptor agonists was not obvious before our analysis. Although far from conclusive, the results of Table 1 suggest the hypothesis that coactivation of dopamine receptor subtypes is more efficacious than subtype selective dopamine receptor agonists for the treatment of dystonia.

We used a mouse model of dystonia to test this hypothesis and to dissect the pharmacology of the response. Coactivating D1 and D2 dopamine receptors by increasing extracellular concentrations of dopamine using amphetamine or the dopamine reuptake inhibitor GBR-12909 reduced the severity of dystonia, while increasing extracellular concentrations of norepinephrine or serotonin had no effect. Apomorphine, which acts at both dopamine receptor subtypes, also ameliorated the dystonia in mice.
In contrast, selective activation of D1 dopamine receptors was ineffective. In the striatum, D1 dopamine receptors are expressed on a subset of medium spiny neurons (DeLong and Wichmann, 2007; Gerfen and Surmeier, 2011); medium spiny neurons provide the only striatal efferents, suggesting that restoring dopaminergic neurotransmission to only a subset of efferents is insufficient to correct the dystonia.

Selective activation of D2 dopamine receptors produced a modest reduction in the severity of the dystonia. D2 dopamine receptors are expressed in a subset of medium spiny neurons that, for the most part, do not overlap with D1 dopamine receptor-expressing medium spiny neurons. D2 dopamine receptors are also located on both nigrostriatal and corticostriatal presynaptic terminals where they mediate afferent signals (DeLong and Wichmann, 2007; Gerfen and Surmeier, 2011). It is possible that the synergistic effects of D1 and D2 dopamine receptor activation arise through concomitant restoration of dopaminergic signaling at both afferents and efferents, which act in concert to control movement. Alternatively, the synergistic effects may be attributable to activation of D1/D2 receptor heteromers as ~5% of medium spiny neurons coexpress D1 and D2 dopamine receptors (Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008; Matamala et al., 2009). These heteromeric complexes induce intracellular calcium signaling (Rashid et al., 2007) and mediate behavioral effects that are not attributable independent effects of D1 or D2 dopamine receptors (Shen et al., 2015). The development of compounds that distinguish heteromer complex-forming dopamine receptor monomers will be necessary to determine the role of heteromers in the synergistic effects observed here. Regardless of the precise mechanism, the striking parallels between the human and mouse responses suggest that potentiating dopamine transmission through both D1 and D2 dopamine receptor subtypes may be an effective therapeutic strategy.

Our results demonstrate that enhancing striatal dopamine neurotransmission ameliorates generalized dystonia that is initiated in the cerebellum. It was previously shown that a reduction in extracellular striatal dopamine concentration occurs when dystonia is caused by abnormal cerebellar signaling, including in the kainate-induced model used here (Neychev et al., 2008; Raike et al., 2013). The anatomic connectivity between the cerebellum and substantia nigra (Snider et al., 1976) and between the cerebellum and basal ganglia (Hoshi et al., 2005) is well established. Further, the dystonia in a mouse model of rapid-onset dystonia-parkinsonism is mediated by abnormal striatal signals induced by cerebellar dysfunction (Calderon et al., 2011). However, the relevance of diminished dopamine transmission to the expression of dystonia where the etiology is not overtly associated with dopaminergic defects in either patients or animal models is not known. Our results demonstrate that potentiating dopaminergic neurotransmission within the striatum, but not in the cerebellum where the pathophysiology originated, was sufficient to ameliorate the dystonia. Thus, enhancing striatal dopaminergic neurotransmission may be effective even in those dystonias that are not overtly caused by dopaminergic defects but nonetheless exhibit abnormalities in dopamine signaling such as writer's cramp and spasmodic dysphonia (Berman et al., 2013; Simonyan et al., 2013).

Although the experiments in mice demonstrate that coactivation of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors is more effective than selective agonists and the patient studies imply similar efficacy, dopamine receptor agonists are not currently used to treat dystonia. Most currently prescribed dopamine receptor agonists, including pramipexole, ropinirole, rotigotine, bromocriptine, and lisuride are selective for D2 dopamine receptors. These selective compounds are predicted to be less effective than nonselective agonists, based on the results from the mouse model and human studies.

Apomorphine is currently the only mixed D1/D2 dopamine receptor agonist available for use in humans. While acutely administered apomorphine appeared to be effective in the limited number of patients tested, it is not useful for the treatment of dystonia for several reasons. First, apomorphine is short-acting, with effects lasting 60–90 minutes (Neef and van Laar, 1999). Second, apomorphine has poor oral bioavailability, so it must be delivered by subcutaneous injection (Neef and van Laar, 1999). Third, the side effects include nausea, psychosis, headache, sweating, and dizziness (Kimber et al., 2017). Thus, patients would require multiple injections per day while coping with intolerable side effects, which is neither practical nor suitable for the chronic treatment of dystonia. The development of novel mixed agonists or positive allosteric modulators, which might achieve the same results without the side effects of a full mixed agonist, would provide an opportunity for more rigorous trials.

Our results suggest that it was premature to discard dopamine receptors as targets for the treatment of dystonia. Systematic trials testing dopamine receptor agonists in homogeneous patient populations are needed to provide conclusive evidence. With the modern understanding of the heterogeneous etiologies underlying the pathogenesis of dystonia, which was not apparent several decades ago when dopamine receptor agonists were tested, it may be possible to identify subtypes of dystonia patients who respond while others may not. Indeed, given the broad range of etiologies and phenotypes associated with dystonia, it is unlikely that dopamine receptor agonists would be effective in all forms of dystonia. Overall, the trends that emerged from our analysis of previous studies in humans in combination with the results in mice and the strong evidence implicating dopamine dysfunction in dystonia support a reconsideration of dopamine receptors as targets for the treatment of dystonia.
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