Altered Protein S-Glutathionylation Identifies a Potential Mechanism of Resistance to Acetaminophen-Induced Hepatotoxicity
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ABSTRACT

Acetaminophen (APAP) is the most commonly used over-the-counter analgesic. However, hepatotoxicity induced by APAP is a major clinical issue, and the factors that define sensitivity to APAP remain unclear. We have previously demonstrated that mice nulled for glutathione S-transferase Pi (GSTP) are resistant to APAP-induced hepatotoxicity. This study aims to exploit this difference to delineate pathways of importance in APAP toxicity. We used mice nulled for GSTP and heme oxygenase-1 oxidative stress reporter mice, together with a novel nanoflow liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry methodology to investigate the role of oxidative stress, cell signaling, and protein S-glutathionylation in APAP hepatotoxicity. We provide evidence that the sensitivity difference between wild-type and Gstp1/2−/− mice is unrelated to the ability of APAP to induce oxidative stress, despite observing significant increases in c-Jun N-terminal kinase and extracellular signal-regulated kinase phosphorylation in wild-type mice. The major difference in response to APAP was in the levels of protein S-glutathionylation: Gstp1/2−/− mice exhibited a significant increase in the number of S-glutathionylated proteins compared with wild-type animals. Remarkably, these S-glutathionylated proteins are involved in oxidative phosphorylation, respiratory complexes, drug metabolism, and mitochondrial apoptosis. Furthermore, we found that S-glutathionylation of the rate-limiting glutathione-synthesizing enzyme, glutamate cysteine ligase, was markedly increased in Gstp1/2−/− mice in response to APAP. The data demonstrate that S-glutathionylation provides an adaptive response to APAP and, as a consequence, suggest that this is an important determinant in APAP hepatotoxicity. This work identifies potential novel avenues associated with cell survival for the treatment of chemical-induced hepatotoxicity.

Introduction

Acetaminophen (APAP) is an analgesic and antipyretic compound which causes hepatic necrosis and acute liver failure at high doses (Hinson et al., 2010). Drug-induced liver injury as a result of APAP overdose is particularly problematic in the United States and UK, where it is the most common cause of acute liver failure (Ferner et al., 2011; Tujios and Fontana, 2011). Metabolism of APAP is well established, with the majority of the parent compound typically conjugated with sulfate or glucuronide (Jollow et al., 1974) and around 5% of APAP oxidized by cytochrome P450 to form the reactive metabolite N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine (NAPQI) (Laine et al., 2009). Whereas at nontoxic doses NAPQI is conjugated to the tripeptide glutathione, at toxic doses glucuronidation and sulfation pathways become saturated, leading to increased NAPQI production and depletion of hepatic glutathione levels (McGill and Jaeschke, 2013).

Glutathione S-transferase (GST) isoenzymes form a vital function in chemical detoxification and cytoprotection through conjugation of glutathione with reactive electrophiles and endogenous substrates (Hayes et al., 2005). Of the cytosolic classes, GST Pi (GSTP) has attracted much attention because of its significant role in cellular homeostasis. GSTP has been found to regulate the activities of a number of biologic pathways, such as c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and tumor necrosis factor receptor–associated factor 2 (Adler et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2006). Furthermore, evidence suggests that GSTP may catalyze the binding of glutathione to cellular proteins (S-glutathionylation) (Townsend et al., 2009) and facilitate the transport of nitric oxide via dinitrosyl-dithiol-iron complexes (Lok et al., 2012).

To study the function of GSTP in vivo, we have generated mice that are nulled at the Gstp gene locus (Henderson et al., 1998). Gstp1/2−/− mice are resistant to hepatotoxic doses of
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APAP (Henderson et al., 2000); the mechanism(s) behind this effect remains unclear. There is no difference in the metabolism of APAP between wild-type and \( \text{Gstp1}^{1/2-/-} \) mice (Henderson et al., 2000), suggesting that the difference in toxicity is downstream of APAP metabolite formation. In mice and humans, it is clear that a number of intracellular signaling pathways are affected in the development of APAP-induced hepatotoxicity, such as oxidative stress (McCullough et al., 2012), mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase phosphorylation (Gunawan et al., 2006), mitochondrial injury (Kon et al., 2004), and glutathione depletion (Henderson et al., 2000). Importantly, GSTP has been found to play a significant role in the regulation of a number of these signaling events (Henderson et al., 2004), and glutathione depletion (Henderson et al., 2000), suggesting that the difference in toxicity between wild-type and \( \text{Gstp1}^{1/2-/-} \) mice and humans, it is clear that a number of intracellular signaling resistance to APAP injury.

In the present study, we examined the downstream consequence of APAP overdose in \( \text{Gstp1}^{1/2-/-} \) mice to understand the mechanisms associated with hepatotoxic resistance. These data demonstrate that protein S-glutathionylation may provide a means of protection against APAP toxicity and electrophilic stress in general in vivo.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All experiments were undertaken in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and approved by the Welfare and Ethical Use of Animals Committee of the University of Dundee (Dundee, UK). \( \text{Gstp1}^{WT} \) and \( \text{Gstp1}^{1/2-/-} \) mouse lines were generated as previously described and backcrossed onto a C57BL/6J background for at least eight generations. Mice had ad libitum access to standard rodent diet prior to conducting experiments (RM1; Special Diet Services, Essex, UK) and were kept in a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle.

Administration of APAP and Buthionine Sulfoximine. APAP was prepared as a 15 mg/ml suspension in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and administered by gavage at 300 mg/kg (20 mg/ml). Mice were starved for 16 hours prior to APAP administration. After APAP treatment, mice were harvested at various time points, as described in the Results, by \( \text{CO}_2 \) inhalation. Blood was collected by cardiac puncture into heparinized tubes before analysis for serum transaminase levels.

Buthionine sulfoximine (BSO) was prepared at 50 mg/ml in water and administered by i.p. injection at 0.9 g/kg (18 ml/kg). After BSO treatment, mice were harvested at various time points, as described in the Results, by \( \text{CO}_2 \) inhalation.

Liver Histopathology. Mice livers were removed, washed in PBS, and fixed in 10% formalin before being embedded in paraffin wax. Sections (5 \( \mu \)m) were prepared and stained using hematoxylin and eosin to determine structural damage, as previously described (Henderson et al., 2014).

Immunoblotting. Liver samples were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer [50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Igepal-630, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, and 0.5% sodium deoxycholate] containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Samples were denatured in lithium dodecyl sulphate loading buffer containing 10 mM dithiothreitol before being resolved on 10 or 12% polyacrylamide gels, as previously described (McGarry et al., 2015). Membranes were incubated with antibodies against glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (1:10,000; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), \( \text{Gstp1}^{1/2-/-} \) mouse (2:2000), extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), phospho-ERK, JNK, phospho-JNK, phospho-p38, p38 (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), or heme oxygenase-1 (1:1000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA) overnight at 4°C. Membranes were washed and incubated with a polyclonal goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin–horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody (Dako, High Wycombe, UK) for 1 hour at room temperature at a dilution of 1:5000. Signals were visualized on X-ray film using a Xograph (Gloucestershire, UK) Compact \( \times 4 \) film processor.

Immunohistochemistry. Paraffin tissue sections (5 \( \mu \)m) were deparaffinized and rehydrated using gradient alcohol. Sections underwent microwave antigen retrieval for 25 minutes in 0.01 M sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.5) and allowed to cool to room temperature. Immunohistochemistry was performed using the Dako Cytomation EnVision Dual Link System-HRP (DAB+) kit according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Sections were blocked using 2% goat serum prepared in 1% bovine serum albumin, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 0.05% Tween in PBS before incubation with either an anti– heme oxygenase-1 antibody (1:200 dilution; Abcam) or an anti– phospho-ERK antibody (1:100Cell Signaling Technology) for 1 hour at room temperature. To determine lacZ expression in liver samples, livers were fixed in 1% PFA for 4 hours at 4°C and then incubated overnight in 30% sucrose solution before being frozen in Shandon M-1 Embedding Matrix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) using a dry ice–isopentane bath. Cryosections (15 \( \mu \)m) were prepared using a Bright Instruments cryostat (Bright Instruments, Huntington, Cambridge, UK), and sections were stained for \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity, as previously described (Henderson et al., 2014).

Glutathione Levels. Levels of total, oxidized, and protein-bound glutathione were determined spectrophotometrically using the sulf-hydryl reagent 5,5′-dithio-bis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) as described previously (Rahman et al., 2006). In brief, tissues were homogenized in 0.25 M sucrose before being extracted in 0.6% salicylic acid, 1% metaphosphoric acid, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 0.1% NP40. Homogenates were centrifuged at 3000 \( \times \) g for 4 minutes at 4°C, and 500 \( \mu \)l of the aqueous upper layer was neutralized with 1 ml of 1 M Tris (pH 7.5) and used to determine total and oxidized glutathione levels. The remaining pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of 1% sodium borohydride and neutralized with 0.4 ml of 30% metaphosphoric acid. The suspension was centrifuged at 1000 \( \times \) g for 15 minutes and the supernatant used to determine the amount of protein-bound glutathione.

Identification of S-Glutathionylated Proteins. S-glutathionylated proteins were isolated as previously described (McGarry et al., 2015). In brief, tissues were homogenized in radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer supplemented with 1% methyl methanesulphonate. Lysates were incubated in a buffer containing 100 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, 2.5% SDS, and 1% methyl methanesulphonate for 1 hour at 50°C to block all free thiol groups. Proteins were precipitated by ice-cold acetone and washed twice. Pellets were resuspended in 400 \( \mu \)l of binding buffer [10 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS] before S-glutathionylated proteins were reduced with 1 mM NADPH, 35 \( \mu \)g/ml glutathione reductase, 0.2 mM reduced glutathione, and 25 \( \mu \)g/ml of human glutaredoxin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in a final volume of 500 \( \mu l \). Lysates were mixed carefully before being incubated at 37°C for 5 minutes. Lysates were incubated with 50 \( \mu \)l of thiopropyl Sepharose 6B beads (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) for 1 hour at room temperature and then washed in 100 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, and 300 mM NaCl. Proteins were eluted from beads by incubation in 1× lithium dodecyl sulphate sample buffer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10 mM Dithiorthreitol.

Mass Spectrometry Analysis. Isolated S-glutathionylated proteins were analyzed by nLC–tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) using an LTQ Orbitrap (FingerPrints Proteomics, Dougie Lamont, University of Dundee, UK). All MS/MS samples were analyzed using Mascot (version 2.3.02; Matrix Science, London, UK). Scaffold (version Scaffold_4.0.5; Proteome Software Inc., Portland, OR) was used to validate MS/MS-based peptide and protein identifications. Peptide identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than 95.0% probability using the Peptide Prophet algorithm (Keller et al., 2002) with Scaffold delta-mass correction. Protein identifications were accepted at greater than 99.9% probability and containing at least two identified peptides.
Bioinformatic Analysis. Bioinformatic analysis of S-glutathionylated proteins was performed as previously described (McGarry et al., 2015). Pathway and process enrichment was determined using MetaCore from Thompson Reuters (http://thomsonreuters.com/metacore/). The mouse proteome was used as the background. The enrichment analysis used hypergeometric testing, and the resulting $P$ value was adjusted for multiple testing corrections using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). An adjusted $P$ value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Liver Pathology and Oxidative Stress in Acetaminophen-Treated Gstp1WT/−/− Mice. Following APAP treatment (300 mg/kg), Gstp1WT mice had significantly elevated serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels and exhibited areas of centrilobular necrosis 24 hours after treatment (Fig. 1A and B). In line with previous data (Henderson et al., 2000), ALT levels remained low in Gstp1/−/− mice, and no evidence of hepatotoxicity could be observed by histochemical examination of the liver sections. Differences in the level of oxidative stress between wild-type and Gstp1/−/− mice could be the reason for the sensitivity difference to APAP (Cover et al., 2006) and may activate a number of stress response genes, including heme oxygenase-1 (Young et al., 2010). Initially, we examined the expression of heme oxygenase-1 as a marker of oxidative stress in Gstp1WT and Gstp1/−/− mouse liver 24 hours after a single oral dose of APAP (300 mg/kg). Immunohistochemical analysis showed heme oxygenase-1 expression around the outer centrilobular necrotic areas in the livers of Gstp1WT mice 24 hours after APAP treatment (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, Gstp1/−/− mice also exhibited increased heme oxygenase-1 expression around hepatic centrilobular regions in response to APAP, despite no signs of hepatic damage. This observation is further supported through examination of mice carrying an heme oxygenase-1 reporter transgene, in which the lacZ reporter is fused to the C terminus of the Hmox1 gene (Young et al., 2010) and crossed onto Gstp1/−/− mice. β-Galactosidase staining of liver sections from these mice correlated strongly with heme oxygenase-1 immunohistochemical staining, demonstrating reproducibility between the transgenic models used. Analysis of heme oxygenase-1 induction over time by western blotting and densitometry showed that there was little difference in heme oxygenase-1 induction between Gstp1WT and Gstp1/−/− mice (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. 1). Therefore, the generation of oxidative stress does not appear to underlie the difference in severity of the toxicity between these mice.

Phosphorylation of JNK, ERK, and p38 MAP Kinases in Gstp1/−/− Mice after Acetaminophen Treatment. It has been reported that GSTP is a modulator of cell signaling through inhibition of JNK phosphorylation. JNK has been reported to potentiate APAP toxicity in mice (Gunawan et al., 2006); therefore, we studied whether JNK phosphorylation in response to APAP was different between the mouse lines after a single oral dose of APAP (300 mg/kg). Western blot analysis showed a time-dependent increase in phosphorylation of both JNK1 and JNK2/3 isozymes in Gstp1WT post APAP dosing (Fig. 2A). In addition to changes in JNK phosphorylation, activation of ERK1/2 and p38 phosphorylation was also observed. The p38 MAP kinase pathway can be induced in response to a number of stressors, but critically also by oxidative stress (Ito et al., 2006). This is supported in our data, where similar levels of heme oxygenase-1, glutathione disulfide (GSSG), and p38 MAP kinase phosphorylation were observed in Gstp1WT and Gstp1/−/− mice in response to APAP. However, the levels of phosphorylated JNK and phosphorylated ERK were greatly attenuated in Gstp1/−/− mice in response to APAP, and therefore their regulation and activation appear independent from that of p38 MAP kinase in this study. This suggests that, in this case, GSTP does not inhibit JNK phosphorylation, as has been previously described. Immunohistochemical

![Fig. 1. HO-1 stress response in Gstp1WT and Gstp1/−/− mice after acetaminophen administration.](https://jpet.aspetjournals.org/)

- (A) Plasma ALT levels in Gstp1WT and Gstp1/−/− male mice 24 hours after a single oral dose of acetaminophen (300 mg/kg, $n = 9–12$; ***$P < 0.001$). Error bars show the mean ± S.D.
- (B) Liver histopathology determined in Gstp1WT and Gstp1/−/− male mice 24 hours after a single oral dose of APAP (300 mg/kg, $n = 9–12$). At 24 hours, livers were removed, fixed, sectioned (5 μm for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and HO-1 and 15 μm for lacZ), and processed using hematoxylin and eosin, β-galactosidase (for lacZ), or antibody against HO-1. Representative sections are shown. (C) Western blot analysis of HO-1 in pooled whole-cell liver lysates (10 μg) from Gstp1WT and Gstp1/−/− male mice after a single oral dose of APAP (300 mg/kg, $n = 8$) and harvested at the time points shown. GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
analysis demonstrated that expression of phosphorylated ERK is predominantly centrilobular (Fig. 2B).

Following this observation, we examined whether the expression of GSTP was necessary for the phosphorylation of JNK/ERK. Despite differences in JNK/ERK phosphorylation in response to APAP, we could not find any difference in JNK phosphorylation between Gstp1WT and Gstp1/2−/− primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts in response to anisomycin (Supplemental Fig. 2), suggesting that the activation of JNK in this study reflects the condition of the liver in response to APAP and not an intrinsic mechanism by which GSTP functions.

Glutathione Levels and Protein S-Glutathionylation following Acetaminophen Treatment. At high doses, NAPQI accumulates in the liver, leading to depletion of hepatic glutathione and subsequent hepatotoxicity. In our study, we observed no difference in resting glutathione levels, whereas rapid depletion of hepatic glutathione was found in both Gstp1WT and Gstp1/2−/− primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts in response to anisomycin (Supplemental Fig. 2), suggesting that the activation of JNK in this study reflects the condition of the liver in response to APAP and not an intrinsic mechanism by which GSTP functions.

Glutathione levels were depleted to the same level in both mouse lines. Significantly, hepatic glutathione levels remained low in Gstp1WT mice but were fully recovered in Gstp1/2−/− mice 4 hours after APAP treatment. Interestingly, there was an inverse correlation between the level of glutathione depletion and increase in JNK/ERK phosphorylation, an induction that was no longer observed in Gstp1/2−/− mice after glutathione levels were fully recovered (Fig. 2A). Measurement of GSSG, indicative of cellular oxidation, increased significantly after APAP treatment in both genotypes, but no difference in the level of GSSG was observed between Gstp1WT and Gstp1/2−/− mice (Supplemental Fig. 3), providing further evidence that the difference in APAP sensitivity is not due to differences in oxidative stress.

We examined the relationship between changes in protein S-glutathionylation and the levels of hepatic glutathione. Protein S-glutathionylation is a reversible post-translational modification in which glutathione binds cellular protein thiols, resulting in protection against irreversible oxidative damage (Grek et al., 2013). At resting levels, we could not detect any significant difference in the level of S-glutathionylation between Gstp1WT and Gstp1/2−/− mice (Fig. 3B), in agreement with our previous findings (McGarry et al., 2015). In response to APAP, a significant decrease in the level of protein S-glutathionylation was evident in both Gstp1WT and Gstp1/2−/− mice soon after treatment. However, Gstp1/2−/− mice retained a significantly higher proportion of protein-bound glutathione than did Gstp1WT mice prior to the onset of hepatotoxicity. After 4 hours, levels of protein S-glutathionylation were fully restored in Gstp1WT mice, whereas they remained reduced in Gstp1/2−/− mice. We next determined if these changes correlated with increased plasma ALT levels, indicative of hepatotoxicity. As shown in Fig. 3C, APAP induces ALT levels in Gstp1WT mice 4 hours after treatment, whereas ALT levels remain low in Gstp1/2−/− mice.

To assess whether these observations are dependent on glutathione depletion alone, Gstp1WT and Gstp1/2−/− mice were administered a separate glutathione-depleting agent, L-BSO, and hepatic glutathione and protein S-glutathionylation levels were determined. Although BSO reduced hepatic glutathione and protein S-glutathionylation levels, no significant
difference between \(Gstp1^{WT}\) and \(Gstp1/2^{-/-}\) mice was observed (Supplemental Fig. 4).

**Identification of S-Glutathionylated Proteins in Response to Acetaminophen.** To identify the proteins S-glutathionylated in response to APAP, we analyzed samples 40 minutes after a single oral dose of APAP (300 mg/kg), i.e., prior to the point at which hepatic glutathione becomes critically depleted and before the onset of hepatotoxicity as determined by ALT levels.

S-glutathionylation profiles between \(Gstp1^{WT}\) and \(Gstp1/2^{-/-}\) mice were very similar (McGarry et al., 2015). However, APAP treatment markedly changed the proportion of proteins S-glutathionylated between \(Gstp1^{WT}\) and \(Gstp1/2^{-/-}\) mice (Fig. 4A). nLC-MS/MS analysis of isolated S-glutathionylated proteins 40 minutes after APAP treatment identified 235 proteins that were common to both \(Gstp1^{WT}\) and \(Gstp1/2^{-/-}\) mice, 24 proteins that were unique to \(Gstp1^{WT}\) mice, and, remarkably, 493 proteins that were unique to \(Gstp1/2^{-/-}\) mice (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Table 1). We only included proteins that met strict minimum criteria as detailed in the Experimental section. Of particular note was that peroxiredoxin 1, succinate dehydrogenase, NADH dehydrogenase, cytochrome P450 2E1, heat shock protein 90, caspase-8, cytochrome c oxidase, and cytochrome c (somatic) were specifically S-glutathionylated in \(Gstp1/2^{-/-}\) mice in response to APAP.

We performed unbiased bioinformatic analysis on the 493 glutathionylated proteins using MetaCore software to...
determine which biologic pathways and processes were enriched after APAP treatment. In Gstp1\(^{1/-}\) mice, the S-glutathionylation of proteins of a number of key cellular pathways such as oxidative phosphorylation, glutathione metabolism, and mitochondrial apoptosis was maintained in response to APAP (Fig. 4B; Supplemental Table 2). Furthermore, western blot analysis of extracts isolated from mouse liver confirmed that glutamate cysteine ligase catalytic subunit, the rate-limiting enzyme in glutathione synthesis, remained heavily S-glutathionylated in Gstp1\(^{1/-}\) mouse liver 40 minutes after APAP administration (Fig. 4C). These data suggest that, in the initial phase of APAP toxicity, Gstp1\(^{1/-}\) mice are better suited to protect critical pathways and proteins involved in cell survival and glutathione regeneration through protein S-glutathionylation.

### Discussion

We have previously shown that Gstp1\(^{1/-}\) mice demonstrate increased susceptibility to chemically induced skin (Henderson et al., 1998) and lung tumors (Ritchie et al., 2007) and develop a higher incidence of tumors when crossed onto genetically initiated mouse models of colon (Apc\(^{min}\) mice) (Ritchie et al., 2009) and skin (TgAc mice) (Henderson et al., 2011) cancers. Paradoxically, Gstp1\(^{2/-}\) mice are resistant to APAP-induced hepatotoxicity; in this study, we investigated a number of pathways that could account for this intriguing observation.

Our previous studies demonstrated that the absence of GSTP does not alter the pathways of APAP metabolism (Henderson et al., 2000). Here, we have demonstrated that the capacity of APAP to induce hepatic oxidative stress, which is critical for the development of APAP toxicity, is also similar between the Gstp1\(^{WT}\) and Gstp1\(^{1/-}\) mice. The mechanism of protection in the Gstp1\(^{1/-}\) model must therefore be downstream of the initial toxic insult. This conclusion is consistent with previous studies that demonstrate N-acetylcyesteine treatment of hepatocytes blocks mitochondrial permeability transition activation and downstream activity, despite APAP-induced oxidative stress (Reid et al., 2005). In this manuscript, we provide strong evidence that the lack of GSTP relates to cell survival pathways in response to APAP.

Our data provide further evidence for the involvement of JNK/ERK phosphorylation in the development of hepatic damage. In Gstp1\(^{WT}\) mice, increased JNK phosphorylation confirms previous findings that JNK activation is associated with sensitivity to APAP (Gunawan et al., 2006). ERK phosphorylation has similarly been found to sensitize to APAP hepatotoxicity (Stamper et al., 2010; Wakabayashi et al., 2012). Although initial phosphorylation signals precede increased ALT levels, it is not yet clear from our data whether phosphorylation of JNK/ERK contributes to hepatotoxicity in Gstp1\(^{WT}\) mice or is reflective of the condition of the liver in response to APAP. Defining the role of JNK in APAP toxicity is complex, as deletion of individual JNK isoforms in mice has demonstrated inconsistent changes in APAP sensitivity (Gunawan et al., 2006; Bourdi et al., 2008). A number of studies have reported that GSTP can modulate the activity of JNK by binding through its C terminus (Wang et al., 2001), preventing phosphorylation of its downstream targets in response to stress (Castro-Caldas et al., 2012). However, we could not find any direct evidence for negative regulation of JNK phosphorylation by GSTP in our studies; under basal (untreated) conditions, there was no difference in JNK phosphorylation between Gstp1\(^{WT}\) and Gstp1\(^{1/-}\) mice (Fig. 3A), consistent with a number of studies in a range of other tissues or cells on different genetic backgrounds (Gate et al., 2004; Castro-Caldas et al., 2012; Bartolini et al., 2015; Conklin et al., 2015). JNK phosphorylates downstream transcription factors in response to a wide variety of stressors to induce apoptosis, cell proliferation, or differentiation. Therefore, due to the diverse nature of JNK in cell regulation, the effect of APAP on JNK activity may be stress-specific and unrelated to its interaction with GSTP. Furthermore, there is growing evidence to suggest that the dysregulation of protein kinase phosphatases may influence APAP toxicity (Wancket et al., 2012). Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B null mice are more resistant to APAP than wild-type mice and have reduced levels of phosphorylated JNK in response to APAP (Mobasher et al., 2013). Interestingly, previous reports have demonstrated that protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B S-glutathionylation results in enzyme inactivation (Barrett et al., 1999). In our study, we identified that protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B is specifically S-glutathionylated in Gstp1\(^{1/-}\) mice in response to APAP (Supplemental Table 1) and could potentially result in enzyme inactivation, leading to APAP resistance. Therefore, it cannot be ruled out that GSTP-mediated effects on protein phosphorylation are involved in the resistance mechanism.

Proteins S-glutathionylated under basal conditions have roles in key biologic pathways such as energy metabolism, cytoskeleton remodeling, oxidative stress, and proteasomal degradation (McGarry et al., 2015). In this manuscript, we demonstrate an adaptive response in protein S-glutathionylation, and subsequent hepatic glutathione regeneration, in response to electrophilic stress in vivo. Our data are in line with previous reports that demonstrate decreased protein S-glutathionylation in response to APAP in wild-type mice (Yang et al., 2012). Significantly, Yang et al. suggested that a subset of cells lining the centriflobular region exhibited increased protein S-glutathionylation and showed less damage than those cells with depleted levels of S-glutathionylation. In our study, the observation that Gstp1\(^{2/-}\) mice show increased S-glutathionylation despite no increase in ALT levels up to 4 hours after treatment correlates with these findings and provides compelling evidence for the role of protein S-glutathionylation in the protection against APAP hepatotoxicity. Furthermore, we are able to provide a deeper understanding of the individual proteins susceptible to S-glutathionylation and suggest that they are likely involved in the protection against APAP hepatotoxicity.

Several proteins S-glutathionylated in Gstp1\(^{1/-}\) mice, such as succinate dehydrogenase, cytochrome c, and NADH dehydrogenase, form critical components of pathways important in the development of toxicity. NAPQI induces mitochondrial permeability transition, resulting in depolarization of the mitochondrial membrane, uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation, and activation of proapoptotic proteins, including the release of cytochrome c (Masubuchi et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2015). The ability of cytochrome c to activate the caspase pathway is dependent on its redox state, where oxidized cytochrome c stimulates apoptotic activation, whereas reduction of cytochrome c prevents activation (Borutaite and Brown, 2007; Barros et al., 2013). As the majority of S-glutathionylation reactions are
believed to result in enzyme inactivation, it can be hypothesized that S-glutathionylation prevents transition to cellular necrosis by inhibiting this enzyme activity. In support of this, we identified S-glutathionylation of caspase 8, whose activation initiates apoptosis (Li et al., 1998), and also demonstrated the S-glutathionylation of the catalytic subunit of glutamate cysteine ligase (GCL) was increased in Gstp1−1/− mice in response to APAP. Mice null for Gclm exhibit extensive APAP-induced hepatotoxicity compared with their wild-type counterparts (McConnachie et al., 2007), whereas severe steatosis in Gclc hepatic-specific knockout mice can be rescued through N-acetylcysteine supplementation (Chen et al., 2010). Increased S-glutathionylation of GCL may protect GCL integrity, allowing for further glutathione synthesis after APAP stress. A possible explanation could be that GSTP similarly plays multiple functions depending on the source and nature of the stress. It has been suggested that GSTP may have multiple roles in cycling S-glutathionylation (Starke et al., 2003), and it is therefore conceivable that GSTP may have multiple functions depending on the source and nature of the stress. A possible explanation could be that GSTP similarly acts as a de glutathionylating enzyme in response to APAP, resulting in the reduction of S-glutathionylated proteins and increased sensitivity to hepatotoxicity.

Our original observations of APAP resistance in Gstp1−1/− mice were made on a mixed 129xMF1 background. In this study, mice were bred onto a C57BL6/J background (10 generations), demonstrating that the difference in response to APAP treatment is conserved across these sets of mouse strains. In contrast to mice, GSTP expression is restricted to the biliary epithelium in normal human liver. A number of transcription binding sites, such as AP-1 (Moffat et al., 1997), p53 (Enis et al., 2009), retinoic acid, and nuclear factor κB (Xia et al., 1993), have been identified within the human GSTP gene. Recently, we have made further efforts to characterize the regulation of human GSTP1 in an animal model and demonstrated upregulation of human hepatic GSTP expression in response to the chemopreventive agents ethoxyquin and butylated hydroxyanisole (Henderson et al., 2014). Therefore, hepatic GSTP induction through chemical toxicity or hepatic disease may contribute to the known increase in the risk of drug-induced liver injury associated with APAP in these instances. We have shown that the modulation of the function of a single protein can profoundly alter the hepatotoxicity induced by APAP. The cytoprotective effect does not appear to be related to the initiation of the pathway of toxicity, i.e., the induction of oxidative stress, but appears to be related to the activation of cell survival signals and changes in protein S-glutathionylation. Understanding the mechanism of these effects will provide important insights into the factors which define cell survival resulting from toxic insult and raises the possibility that their activation, such as by pharmacological means, may provide new avenues for therapeutic intervention and the prevention of serious hepatotoxicity.
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