Role of Intestinal Cytochrome P450 Enzymes in Diclofenac-induced Toxicity in the Small Intestine
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to determine the roles of small intestinal (SI) P450 enzymes in the metabolic activation of diclofenac (DCF), a widely used nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug, and in DCF-induced intestinal toxicity. DCF induces intestinal ulcers in humans as well as mice, but the underlying mechanisms, including the necessity for drug bioactivation in the target tissues and the sources and identities of reactive intermediates, are not fully understood. We found that the number of DCF-induced (at 50 mg/kg, p.o.) intestinal ulcers was significantly smaller in an intestinal epithelium (IE)-specific P450 reductase (CPR) knockout (IE-Cpr-null) mouse model, which has little P450 activity in the IE, than in wild-type (WT) mice, determined at 14 h after DCF administration. The involvement of intestinal P450 enzymes was confirmed by large reductions (>80-90%) in rates of in vitro formation, in SI microsomal reactions, of hydroxylated DCF metabolites and reactive intermediates, trapped as DCF-glutathione (GSH) conjugates, in the IE-Cpr-null, compared with WT mice. The SI levels of DCF-GSH conjugates (at 4 h after dosing) and DCF-protein adducts (at 14 h after dosing) were significantly lower in IE-Cpr-null than in WT mice. In additional experiments, we found that pretreatment of mice with grapefruit juice (GFJ), which is known to inhibit SI P450 activity, ameliorated DCF-induced intestinal toxicity in WT mice. Our results not only strongly support the notion that SI P450 enzymes play an important role in DCF-induced intestinal toxicity, but also illustrate the possibility of preventing DCF-induced intestinal toxicity through dietary intervention.
Introduction

Diclofenac (DCF) (2-(2,6-dichloroanilino)-phenylacetic acid), a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), is widely prescribed for the treatment of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis (Small 1989). A major adverse effect of the use of DCF is gastrointestinal injury. There is increasing evidence showing that the small intestine (SI), in addition to the stomach, is a major target organ of DCF-induced toxicity (Davis et al., 2000; Bjarnason et al., 1993); typical clinical signs of DCF-induced intestinal toxicity include small intestinal ulceration, bleeding, and inflammation (Allison et al., 1992; Bjarnason et al., 1993; Wolfe et al., 1999). DCF also induces intestinal injury in various experimental animal models, including mice, where DCF induced multiple ulcers and smaller erosions in the small intestinal mucosa (e.g., Atchison et al., 2000; Ramirez-Alcantara et al., 2009). Given the reported high proportion (up to 70%) of patients who develop intestinal injury while receiving DCF therapy, and the severity of the clinical consequences of the adverse drug response (including both morbidity and mortality) (Fortun and Hawkey, 2007; and Maiden, 2009), it is imperative to identify endogenous and exogenous factors that may influence the risks of developing DCF-induced gastrointestinal injury.

The mechanisms of DCF-induced intestinal injury have been studied intensely (Treinen-Moslen and Kanz, 2006; Tang 2003; Higuchi et al., 2009). DCF may directly inhibit cyclooxygenase, resulting in the suppression of prostaglandin production; the latter is important in maintaining homeostasis of the gastrointestinal mucosa (Sigthorsson et al., 2002; Tanka et al., 2002). The toxicity of DCF may also be derived from its reactive metabolites, produced through biotransformation, which readily form conjugates with reduced glutathione (GSH) or attack proteins to form protein adducts (Boelsterli, 2003; Tang 2003; Treinen-Moslen and Kanz, 2006). Studies have also revealed the important roles of several downstream signaling molecules or pathways (e.g., Watanabe et al., 2008; Ramirez-Alcantara et al., 2009).

DCF is metabolized by both phase I (cytochrome P450 (P450 or CYP)) and phase II (UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT)) biotransformation enzymes. For P450-mediated DCF metabolism, CYP2C and CYP3A are the major active enzymes (Kenny et al., 2004). CYP2C catalyzes the formation of 4’-hydroxylated DCF (4’-OH-DCF), whereas CYP3A catalyzes the formation of 5-hydroxylated DCF.
(5-OH-DCF). Those two hydroxylated metabolites are further metabolized to form reactive quinoneimines, which are highly unstable and could react with either GSH or protein. On the other hand, DCF can also be metabolized by UGT enzymes to form reactive DCF acyl glucuronide conjugate (DCF-G), which can covalently bind to proteins and form adducts. DCF-induced formation of protein adducts has been proposed to be one of the causal factors for ulcer formation in the intestine (Atchison et al., 2000; Boelsterli, 2003). However, the relative importance of the P450 and the UGT systems in DCF-induced intestinal toxicity has not been clearly defined.

In general, the liver is the major metabolic organ for clearance of drugs. DCF-G formed in the liver is mainly excreted through the bile. A recent study (LoGuidice et al., 2012) clearly demonstrated that DCF-G is transported from liver to intestine, and that the intraluminal release of DCF by bacterial β-glucuronidase is a key factor in the initiation of DCF enteropathy. For orally administered drugs, the SI is the portal-of-entry organ; P450 enzymes in the SI may play an essential role in the first-pass metabolism of absorbed xenobiotics (Zhang et al., 2007, 2009; Zhu et al. 2011). The potential role of target tissue metabolic activation in DCF SI toxicity has also been suggested; however, till now, there has been no direct proof regarding whether intestinal P450 enzymes can transform DCF into reactive intermediates that form protein adducts and GSH conjugates, and, more importantly, whether DCF bioactivation by intestinal P450 enzymes is essential for DCF-induced intestinal toxicity.

In the present study, we have determined whether intestinal P450 enzymes are responsible for DCF-induced toxicity in vivo, using an intestinal epithelium (IE)-specific cytochrome P450 reductase (CPR) knockout (IE-Cpr-null) mouse model. In the IE-Cpr-null mouse, the activities of all microsomal P450s are blocked in the intestinal epithelial cells (Zhang, et al., 2009). We examined the impact of the tissue-specific loss of intestinal CPR/P450 activities on the rates of DCF metabolism, including the formation of DCF-GSH conjugates and DCF-protein adducts, both in vitro and in vivo, as well as the impact on DCF-induced ulceration in the SI. We then determined whether pretreatment of mice with grapefruit juice (GFJ), which is known to inhibit SI P450 activity, can ameliorate DCF-induced intestinal toxicity in wild-type (WT) mice, and whether GFJ can inhibit the DCF bioactivation catalyzed by mouse
as well as human intestinal microsomes in vitro.
Methods

Chemicals and Reagents. DCF sodium salt, reduced β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), uridine-diphosphate-glucuronic acid (UDPGA) trisodium salt, GSH, and Evans blue dye were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Acetaminophen-GSH was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, ON, Canada). Formalin (10% buffered) was from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). DCF-D₄ [2-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)amino]-(benzene-D₄)-acetic acid, 4’-OH-DCF-¹³C₆ [2-(2,6-dichloro-4’-hydroxyphenyl)amino]-(benzene-¹³C₆)-acetic acid, 4’-OH-DCF, and 5-OH-DCF were from Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA). DCF antiserum was from Antibodies-Online (Atlanta, GA). Western blotting reagents were from Life Technologies (Grand Island, NY). All solvents (acetonitrile, methanol, and water) were of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Human SI microsomes, prepared from the jejunum of organ donors, were obtained from International Institute for the Advancement of Medicine (Scranton, PA).

Animals and Treatments. All studies with mice were approved by the Wadsworth Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Albany, NY). Adult (3- to 4-month old) IE-Cpr-null (Zhang et al., 2009) mice and age-matched WT littermates were used. Animals were normally maintained at 22 °C with a 12-h on, 12-h off light cycle and were given food and water ad libitum. Mice were given a bolus dose of DCF, dissolved in water, by oral gavage, for pharmacokinetics (5 or 50 mg/kg) and toxicity (10-50 mg/kg) studies. For studies with GFJ (Great Value brand, pure unsweetened Florida GFJ, 4X concentrate; local Wal-Mart), mice were given GFJ (20 ml/kg; 2X concentrate) via oral gavage at 2 h before DCF (50 mg/kg) oral administration.

Examination of Ulcer Formation in the SI. The examination of ulcer formation in the SI was essentially as described (Takeuchi and Satoh, 2010). Briefly, at 14 h after DCF administration, mice were injected with 100 µl of Evans Blue dye through the tail vein, and sacrificed 30 min later. The SI was dissected, flushed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove the luminal content, and then cut open longitudinally and fixed in 2% formalin for 10 min. The tissues were examined for ulcers under
a microscope (Nikon Eclipse 50i; Melville, NY). The number and area of ulcers were quantified at 4X magnification using the SPOT imaging software (Sterling Heights, MI) and assigned to the respective quartiles.

**Histopathological Examination.** The SI tissue was coiled, from proximal to distal end, to form a “Swiss roll” in a tissue cassette, and then soaked in 10% buffered formalin for 24 h. Paraffin-embedded tissue sections (4 μ thick) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Tissue sections (five per mouse) of “Swiss roll” were obtained for examination of the entire length of the intestine in a single section. Images were obtained using a Nikon Eclipse model 50i light microscope, fitted with a digital camera, at the Wadsworth Center Light Microscopy Core.

**Isolation of Mouse Intestinal Epithelial Cells and Preparation of Microsomes.** Tissues from 3-5 mice were combined for each microsomal preparation. Epithelial cells from the SI were isolated, and microsomes were prepared, as described previously (Zhang et al., 2003). Liver microsomes were prepared essentially as previously reported (Fasco et al., 1993). Microsomes were stored at -80°C until use.

**In vitro Assay for DCF Metabolism.** For P450-mediated metabolism, the method was essentially as described (Mankowski, et al., 2000), with minor modification. Briefly, DCF (100 μM) was incubated with microsomes for 30 min at 37 °C in a 200-μl reaction mixture containing 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 1.0 mM NADPH, and 0.1 mg of microsomal protein. For UGT-mediated metabolism, the method was modified from Harada and coworkers (2008). DCF (100 μM) was incubated with microsomes for 60 min at 37 °C in a 200-μl reaction mixture containing 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 5.0 mM UDPGA, and 0.2 mg of microsomal protein. The reactions were initiated by the addition of NADPH or UDPGA, and were terminated by the addition of 400 μl of acetonitrile to the reaction mixture. Control experiments were performed, in which NADPH or UDPGA was omitted. DCF-D₄ and 4’-OH-DCF-¹³C₆ (4 ng each) were added (in 10 μl 50% (v/v) methanol in water), as internal standards for monitoring extraction efficiency for DCF-G and hydroxyl-DCF, respectively. After
centrifugation at 1500 g for 10 min, the organic layer was transferred to a new tube, and then centrifuged at 1500 g for another 10 min. Aliquot (5 μl each) of the supernatant were taken for liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis of 4’-OH-DCF, 5-OH-DCF, and DCF-G. Recovery was > 85%.

**LC-MS/MS Analysis of DCF, 4’-OH-DCF, 5-OH-DCF, and DCF-G.** The LC-MS/MS system consisted of an Agilent 1200 Series High Performance Liquid Chromatograph and an ABI 4000 Q-Trap mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems), with an Atlantis C18 column (100 mm x 2.1 mm i.d., 3 μm). The LC-MS conditions were modified from a method described previously (Sparidans et al., 2008). The solvent system comprised solvent A (8.5 mM ammonium acetate containing 0.0075% (w/v) formic acid) and solvent B (100% methanol). A 4-min linear gradient from 55%B to 95%B was applied at a flow rate of 0.2 ml/min, followed by a 1-min isocratic elution at 95%B and then a 6-min wash at 55%B, before returning to the starting condition. The mass spectrometer was operated in a positive ion mode with an electrospray ionization source. The parameters for the chamber were as follows: curtain gas, 25 psi; heated nebulizer temperature, 400 °C; ion spray voltage, 4700 V; nebulizer gas, 43 psi; turbo gas, 50 psi, declustering potential, 50 V; and entrance potential, 10 V. The mass spectrometer was set for the information-dependent acquisition scan mode, using multiple reaction monitoring-dependent enhanced product ion (MRM-EPI) acquisition. DCF (and DCF-G) and the internal standard DCF-D₄, were monitored at m/z 296/214 and m/z 302/220, respectively. 4’-OH-DCF (and 5-OH-DCF) and 4’-OH-DCF-¹³C₆, were monitored at m/z 312/230 and m/z 318/236, respectively.

**Determination of DCF-GSH Formation in vitro.** Microsomal metabolic activation of DCF was assayed by determining the rates of formation of DCF-GSH, essentially as described (Yan et al., 2005). Reaction mixtures contained 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 100 μM DCF, 10 mM GSH, 0.2 mg of SI microsomal protein, and 1 mM NADPH, in a final volume of 0.2 ml. After a 5-min pre-incubation at 37 °C, reactions were initiated by the addition of NADPH. The reaction was carried out at 37 °C for 60 min, and was quenched by the addition of 60 μl of 10% trichloroacetic acid. Acetaminophen-GSH (2 ng)
was then added (in 10 µl of methanol) as the internal standard for monitoring extraction efficiency. Recovery was >87%. Samples were centrifuged at 10,000g for 15 min at 4°C to precipitate protein, and aliquots (30 µl each) of the supernatant were used for analysis by LC-MS/MS. In control incubations, the reaction was quenched prior to the addition of NADPH.

**LC-MS/MS Analysis of DCF-GSH Conjugates.** The LC-MS/MS system described above was used. The mass spectrometer was set to the multiple-reaction monitoring mode, and was operated with an electrospray ionization source. The parameters for the chamber were as described above. The method for the analysis of DCF-GSH is essentially the same as previously reported (Yan et al., 2005). Briefly, the solvent system comprised solvent A (0.1% (v/v) formic acid) and solvent B (acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid). A 12-min linear gradient from 5% B to 85% B was applied at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min, and then a 5-min wash at 5%B, before returning to the starting condition. DCF-GSH was simultaneously monitored at three transitions, m/z 617/542, m/z 617/488, and m/z 617/342 (Yan, et al., 2005).

**Determination of Plasma DCF.** Whole blood (30 µl at each time point) was collected from mouse tail vein at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 6 h after DCF dosing, with use of heparin-coated capillaries (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). To each aliquot of the plasma (10 µl), DCF-D₄ (4 ng in 10 µl 50% (v/v) methanol in water) was added as an internal standard, and the mixture was extracted with 240 µl of acetonitrile (Sparidans, et al., 2008). After centrifugation at 1500g for 10 min, the organic layer was transferred to a new tube, and then centrifuged at 1500g for another 10 min. Aliquot of the supernatant (5 µl each) were injected for LC-MS/MS analysis.

**Determination of DCF-GSH Conjugate Formation in vivo.** Mice were sacrificed at 4h after DCF dosing. The enterocytes were prepared using the same method as described above for microsomal preparation. The enterocytes were homogenized on ice in 4 vol of 0.1 M Tris-acetate buffer, pH 7.4, containing 1 mM EDTA and 150 mM KCl, using a Polytron homogenizer (Kinematica, Bohemia, NY), at a setting of 3000 rpm for 15 s. Tissue homogenates (200-µl aliquots) were spiked with an internal standard, acetaminophen-GSH (2 ng, added in 10 µl), and then mixed with 400 µl acetonitrile containing...
10% trichloroacetic acid to precipitate protein. The mixtures were centrifuged at 1500g for 10 min, and
the supernatant were transferred to a new tube and centrifuged at 1500g for another 10 min. Aliquots of
the supernatant (5 µl each) were injected for LC-MS/MS analysis.

**DCF Protein Adduct Formation In Vitro and In Vivo.** For in vitro assays, microsomes (1 mg
of protein) from mouse SI or human jejunum were incubated with 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH
7.4), 2 mM NADPH, and 1 mM DCF, in a total volume of 0.2 ml, at 37 °C for 4 h. Reactions were
stopped by putting samples on ice. To detect DCF-protein adduct formation in vivo, mice were killed at
14 h after DCF dosing, and small intestinal epithelial cell microsomal fractions were prepared, as
described previously (Zhang et al., 2003). Immunoblot detection of DCF-protein adducts was performed
using NuPAGE Bis-Tris gels (10%) (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and a goat polyclonal
antibody against DCF (Antibody-online, Atlanta, GA). The secondary antibody, rabbit anti-goat IgG, was
detected with an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). The optical density
of detected bands was determined with a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc XRS+ System (Hercules, CA).

**Other Methods.** Fresh GFJ (Simply Orange Juice Company, Apopka, FL) from local market (50
ml) was extracted with ethyl acetate (150 ml) as described previously (Fukuda et al., 1997). The dried
organic residue was weighed and then redissolved in methanol (1 ml) for in vitro assays. Protein
concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL), with
bovine serum albumin as the standard. Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated using the PK Solver
program in Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). Statistical significance of differences between two groups
in various parameters was examined using Student's t-test, with use of the SigmaStat software (SPSS,
Chicago, IL).
Results

Impact of IE CPR loss on DCF-induced ulcer formation in the SI. For studying DCF-induced toxicity in the mouse SI, a 50-mg/kg DCF dose was selected; the same dosage had been found in previous studies to reproducibly induce numerous ulcers in the mouse intestine (e.g., Atchison et al., 2000). Microscopic examination of the SI from DCF-treated WT mice revealed extensive mucosal damages featuring round or elongated ulcers, when examined at 14 h after dosing (see Fig. 1A for examples). Histopathological examination of the damaged area showed both loss of intestinal villi and compromised integrity of the submucosa, in contrast to the normal epithelial structure seen in vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 1B). The extent of SI ulcer formation (measured as total number of ulcers per animal) was DCF dose-dependent in WT mice (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, the number of ulcers detected in the SI was much reduced (by ~90%) in the IE-Cpr-null mice relative to the WT mice (Fig. 1D), when tested at the DCF dose of 50 mg/kg. The extent of mucosal damage was also measured by the total area of ulcers (mm²) in the entire SI, which was divided into four quartiles of equal length, with the most proximal region being the 1st quartile. As shown in Table 1, at a DCF dose of 50 mg/kg, ulcers were predominantly located on the 3rd and 4th quartiles of the SI in both WT and the null mice. However, the total area of the ulcers was significantly smaller (by ~84%) in the IE-Cpr-null mice compared to WT mice (Table 1).

Impact of IE CPR loss on P450-mediated DCF metabolism in the SI. In vitro P450-mediated metabolism of DCF by SI and liver (as a control) microsomes was compared between IE-Cpr-null and WT mice. The rates of formation of the two major DCF metabolites, 4’-OH-DCF and 5-OH-DCF, were reduced by more than 80% in assays for SI microsomes from IE-Cpr-null mice, compared to WT mice (Fig. 2A). In contrast, with liver microsomes, no difference was detected in the rates of formation of either 4’-OH-DCF or 5-OH-DCF (Fig. 2B). The activity of microsomal UGT enzymes toward DCF was also determined, for SI microsomes from IE-Cpr-null and WT mice. As shown in Figure 2C, there was no difference between the two mouse strains in the rates of formation of DCF-G. Thus, these results suggested that the resistance of IE-Cpr-null mice to DCF-induced intestinal toxicity was related to the loss of P450-mediated, but not UGT-mediated, DCF metabolism.
Impact of IE CPR loss on first-pass clearance of oral DCF. The role of intestinal P450 enzymes in the clearance of orally administered DCF was assessed by comparing plasma levels of DCF between WT and IE-Cpr-null mice. When mice were treated with DCF at a low dose of 5 mg/kg via oral gavage, the plasma level of DCF was slightly higher (by ~50%) in IE-Cpr-null mice than in WT mice at the first time point tested (15 min), but not at any other time points examined; whereas when the dose of oral DCF was increased to 50 mg/kg, there was no difference in plasma DCF level between the two mouse strains at any time points examined (15 min – 4 h; data not shown). These results indicated that SI P450 enzymes have very limited contribution to the first-pass clearance of oral DCF, especially at the higher DCF dose tested. Moreover, the data confirms that the levels of circulating DCF were similar between IE-Cpr-null and WT mice at the dose (50 mg/kg) used for SI toxicity study.

Impact of IE CPR loss on the formation of DCF reactive intermediates in vitro and in vivo. The impact of the abrogation of IE CPR expression on microsomal DCF metabolic activation was examined by comparing rates of DCF-GSH conjugate formation between IE-Cpr-null and WT mice. The rates in SI microsomes were reduced by more than 80% in the IE-Cpr-null mice, compared with WT mice (Fig. 3A); in contrast, there was no difference between the two mouse strains in rates of DCF-GSH formation in liver microsomes (Fig. 3B). The in vivo levels of DCF-GSH were also determined, for intestinal enterocytes and liver, at 4 h after DCF treatment. The levels of DCF-GSH in the enterocytes were reduced by 37% in the IE-Cpr-null mice relative to WT mice (Fig. 3C); whereas, in the liver, no difference was detected in the levels of DCF-GSH between the two mouse strains (Fig. 3D). The smaller reduction in vivo compared with the result in vitro is probably due to the intestinal absorption of DCF-GSH from the bile. These results indicated that the loss of intestinal P450-mediated DCF metabolism led to tissue-specific reductions in the levels of DCF reactive intermediates in the SI of IE-Cpr-null mice.

Impact of IE CPR loss on DCF-protein adduct formation in vitro and in vivo. DCF protein adducts were detected by immunoblot analysis using an anti-DCF antibody. The antibody had cross reactivity with non-adducted proteins, which were detected both in complete reaction mixtures and in negative controls, in which either DCF or NADPH was omitted. As shown in Figure 4A, when SI
microsomes from WT mice were incubated with 1 mM DCF and NADPH (i.e., a complete reaction), several prominent bands (indicated by arrows) that appeared to be unique to the complete reaction mixture, thus representing putative protein adducts of DCF, were detected, including one at ~50 kD, which is similar in size to a mouse liver DCF-protein adduct (Pumford et al., 1992), or a DCF-protein adduct in rat liver and SI (Ware et al., 1998). Interestingly, these putative protein adducts were barely detected in complete reaction mixtures of SI microsomes from IE-Cpr-null mice. Numerous putative DCF protein adducts were also detected in complete reaction mixtures of liver microsomes from WT mice (Fig. 4B), of which the most prominent (indicated by an arrowhead) was ~50 kD in size, apparently of the same size as the one detected in SI microsomes in Figure 4A. However, there was no strain difference in the intensity of any of the putative adduct bands.

The 50-kD putative DCF-protein adduct was also detected in vivo, in mouse intestinal microsomes obtained at 14 h after DCF administration (50 mg/kg, p.o.). For the in vivo study, specific bands representing putative DCF protein adducts were revealed by comparing bands detected in DCF-treated mice with bands detected in vehicle-treated mice. As shown in Figure 5, the putative DCF protein adduct band at ~50 kD (arrow) was clearly detected in SI microsomes from WT mice, but it was barely detected in SI microsomes from IE-Cpr-null mice. A weaker band at a lower molecular weight (arrow) also appeared to be unique for DCF-treated WT mouse, thus also representing a putative P450-dependent DCF protein adduct. Several other bands at higher molecular weight region (indicated by *), which were not detected in vehicle-treated mice, appear to be either slightly decreased in intensity in the DCF-treated null mice, relative to DCF-treated WT mice, or unchanged. It remains to be determined whether these represent DCF protein adducts, possibly formed by P450-independent pathways. These results further support the notion that intestinal P450-mediated DCF metabolism is important for in situ production of DCF reactive intermediates.

Effects of GFJ on DCF metabolism and DCF-induced toxicity in mouse SI. Our finding that intestinal P450-mediated DCF metabolism is important for DCF-induced intestinal toxicity suggested a possibility of ameliorating DCF induced intestinal toxicity through inhibition of SI P450 enzymes. Thus,
we next tested the hypothesis that inhibition of SI P450 enzymes by GFJ can ameliorate DCF induced intestinal toxicity. As shown in Figure 6, GFJ extract inhibited the in vitro formation of both 4′-OH-DCF and 5-OH-DCF (Fig. 6A), and of DCF-GSH conjugates (Fig. 6B), by SI microsomes from WT mice, in a dose-dependent manner, with estimated IC50 values of ~0.3 (for 4′-OH-DCF), ~0.08 (for 5-OH-DCF), and ~0.01 mg/ml (for DCF-GSH), at a DCF concentration of 100 µM. When GFJ was administered orally (at 20 ml/kg) to WT mice at 2 h before DCF dosing, significant decreases in total number of DCF-induced ulcers were found, compared to the mice that were pretreated with vehicle only (Fig. 6C). In control experiments, pretreatment with GFJ did not reduce the total number of DCF-induced ulcers in IE-Cpr-null mice, confirming that the protective effects of GFJ pretreatment in WT mice was due to inhibition of P450-mediated metabolic activation of DCF.

Effects of GFJ on DCF bioactivation by human SI microsomes. To test the potential protective role of GFJ against DCF-induced intestinal toxicity in humans, we determined the effects of GFJ extract on DCF metabolism by human SI microsomes. The basal activity determined in the absence of GFJ varied by ~5 fold among the samples analyzed (Table 2). Notably, while the rates of formation of 5-OH-DCF (a CYP3A metabolite) by human SI microsomes (0.03-0.12 nmol/min/mg protein) were comparable to that of mouse SI microsomes (~0.06, Fig. 2A), the rates of formation of 4′-OH-DCF (a CYP2C metabolite) by human SI microsomes (0.07-0.16 nmol/min/mg protein) were much greater than that of mouse SI microsomes (<0.01, Fig. 2A), indicating that CYP2C-mediated metabolism is more dominant in human SI. Interestingly, although we were not able to determine the absolute rates of DCF-GSH formation, a direct comparison (not shown) revealed that the rates of formation of DCF-GSH (representing the overall rates of DCF bioactivation) were almost the same between mouse SI microsomes (Fig. 6) and the SI microsomes from patient #16 (Table 2), which had the highest activity among the five samples examined.

As shown in Figure 7, GFJ extract inhibited P450-mediated formation of both hydroxyl-DCF metabolites (Fig. 7A) and DCF-GSH conjugates (Fig. 7B) by human SI microsomes from multiple individuals, in a dose-dependent manner. The estimated IC50 values for the formation of 4′-OH-DCF
(0.2-0.5 mg/ml), 5-OH-DCF (0.02-0.1 mg/ml), and DCF-GSH (0.015-0.15 mg/ml) in individual human SI microsomal samples were similar to the mouse values shown earlier, thus suggesting that GFJ would also be effective in protection against DCF-induced SI toxicity in patients.
Discussion

We have for the first time provided definitive evidence that intestinal P450 enzymes play a critical role in the intestinal toxicity induced by DCF, a widely used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug that is frequently associated with adverse drug reactions in patients (Bjarnason et al., 1993; Davies et al., 2000). We showed that SI P450 enzymes are capable of bioactivating DCF to form reactive intermediates, which conjugate GSH and adduct proteins, both in vitro and in vivo, and that mice lacking P450 activities specifically in intestinal epithelial cells are largely resistant to DCF-induced intestinal ulceration. These findings suggest that patients harboring high CYP2C and CYP3A activities in the SI, as a result of either genetic polymorphisms or enzyme induction by other drugs or dietary components, are at elevated risks of developing DCF-induced intestinal injury.

Critical to our conclusion regarding the involvement of intestinal P450 enzymes in DCF-induced intestinal toxicity was the utility of the IE-Cpr-null mouse model. The IE-Cpr-null mouse has been used previously in studies on the SI contributions to the first-pass metabolism of a number of oral drugs and in protection against systemic exposure of orally administered environmental contaminants (Zhang et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2011; Fang et al., 2010); but this is the first application of the IE-Cpr-null mouse model to studying the role of intestinal microsomal P450s in chemical toxicity in the SI. The tissue-specific loss of CPR expression in the SI was not associated with any biological phenotypes, despite the loss of all microsomal P450 and hemeoxygenase activities in the enterocytes (Zhang et al., 2009). The loss of CPR expression in the enterocytes of IE-Cpr-null mice has been found recently to lead to changes in the expression of genes related to antigen presentation/processing (D’Agostino et al., 2012), including the major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC II) genes. The levels of the cholesterol precursor farnesyl pyrophosphate and its derivative geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate were also increased, although the level of cholesterol itself was unchanged, in the enterocytes of the IE-Cpr-null mice, relative to those in WT mice. Nevertheless, none of the aforementioned genomic and metabolomics changes in the enterocytes are known or expected to cause resistance to DCF toxicity. It should also be noted that the loss of heme oxygenase activity was unlikely a contributing factor to the resistance of the IE-Cpr-null
mice to DCF-induced SI toxicity, given that heme oxygenase has been reported to inhibit NSAID-induced toxicity (Higuchi, 2009). On the other hand, the conclusion that the loss of P450-mediated DCF bioactivation is the reason for the resistance of the IE-Cpr-null mice to DCF-induced SI injury was supported by both in vitro and in vivo evidence that the rates of SI formation of reactive DCF intermediates, trapped as DCF-GSH conjugates and DCF-protein adducts, were significantly reduced in the IE-Cpr-null mice, compared with WT mice. We also confirmed that the UGT-mediated metabolism of DCF in the SI was not changed by the CPR loss, which ruled out possible contributions by a decrease in UGT-mediated reactive metabolite formation to the resistance to DCF-induced intestinal injury in the IE-Cpr-null mice.

The formation of protein adducts, which was suggested to be one of the causal factors for DCF-induced ulcer formation in the intestine, may either directly impair cellular signal transduction cascades or indirectly cause tissue damage by eliciting an immune response (Atchison et al., 2000; Boelsterli, 2003). Several DCF-protein adducts were detected previously in mice and rats (Hargus, et al., 1994; Pumford et al., 1993; Ware, et al., 1998), including adducts with liver plasma membrane proteins (110, 140 and 200 kDa in size), formed presumably through UGT-mediated formation of DCF-G as the proximate toxicant, and an adduct with a liver microsomal protein (50 kDa in size), formed apparently via P450-catalyzed formation of reactive DCF intermediates. In the current study, a 50-kDa protein adduct band was also detected in vitro and in vivo in SI microsomes from WT mice; this adduct was not detected in SI microsomes from the IE-Cpr-null mice, thus supporting the notion that intestinal P450-catalyzed metabolic activation of DCF is responsible for the formation of this protein adduct. Several other putative protein adduct bands were also detected in SI microsomes from DCF-treated WT mice in the current study; however, the identities of all these intestinal proteins and their potential connection of DCF-induced SI injury remains to be determined.

Our study with DCF provides a paradigm for investigating the potential roles of SI P450 in the intestinal toxicity of numerous other drugs that are P450 substrates. For orally administered drugs, the SI as the first site of contact is exposed to relatively high concentrations of drugs, which present ample
opportunity for enterocyte P450 to convert inert drugs to reactive intermediates. For many drugs that undergo entero-hepatic recirculation, such as DCF, the enterocyte P450 would have additional and repeated opportunities to act on the drugs as they pass through the intestinal epithelium, leading to further increased risks of drug-induced toxicity in SI. Notably, our present finding of a critical role of SI P450 in DCF-induced intestinal injury does not conflict with the role of bacterial β-glucuronidase as a key factor in the initiation of DCF enteropathy, as elegantly demonstrated by LoGuidice and coworkers (LoGuidice et al., 2012), given that the DCF released by luminal bacteria would still require further bioactivation, by SI P450, to cause tissue injury. In that connection, the preferential damage by DCF to the distal parts of SI, despite their lower P450 content than that in the proximal SI (Zhang et al., 2003), is not fully understood, but may be partly due to higher regional drug exposure resulting from regional differences in bile concentration in the intestinal lumen (Boelsterli and Ramirez-Alcantara, 2011).

Our finding that SI P450 plays a critical role in DCF-induced intestinal injury suggests that it might be possible to prevent or reduce DCF’s intestinal toxicity through direct inhibition of P450 enzymes that are involved in DCF bioactivation in human SI, namely CYP3A4 and CYP2C9. We showed, for the first time, that DCF’s intestinal toxicity can be blocked by oral administration of GFJ in mice and that GFJ was equally effective in its inhibition of DCF bioactivation by mouse and human intestinal microsomes. These findings may have direct therapeutic application for patients at elevated risk (or past experience) of adverse intestinal responses to DCF treatment, in that DCF side-effect may be reduced or blocked by concomitant consumption of moderate amount of GFJ. DCF is not a prodrug, and blocking intestinal P450 activity has little effect on systemic bioavailability of oral DCF (data not shown). Therefore, oral consumption of GFJ would not affect the systemic therapeutic efficacy of DCF, while reducing DCF’s side effects in the gut. Indeed, GFJ has been found to potentiate the anti-inflammatory effects of DCF on carrageenan-induced paw edema in rats (Mahgoub 2002); that result was proposed by the authors to be due to inhibition of P450-mediated DCF clearance by GFJ, although the effect of GFJ on DCF pharmacokinetics was not studied.
Our finding that GFJ extract inhibited the formation of both 4’-OH- and 5-OH-DCF in human SI microsomal reactions is consistent with a previous report that GFJ can inhibit both human CYP3A4 and CYP2C9 in vitro (Girennavar et al., 2007). Oral administration of GFJ is known to inhibit CYP3A-mediated metabolism of many drugs (Bailey et al., 1998), and to reduce drug (e.g., lovastatin) clearance in patients (Kantola et al., 1998; Mertens-Talcott et al., 2006) and animal models (Zhu et al., 2011). The specific GFJ ingredients that are responsible for the protection against DCF toxicity are not yet known, but they likely include various furanocoumarins, such as bergamottin and dihydroxybergamottin, which are the main GFJ components that cause inhibition of intestinal P450 (Dresser and Baily, 2003; Girennavar et al., 2007).

In addition to inhibiting P450, GFJ is also known to affect transporters, such as P-glycoprotein (Dresser and Baily, 2003), and inhibit esterases (Li et al., 2007). Therefore, the protection by GFJ might involve other mechanisms, in addition to inhibiting formation of DCF reactive metabolites. However, our observation that the protective effect of GFJ pretreatment against DCF-induced SI injury does not occur in the IE-Cpr-null mice confirmed that the protective effects of GFJ seen in WT mice were due to its specific inhibition of SI P450 enzymes.

In summary, we have determined the in vivo roles of SI P450 enzymes in the metabolic activation of DCF and in DCF-induced intestinal toxicity using the IE-Cpr-null mouse. Our results provide strong support for a crucial role of SI P450 enzymes in DCF-induced toxicity in the SI; illustrate the possibility of preventing DCF-induced intestinal toxicity through dietary intervention; and provide an example for investigating the potential roles of SI P450 in the intestinal toxicity of numerous other drugs that are P450 substrates. Our findings endorse further studies on the association between variations in SI CYP3A4/CYP2C9 activities and interindividual differences in susceptibility to DCF-induced intestinal injury, as well as clinical testing of the value of GFJ administration as a preventative measure against DCF-induced intestinal toxicity in at-risk patients.
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Legends for Figures

Fig. 1. Induction of intestinal ulcers by DCF in WT and IE-Cpr-null mice. A and B. Microscopic detection (A) and histopathological examination (B) of DCF-induced intestinal ulcers. Examples of ulcers detected in WT mice are shown. Mice were treated with DCF (50 mg/kg) or vehicle via oral gavage, and sacrificed ~14 h later for ulcer detection using Evans Blue dye (A; 40X magnification; ulcers are indicated by Δ) or for histopathological analysis of the intestinal mucosa with H&E staining (B; 40X magnification). The intestinal villi were lost and the submucosa was severely compromised in the damaged area of DCF-treated mice (Typical results are shown). C. A dose-response of DCF-induced ulcer formation, detected at 14 h after DCF treatment, was established using WT mice (n = 3-4 for each dose), with a DCF dose range of 0-50 mg/kg (p.o.). D. The total number of ulcers detected in the entire SI, determined at 14 h after DCF treatment, was compared between WT and IE-Cpr-null mice, treated with DCF at 50 mg/kg, p.o. (**, p < 0.01, compared to WT mice, n = 4, Student’s t-test). Shaded bars represent WT mice and open bars represent IE-Cpr-null mice. All mice were 2- to 3- month old females.

Fig. 2. In vitro metabolism of DCF by SI and liver microsomes from IE-Cpr-null and WT mice. A, B. P450-mediated hydroxyl-DCF formation by mouse SI (A) and liver (B) microsomes. Reaction mixtures contained 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 100 μM DCF, and 0.5 mg/ml microsomal protein, in a final volume of 0.2 ml. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C for 30 min, in the presence or absence of 1 mM NADPH. C. UGT-mediated DCF-G formation by SI microsomes. Reaction mixtures contained 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 100 μM DCF, 0.2 mg microsomal protein, and 10 mM MgCl₂, in a final volume of 0.2 ml. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C for 60 min, in the presence or absence of 5 mM UDPGA. Each microsomal preparation was obtained from pooled SI or liver tissues from 2 to 3 adult female mice. The values reported represent means ± S.D., n = 3, **, P<0.01; compared with WT mice (Student’s t-test). Shaded bars represent WT mice and open bars represent IE-Cpr-null mice.

Fig. 3. Formation of DCF-GSH conjugates in vitro and in vivo. A, B. Relative rates of in vitro
formation of DCF-GSH conjugate were determined for microsomal preparations from mouse SI (A) and liver (B). Reaction mixtures contained 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 100 μM DCF, 10 mM GSH, and 1 mg/ml microsomal protein, in a final volume of 0.2 ml. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C for 60 min, in the presence or absence of 1 mM NADPH. Each microsomal preparation was obtained from pooled liver or SI tissues of 2 to 3 adult female mice. The values reported, which are relative rates in arbitrary units (percentages of the rates determined for respective WT microsomes) represent means ± S.D., n=3. C, D. Relative tissue levels of DCF-GSH conjugates in SI (C) and liver (D). Adult female IE-Cpr-null and age-matched WT mice were given a single dose of DCF, via oral gavage, at 50 mg/kg. The values reported, which are percentages of the levels determined for respective WT mice, represent means ± S.D. (n=4). **, P<0.01; compared with WT mice (Student’s t-test).

**Fig. 4. Immunoblot analysis of DCF protein adducts formed in microsomal reactions in vitro.** Complete reaction mixtures contained 0.1 M potassium phosphate (pH 7.4), 2 mM NADPH, 1 mM DCF, and 5 mg/ml microsomal protein from intestinal enterocytes (A) or liver (B) of WT or IE-Cpr-null mice, in a total volume of 0.2 ml. DCF or NADPH was omitted in control reactions. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C for 4 h. Aliquots of the reaction mixture (~170 μg total protein) were analyzed, upon termination of reaction, on immunoblots, with use of an anti-DCF antibody. The positions of prominent, putative DCF-protein adducts are indicated by arrows (A) or by an arrowhead (B). Typical results are shown.

**Fig. 5. Immunoblot analysis of DCF adducts formed with SI microsomal proteins in vivo.** WT and IE-Cpr-null mice were treated with DCF (50 mg/kg, p.o) or with vehicle alone. SI enterocytes were obtained at 14 h after DCF dosing, for microsomal preparation. Aliquots of microsomal proteins (200 μg per lane) were analyzed on immunoblots with use of an anti-DCF antibody. The positions of putative P450-dependent DCF-protein adducts are indicated by arrows, whereas other bands that are apparently unique to DCF-treated mice are indicated by * . Typical results are shown.
Fig. 6. Effects of GFJ on DCF metabolism and SI DCF toxicity in mice. A. Effects of GFJ on microsomal DCF metabolism. Reaction condition is the same as described in Fig. 2, except with the addition of GFJ extract at various concentrations (0 - 1.65 mg/ml, added in 5 µl of methanol). The rates reported (means ± S.D., n=3) are relative rates in arbitrary units (relative to the “no GFJ” group). B. Effects of GFJ on microsomal formation of DCF-GSH conjugates. Reaction condition is the same as described in Fig. 3, except with the addition of GFJ extract at various concentrations (0 - 1.65 mg/ml, added in 5 µl of methanol). The values reported (means ± S.D., n=3) are relative rates in arbitrary units (relative to the “no GFJ” group). C. Effects of GFJ pretreatment on DCF-induced intestinal ulcer formation. WT and IE-Cpr-null mice were treated with GFJ (20 ml/kg) or the vehicle alone at 2 h before DCF treatment (50 mg/kg, p.o). Fourteen hours later, mice were injected with Evans Blue for detection of ulcers. The values reported represent means ± S.D. (n=4-5). **, P < 0.01; compared with WT mice (Student’s t-test). Shaded bars represent control group and open bars represent GFJ treated group.

Fig. 7. Effects of GFJ on DCF metabolism by human SI microsomes. A. Effects of GFJ addition on microsomal formation of hydroxyl-DCF metabolites. B. Effects of GFJ addition on microsomal formation of DCF-GSH conjugates. Components of reaction mixtures and assay conditions were the same as described in the legends for Figure 6A and 6B, except that human jejunum microsomes rather than mouse SI microsomes were used. The values reported (averaged results of duplicate assays) are relative rates in arbitrary units (relative to the “no GFJ” group) for individual microsomal samples (#16, 27, 31, 33, 35).
Table 1

Ulcer area in DCF-treated IE-Cpr-null and WT mice

Mice were treated with DCF (50 mg/kg) or with vehicle alone, as described in the legend to Figure 1D. Ulcer area was determined as described in Materials and Methods. Values reported represent means ± S.D. (n =5-6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.49 ±0.37</td>
<td>11.30 ± 5.20</td>
<td>16.79 ± 3.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE-Cpr-null</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.27± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.01, compared to the corresponding vehicle-treated group (Student’s t-test)
Table 2

Rates of DCF metabolism by individual human SI microsomes

Assays for DCF metabolism were conducted as described in the legend for Figure 7. The values shown, which are for the “no GFJ” groups, are averaged results of duplicate assays, and they represent either actual rates of hydroxyl-DCF formation or relative rates of DCF-GSH formation in arbitrary units (percentages of the sample with the highest rate). Demographic information for the individual microsomal samples studied is also shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject ID</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Rates of DCF metabolite formation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4’-OH-DCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nmol/min/mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#16</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>not determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#27</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#31</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#33</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>not determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#35</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(A) Rates of metabolite formation (nmol/min/mg) for 4'-OH DCF and 5-OH DCF in WT and IE-Cpr-null SI.

(B) Rates of metabolite formation (nmol/min/mg) for 4'-OH DCF and 5-OH DCF in Liver.

(C) Rates of metabolite formation (nmol/min/mg) for DCF-G in SI.
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