Genetic and Nongenetic Factors Associated with Protein Abundance of Flavin-Containing Monooxygenase 3 in Human Liver
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ABSTRACT

Hepatic flavin-containing mono-oxygenase 3 (FMO3) metabolizes a broad array of nucleophilic heteroatom (e.g., N or S)-containing xenobiotics (e.g., amphetamine, sulindac, benzidine, ranitidine, tamoxifen, nicotine, and ethionamide), as well as endogenous compounds (e.g., catecholamine and trimethylamine). To predict the effect of genetic and nongenetic factors on the hepatic metabolism of FMO3 substrates, we quantified FMO3 protein abundance in human liver microsomes (HLMs; n = 445) by liquid chromatography-tandem mass chromatography proteomics. Genotyping/gene resequencing, mRNA expression, and functional activity (with benzydamine as probe substrate) of FMO3 were also evaluated. FMO3 abundance increased 2.2-fold (13.0 ± 11.4 pmol/mg protein vs. 28.0 ± 11.8 pmol/mg protein) from neonates to adults. After 6 years of age, no significant difference in FMO3 abundance was found between children and adults. Female donors exhibited modestly higher mRNA fragments per kilobase per million reads values (139.9 ± 76.9 vs. 105.1 ± 73.1; P < 0.001) and protein FMO3 abundance (26.7 ± 12.0 pmol/mg protein vs. 24.1 ± 12.1 pmol/mg protein; P < 0.05) compared with males. Six single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), including rs2066407, rs28363536, rs2266782 (E158K), rs909530 (N285N), rs2266780 (E308G), and rs909531, were associated with significantly decreased protein abundance. FMO3 abundance in individuals homozygous and heterozygous for haplotype 3 (H3), representing variant alleles for all these SNPs (except rs2066534), were 50.8% (P < 0.001) and 79.5% (P < 0.01), respectively, of those with the reference homozygous haplotype (H1, representing wild-type). In summary, FMO3 protein abundance is significantly associated with age, gender, and genotype. These data are important in predicting FMO3-mediated heteroatom-oxidation of xenobiotics and endogenous biomolecules in the human liver.

Introduction

The flavin-containing mono-oxygenases (FMOs, EC 1.14.13.8) belong to a family of NADPH-, flavin-adenine dinucleotide-, and O2-dependent microsomal enzymes that catalyze the oxidation of a wide variety of nucleophilic heteroatom compounds containing a nitrogen, sulfur, phosphorous, or selenium atom (Krueger and Williams, 2005). Eleven human FMO genes (FMO1–FMO11P) have been identified to date, but human FMO1–FMO5 are the only protein-coding genes that have been functionally characterized (Yamazaki and Shimizu, 2013). Members of the FMO gene family display distinct temporal-, tissue-, and species-specific expression patterns (Klick and Hines, 2007). Typical FMO substrates include trimethylamine, catecholamines (Türkanoğlu Özçelik et al., 2013), nicotine (Bloom et al., 2018), imipramine (Wagmann et al., 2016), prothipendyl (Krueger and Williams, 2005), amitriptyline (Krueger and Williams, 2005), phospho-sulindac amide (Xie et al., 2014), flavin-containing monooxygenase 3; FFPMK, fragments per kilobase per million reads; HLM, human liver microsome; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry; LD, linkage disequilibrium; MAF, minor allele frequency; P450, cytochrome P450 enzyme; PGRN, Pharmacogenomics Research Network; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphism.
FMO3, a protein with 532 amino acids, is the predominant form of the FMO enzyme family expressed in the adult human liver (Yamazaki and Shimizu, 2013). Although the FMO3 protein content in the liver is high (Haining et al., 1997; Overby et al., 1997), comparable to cytochrome P450 enzymes (P450s) (Koukouritaki et al., 2007), the role of FMO3 in xenobiotic or endobiotic metabolism has been often overlooked. The overlapping substrate specificity between FMO3 and P450s, the thermal instability of the enzyme during in vitro incubation (Cashman, 2008), and the unavailability of selective FMO inhibitors could be some of the reasons why contributions of FMO3 to drug biotransformation have not been studied more extensively. Further, many oxidation reactions previously found to be catalyzed by P450s were later determined to be catalyzed solely or predominately by FMO3 (e.g., itopride, clozapine, and cimetidine) (Cashman et al., 1993; Tugnait et al., 1997; Mushiroya et al., 2000). It has recently been concluded that FMOs are responsible for about 2.2% of all metabolic reactions and about 6% of all the phase 1 metabolic reactions (Testa et al., 2012). FMO3 is considered primarily responsible for almost all FMO-mediated reactions of pharmaceutical interest.

Unlike the P450s, FMO3 is not generally induced or inhibited by xenobiotics (Klick and Hines, 2007) and therefore poses limited drug-drug interaction potential (Cashman, 2008); however, interindividual differences in FMO3 activity (Overby et al., 1997) could result in significant differences in FMO3-dependent biotransformation of exogenous and endogenous compounds, leading to unexpected drug reactions or pathologic consequences (Koukouritaki et al., 2005). Many single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are associated with FMO3 function (Mitchell and Smith, 2001; Zhou and Shephard, 2006; Phillips and Shephard, 2008); however, the mechanism is unclear as to whether these SNPs affect substrate affinity (Ka) or FMO3 protein levels. Moreover, some of the FMO3 SNPs exhibit linkage disequilibrium (LD) (Cashman and Zhang, 2002), but a systematic classification of haplotypes and diplotype SNPs of FMO3 and their associations with protein activity or abundance has not been established. Although the association of the nongenetic factors, such as age and gender, with FMO3 abundance has been studied previously (Koukouritaki et al., 2002; Shimizu et al., 2011), investigations with multiple samples and with a more precise method for protein quantification are needed.

Therefore, the current study investigated the effect of genetic variations and nongenetic factors, including age, gender, and ethnicity, on FMO3 hepatic abundance using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The investigation was conducted in a large cohort of well characterized pediatric and adult human liver samples (n = 445, age range, 0.00-87 Years).

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and Reagents. Iodoacetamide, dithiothreitol, and Pierce trypsin protease (MS grade) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Rockford, IL). Ammonium bicarbonate buffer (ABB, 98% purity) was purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Chloroform, MS-grade acetonitrile (99.9% purity), methanol, and formic acid (99.5% purity) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ). Human serum albumin and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were obtained from Calbiochem (Billericia, MA) and Thermo Fisher Scientific, respectively. Synthetic isotopically pure heavy stable isotope-labeled peptides were produced by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The purified recombinant FMO3 protein was obtained from Abnova (H00002328-P01, Walnut, CA). NADPH and BZD were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). BZD N-oxide and dazidamine were obtained as previously described (Yeung et al., 2007).

Human Liver Microsome Samples. Previously isolated human liver microsomes (HLMs) (Pearce et al., 2016; Shirasaki et al., 2016) were used in this study. The liver tissue samples for the microsomal preparation were originally received from three liver banks: 1) the University of Washington Human Liver Bank (Seattle, WA) (n = 46), 2) Children’s Mercy Kansas City (Kansas City, MO) (n = 128), and 3) the Liver Bank at the St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital (Memphis, TN) (n = 271). The samples from Children’s Mercy Kansas City were obtained from the University of Maryland Brain and Tissue Bank for Developmental Disorders and the Liver Tissue Cell Distribution System. Additional details on the selection, procurement, and storage of the livers and investigator blinding for sample analyses have been described previously (Prasad et al., 2014; Shirasaki et al., 2016; Boberg et al., 2017; Tanner et al., 2017). Age, gender, and ethnicity were known for >95%, 98%, and 87% of the liver donors, respectively (Supplemental Table 1). The age range for donors was from 0 to 87 years (mean ± S.D.: 30 ± 24 years). Of the donors with known gender, 61.2% were male. The panel of samples consisted of 79.1% Caucasian, 5.8% African American, 0.9% Hispanic, 0.2% Native American, 0.2% Pacific Islander, and 12.6% unknown ethnicity donors. Cause of death, medications used, and liver pathology were known for less than 50% of donors, and smoking status was unknown for >88% of donors; therefore, these factors were not assessed as a predictor of FMO3 phenotypes in the present study. The collection and use of these tissues for research purposes were approved by the human subjects Institutional Review Boards of the University of Washington (Seattle, WA) and the St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital (Memphis, TN) and the Pediatric Institutional Review Board of Children’s Mercy Kansas City (Kansas City, MO).

FMO3 Protein Quantification. FMO3 protein quantification was carried out using a validated LC-MS/MS proteomics method. Two surrogate peptides were selected for FMO3 protein quantification, and corresponding heavy peptides containing terminal labeled [13C6, 15N2]-arginine and [13C6, 15N2]-lysine residues were used as internal standards. VAIAGDVGLSAR was used as the quantifier and NNLPLTDWDLYVK as the qualifier (Supplemental Fig. 1). HLM samples were diluted to 2 mg/ml and 80 μl (160 μg) of microsomal protein (or purified FMO3 protein as calibrator) was digested as described previously (Boberg et al., 2017) with minor modifications. Briefly, microsomal protein and 10 μl of human serum albumin (10 mg/ml) and/or 10 μl of BSA (0.2 mg/ml) were denatured and reduced with 10 μl of 250 mM dithiothreitol and 40 μl of ABB buffer (100 mM) at 95°C for 10 minutes with gentle shaking at 300g. After cooling to room temperature for 10 minutes, the denatured protein was alkylated by the addition of 20 μl of 500 mM iodoacetamide; the reaction was carried out in the dark for 30 minutes. Ice-cold methanol (500 μl), chloroform (100 μl), and water (400 μl) were added to each sample. After vortex-mixing and centrifugation at 16,000g (4°C) for 5 minutes, the upper and lower layers were removed using vacuum suction, and the pellets were dried at room temperature for 10 minutes. The pellets were then washed with 500 μl of ice-cold methanol and subjected to centrifugation at 8000g (4°C) for 5 minutes. After the supernatant was removed, the pellets (90 μl) were dried at room temperature for 30 minutes and resuspended in 60 μl of ABB buffer (50 mM, pH 7.8). Subsequently, the protein pellets were digested by adding 20 μl of trypsin (protein-trypsin ratio, approximately 80:1) and incubating at 37°C for 16 hours. The reaction was quenched by the...
addition of 20 μl of peptide internal standard cocktail (prepared in 80% acetonitrile in water containing 0.5% formic acid) and 10 μl of 80% acetonitrile in water containing 0.5% formic acid. The samples were vortex-mixed and subjected to centrifugation at 4000g for 5 minutes, and the supernatants were collected in LC-MS vials. The calibration curve standards ranged from 3.99 to 511 fmol (on-column amount based on the protein calibrator) and were generated by serial diluting of recombinant FMO3 protein standard in phosphate buffer (50 mM KPi, 0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) and processed similarly to HLM sample. Quantification was performed using a triple-quadrupole MS instrument (SCIEX Triple Quad 6500; PE SCIEX, Concord, ON, Canada) in ESI positive ionization mode coupled to an Acquity UPLC, I-class (Waters Technologies, Milford, MA). Five microliters of the trypsin digest was injected onto the column (Acquity UPLC HSS T3 1.8 μm, C18 100A; 100 × 2.1 mm; Waters). Surrogate light and heavy (internal standards) peptides were monitored using instrument parameters provided in Supplemental Table 2. The LC-MS/MS data were processed using Analyst 1.6.2 version software (SCIEX).

**FMO3 mRNA Quantification.** FMO3 mRNA expression was quantified only in a subset of unprocessed liver tissue samples (n = 214, Supplemental Table 1). Details of the RNA-seq procedures, including RNA isolation, truSeq stranded mRNA preparation, read processing, and analysis pipeline were described previously (Tanner et al., 2017). The transcript levels of mRNA are displayed in FPKM (fragments per kilobase per million reads) values.

**FMO3 Enzyme Activity Assay.** FMO3 enzyme activity was assessed on a limited number of HLM samples prepared from fresh liver tissues (donor age range, 9–68 years; mean ± S.D., 40.1 ± 17.9 years, n = 37; Supplemental Table 1) owing to the potential instability of the protein to freeze-thaw cycles (Cashman, 2008). Activity was determined by quantifying the rate of BZD N-oxidation (Stormer et al., 2000). The assay conditions include the following: 0.2 mg/ml of HLM protein, 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 50 μM BZD, 0.5 mM NADPH, and water to a final volume of 250 μl. All reactions were performed in triplicate. After a preincubation of 3 minutes at 37°C, the reaction was initiated with the addition of substrate and allowed to continue with gentle agitation (70 rpm) for 20 minutes. The reaction was quenched with an equal volume of acetonitrile containing 0.1 mg/ml of dazidamine (internal standard). Incubations were then placed on ice for 5 minutes to allow for full protein precipitation before centrifugation (14,000 rpm × 5 minutes). The supernatant was analyzed by an optimized high-performance liquid chromatography method as reported previously (Yeung and Rettie, 2006). Briefly, chromatographic separations of BZD, BZD N-oxide, and dazidamine were performed on an Agilent Hypersil ODS C18 column (4.0 × 250 mm, 5 μm). The mobile phase consisted of methanol/acetonitrile–water: 29% NH4OH (50:40:8%, v/v/v). The flow rate of 1.0 ml/min was used. The effluent was monitored fluorometrically with an excitation wavelength of 307 nm and an emission wavelength of 377 nm. Approximate retention times for BZD N-oxide, dazidamine, and BZD were 4, 6, and 8 minutes, respectively.

**FMO3 Gene Resequencing, Genotyping, and Haplotype Determination.** Two different approaches, gene resequencing and genotyping, were used for genetic characterization of the liver donors because these data were generated in two different institutes (Supplemental Table 1). FMO3 gene resequencing was performed using the Pharmacogenomics Research Network (PGRN)-Seq platform, a targeted sequencing approach, for the University of Washington and St. Jude Liver Bank samples, as discussed elsewhere (Cordon et al., 2016). DNA of the tissues provided by Children’s Mercy were genotyped on the Pharmacoscan (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). LD analyses of FMO3 variants and inferred haplotypes were determined using Haplovie 4.2 (Cambridge, MA).

**Data Analysis.** We used a robust strategy to ensure optimum reproducibility when quantifying FMO3 proteins. For example, ion suppression was addressed by using heavy peptide internal standards. BSA was used as exogenous protein internal standards, which was added to each sample as a fixed quantity before methanol-chloroform-water extraction and trypsin digestion. Addition of BSA addresses the variability introduced during the predigestion processing steps, such as 1) protein loss during methanol-chloroform-water extraction and 2) sample-to-sample trypsin digestion artifacts. To address interbatch variability, we processed three sets of pooled representative HLM samples each day, which served as quality controls across the entire study. In total, a three-step data normalization approach was used; first, the average of detectable light peak areas for specific peptide daughter fragments was divided by the average of detectable heavy peak areas. For example, we used a ratio of the average of three fragments of VAIIGVGSGLASIR (light) and the average of two fragments of VAIIGVGSGLASI[13C6,15N]NIR (heavy) for FMO3 quantification. More fragments of the light peptide were used in this case because the dynamic range for analyte peptide (i.e., light) was unpredictable compared with the heavy internal standard peptide. Next, this ratio was further divided by the BSA light/heavy area ratio. Finally, for each day, these data were further normalized by mean values of the quality control values run with individual batch to adjust for any interday variability.

The samples were classified based on the following age categories: neonatal plus infancy (0–364 days), toddler/early childhood (1 to <6 years), middle childhood (6 to <12 years), adolescence (12–18 years), and adulthood (>18 years).

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 (La Jolla, CA) and Microsoft Excel (Version 14; Redmond, WA). The protein abundance, mRNA expression levels and activity data were non-normally distributed, and therefore nonparametric tests were used to test age, gender, ethnicity, or genotype dependence. To compare two groups (e.g., male vs. female), the Mann-Whitney test was used. The Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test were used to perform the age-dependent data analysis and determine the associations between FMO3 genotype and mRNA expression levels, FMO3 protein abundance, and enzyme activity. For correlation analysis, the nonparametric Spearman regression test was used:

\[
F = \frac{(Adult_{max} - F_{birth})}{Age_{so} + Age^{n}} \times Age^{n} + F_{birth}
\]

A nonlinear regression equation (eq. 1) was used to fit the ontogeny data, as described previously (Boberg et al., 2017), where Adult_{max} is the maximum average relative protein abundance, Age is the age in years of the subject at the time of sample collection, Age_{so} is the age in years at which half-maximum adult protein abundance is obtained, F is the fractional protein abundance in adult samples, F_{birth} is the fractional protein abundance (of adult) at birth, and n is the exponential factor.

The number of variants was directly counted. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was determined by comparing the variant frequencies with the expected values using a contingency table χ² statistic with the Yates correction. The numbers of haplotypes, statistics D, D’, and LD were estimated by Haplovie 4.2 software. A P value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Absolute Quantification of Human Hepatic FMO3 Based on LC-MS/MS and Correlation to mRNA and Activity Data.** The calibration curves for FMO3 (3.99–511 fmol, on-column) demonstrated good linearity (r² > 0.99, Supplemental Fig. 2). The lower limit of quantification for the FMO3 protein standard in the trypsin digestion buffer was 3.99 fmol (on-column). The intraday precision (% coefficient of variance) of the analytical method, based on pooled quality control samples, was within 25%.
The average human liver FMO3 protein concentration in HLM samples \( (n = 445) \) was 25.1 \( \pm 12.0 \) pmol/mg microsomal protein with 124-fold interindividual variation (0.76–94.5 pmol/mg microsomal protein). Furthermore, FMO3 protein abundance was positively correlated with BZD N-oxidation activity \( (r = 0.73, P < 0.001, \text{Fig. 1}) \); however, the correlation between FMO3 mRNA and protein abundance was poor \( (r = 0.19, P > 0.05) \) (data not shown).

**Association of Nongenetic Factors with Human Hepatic FMO3 Abundance.** Age was positively associated with FMO3 protein abundance. Stratifying by age as a categorical variable, the FMO3 protein abundance was 1.9-fold \( (P < 0.001) \) higher in adults compared with neonates (0–1 year) (Fig. 2A). Similarly, FMO3 abundance in neonatal liver was modestly lower compared with that observed in middle childhood (6–12 years, \( P < 0.01 \)) and adolescence (12–18 years, \( P < 0.001 \)). FMO3 protein levels were lower in infants (1–6 years, \( P < 0.001 \)) compared with adults. Age versus FMO3 abundance with age as a continuous variable is shown in Fig. 2B. Nonlinear regression of the HLM protein abundance data revealed that the FMO3 protein was 50% of the values observed in adults at the age of approximately 10 months. The effect of age on FMO3 protein abundance was independent of the genotype (Fig. 2, C and D) as discussed to follow.

Female liver donors exhibited modestly higher FMO3 protein \( (26.7 \pm 12.0 \) pmol/mg protein \( vs. \ 24.1 \pm 12.1\) pmol/mg protein, \( P < 0.05, \text{Fig. 3A}) \) and mRNA levels (FPKM values, \( 139.9 \pm 76.9 \) vs. \( 105.1 \pm 73.1, P < 0.001, \text{Fig. 3B}) \) compared with males. The gender differences in both protein and mRNA levels were consistent even when pediatric samples from subjects younger than 6 years of age were excluded from the analysis (Supplemental Fig. 3); however, no significant difference in FMO3 activity was observed between female and male samples, perhaps because of the small number of samples (data not shown).

No significant difference in FMO3 protein abundance was observed among Caucasians \( (25.4 \pm 12.4 \) pmol/mg protein, \( n = 352) \) and African Americans \( (22.1 \pm 14.1 \) pmol/mg protein, \( n = 30) \).

**Association of Genetic Factors with Hepatic FMO3 Abundance.** Gene resequencing of the 285 tissue samples \( (263 \) Caucasians, two African Americans, one Asian, and 19 unknown) from the University of Washington and St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital identified 21 variants in FMO3. Eleven of these variants were within the coding sequence, and 10 were intronic variants (Table 1). Of these 21 variants identified, 11 variants \( (\text{rs}2064074, \text{rs}1800822, \text{rs}72549326, \text{rs}2266782, \text{rs}2066534, \text{rs}1736557, \text{rs}909530, \text{rs}61753344, \text{rs}2266780, \text{rs}909531, \text{and} \text{rs}72549334) \) have been reported previously in the literature, and an additional seven variants \( (\text{rs}4140653, \text{rs}28363536, \text{rs}143661234, \text{rs}75904274, \text{rs}79952472, \text{rs}373775407, \text{and} \text{rs}373403267) \) were found in the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Database \( (\text{dbSNP, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/}) \); however, no reports for three of the variants could be found. The minor allele frequencies \( (\text{MAF}) \) of these variants are shown in Table 1.

HLM samples \( (\text{with donor age over age 6 years}) \) were grouped according to the results of FMO3 gene resequencing data. Six SNPs were associated with decreased FMO3 protein abundance (Fig. 4). These SNPs include three missense \( (\text{rs}2266782, \text{E}158\text{K}; \text{rs}909530, \text{N}285\text{N}; \text{and} \text{rs}2266780, \text{E}308\text{G}) \) and three intronic \( (\text{rs}2064074, \text{rs}28363536, \text{and} \text{rs}909531) \) SNPs; however, there was no association between FMO3 mRNA expression and BZD N-oxidase activity among those SNPs that showed a correlation with protein abundance (Supplemental Figs. 4 and 5).

To avoid false-positive associations between these variants and FMO3 levels, LD analysis was subsequently performed. All SNPs within FMO3 were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium \( (P > 0.05, \text{Table 1}) \). Haplotype blocks and haplotypes were inferred based on the allele frequencies of the SNPs by using Haploview. In total, two haplotype blocks (Fig. 5) and six haplotypes, which occurred at a frequency greater than 1% accounting for more than 98.5% of the total chromosomes examined, were identified (Table 2). Six SNPs \( (\text{rs}2266782, \text{rs}909530, \text{rs}2266780, \text{rs}2064074, \text{rs}28363536, \text{and} \text{rs}909531) \), which were associated with FMO3 abundance, were found in strong LD \( (D' > 0.975) \) with each other, except between \( \text{rs}2266782 \) and \( \text{rs}909530 \), where a median LD \( (D' = 0.632) \) was observed. Regression coefficients \( (r^2) \) of these pairwise SNPs indicated that \( \text{rs}28363536, \text{rs}2266780 \) \( (\text{E}308\text{G}) \) and \( \text{rs}909531 \) tag the same haplotype \( (r^2 > 0.97); \text{rs}2266782 \) \( (\text{E}158\text{K}) \) was associated with \( \text{rs}22064074 \) \( (r^2 = 0.78); \text{and} \text{rs}2266780 \) \( (\text{E}308\text{G}) \) was associated with \( \text{rs}909530 \) \( (\text{N}285\text{N}) \) \( (r^2 = 0.71) \). Diploidy-dependent protein abundance of FMO3 was observed after excluding the effect of ontogeny. Samples \( (\text{with donor age over 6 years}) \) harboring the H3 haplotype, which consists of six SNPs, had up to 50% lower FMO3 protein levels compared with the reference haplotype H1 (Fig. 6). The association between H3 haplotype and FMO3 activity could not be investigated because of the smaller number of samples and higher variability (data not shown).

Additionally, genotype analysis of the pediatric samples from Children’s Mercy Kansas City \( (n = 128) \) detected eight variants in FMO3 \( (\text{seven exonic and one intronic; Supplemental Table 3}) \). Since genotyping was performed on a different platform, the comprehensive Haploview analysis described already for the adult samples could not be performed on the pediatric samples. Consistent with the data described in the preceding section, two SNPs, \( \text{rs}2266782 \) \( (\text{E}158\text{K}) \) and \( \text{rs}2266780 \) \( (\text{E}308\text{G}) \), showed associations with protein abundance (Supplemental Fig. 6, A and B). No associations were detected for other variants, which is possibly due to the low variant frequencies and/or confounding age-related variability. Furthermore, the impact of haplotype \( \text{E}158\text{K}-\text{E}308\text{G} \) on FMO3 protein abundance is shown in Supplemental Fig. 6C. Considering the impact of allelic variants on FMO3 abundance, the association between age and human hepatic FMO3

![Fig. 1. Association between FMO3 protein abundance (pmol/mg protein) and BZD N-oxidation activity in human liver microsomes (n = 37).](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/)
protein was reanalyzed. Age-dependent protein abundance of FMO3 was still observed after excluding the samples harboring the homozygous E158K-G308G. The FMO3 protein abundance was 2.2-fold ($P < 0.001$) higher in adults compared with neonates (0–1 year) (Fig. 2C). After 6 years of age, no significant difference in FMO3 abundance was seen between children and adults. FMO3 protein was 50% of the values observed in adults by approximately 15 months based on the reanalysis of the data (Fig. 2D).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we identified several genetic and nongenetic factors that contribute to variation in human hepatic FMO3 in a large cohort of samples ($n = 445$). Although FMO quantification in the human livers has been accomplished by Western blotting and LC-MS/MS in previous studies (Overby et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2016), the sample sizes were small ($n = 5–10$) in these studies, and the associations of genetic factors, as well as the interplay of ontogeny and genotype, were not investigated. Consistent with the reported data based on the immunoblotting method (Koukouritaki et al., 2002; Shimizu et al., 2011), an age-dependent increase in FMO3 protein levels was observed in this study (Fig. 2). Koukouritaki et al. (2002) found that FMO3 expression increased 4.5-fold between the ages of 3 weeks and 10 months (1.1–4.7 pmol/mg protein), with another approximate 3-fold between 10 months and 11 years (4.7–12.7 pmol/mg protein).
protein). Between ages 11 and 18 years, there was a third significant increase in mean expression levels (12.7–26.9 pmol/mg protein) (Koukouritaki et al., 2002). In the present study, only a 2-fold increase in mean FMO3 abundance was observed between infancy (0–1 year) and middle childhood (6–12 years), and no significant increase was found in the donors over 6 years of age; however, the hepatic FMO3 levels found in both studies were comparable (24.2 pmol/mg protein vs. 26.9 pmol/mg protein) in adolescence. Differences in sensitivity at lower concentrations between Western blotting and LC-MS/MS methods, age classification criteria, quality of samples, and data analysis (3%–17% of samples in each age group were excluded in the study by Koukouritaki et al., 2002) may explain, at least in part, the differing observations between studies.

An association between gender and hepatic FMO3 levels has not been revealed in previous reports (Cherrington et al., 1998; Koukouritaki et al., 2002). In this study, we observed a modest, statistically significant (but perhaps not clinically relevant) gender difference in mean FMO3 mRNA and protein abundances (Fig. 3). Previous studies have shown that female mouse livers contain higher levels of FMO3 mRNA levels (80-fold) than those in male liver samples (Janmohamed et al., 2004), which may be due to the modulating effects of testosterone on FMO3 expression; testosterone, but not 17-estradiol, suppresses mouse FMO hepatic activity (dimethylamine N-oxidation) (Duffel et al., 1981). After castration, hepatic FMO activity (methimazole oxidation) increased significantly, and serum testosterone levels decreased; however, administration of physiologic levels of testosterone to castrated animals returned FMO activity (Falls et al., 1997); however, there is little evidence for sex hormone regulation of the FMO3 abundance in human livers. Whereas gender difference was apparently observed for FMO3 mRNA, as well as protein expression, the regression analysis indicated a lack of correlation between FMO3 mRNA and protein expression, which is inconsistent with the findings of the previous study (Overby et al., 1997). The latter indicates that FMO3 mRNA is not a good surrogate of its protein abundance. This lack of association could be a result of different mechanisms of mRNA and protein regulation (Liu et al., 2016) or mRNA instability in the frozen-thawing procedure of the samples (Botling et al., 2009).

More than 300 SNPs have been reported for FMO3 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Most are rare, and more than 40 of these polymorphisms have been linked to altered FMO3 function and symptomatic trimethylaminuria (also referred as “fish-like odor syndrome”) (Yeung et al., 2007). FMO3 variants have also been associated with higher risks of adenomatous polyposis (Hisamuddin et al., 2004). In vitro FMO3 activity studies investigating the effects of nonsynonymous variants or some haplotypes, such as E158K, E132H, and E127D, revealed that Vmax values of the variant forms are lower than the reference allele (Lattard et al., 2003; Yamazaki and Shimizu, 2013), and increased efficacy of sulindac to prevent familial adenomatous polyposis (Hisamuddin et al., 2004). Most are rare, and more than 40 of these polymorphisms have been linked to altered FMO3 function and symptomatic trimethylaminuria (also referred as “fish-like odor syndrome”) (Yeung et al., 2007). FMO3 variants have also been associated with higher risks of sudden infant death syndrome (Poetsch et al., 2010) and hypertension-related ischemic stroke (Türkanoglu Özelik et al., 2013), altered cigarette consumption (Bloom et al., 2013), and increased efficacy of sulindac to prevent familial adenomatous polyposis (Hisamuddin et al., 2004). In vitro FMO3 activity studies investigating the effects of nonsynonymous variants or some haplotypes, such as E158K, E132H, E308G, E132H-E158K, and E158K-E308G, on kinetic parameters revealed that Vmax values of the variant forms are lower than the reference allele (Lattard et al., 2003; Yamazaki and Shimizu, 2013); but changes in Km are substrate-dependent (Lattard et al., 2003; Yeung et al., 2007).

### TABLE 1

**FMO3 variants identified in a subset of samples of this study (n = 285)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant No.</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Nucleotide Change</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Amino Acid Change</th>
<th>rs No.</th>
<th>HW P Value</th>
<th>MAF</th>
<th>FMO3 Function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>171061989</td>
<td>C &gt; T</td>
<td>Inton 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs4140653</td>
<td>0.6354</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Koukouritaki et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>171061990</td>
<td>A &gt; G</td>
<td>Inton 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs2064074</td>
<td>0.1809</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>171072862</td>
<td>G &gt; T</td>
<td>Inton 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs25363536</td>
<td>0.3712</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>171076813</td>
<td>T &gt; G</td>
<td>Inton 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>171076935</td>
<td>C &gt; T</td>
<td>Exon 4</td>
<td>S147S</td>
<td>rs180822</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Koukouritaki et al. (2005), Yamazaki and Shimizu (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>171076952</td>
<td>C &gt; T</td>
<td>Exon 4</td>
<td>P153L</td>
<td>rs72549326</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td></td>
<td>Treacy et al. (1998), Yeung et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>171076966</td>
<td>G &gt; A</td>
<td>Exon 4</td>
<td>E158K</td>
<td>rs2266782</td>
<td>0.1308</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Furnes et al. (2003), Koukouritaki et al. (2005), Yeung et al. (2007), Yamazaki and Shimizu (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>171077038</td>
<td>GTCT &gt; G</td>
<td>Inton 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs143661324</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>171077274</td>
<td>G &gt; T</td>
<td>Exon 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs75904274</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>171077372</td>
<td>C &gt; G</td>
<td>Inton 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs2066534</td>
<td>0.9376</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>171077393</td>
<td>C &gt; A</td>
<td>Inton 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs79952472</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>171079971</td>
<td>G &gt; A</td>
<td>Exon 6</td>
<td>V220N</td>
<td>rs37775407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>171080000</td>
<td>G &gt; A</td>
<td>Exon 6</td>
<td>V257M</td>
<td>rs1736557</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>171083128</td>
<td>GTC &gt; G</td>
<td>Inton 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs9099530</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Furnes et al. (2003), Koukouritaki et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>171083174</td>
<td>C &gt; T</td>
<td>Exon 7</td>
<td>N285N</td>
<td>rs410653</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>171083208</td>
<td>G &gt; T</td>
<td>Exon 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs61753344</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>Treacy et al. (1998)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>171083232</td>
<td>G &gt; T</td>
<td>Exon 7</td>
<td>E305</td>
<td>rs2266780</td>
<td>0.3712</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Koukouritaki et al. (2005), Yeung et al. (2007), Yamazaki and Shimizu (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>171083242</td>
<td>A &gt; G</td>
<td>Exon 7</td>
<td>E308G</td>
<td>rs2266780</td>
<td>0.3712</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>Treacy et al. (1998)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>171083537</td>
<td>T &gt; C</td>
<td>Inton 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs909531</td>
<td>0.6644</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Koukouritaki et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>171083540</td>
<td>A &gt; G</td>
<td>Inton 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs373403267</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yeung et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>171086457</td>
<td>C &gt; T</td>
<td>Exon 9</td>
<td>R492W</td>
<td>rs72549334</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
indicate that the effects of nonsynonymous variants on FMO3 substrate affinity may be substrate-dependent, but their effects on FMO3 abundance are consistent; however, direct evidence of the association between genetic variation and FMO3 protein abundance has never been studied. In the present study, six SNPs were identified that are associated with decreased FMO3 protein expression. Individuals homozygous for the missense variant allele E158K (rs2266782) was associated with significantly lower FMO3 protein levels (Fig. 4), which was consistent with the 65% reduced FMO3 catalytic efficiency \( \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_{m}} \) in vitro toward multiple substrates such as methimazole, trimethylamine and 10-(N,N-dimethylaminopentyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)-phenothiazine (Lattard et al., 2003). Similarly, a positive association between protein abundance and activity was observed for another amino acid change, E308G, caused by rs2266780 (Lattard et al., 2003). Two other variants, P153L (rs72549326) and E305X (rs61753344), previously shown to have no functional activity (Treacy et al., 1998; Yeung et al., 2007), could not be tested in this study because of the lack of sufficient samples, although the FMO3 abundance was lower (11.8 and 7.8 pmol/mg protein vs. 26.4 pmol/mg protein for P153L and E305X vs. reference allele, respectively) in the single heterozygous sample present in our cohort. Similarly, another function-unchanging missense SNP, V257M (rs1736557) (Treacy et al., 1998), showed no relation to FMO3 protein levels in this study. Several mechanisms could potentially explain decreased protein levels resulting from inherited alterations in encoded

**Fig. 4.** Association between genetic variation and FMO3 protein levels in HLMs (with donor age over 6 years). (A–F) represent individual SNPs (i.e., rs2064074, rs28363536, rs2266782 (E158K), rs909530 (N285N), rs2266780 (E308G), and rs909531, respectively. Dots indicate individual protein abundance, and the mean and S.D. are presented as the horizontal line and error bar. The Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test were used to compare protein levels; * and ** indicate \( P \) values of < 0.05, < 0.01, and < 0.001, respectively.
amino acid sequence, but the mechanism has most often involved accelerated degradation of the variant allozyme, which is typically via a ubiquitin-proteasome–mediated pathway (Weinshilboum and Wang, 2004). Furthermore, one synonymous SNP (N285N, rs2266780) and three intronic variants (rs2064074, rs28363536, and rs909531) were also associated with decreased FMO3 protein abundance (Fig. 4). Although synonymous SNPs and variants in intronic regions may also contribute to changes in protein abundance by impacting splicing, translation fidelity, mRNA stability, and protein folding (Sauna and Kimchi-Sarfaty, 2011), no correlation between FMO3 function and these SNPs has been reported. Three samples showed relatively high FMO3 protein levels but very small BZD N-oxidation activity (Fig. 1). The potential mechanism of the disconnection between FMO3 protein levels and activity might be the instability of FMO3 protein or unique functional variant not investigated in the present study.

LD analysis data showed that SNP rs2266780 (N285N), rs2064074, rs28363536, and rs909531 are perhaps related to E308G and/or E158K, indicating that the suppressive impacts of these four SNPs on FMO3 protein abundance may be from one or both of the exonic SNPs. Moreover, consistent with previous studies reporting that the $V_{\text{max}}$ values of FMO3 were wild-type $> E158K \approx E308G > E158K$-E308G (Lattard et al., 2003; Krueger and Williams, 2005), the FMO3 protein abundance of a donor homozygous for haplotype E158K-E308G was significantly lower than the reference allele in this study (Fig. 6; Supplemental Fig. 6). This is the first direct evidence that the decreased FMO3 protein abundance in liver tissues from donors harboring these SNPs (E158K and E308G variants) is one of the possible reasons for a significant reduction in FMO3 activity.

This study had some limitations. For instance, although we determined the protein levels of FMO3 in 445 samples, we were able to access only 37 fresh samples, and only one typical substrate was used to measure enzyme activity; this sample size was insufficient to draw definite conclusions regarding the associations of genotype with FMO3 activity using HLM samples. The samples used for mRNA expression ($n = 214$),
over, these data may serve to generate hypotheses for future FMO3 substrates via PBPK modeling and simulations. More-predict age- or genotype-dependent hepatic clearance of may be useful in deriving scaling factors that may be applied to intrinsic catalytic activity of the different FMO3 variants, may and genetic variations were the two main sources for this gene resequencing (n = 285), and genotype determination (n = 129) were also limited by the lack of available liver tissue from all the donors. Thus, the interplay of ontogeny and genotype on FMO3 abundance could not be fully evaluated in this study. Finally, health conditions and smoking status were unknown for most of the donors, suggesting that the interindividual differences in FMO3 protein abundance and mRNA levels might also come from other potential factors. In summary, the hepatic levels of FMO3 (25.1 ± 12.0 pmol/mg protein) demonstrated marked interindividual variations (124-fold) in a large panel of HLM samples (n = 445). Age and genetic variations were the two main sources for this variation. Our results, combined with reported differences in intrinsic catalytic activity of the different FMO3 variants, may be useful in defining scaling factors that may be applied to predict age- or genotype-dependent hepatic clearance of FMO3 substrates via PBPK modeling and simulations. Moreover, these data may serve to generate hypotheses for future clinical studies designed to predict the effects of FMO3 haplotype on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of FMO3 substrates.
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