Synergistic GABA-enhancing therapy against seizures in a mouse model of Dravet Syndrome
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Seizures remain uncontrolled in 30% of patients with epilepsy, even with concurrent use of multiple drugs, and uncontrolled seizures result in increased morbidity and mortality. An extreme example is Dravet syndrome (DS), an infantile-onset severe epilepsy caused by heterozygous loss of function mutations in SCN1A, the gene encoding the brain type-I voltage-gated sodium channel Na\textsubscript{V}1.1. Studies in Scn1a heterozygous knockout mice demonstrate reduced excitability of GABAergic interneurons, suggesting enhancement of GABA signaling may improve seizure control and co-morbidities. We studied the efficacy of two GABA-enhancing drugs, clonazepam and tiagabine, alone and in combination against thermally evoked myoclonic and generalized tonic-clonic seizures. Clonazepam, a positive allosteric modulator of GABA-A receptors, protected against myoclonic and generalized-tonic-clonic seizures. Tiagabine, a presynaptic GABA reuptake inhibitor, was protective against generalized tonic-clonic seizures but only minimally protective against myoclonic seizures and enhanced myoclonic seizure susceptibility at high doses. Combined therapy with clonazepam and tiagabine was synergistic against generalized-tonic-clonic seizures but was additive against myoclonic seizures. Toxicity determined by rotorod testing was additive for combination therapy. The synergistic actions of clonazepam and tiagabine gave enhanced seizure protection and reduced toxicity, suggesting that combination therapy may be well-tolerated and effective for seizures in DS.
INTRODUCTION (731/750 words)

Epilepsy affects 50 million people worldwide (Duncan et al., 2006; WHO, 2012). Seizures in 30% of patients are refractory to medical therapy (Kwan and Brodie, 2000) and require treatment with multiple drugs (Beghi et al., 2003; Peltola et al., 2008), although there is little guidance as to which combinations may be most beneficial. Dravet syndrome (DS) is caused by loss-of-function mutations in the SCN1A gene encoding brain voltage-gated sodium channel type-I, Na\textsubscript{v}1.1 (De Jonghe, 2011). DS is an unusually severe genetic epilepsy in which single drug therapy is ineffective and combined therapy with four medications is common (Chiron and Dulac, 2011; Dravet, 2011). DS is characterized by initial seizure onset at 6-9 months of age, often precipitated by elevated body temperature (Dravet et al., 2005; Oguni et al., 2005). Associated co-morbidities include sleep disturbance, autistic features, and cognitive, memory and motor impairments (Dravet, 2011). Lack of seizure control is correlated with reduced quality of life, increased risk of injury, and premature death.

Because of the small numbers of affected patients, variable phenotypic severity, and multiple concurrent medications, clinical trials of novel therapies for DS are very challenging and studies to identify beneficial drug combinations are precluded by the inability to precisely adjust drug doses. Only a single placebo-controlled trial has been performed to date (Chiron et al., 2000). Improved but incomplete seizure control has been reported with bromides (Tanabe et al., 2008), valproate (Rantala et al., 1997), topiramate (Nieto-Barrera et al., 2000; Coppola et al., 2002), stiripentol (Perez et al., 1999; Chiron et al., 2000; Inoue et al., 2009), and clobazam (Chiron et al., 2000; Thanh et al., 2002; Chiron and Dulac, 2011) in various combinations, whereas the sodium channel blockers lamotrigine and carbamazepine exacerbate seizures (Guerrini et al., 1998; Thanh et al., 2002) in a majority of patients.
Mouse genetic models of DS are remarkably faithful phenocopies of the human disease (Yu et al., 2006; Kalume et al., 2007; Ogiwara et al., 2007; Oakley et al., 2009; Oakley et al., 2011). Seizures occur spontaneously (Yu et al., 2006; Oakley et al., 2009) and, as in DS patients (Oguni et al., 2005), are reliably provoked by elevated body temperature (Oakley et al., 2009) providing an efficient yet clinically and physiologically relevant assay of antiepileptic drug efficacy. DS mice have selective loss of Na currents in GABAergic inhibitory neurons, resulting in reduced excitability and GABAergic neurotransmission (Yu et al., 2006; Kalume et al., 2007; Han et al., 2012a). Mice with heterozygous deletion of Na$_V$1.1 only in forebrain interneurons have similar spontaneous and thermally-evoked seizures and premature death (Cheah et al., 2012), supporting the hypothesis that disinhibition caused by reduced GABA signaling is the cause of this disease. These findings suggest that GABA-enhancing medications will be effective against seizures.

We studied two GABA-enhancing antiepileptic medications that act by complementary mechanisms, clonazepam (CLN) and tiagabine (TGB). CLN, a classic 1,4 benzodiazepine, is a positive allosteric modulator of the GABA-A receptor, which increases both affinity and efficacy of GABA in activating the receptor and requires concurrent binding of GABA for its actions (Macdonald, 2002). TGB is a potent and highly specific blocker of GABA reuptake into neurons (Braestrup et al., 1990; Schachter, 1999) and glia (Fraser et al., 1999), and is highly selective for GABA transporter 1 (Dalby, 2003), the predominant transporter in the forebrain (Sommerville, 2002). In DS, reduced interneuron excitability would result in decreased GABA release and less binding of GABA to its postsynaptic receptor. We hypothesized that TGB would act synergistically with CLN by increasing the concentration of GABA in the synaptic cleft during neurotransmission and thereby allowing the GABA-enhancing actions of CLN to be more fully engaged. We found that CLN alone provided substantial protection against thermally evoked MC and GTC seizures in DS mice but was sedating at therapeutic doses, whereas TGB alone
was protective against GTC seizures but was only minimally protective against MC seizures and caused increased MC seizures at high doses. Combined therapy with CLN and TGB provided synergistic protection against MC and GTC seizures, was less sedating than CLN, and did not increase MC seizure activity. These results suggest that synergistic combined therapy with CLN and TGB may enhance seizure control and reduce toxicity in DS.
MATERIALS AND METHODS (1,248/ no limit)

All experiments were performed in accordance with animal protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Washington and in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals adopted by the U.S. National Institutes of Health.

Mouse model of Dravet syndrome

A mouse model of Dravet syndrome was created as previously described (Yu et al., 2006). Briefly, mutant mice were generated by targeted deletion of the last exon encoding domain IV from S3 to S6 segment and the entire C-terminal tail of the Na\textsubscript{v}1.1 channel. Heterozygous mutant animals, Na\textsubscript{v}1.1 (+/-), are our Dravet Mice (DM) and disease model. Mutant mice were maintained on a C57Bl/6 background (Jackson labs) and maintained by crossing Na\textsubscript{v}1.1 (+/-) males with Na\textsubscript{v}1.1 (+/+) females. Genotype was confirmed using 4-oligonucleotide multiplex PCR of genomic DNA from tail samples as previously described. Male and female mice age postnatal day 27 – 33, the previously-identified age of greatest seizure susceptibility (Oakley et al., 2009), were used in these studies.

Anti-epileptic medications

Suspensions of Clonazepam (Teva Pharmaceuticals, North Wales, Pennsylvania) and Tiagabine (Cephalon/Teva, North Wales, Pennsylvania) were made daily in 0.5% (wt/vol) methylcellulose with concentrations of drug in suspension varied such that the injected volume for the specified dose was 0.01 ml per gram mouse weight. Drugs were injected
intraperitoneally (i.p.) 30 minutes prior to testing, the time to peak effect in previous studies
(Dalby and Nielsen, 1997; Luszczki et al., 2006).

**Thermal induction of seizures**

Thermal induction of seizures followed our previously described protocol (Oakley et al., 2009). Body temperature was measured continuously with a rectal temperature probe and controlled to ± 0.3 °C with a feedback temperature controller (TCAT2DF, Physitemp) and heat lamp. Mouse body temperature was held at 37.0 °C for 10 minutes and then slowly elevated by 0.5 °C every 2 minutes until a generalized tonic-clonic seizure occurred or body temperature of 42.5 °C was reached. Prior work combining video-EEG recording with thermal induction in DM demonstrated that all seizures recorded by EEG had clear behavioral correlates (Oakley et al., 2009). However, implantation of EEG electrodes is invasive and may affect the type, frequency, or severity of seizures. Thus, in this study we used behaviors as an indicator of seizures. In each mouse, the time and body temperature of each MC and GTC seizure were determined from review of video.

**Measurement of motor impairment with rotorod**

Mice were placed on an accelerating, rotating rod (roto-rod series 8, IITC life sciences) which began at 1 RPM and increased linearly to 40 RPM over 300 seconds (Mandillo et al., 2008). The rotational velocity at fall from rod was recorded. Following administration of drug, and prior to thermal induction, each mouse was tested on rotorod with 2 trials 5 minutes apart. Drug toxicity was associated with reduced velocity at time of fall. Observed velocity was normalized to
average velocity of untreated controls and expressed as percent reduction in motor performance.

**Analysis of single drug treatments**

Experimentally-determined dose-effect pairs were fit with a Hill equation (IGOR, wavemetrics) using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm to search for coefficient values that minimize chi-square. 95% confidence bands (95% CB) were created using estimated standard error and the Student T function for the degrees of freedom assuming error is normally distributed with zero mean. 95% CB obtained in this way are approximations of the error incorporating only the linear term of the Taylor expansion used for fitting. A better estimate of variance over the linear portion of the sigmoidal function is obtained by linear fit of effect versus log Dose. Variances provided by linear fits of log-dose were nearly equivalent to (typically less than) the Hill fit approximations over the linear range of the sigmoidal function and thus variance from Hill fits was used in subsequent calculations.

**Isobolographic analysis of combined treatments**

Isobolographic analysis is a well-validated approach to study pharmacodynamic interactions (Berenbaum, 1989; Greco et al., 1995; Stafstrom, 2010; Tallarida, 2012). Dose-effect relationships of individual drugs are used to determine equally-effective drug combinations assuming dose additivity. Experimentally determined dose pairs are compared with additive predictions to identify antagonism, additivity, or synergy. Most forms of isobolographic analysis assume constant relative potency of individual drugs (Grabovsky and Tallarida, 2004), a condition which is not met by the drugs used in this study due to differing peak efficacies and slopes of linear log-dose relationships. Thus, we used a modification of the isobolographic
technique for non-constant relative potency based upon the method of Grabovsky and Tallarida (Grabovsky and Tallarida, 2004).

An effect level was chosen for analysis and the dose of the more effective drug CLN (B) required to achieve this dose in monotherapy was determined from its dose-effect relationship. Then, for a given dose of the less effective drug TGB (a), an equi-effective dose of CLN (b’) was determined using the dose-effect relationship of the individual drugs from Hill fits. The additional dose of CLN (b) required to provide the effect level specified was then determined based upon the equation of dose additivity \( B = b + b’ \). The solution of this equation over a range of TGB doses is the set of CLN:TGB dose pairs (the isobole) predicted to provide the chosen effect level assuming dose additivity. The variance of the predicted combined dose was determined from the individual drug variance at the specified effect level in proportion to the square of the fractional dose of the drug in the combination (Tallarida, 2000), that is, the dose of the drug in the combination divided by the dose required for the specified affect if used alone.

Predicted additive dose pairs from isobolographic analysis were compared to experimentally determined (observed) dose pairs giving the specified effect level. In order to study the effect of dose proportions on drug interaction, three fixed proportion dose ratios, approximately 2:1, 1:1, and 1:4 (CLN:TGB) were studied. Fixed proportion ratios were based upon the dose of the individual drugs required to provide GTC protection to 41.0 °C (0.58 mg/kg and 2 mg/kg for CLN and TB respectively). The proportion by weight of each drug in the combination was: 2:1, 0.39 CLN and 0.61 TGB; 1:1, 0.19 CLN and 0.81 TGB; and 1:4, 0.07 CLN and 0.93 TGB.

The combined dose of a fixed-proportion combination is equal to the sum of the doses of the individual drugs in the mixture and can be considered to act like a single drug for purposes of dose-effect analysis (Tallarida, 2000). For each fixed-proportion ratio, body temperature at seizure onset was determined over a range of doses and fit with Hill function. The resulting
observed dose-effect relationship and variance were compared with additive predictions from the isobole. Statistically significant variation between predicted and experimental data was determined by non-overlapping 95% confidence bands at a specified effect level.

Interaction index (Tallarida, 2002), the proportion of the predicted additive dose required for the specified effect level, provides a quantitative measure of drug interaction and is given by:

\[
(1) \text{ Interaction index } = \frac{\text{Observed dose}}{\text{Predicted additive dose}}
\]

**Determination of additive dose-effect relationship**

Predicted additive dose-effect curves were generated from individual isoboles determined at multiple effect levels within the range of interest. The isobole was used to determine the additive dose and variance for each fixed proportion ratio at each effect level. The resulting additive relationship derived from multiple dose-effect pairs was then compared with experimentally derived data. Statistically significant differences between additive predictions and experimental data were determined by non-overlapping 95% confidence bands.
RESULTS (2,068/No limit)

Thermally induced seizures in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome

The first seizure in a child with Dravet syndrome (DS) often occurs with elevated body temperature such as during a fever or hot bath (Oguni et al., 2005). These seizures can be prolonged and are sometimes associated with a step-wise decline in function (Dravet et al., 2005). Our DS mice have a similar age- and temperature-dependent seizure susceptibility (Oakley et al., 2009). Developmental susceptibility to febrile seizures correlates with spontaneous seizure frequency in both humans (Oguni et al., 2005) and DS mice (Oakley et al., 2009) suggesting that thermal seizure sensitivity is a good predictor of overall seizure susceptibility. Therefore, in this study, antiepileptic efficacy was assayed using thermally evoked seizures. To mimic a typical fever curve, body temperature was elevated by 0.5 °C every 2 min from a baseline temperature of 37.0 °C (Oakley et al., 2009). Multiple MC seizures, consisting of brief jerk-like axial and appendicular movements typically preceded a generalized tonic-clonic (GTC) seizure characterized by initial rearing and rhythmic clonic flexion and extension of upper extremities. As in human DS (Oguni et al., 2005), there was a clear transition to frequent, irregular MC seizures, which evolved into a GTC seizure with increasing body temperature in all untreated DS mice (Figure 1A).

GABA-enhancing therapy provides protection against thermally-induced seizures

Because reduction of sodium currents in GABAergic interneurons causes DS in mice (Yu et al., 2006; Kalume et al., 2007; Cheah et al., 2012; Han et al., 2012a), we hypothesized that CLN and TGB, two well-characterized, clinically available medications that enhance GABA neurotransmission through complementary mechanisms, would be effective in seizure control. We measured the ability of each drug individually to increase the temperature required for
induction of seizures. At the beginning of each experiment, all mice are seizure-free (100%, Figure 1B, C, black). As temperature is increased in the absence of drug, mice progressively suffer seizures and eventually no mice remain seizure-free (0%, Figure 1B, C, black). The temperature at which animals have seizures provides a quantitative estimate of seizure susceptibility (Figure 1B, C). Increasing doses of CLN (Figure 1B,C red) or TGB (Figure 1B,C blue) shift the thermal induction curves to higher temperatures.

We plotted body temperature at seizure versus dose to generate dose-response relationships for prevention of seizures (Figure 1D, E). CLN and TGB both provided significant protection against GTC seizures, but only CLN provided significant protection against MC seizures (Figure 1D, E; Tables 1 and 2). Average body core temperature at GTC seizure increased with CLN up to 25 mg/kg, providing protection up to 42.2 ± 0.3 °C (Figure 1D). TGB protected against GTC seizures nearly as well, providing protection up 41.3 ± 0.2 °C at doses above 10 mg/kg (Figure 1D). CLN also provided protection against MC seizures to a maximal temperature of 41.3 ± 0.2 °C at doses above 2.5 mg/kg (Figure 1E). In contrast, TGB was only minimally effective against MC protecting to a maximal to temperature of 38.9 ± 0.2 °C at doses between 1 and 10 mg/kg (Figure 1E). Moreover, TGB was pro-myoclonic at 40 mg/kg, lowering the body temperature at onset of MC below that of control (Figure 1E, marker p = 0.04), even though it retained efficacy against GTC seizures at that dose (Figure 1D). Surprisingly, these results reveal similar efficacy of CLN and TGB in prevention of GTC seizures but striking differential efficacy in preventing MC seizures.

**Combination drug therapy is synergistic against thermally-induced GTC seizures**

Isobolographic analysis (Tallarida, 2012) was employed to study pharmacodynamic interactions of CLN and TGB and to determine how these interactions varied with drug proportion and effect
level. To determine the influence of drug proportion, three fixed-proportions of CLN:TGB (2:1, 1:1, and 1:4) were studied at an effect level of 41.0 °C, as this is the highest temperature expected in a febrile child. Drug proportions were based on the individual drug dose required to protect against GTC to 41.0 °C.

Body core temperatures at GTC were plotted versus effective dose for fixed proportion drug ratios of 2:1 (Figure 2A), 1:1 (Figure 2B), and 1:4 (Figure 2C) to determine the dose required to protect against GTC to 41.0 °C. Using the individual dose-effect relations of CLN and TGB, a set of equi-effective dose pairs (the isobole) was determined assuming dose additivity (Figure 2D). These predicted doses for additivity were compared to experimentally determined (observed) doses. Observed doses less than predicted demonstrate synergy, observed doses equal to predicted demonstrate additivity, and observed doses greater than predicted demonstrate antagonism. Observed doses were lower than predicted at all three dose ratios (Figure 2D).

To determine how drug interactions varied with effect level, predicted additive dose pairs determined from isobolographic analysis at effect levels between 39 – 41.5 °C were used to create an additive dose-effect relationship for each fixed proportion (Figure 2A-C, black lines). Experimentally observed results (Figure 2A-C, colored lines) were compared with the predictions for additivity. For each fixed-proportion treatment, the slope of the observed dose-response relationship was greater than the line of additivity (Figure 2A-C). At low effective doses, the curve for each fixed-proportion was near or below the line of additivity (Figure 2A-C). On the other hand, at higher doses, the curves for all three fixed-proportion treatments were significantly greater than the line of additivity, as judged by comparison to 95% confidence limits (Figure 2A-C). These curves suggest significant synergy for each fixed-proportion drug ratio given at a high effective drug dose.
To quantify the degree of synergy, we determined the interaction index for protection against GTC to 41.0 °C (Equation 1, Table 1, Figure 2D). The interaction index was most favorable for the 1:1 ratio and was significantly lower than the line of additivity, as judged by 95% confidence limits (Fig. 2D). Equi-effective fixed-proportion doses were approximately 2.5-fold lower than predicted for additivity. The interaction indices for 2:1 or 1:4 fixed-proportions also indicate synergy. At each of these fixed proportions, synergy reduced the total amount of drug required by approximately 2-fold. These results demonstrate substantial synergy in prevention of GTC seizures by CLN and TGB.

**Combination drug therapy is additive against MC seizures**

Isobolographic analysis was also used to determine drug interactions against MC seizures. The influence of fixed-proportion treatment on synergy of drug interaction was studied at 40.0 °C (Figure 3D) rather than 41.0 °C, as MC seizures begin at lower body temperatures than GTC seizures and this level of protection was provided by all combinations tested. Dose-response curves for these fixed-proportion treatments all followed the line of additivity closely and did not provide evidence for synergistic interactions (Figure 3A-C). Similarly, the interaction indices also indicated additivity for treatment at 2:1, 1:1, and 1:4 fixed proportions (Figure 3D, Table 2).

**Tiagabine increases MC seizures prior to GTC seizures**

Tiagabine has been associated with MC seizures or absence status epilepticus in rodent models of generalized epilepsy (Coenen et al., 1995; Hosford and Wang, 1997; Lancel et al., 1998), in individuals with epilepsy (Eckardt and Steinhoff, 1998; Ettinger et al., 1999; Mangano et al., 2003; Skardoutsou et al., 2003; Koepp et al., 2005), and in non-epileptic individuals in
overdose (Zhu and Vaughn, 2002; Leikin et al., 2008). In DS mice, we observed a substantial, dose-dependent increase in the number of MC seizures prior to the first GTC seizure with TGB (Figure 4A). The average number of MC seizures occurring prior to the first GTC seizure increased with TGB treatment from 21 ± 5 in control mice to 125 ± 29 at 0.6 mg/kg, 300 ± 29 at 10 mg/kg, and 450 ± 83 at 40 mg/kg. In contrast, equally protective doses of CLN resulted in 17 ± 7 MC seizures before the first GTC seizure, which was not different from control (Fig. 4A; p = 0.37). Surprisingly, equally-effective treatment with the 1:1 fixed proportion resulted in only 11 ± 4 MC seizures before the first GTC seizure, not different than control (Fig. 4A; p = 0.14).

Increased numbers of MC seizures could result from a greater time period of high-frequency MC seizures before the first GTC seizure or from an increased rate of MC seizures over a similar time frame. To assess these two possibilities, we determined the rate of MC seizures at times prior to the first GTC seizure by comparing the maximally effective dose of TGB, 10 mg/kg, to untreated control mice. There was a longer time period during which frequent MC seizures occurred in TGB-treated DS mice, with MC seizures beginning 700 s before the GTC seizure compared to 300 s in controls (Figure 4B). A higher peak rate of occurrence of MC seizures was also observed, 0.9 MC/sec with TGB vs. 0.1 MC/sec in controls (Figure 4B). A similar increase in MC seizure activity was not seen with equally effective doses of CLN (2.5 mg/kg) or the 1:1 fixed proportion (0.6 mg/kg), which resulted in a duration and peak rate of MC seizure activity not different than controls (Figure 4B). Despite the frequent MC activity, DS mice continued to explore the environment between seizures suggesting that they retained consciousness. Although frequent, MC seizures were irregular and were behaviorally different from GTC seizures, which consisted of rearing and higher frequency forelimb clonus followed by running. This degree of MC seizure activity would not be tolerable in humans and likely would be described as status epilepticus.
To determine how the frequency and time course of TGB-induced MC seizures varied with temperature, the seizure provoking stimulus, we determined the number of MC seizures occurring at each temperature before the first GTC seizure in 0.1 °C increments for 10 mg/kg TGB and controls (Figure 4C). MC seizures began at higher temperatures in TGB-treated mice compared to controls, again demonstrating a small protective effect (Figure 4C inset). Because TGB was more effective against GTC seizures than MC seizures, there was a significantly greater difference in temperature of onset between MC and GTC seizures in TGB-treated DS mice compared to controls (2.5 ± 0.4 °C versus 0.4 ± 0.1 °C). Treatment with a higher dose of TGB, 40 mg/kg, was pro-epileptic, lowering the temperature at onset of MC below that of controls (Figure 1C, 37.4 ± 0.4 °C versus 38.1 ± 0.2 °C, p = 0.04) although protection against GTC seizures remained maximal (Figure 1B), resulting in an even larger temperature window for MC seizures before the first GTC seizure (4.0 ± 0.5 °C). In contrast, to these results with TGB alone, the temperature difference between MC and GTC seizures in CLN and 1:1 fixed proportion treatment in DS mice was not different than controls.

Motor impairment is additive with combined drug therapy

Drug-related motor impairment in DS mice was determined by rotorod performance, a test of the ability to walk on an accelerating, rotating rod. Only the 1:1 fixed-proportion drug ratio was tested as this treatment resulted in the best combination of protection against MC and GTC seizures. Increasing doses of CLN and TGB resulted in progressive impairment of rotorod performance (Figure 5A). The 1:1 fixed-proportion treatment reduced motor performance beginning at 1 mg/kg with up to 40 ± 18 % reduction in performance seen at the highest dose tested (Figure 5B). An example isobole is shown for a 20% reduction in motor performance as this level was achieved by all drug treatments and likely reflects a noticeable level of toxicity in humans. The 1:1 fixed-proportion drug treatment (Figure 5C, green marker) was not different than the additive prediction from isobolographic analysis (Figure 5C, isobole, black line, Table
To study drug interaction across the range of combined doses tested, additive predictions were determined from isobolographic analysis (Figure 5B, black lines) and compared with observed results (Figure 5B, green lines). Toxicity was additive throughout the range of doses tested (Figure 5B).

As the therapeutic benefit of a drug depends on the ratio of its efficacy and toxicity, we compared protection against seizures at equally toxic doses resulting in a 20% reduction in motor performance on rotorod (Figure 5D). An estimate of efficacy was determined from dose-effect relationships for prevention of MC and GTC seizures at the dose resulting in 20% reduction in motor performance. The 1:1 fixed-proportion treatment provided significantly greater protection against GTC and MC seizures than either TGB or CLN (Figure 5D), demonstrating improved therapeutic benefit of combined therapy in DS mice.
DISCUSSION (1488/1500)

Current treatments for DS are ineffective

DS is one of the most pharmacoresistant epilepsies with recurrent, prolonged seizures often associated with elevated body temperature (Dravet et al., 2005). Seizure control is complicated by multiple seizure types and prolonged seizures (Oguni et al., 2005). A wide range of seizure medications have been used (Chiron and Dulac, 2011) but evidence for best single drug or combination therapy from clinical trials is lacking. Recent case series suggest that bromides (Ernst et al., 1988; Tanabe et al., 2008), topiramate (Nieto-Barrera et al., 2000; Coppola et al., 2002), and levetiracetam (Striano et al., 2007) may be helpful but provide incomplete seizure protection and are associated with significant side effects. Monotherapy with any medication tested to date is insufficient to provide adequate seizure control, indicating that combination drug therapy is required. (Chiron and Dulac, 2011).

A placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial (Chiron et al., 2000; Kassai et al., 2008) demonstrated that stiripentol, in combination with valproate and clobazam, provided significant although incomplete seizure control. Half of patients in this trial reported adverse events, including sedation, mental slowing, ataxia, diplopia, nausea, abdominal pain and weight loss. A smaller open-label trial (Inoue et al., 2009) suggested that stiripentol provides additional seizure protection when combined with clonazepam, phenobarbital, or bromides. However, it is clear that current drug combinations fall short of the goal of no seizures...no side effects and additional therapeutic strategies are urgently needed.

Testing drug efficacy and toxicity in DS mice
Because of the difficulties of clinical trials, analysis of antiepileptic drug combinations has relied on rodent models of induced seizures (Stafstrom, 2010). However, as seizures in these models result from a pro-convulsant drug or electrical shock, it is unclear whether findings of beneficial drug interactions will translate into clinical practice. Our DS mice recapitulate DS remarkably well, including age-dependent spontaneous and thermally-induced MC and GTC seizures (Yu et al., 2006; Oakley et al., 2009), and co-morbidities of cognitive dysfunction (Han et al., 2012a), autistic features (Han et al., 2012a), ataxia (Kalume et al., 2007), and circadian rhythm disruption (Han et al., 2012b). In close correlation with the human disease, DS mice develop normally until postnatal day 20 when MC seizures progressing to GTC seizures can be induced by controlled elevation of body temperature (Oakley et al., 2009). Spontaneous seizures occur with increasing frequency over subsequent days, and substantial premature death coincides with the period of greatest seizure activity. The ability to reliably induce MC and GTC seizures with small elevations in body temperature provides an efficient and clinically relevant assay of drug efficacy.

**Narrow therapeutic window for monotherapy with CLN in DS mice**

CLN is a potent anticonvulsant used in acute management of status epilepticus and recurrent seizure clusters (Schmidt, 2002). Small trials in humans have demonstrated efficacy against generalized absence and myoclonic seizures (Schmidt, 2002). In DS, reports suggest partial benefit of CLN and a related benzodiazepine clobazam (Chiron and Dulac, 2011) when used as adjunctive therapy, but no clinical trials have been performed to validate this impression. Chronic use of CLN has been limited by development of dose-dependent side effects including sedation, motor impairment, and tolerance leading to reduced drug efficacy over time and withdrawal symptoms (Michelucci and Tassinari, 2002). In this study, CLN was effective in
preventing seizures but produced increasing motor impairment at doses that nearly overlapped its range of therapeutic doses, which is consistent with the clinical impression of narrow therapeutic window.

**Increased MC seizures in monotherapy with TGB in DS mice**

In adult and pediatric trials as adjunctive therapy, TGB demonstrated efficacy against partial seizures but was ineffective against generalized seizures in children (Kalviainen, 2002). TGB treatment is associated with nervousness, lack of energy, and difficulty with concentration and word-finding but not substantial motor impairment or sedation (Schachter, 1999; Kalviainen, 2002). TGB has not been widely used in generalized epilepsy because case reports of non-convulsive absence and myoclonic status epilepticus associated with TGB treatment suggest dose-dependent pro-convulsant effects (Eckardt and Steinhoff, 1998; Ettinger et al., 1999; Mangano et al., 2003; Skardoutsou et al., 2003; Koepp et al., 2005).

In traditional rodent models, TGB was ineffective against MES-induced seizures at doses up to 30 mg/kg (Dalby and Nielsen, 1997), whereas it was effective against pentylentetrazole-induced clonic seizures at lower doses (ED$_{50}$=2mg/kg) but became ineffective at 30 mg/kg (Dalby and Nielsen, 1997). TGB induced 1-10 sec hypersynchronous 4-7 Hz EEG waves in rats at 10 mg/kg (Lancel et al., 1998). In WAG/Rij rats, a model of generalized spike-wave epilepsy, TGB produced dose-related increases in number and duration of spike-wave discharges between 1 and 10 mg/kg (Coenen et al., 1995). In lh/lh mice, TGB increased the number and duration of absence seizures above 1 mg/kg and produced absence status epilepticus at 11 mg/kg (Hosford and Wang, 1997). Thus, studies in rodent models mirror clinical experience in showing weak efficacy and substantial pro-convulsant activity.
In DS mice, TGB provided protection against GTC seizures, although less than CLN, with saturation of efficacy at 1-10 mg/kg. TGB began to impair motor performance at doses above those required for maximal efficacy, providing a narrow window for seizure protection without motor impairment at carefully selected doses. However, TGB caused a substantial dose-dependent increase in the number of MC seizures prior to the first GTC seizure, which resulted from both a prolonged period of high-frequency MC seizures and a greater peak MC seizure rate. The prolonged period of high-frequency MC seizures was caused by an increased temperature difference between onset of MC and GTC seizures, which increased with dose between 0.6-10 mg/kg as GTC protection increased and MC protection saturated. A further increase in this temperature difference occurred at 40 mg/kg, a pro-myoclonic dose that reduced the temperature at onset of MC seizures below controls, even while GTC protection remained maximal. These results do not support use of TGB in monotherapy of DS.

Synergy increases efficacy and reduces toxicity in combination therapy in DS mice

Because of their complementary molecular mechanisms of enhancing GABAergic neurotransmission, we hypothesized that combined therapy with CLN and TGB would be synergistic, potentially increasing efficacy and reducing toxicity. In support of this hypothesis, the combined dose of CLN and TGB required to protect against GTC seizures in DS mice was 2.5-fold less than predicted from additivity, providing strong evidence for drug synergy. CLN alone provided equivalent maximal protection against GTC seizures to combined drug therapy, but the combination therapy had much reduced motor impairment.

The efficacy of combined CLN and TGB against MC seizures was additive rather than synergistic, indicating that TGB does not enhance or antagonize the MC protection provided by CLN. TGB provided some protection against MC seizures, such that CLN in combination with
TGB was effective at 2-fold lower dose at 40.0 °C. Although TGB was less effective against MC seizures, the peak efficacy of the combined drugs was similar to CLN alone and caused much lower incidence of MC seizures than TGB alone.

Combining CLN and TGB at a 1:1 fixed-proportion resulted in additive motor impairment at all doses tested. Synergistic efficacy and additive toxicity should enhance the therapeutic benefit of combined drug therapy (Stafstrom, 2010; Brodie and Sills, 2011). As expected, the 1:1 fixed-proportion of CLN and TGB provided greater protection against MC and GTC seizures at equally toxic doses than CLN or TGB alone. These results demonstrate that CLN and TGB in combination are superior to either drug alone in control of MC and GTC seizures in DS mice.

Combination therapy also reduces toxicity with respect to the frequency of MC seizures. While TGB in monotherapy increased MC seizures at elevated body temperature and was pyroclonic at normal body temperature at 40 mg/kg, the combination of CLN and TGB does not increase MC seizures, suggesting that TGB may be safe if used at low doses in combination with CLN. These findings demonstrate that synergistic combined therapy with CLN and TGB improves seizure control and minimizes drug-related toxicity in DS mice.

Combined therapy with CLN and TGB may be uniquely effective in DS because of the failure of GABA release in this disease (Yu et al., 2006; Cheah et al., 2012). CLN is only effective in enhancing GABA actions; it does not activate GABA-A receptors by itself (Macdonald, 2002). Inhibition of GABA re-uptake by low doses of TGB may return GABA concentrations in the synaptic cleft toward normal values during GABAergic neurotransmission and thereby enhance the efficacy of CLN as a co-activator of GABA-A receptors. The increased concentration of GABA in the synaptic cleft and the enhanced activation of GABA-A receptors by CLN plus GABA may reverse the disinhibition caused by impaired excitability of GABAergic interneurons.
and restore the normal balance of excitation and inhibition, leading to effective prevention of seizures with minimal side effects in DS mice.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. GABA-enhancing medications CLN and TGB protect against febrile (thermally-induced) MC and GTC seizures. A. Representative thermal induction experiment in an untreated DS mouse. At time 0, temperature control set point is raised to 38.0 °C and recorded body temperature (gray line) begins to increase with a short latency. As body temperature increases, MC seizures begin to occur with regularity (vertical hash marks, average temp 38.1 ± 0.2 °C, n=17) culminating in GTC (solid black line, boxes are beginning and end of seizure, average temp 38.5 ± 0.2 °C, n = 17). B,C. As body temperature is elevated, DS mice progressively suffer MC (C) and GTC (B) seizures. CLN (red) shifts seizure occurrence to higher temperatures compared with controls (black) while TGB (blue) is similarly protective against GTC but has little effect on MC. Doses of CLN and TGB providing maximal protection against GTC are shown (25 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg respectively) D,E. The dose dependence of CLN (red) and TGB (blue) protection against thermally-induced GTC (D) and MC (C). Body temperature at onset of seizure is plotted against dose (dots) and fit with Hill function (±95% confidence bands CB). The maximal protection against GTC and half maximal effective doses were 42.2 ± 0.3 °C and 0.17 ± 0.07 mg/kg for CLN and 41.3 ± 0.2 °C and 0.40 ± 0.08 mg/kg for TGB. The maximal protection against MC and half maximal effective doses were 41.3 ± 0.2 °C and 0.09 ± 0.02 mg/kg for CLN and 38.9 ± 0.2 °C and 0.14 ± 0.1 mg/kg for TGB. The average MC temperature for TGB 40 mg/kg was significantly lower than control (box marker, 37.4 ± 0.4 °C versus 38.1 ± 0.2 °C, p = 0.04). TGB 40 mg/kg was excluded from the Hill fit in E. For B and C, CLN n=42, 4-8 mice per dose; TGB n=44, 4-10 mice per dose.

Figure 2. Combined treatment with CLN and TGB provides supra-additive (synergistic) protection against GTC seizures. A-C. Synergism is seen at higher effect levels. Additive
predictions based upon isobolographic analysis (as in D) for temperatures between 38.5 and 41.0 °C in 0.5 °C increments (black lines) are compared with observed results (colored lines) over the range of doses tested at 2:1 (A), 1:1 (B), and 1:4 (D) dose ratios. As dose increases, observed protection against GTC is greater than additive prediction becoming significant at 1.0 mg/kg, > 41.0 °C for 2:1; 0.53 mg/kg, > 40.6 °C for 1:1; and 1.2 mg/kg, > 40.9 °C for 1:4 ratios (non-overlapping 95% CB). D. Synergy depends on dose ratio. Isobole plot of GTC protection at 41.0 °C. Black line is the isobole, gray area 95% CB; Radial lines are fixed proportion CLN:TGB ratios, 2:1 orange, 1:1 green, and 1:4 light blue. For each dose ratio, the predicted additive dose is given by the intersection of the fixed proportion radial line and the isobole. Markers are the observed dose pairs required for 41.0 °C protection ± 95% CI for each drug. Observed doses are significantly less than additive predictions demonstrating dose supra-additivity (Table 1). For observed dose-effect relationship A- C: 2:3 ratio n=20, 4-8 mice/dose, 1:4 ratio n=35, 4-10 mice/dose, 1:13 ratio n=23, 3-4 mice/dose. Colored lines are Hill fits ±95% confidence bands (CB).

**Figure 3.** Combined treatment with CLN and TGB provides additive protection against MC seizures. A-C. Additive dose-effect relationships from isobolographic analysis compared with observed data for MC seizures as in figure 2. Additive predictions and experimental data are not different across the range of doses tested. Orange 2:1 ratio, green 1:1 ratio, light blue 1:4 ratio. D. Isobole plot of MC seizure protection at 40.0 °C as in figure 2D. Experimentally determined doses are not different than additive predictions (Table 2). For observed dose-effect relationship A-C: n and mice/dose as in figure 2.
Figure 4.

Increased number of MC prior to GTC occurs with TGB but not CLN or combined treatment due to increased duration and peak rate of MC prior to GTC A. TGB dose-dependently increases number of MC prior to GTC. The average number of MC prior to GTC (± SEM) is shown. B. TGB increases duration and peak rate of MC. Average MC rate leading up to GTC (time 0) for equally effective doses of CLN, TGB, and 1:1 ratio (Red CLN 2.5 mg/kg; Blue TGB 10 mg/kg; Green 1:1 ratio, 0.6 mg/kg; Control black). MC seizures began earliest (-700 sec) and reached the highest rate (0.9 MC/sec) with TGB treatment. Onset relative to GTC and maximal rate of MC was not different between control (-300 sec, 0.1 MC/sec), CLN (-300 sec, 0.19 MC/sec) and 1:1 ratio (-300 sec, 0.15 MC/sec). C. Increased duration of MC activity with TGB treatment is associated with a greater difference in temperature between MC and GTC. Number of MC seizures in each 0.1 °C temperature bin prior to GTC at the maximally effective dose of TGB (10 mg/kg), and doses of CLN (2.5 mg/kg) and 1:1 ratio (0.6 mg/kg) providing equal protection against GTC. MC begins at slightly higher temperatures than control with TGB (inset) and the number of MC increases substantially with temperature. MC does not increase until high temperatures with CLN or 1:1 ratio. Average temperatures (±SEM) at MC and GTC are: Control 38.1 ± 0.2 °C and 38.5 ± 0.2 °C; CLN 41.0 ± 0.2 °C and 41.4 ± 0.1 °C; 1:1 ratio 41.0 ± 0.2 °C and 41.1 ± 0.3 °C; and TGB 38.9 ± 0.3 °C and 41.2 ± 0.2 °C. In B and C, CLN red, n=8; 1:1 ratio green, n= 10; TGB blue, n=10.

Figure 5.

Combined treatment at the 1:1 fixed-proportion ratio is additive for motor toxicity and provides greater seizure protection at equally toxic doses. A. CLN reduces motor performance at lower doses than TGB. Performance on rotorod expressed as percent reduction in motor performance compared with controls as a function of dose. Half maximal toxic dose CLN 0.72 [0.43-1.25]
mg/kg and TGB 5.41 [4.71-6.95] mg/kg, 95% confidence range. B. Predicted additive dose-effect relationship from isobole analysis (as in C) for reduction in performance between 5-30% in 5% increments (black line, ±95% CB) compared with observed data at the 1:1 ratio (dots). The additive prediction is not different than observed across the range of toxicities tested. C. Isobole for 20% reduction in motor performance (black line, ±95% CB gray area). The observed dose (marker) is not different than additive prediction (non-overlapping 95% CB). D. Combined drug therapy results in greater efficacy at equally toxic doses. The predicted average body temperature (±95% CI) at MC (solid) and GTC (striped) seizure at doses resulting in 20% reduction in motor performance from A and B (CLN 0.16 mg/kg, TGB 4.3 mg/kg, and 1:1 ratio 1.5 mg/kg). Predicted body temperature at MC: CLN 40.4 °C [40.1-40.8], TGB 38.9 °C [38.6 – 39.3], and 1:1 ratio 41.4 °C [41.1 – 41.7]; predicted body temperature at GTC: CLN 40.3 °C [40.1-40.6], TGB 41.2 °C [40.9 – 41.4], and 1:1 ratio 41.9 °C [41.6 – 42.3]. For observed dose-toxicity relationship A,B: CLN 52 trials, 26 mice, 3-8 mice/dose, TGB 62 trials, 31 mice, 3-8 mice/dose, 1:1 ratio 32 trials, 16 mice, 3-5 mice/dose. Colored lines are Hill fits ±95% CB.
# TABLE 1

Summary of doses for protection against GTC to 41.0 °C from isobolographic analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clonazepam:Tiagabine (Ratio)</th>
<th>Clonazepam (Dose mg/kg (95% confidence interval))</th>
<th>Tiagabine (Observed / Predicted (95% confidence interval))</th>
<th>Interaction index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alone</td>
<td>0.55 (0.30 – 1.1)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0 – 6.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>0.22 (0.14 – 0.32)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.22 – 0.50)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.34 – 0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.11 (0.091 – 0.14)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.38 – 0.60)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.25 – 0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.063 (0.045 – 0.084)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.60 – 1.1)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.24 – 0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>0.40 (0.35 – 0.48)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.55 – 0.74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.25 (0.23 – 0.29)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.97 – 1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.11 (0.098 – 0.14)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.3 – 1.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# TABLE 2

## Summary of doses for protection against MC to 40.0 °C from isobolographic analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clonazepam:Tiagabine (Ratio)</th>
<th>Clonazepam</th>
<th>Tiagabine</th>
<th>Interaction index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alone</td>
<td>0.55 (0.30 – 1.1)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0 – 6.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>0.14 (0.10 – 0.21)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.15 – 0.33)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0 – 2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.062 (0.044 – 0.079)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.18 – 0.33)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.58 – 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.046 (0.027 – 0.078)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.36 – 1.04)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.34 – 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>0.086 (0.065 – 0.11)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.10 – 0.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.073 (0.054 – 0.095)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.27 – 0.40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>0.067 (0.048 – 0.09)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.64 – 1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE 3**

Summary of rotorod drug toxicity from isobolographic analysis at 20% reduction in motor performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clonazepam:Tiagabine (Ratio)</th>
<th>Clonazepam</th>
<th>Tiagabine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose mg/kg (95% confidence interval)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alone</strong></td>
<td>0.27 (0.10 – 0.55)</td>
<td>3.8 (3.1 – 4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observed</strong></td>
<td>0.23 (0.12 – 0.35)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.48 – 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predicted</strong></td>
<td>0.23 (0.17 – 0.35)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.72 – 1.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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