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Abstract

Aggressive cancers in the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) phase are characterized by loss of cell adhesion, repression of E-cadherin and increased cell mobility. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) differs in basal level of E-cadherin; predominantly exhibiting silenced expression due to epigenetic-related modifications. Accordingly, effective treatments are needed to modulate these epigenetic events that in turn can positively regulate E-cadherin levels. Herein, we investigated silibinin, a natural flavonolignan with anti-cancer efficacy against lung cancer, either alone or in combination with epigenetic therapies to modulate E-cadherin expression in a panel of NSCLC cell lines. Silibinin combined with HDAC inhibitor Trichostatin A (TSA) or DNMT inhibitor 5′-Aza-deoxycytidine (Aza) significantly restored E-cadherin levels in NSCLC cells harboring epigenetically silenced E-cadherin expression. These combination treatments also strongly decreased the invasion/migration of these cells, which further emphasized the biological significance of E-cadherin restoration. Treatment of NSCLC cells, with basal E-cadherin levels, by silibinin further increased the E-cadherin expression and inhibited their migratory and invasive potential. Additional studies showed that silibinin alone as well as in combination with TSA or Aza down modulate the expression of Zeb1, which is a major transcriptional repressor of E-cadherin. Overall these findings demonstrate the potential of combinatorial treatments of silibinin with HDAC or DNMT inhibitor to modulate EMT events in NSCLC cell lines, leading to a significant inhibition in their migratory and invasive potentials. These results are highly significant, since loss of E-cadherin and metastatic spread of the disease via EMT is associated with poor prognosis and high mortalities in NSCLC.
Introduction

Lung cancer is a deadly malignancy that is the leading cause of cancer-related mortalities globally (Ferlay et al., 2010). Despite the advancements in treatment options, the 5-year survival rate for lung cancer remains ~15%. This is primarily attributed to the early metastatic spread of the disease within the lung and to distant organs, which involves epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of lung cancer cells including Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells (Denlinger et al., 2010). NSCLC cells differ widely in their basal level of E-cadherin, which is an epithelial marker and responsible for the ‘integrity of the cell’ by maintaining cell polarity, cell shape and cell-cell contact (Lim et al., 2000; Bremnes et al., 2002; Chaffer and Weinberg, 2011). The differential expression of E-cadherin in NSCLC cell lines is due to its epigenetic silencing via deacetylation- and methylation-related events regulated by epigenetic enzymes, e.g. histone deacetylases (HDACs) and DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) which deacetylate histones and methylate DNA, respectively (Johnstone, 2002; Jones and Baylin, 2002; Belinsky, 2004; Witta et al., 2006). This condenses the chromatin and thereby mechanistically silences the expression of several tumor suppressor genes including E-cadherin (Johnstone, 2002; Jones and Baylin, 2002; Belinsky, 2004; Witta et al., 2006).

In NSCLC cells, E-cadherin expression is down-modulated primarily through HDACs, which can be augmented by HDAC inhibitors (Marks et al., 2000; Witta et al., 2006; Kakihana et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009). HDACs act in concert with other chromatin modifying enzymes particularly DNMTs which methylate the gene promoter regions; E-cadherin has been reported to undergo methylation in ~18% of the NSCLC tumor samples, as well as in NSCLC cell lines including H1299 (Zochbauer-Muller et al., 2001; Shames et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2009). Furthermore, loss of E-cadherin is an important parameter associated with resistance to EGFR
tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) therapy. Unlike resistant cells, NSCLC cell lines that are highly sensitive to EGFR TKIs retain moderate to high levels of E-cadherin (Yauch et al., 2005; Soltermann et al., 2008; Kakihana et al., 2009). Moreover, loss of E-cadherin is associated with poor prognosis, and is a major contributor to the early mortalities in NSCLC patients (Lim et al., 2000; Thompson et al., 2005; Yauch et al., 2005; Witta et al., 2006; Frederick et al., 2007; Soltermann et al., 2008). In NSCLC cell lines, E-cadherin expression is modulated through two main signaling pathways namely β-catenin and zinc finger proteins including transcriptional repressors Snail, Slug, Zeb1 and SIP1 (Zeb2) (Postigo and Dean, 1999; Ohira et al., 2003; Peinado et al., 2004; Witta et al., 2006; Kakihana et al., 2009; Schmalhofer et al., 2009). Cooperative interactions between these transcriptional repressors and CtBP (a co-repressor complex) promote the recruitment of epigenetic enzymes such as HDACs to negatively regulate E-cadherin expression (Peinado et al., 2004; Spaderna et al., 2008; Drake et al., 2009; Gemmill et al., 2011; Aghdassi et al., 2012). Amongst these transcriptional repressors, elevated levels of Zeb1 mRNA have been shown to be correlated with the loss of E-cadherin expression, especially in the EGFR-TKI insensitive NSCLC cell lines (Witta et al., 2006).

Several inhibitors of epigenetic enzymes have shown potential in NSCLC control, and are in clinical trials; however, their toxicity and cancer cell resistance are the limiting factors (Fantin and Richon, 2007; Issa and Kantarjian, 2009; Schrump, 2009; Robey et al., 2011; Chang et al., 2012). Some of these drugs include HDAC inhibitors [HDACi, e.g. Trichostatin A (TSA)] and DNMT inhibitors [DNMTi, e.g. 5′-Aza-deoxycytidine (Aza)], which are used in combination with each other or other agents to potentiate/synergize their efficacy (Belinsky et al., 2003; Zhong et al., 2007; Bots and Johnstone, 2009; Meeran et al., 2010). Thus, the overall emphasis is to develop effective and safe treatment regimens which could modulate epigenetic events in
favor of positively regulating E-cadherin levels in NSCLC cell lines (Neal and Sequist, 2012) and thereby exert their anti-cancer activity.

In this regard, silibinin, a non-toxic chemopreventive agent, has shown strong anti-cancer efficacy against NSCLC cells in culture and nude mice (Mateen et al., 2010), together with the potential to alter enzymatic activity and protein levels of HDACs in NSCLC cells (Mateen et al., 2012). Specifically, silibinin was found to inhibit HDAC activity and decrease HDAC 1-3 levels in NSCLC cells, leading to an overall increase in global histone acetylation states of H3 and H4 (Mateen et al., 2012). Accordingly, here we examined the effect of silibinin, either alone or in combination with epigenetic therapies (HDAC or DNMT inhibitor), on regulating the mechanisms that are responsible for silencing E-cadherin in NSCLC cells. Furthermore, we also assessed whether such molecular alterations could be translated to the biologically relevant invasion and migration events of NSCLC cells with epigenetically silenced E-cadherin levels (H1299 and H157 cells) and those with basal E-cadherin levels (H322 and H358 cells).

Methods

Cell Culture and Treatments. NSCLC cell lines were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA), and were tested and authenticated by polymorphic short tandem repeat profiling. Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS and 100 U/ml of penicillin G, and 100 mg/ml of streptomycin sulfate and maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator. For experimental treatments, H1299 cells were plated and next day treated with either DMSO (control), 3.75-12.5 µM silibinin (Sigma), 0.33-1 µM TSA (Cayman chemical) or 1-5 µM Aza (Sigma) for 24 or 48 hrs. Similarly, H322 and H358 cells were plated and following day, treated with either DMSO (control) or 12.5 µM of silibinin for 120 hrs. For co-treatments, H1299 cells
were exposed to DMSO (control), silibinin (3.75 µM), TSA (0.5 µM) and Aza (5 µM), either alone or in combinations for 24-48 hrs. The final concentration of DMSO in medium during different treatments did not exceed 0.1% (v/v). Compared to previous studies where we assessed the cell growth inhibitory activity of silibinin (up to 75µM concentration) in lung cancer cells (Mateen et al.,2012; Mateen et al., 2010), here we chose relatively lower doses of silibinin (3.75-12.5 µM) so that silibinin alone on in combination with other drugs does not affect growth and death of NSCLC cells, and thus could be used for future invasion and migration studies.

**Immunoblotting.** Total cell lysates were prepared in non-denaturing lysis buffer and following estimation of protein concentration in lysates using Bio-Rad DC protein assay kit (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA), 50-100 µg of protein lysate per sample was denatured in 2X sample buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE on 6% or 8% Tris-glycine gel. Separated proteins were transferred on to nitrocellulose membrane by Western blotting, and membrane blocked for 1 hr in Odyssey blocking buffer and then incubated with specific primary antibodies, followed by either goat anti-rabbit 800 or goat anti-mouse 680 IR secondary antibody (both at 1:5,000 dilution) for 45 min. After the final wash, membranes were scanned using the Odyssey Infrared Imager (84 µm resolution, 0 mm offset with medium or high quality; LI-COR Biosciences). The antibodies used were E-Cadherin (24E10) from Cell Signaling and Zeb1 (H-102) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Each membrane was stripped and reprobed with anti-β-actin antibody (Sigma), to ensure equal protein loading. As applicable, densitometric analysis of immunoblots was done by Scion Image program (NIH, Bethesda, MD), and densitometric values adjusted to loading controls.

**Immunofluorescence (IF).** Cells were fixed in 4% buffered formalin followed by incubation with ice cold 100% methanol. Cells were then blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in
PBS for 60 min and then incubated overnight at 4°C with desired primary antibodies. The following day, cells were incubated for 60 min with appropriate Alexa flour secondary antibody and counterstained with DAPI for 5 min. Stained cell images were captured at 400X magnification using a Nikon D-Eclipse C1 confocal microscope and analyzed using EZ-C1 Free viewer software.

**Invasion Assay.** Invasive potential of NSCLC was determined using matrigel coated trans-well chambers (8 micron pore size) from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). H1299 cells were plated in 100-mm plates and following day treated with DMSO (control), silibinin (3.75 µM), TSA (0.5 µM) and Aza (5 µM), alone or in combinations for 36 hrs. After drugs treatment, cells were harvested by brief trypsinization in all treatment groups, and then equal live cell numbers from these individual cell harvests were seeded in the upper chambers of trans-well in RPMI media containing 0.5% FBS in presence of drugs treatment. Similarly, H322 cells that were either untreated or treated with 12.5 µM silibinin for 96 hrs were harvested and live equal cell numbers were seeded in the upper trans-well chambers. The lower chambers consisted of RPMI media containing 10% FBS. After 12-24 hrs of incubation under standard culture conditions in the continuous presence of drugs treatment, non-invasive cells present on the upper surface of the membrane were scraped with cotton swabs and the invasive cells present on the lower side of the membrane were fixed with ice cold methanol, stained with hematoxylin/eosin and mounted on glass slides. Images were captured using Cannon Power Shot A640 camera on a Zeiss inverted microscope and the invasive cells were manually counted at 400X.

**Migration Assay.** Migration assay was performed similar to invasion assay described above, but trans-well chambers lacked the matrigel layer. All drug treatments were same as detailed in earlier section. At similar time points, as in invasion assay, experiments were first conducted in
the presence of drugs and then continued in their absence (drug-wash out studies) in order to assess the reversibility of drug effects in NSCLC cells.

**Statistical Analyses:** Difference between treatment groups was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni $t$ test using Sigma stat 2.03 software (Jandel Scientific). Two sided, $P$ values < 0.05, were considered significant.

**Results**

**Differential Effects of Silibinin, HDACi, and DNMTi on Re-expression of E-cadherin in NSCLC H1299 Cells.** To compare the effect of silibinin, HDACi and DNMTi alone on the induction of E-cadherin levels, we first conducted a dose- and a time-dependent study with these agents alone in NSCLC H1299 cells. The cells were treated with DMSO (control), silibinin (3.75-12.5 µM), TSA (0.33-1 µM), or Aza (1-5 µM) for 24-48 hrs and then lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting for E-cadherin expression (Fig.1A). Silibinin alone could not re-express the epigenetically-silenced E-cadherin levels during 24-48 hrs of its treatment (data not shown because the immunoblot for E-cadherin was totally blank). However, exposure of cells to HDACi (TSA) caused an increase in E-cadherin protein levels in a dose-dependent manner; however, the increase was more evident by 24 hrs and tend to decrease by prolonged exposure (48 hrs) as has been reported earlier for this HDACi (Kakihana et al., 2009). Conversely, H1299 cells treated with DNMTi (Aza) did not show early restoration of E-cadherin expression but the drug significantly increased E-cadherin protein levels at later time points (48 hrs) (Fig.1A). These results showed that in H1299 cells, HDAC and DNMT inhibitors do elevate E-cadherin protein expression, as would be expected, by inhibiting chromatin-modifying enzymes that cause E-cadherin silencing.
Synergistic Effect of Silibinin in Combination with Epigenetic Therapies (HDACi or DNMTi) on the Induction of E-cadherin Expression. Next, we used one dose of TSA (0.5 µM) and Aza (5 µM) alone or in combination with low doses of silibinin (3.75-12.5 µM) and examined their effect on re-expression of E-cadherin levels in H1299 cells by immunoblotting (Fig. 1B) and IF (Fig. 1C). The doses of HDAC and DNMT inhibitors were chosen based on their effect in moderately increasing E-cadherin protein levels. As shown in Fig. 1B, co-treatments of TSA + silibinin for 24 hrs caused a marked increase in E-cadherin protein expression as compared to single agent alone. Moreover, at 48 hrs, where E-cadherin level started to gradually decline in HDACi alone treatment, silibinin combination resulted in a strong increase in E-cadherin levels. Furthermore, a combination treatment of Aza + silibinin for 48 hrs also increased E-cadherin levels, suggesting that silibinin treatments along with epigenetic drugs were effective in inducing E-cadherin levels.

Combinatorial Treatment of Silibinin with HDACi or DNMTi Inhibits the Migration and Invasion of NSCLC H1299 Cells. E-cadherin is considered to be a master regulator of EMT and its disruption stimulates cancer cell invasion and migration, contributing to aggressive cellular phenotype (Thiery, 2002). To understand the biological significance of E-cadherin re-expression by silibinin in combination with HDACi or DNMTi, we next conducted migration and invasion assays in H1299 cells. Cells were exposed to single or combination treatment of drugs and after 36 hrs, equal number of live cells in each treatment group was re-plated in migration chambers in presence of drugs up till the completion of 48 hrs of treatment time. Our results show that combination treatments reduced cell migration by 58% and 69% (P<0.001, for both) in TSA + silibinin and Aza + silibinin treatment groups, respectively (Fig. 2A and 3A). Also, whereas TSA alone was ineffective, both silibinin and Aza alone also inhibited cell migration by 26-30%
(P<0.05) and 46% (P<0.001), respectively. Next, reversibility of these effects was tested by drug wash-out studies (Fig.2B and 3B), wherein after initial combination treatment of cells with drugs for 36 hrs, equal live cell numbers in each treatment group were re-plated in the trans-well invasion chambers in the absence of drugs treatment up till the completion of the next 12 hrs. As shown in Fig.2B and 3B, even in the absence of further drugs treatment, silibinin in combination with either TSA or Aza was able to significantly inhibit (by 56%, and 68%, P<0.001, respectively) the migration of H1299 cells in an irreversible fashion. Next, under similar treatment conditions, the effect of these drugs treatments on the invasive potential of H1299 cells was also evaluated. The combination treatments of TSA + silibinin and Aza + silibinin significantly reduced the invasion of H1299 cells as compared to single agents alone (Fig.4A and 4B).

**Silibinin Enhances E-cadherin Expression and Concomitantly Reduces Zeb1 levels in NSCLC H322 and H358 Cells.** To further examine silibinin effects in NSCLC cell lines that differ vastly in their E-cadherin expression, we extended our studies in H322 and H358 cell lines which are known to possess detectable E-cadherin levels (Witta et al., 2006). As observed by IF, silibinin treatment at low dose (12.5µM) resulted in a time-dependent (expression monitored as a function of time from 0 to 120 hrs) increase in E-cadherin levels (Fig.5A and 5B). A concomitant decrease in nuclear levels of Zeb1 was also observed in this study, suggesting that an increase in E-cadherin levels by silibinin might be associated with a decrease in the levels of its transcriptional repressor, Zeb1, in NSCLC cell lines.

**Silibinin Inhibits Cellular Migration and Invasion of NSCLC H322 Cells.** To decipher the biological relevance of enhanced E-cadherin expression by silibinin in H322 cells, we next examined the migratory potential of these cells under similar treatment conditions, which
showed E-cadherin re-expression. H322 cells treated with 12.5 µM silibinin for 96 hrs were harvested, and then equal number of live cells was suspended in the migration chambers. For 24 hrs, cells were allowed to migrate towards the lower well containing RPMI media with 10% FBS. Thereafter, the migratory cells were fixed and stained. Quantitative analysis revealed that silibinin inhibited the migratory potential of H322 cells by 49% (P<0.05, Fig.6A). Similarly, silibinin also inhibited the invasion of H322 cells by 31% (P<0.001, Fig.6B), as determined by invasion assay. Since the dose of silibinin (12.5 µM) used in this study did not significantly alter viability of H322 cells (data not shown), these findings established the anti-invasive and anti-migratory properties of silibinin at the concentrations which not only enhanced E-cadherin levels but were also least cytotoxic to H322 cells.

Silibinin Decreases Zeb 1 Protein Levels in NSCLC Cells. Levels of E-cadherin and Zeb1 are inversely correlated and have been shown to be associated with resistance to EGFR-TKI in NSCLC cell lines (Witta et al., 2006; Witta et al., 2009). As shown earlier in Fig. 1, in presence of silibinin, both TSA and Aza treatments significantly restored E-cadherin protein levels. Under similar conditions, when H1299 cells were treated with TSA (0.5 µM) alone, it dramatically increased the protein levels of Zeb1 in a time-dependent manner (Fig.7A). The combination of 3.75 µM Sb dose with TSA (0.5 µM), though ineffective in reducing Zeb1 levels by 24 hrs, showed significant effect in reducing Zeb1 levels by 48 hrs. Interestingly, increasing the dose of silibinin to 7.5 and 12.5 µM with TSA (0.5 µM) combination for 24-48 hrs further reduced the levels of this transcriptional repressor (Fig.7A). Silibinin alone did not show any effects on Zeb1 levels in these studies (data not shown). This finding indicates that silibinin in combination with HDACi possibly targets Zeb1 levels, while exerting its efficacy in re-expressing E-cadherin levels in H1299 cells. To further validate our findings, we next employed H157 cells which do
not exhibit an increase in E-cadherin (mRNA and protein) levels by HDACi due to its suppression by Zeb1 (Kakihana et al., 2009). We treated H157 cells to TSA (0.33-0.5 µM) alone or in combination with silibinin (3.75-12.5 µM) for 24 hrs (Fig.7B), and cell lysates were then analyzed for E-cadherin and Zeb1 protein levels. As illustrated in Fig.7B, HDACi treatment did not alter the levels of both E-cadherin and Zeb1 at 0.33 µM dose in H157 cells. However, at 0.5 µM dose, it did cause a decrease in Zeb1 without affecting E-cadherin levels. More importantly, addition of low doses of silibinin synergized with the lower dose (0.33 µM) of HDACi in inducing E-cadherin expression together with a decrease in Zeb1 protein levels (Fig.7B) though silibinin alone had no such effect (data not shown). Together, these results suggest that whereas low doses of silibinin alone cannot re-express silenced E-cadherin in NSCLC cells, silibinin could synergize with HDACi such as TSA in up regulating E-cadherin levels possibly by a down modulation of Zeb1 which is a major transcriptional repressor of E-cadherin.

**Discussion**

Down regulation or aberrant expression of E-cadherin is identified in several human cancers including colorectal, pancreas, lung, prostate, breast, etc., where poorly differentiated tumors contain reduced levels of E-cadherin (Beavon, 2000; Strathdee, 2002; Onder et al., 2008). Functional inactivation of E-cadherin occurs through varying genetic (irreversible) and epigenetic (reversible) alterations as well as transcriptional silencing of the human E-cadherin gene (CDH1) (Onder et al., 2008). Genetic mechanisms regulating E-cadherin expression include somatic mutations and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of the wild type allele, which promotes tumorigenesis and induces tumor cell invasiveness. However, the incidence of E-cadherin mutations is rare in cancers, and alternative epigenetic mechanisms have been shown to play a...
preferential role in silencing its gene expression (Peinado et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005). The changes in epigenome result in reversible alterations in its gene expression patterns, which together with various other genetic abnormalities, contribute to the onset and progression of NSCLC (Nephew and Huang, 2003; Bowman et al., 2006). Akin to other malignancies, in NSCLC, loss of E-cadherin expression is a critical event associated with EMT and metastasis as well as cancer cell proliferation and drug resistance to apoptosis/anoikis (Onder et al., 2008; Zavadil et al., 2008; Kakihana et al., 2009).

E-cadherin is one of the predominant members of cadherin family that is present within epithelial cells and localized on the basolateral membrane in the adherens junctions (Thiery, 2002; Zavadil et al., 2008; Chaffer and Weinberg, 2011). Cadherins (including E-cadherin) are a group of cell-adhesion molecules (CAMs) and are comprised of transmembrane glycoproteins responsible for maintaining cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesion (Bremnes et al., 2002). Under normal conditions, E-cadherin has a functional role in physiological processes such as embryonic morphogenesis and wound healing (Thiery, 2002; Liu et al., 2005). However, loss of this epithelial marker is crucial for EMT of the epithelial-derived solid tumors that acquire a mesenchymal phenotype resulting in increased tumor invasiveness and metastasis (Thiery, 2002; Onder et al., 2008; Tsuji et al., 2009; Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). In NSCLC, studies have linked EMT and cancer progression to inflammation, since the production of inflammatory mediators (e.g. COX-2 and PGE2) negatively regulates E-cadherin expression (Dohadwala et al., 2006). Apart from genetic and epigenetic events, downregulation of E-cadherin in human tumors also occurs via mechanisms like proteolytic cleavage (through MMPs), internalization/degradation of E-cadherin (through Hakai- an E3 ubiquitin ligase) (Le et al., 1999; Pece and Gutkind, 2002; Nawrocki-Raby et al., 2003). Transcriptional repressors (e.g. Zeb1) also
regulate E-cadherin levels and induce EMT, promoting tumor progression, invasion, and metastasis (Spaderna et al., 2008; Drake et al., 2009; Gemmill et al., 2011). Specifically in NSCLC, Zeb1 has been shown to suppress the expression of E-cadherin by binding with its two 5′-CACCTG (E-box) sequences (Verschueren et al., 1999; Clarhaut et al., 2009). Zeb1 interaction with E-cadherin promoter has been shown to involve CtBP, a transcriptional co-repressor protein that further enhances the binding of HDACs to the promoter regions of E-cadherin (Postigo and Dean, 1999). Knockdown of Zeb1 in NSCLC H661 cells has been shown to increase E-cadherin levels (Kakihana et al., 2009). Clinically, E-cadherin is used as a biomarker to predict responses to EGFR-TKI therapy in NSCLC patients (Witta et al., 2006; Soltermann et al., 2008). Taken together, the overall importance of this epithelial marker clearly suggests that studies are needed to identify the agents which could re-express epigenetically-silenced E-cadherin levels in NSCLC.

In the present study, we focused our efforts on elucidating the effects of silibinin, a non-toxic photochemical with established anti-cancer activity against various epithelial malignancies including lung cancer (Singh et al., 2006; Tyagi et al., 2009; Mateen et al., 2010; Ramasamy et al., 2011; Tyagi et al., 2011), on the mechanisms that are responsible for silencing E-cadherin expression in NSCLC. Our results have clearly demonstrated that silibinin (at low non cytotoxic doses) in combination with TSA (an HDACi) or Aza (a DNMTi) strongly upregulates E-cadherin protein levels in H1299 cells. Concomitantly, at similar time points, co-treatment of TSA and silibinin drastically reduced Zeb1 levels implicating the role of this transcriptional repressor in epigenetically suppressing the expression of E-cadherin in these NSCLC cells. Using H157 cells, we also found that the effect of low doses of silibinin combined with HDAC or DNMT inhibitor, in up-regulating E-cadherin expression might not entirely depend on the regulation of epigenetic
enzymes (HDACs and DNMTs), but also possibly involves the down modulation of Zeb1 levels. Our results in H322 and H358 cell lines further supported this notion, where silibinin treatment enhanced the cellular levels of E-cadherin while inversely reducing the nuclear Zeb1 levels. With regard to the biological significance of these findings, silibinin alone and in combination with epigenetic drugs (HDAC or DNMT inhibitor), inhibited both invasion and migration of NSCLC cells. This finding suggests that following the drugs treatments, an increased expression of E-cadherin was, in part, responsible for restricting the transformation of cells to a mesenchymal phenotype. Taken together, our results show the potential of silibinin alone and in combination with epigenetic drugs in down-modulating the expression of Zeb1 so as to restore E-cadherin expression, and thereby efficiently inhibiting the invasion and migration of NSCLC cells. In summary, present findings are highly significant in establishing that while silibinin alone may be used to prevent EMT in early stages of the disease; the HDACi or DNMTi in combination with silibinin would be an effective treatment regimen to suppress NSCLC progression to more advanced (poorly differentiated) stages of this deadly malignancy, thereby advocating the clinical usefulness of this natural agent.
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Legends for Figures

Figure 1. Combination of Silibinin with Epigenetic therapeutics (HDAC or DNMT inhibitor) increases E-cadherin expression in NSCLC H1299 cells. H1299 cells were treated with either DMSO (control), silibinin (3.75-12.5 µM), TSA (0.33-1 µM) and Aza (1-10 µM) alone or in combination for indicated time points. Cell lysates were prepared as described in Materials and Methods. (A-B) E-cadherin protein expression in total cell lysates after different treatments as labeled. (C) Immunofluorescence (IF) staining for E-cadherin (green) and DAPI stained nuclei (blue) after different treatments in H1299 cells. *, both TSA and Aza were run on the same gel at 48 hrs time point, hence share the same control.

Figure 2. Silibinin in combination with TSA inhibits the migratory potential of H1299 cells. H1299 cells were treated with DMSO (control) or Silibinin (3.75 µM) alone or in combination with TSA (0.5 µM) for 48 hrs. Migratory potential was examined using migration chambers either in A) continuous presence or B) absence (wash-out studies) of drugs treatment of H1299 cells. Cell migration data shown are mean ± SEM of three samples. # P<0.05, * P<0.001.

Figure 3. Silibinin in combination with Aza inhibits the migratory potential of H1299 cells. H1299 cells were treated with DMSO (control) or Silibinin (3.75 µM) alone or in combination with Aza (5 µM) for 48 hrs. Migratory potential was examined using migration chambers either in A) continuous presence or B) absence (wash-out studies) of drugs treatment of H1299 cells. Cell migration data shown are mean ± SEM of three samples. # P<0.05, * P<0.001.

Figure 4. Silibinin in combination with TSA or Aza inhibits the invasiveness of H1299 cells. H1299 cells were treated with DMSO (control) or Silibinin (3.75 µM) alone or in combination with either (A) TSA (0.5 µM) or (B) Aza (5 µM) for 48 hrs. Effect of single/combination treatments on invasive potential of H1299 cells in the presence of continuous drugs treatment.
was examined using invasion chambers. Cell invasion data are shown as mean ± SEM of three samples. # P<0.05, * P<0.001.

**Figure 5.** Silibinin treatment enhances E-cadherin and down modulates Zeb1 expression in NSCLC H322 and H358 cells. H322 and H358 cells were treated with DMSO (control) or Silibinin (12.5 µM) for 120 hrs. Immunofluorescence (IF) staining is shown for E-cadherin (green), Zeb1 (red) and DAPI stained nuclei (blue) in (A) H322 and (B) H358 cells. Cells were treated for 24-120 hrs and subsequently processed as described in Materials and Methods.

**Figure 6.** Silibinin inhibits migration and invasion of H322 cells. H322 cells were treated with DMSO (control) or Silibinin (12.5 µM) for 120 hrs, and in the presence of continuous drug treatment, its effect on (A) migratory and (B) invasive potential of H322 cells was examined using migration and invasion chambers, respectively. Cell invasion/migration data are shown as mean ± SEM of three samples. # P<0.05, * P<0.001.

**Figure 7.** Silibinin treatment reduces Zeb1 protein expression in NSCLC cells. (A) H1299 cells were treated with DMSO (control) or Silibinin (3.75 µM) alone or in combination with either TSA (0.5 µM) or Aza (5 µM) for indicated time points. Cell lysates were prepared and Zeb1 expression in total cell lysates was determined by immunoblotting. (B) H157 cells were treated with either DMSO (control) or TSA (0.33-0.5 µM) alone or in combination with Silibinin (3.75-12.5 µM) for 24 hrs. Cell lysates were prepared and E-cadherin and Zeb1 protein levels in total cell lysates were determined by immunoblotting.
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</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E-cadherin folds</th>
<th>β-actin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aza (μM)</th>
<th>E-cadherin folds</th>
<th>β-actin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24h</th>
<th>48h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sb (μM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C**

- Control
- 3.75 μM Sb
- 0.5 μM TSA
- 0.5 μM TSA + 3.75 μM Sb
- 5 μM Aza
- 5 μM Aza + 3.75 μM Sb

**Ecadherin**

**DAPI**
Figure 2

A. In continued presence of drugs:

B. Drug wash-out studies:

H1299 cells
Figure 3

(A) H1299 cells

(B) Drug wash-out studies
H1299 cells

In continued presence of drugs

Figure 4
Figure 5

A. H322 cells

B. H358 cells

E-cadherin
Zeb1
DAPI
Merge

Control

24 (h)

120 (h)

12.5 Sb (μM)

24 (h)

72 (h)

96 (h)

120 (h)
**H322 cells**

In continued presence of drug

**Figure. 6**

[A] Control vs. 12.5 μM Sb for cell migration.

[B] Control vs. 12.5 μM Sb for cell invasion.

Cell migration (% of control)

- Control
- 12.5 μM Sb

Cell invasion (% of control)

- Control
- 12.5 μM Sb
**A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24h</th>
<th>48h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sb (μM)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24h</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sb (μM)</td>
<td>TSA (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure. 7**