JPET Fast Forward. Published on March 28, 2008 as DOI: 10.1124/jpet.108.136275

JPET Fast::Forward::Rublisheddan::March:(28):2008: as::DQIy1071/124/jpet.408:136275

JPET #136275 **1**

Oestrogen receptor beta: expression profile and possible anti-inflammatory role in disease

Authors: Matthew C. Catley, Mark A. Birrell, Elizabeth L. Hardaker, Jorge de Alba, Stuart Farrow, Saleem Haj-Yahia, and Maria G Belvisi.

Respiratory Pharmacology, Airways diseases, Imperial College London, Faculty of Medicine, National Heart and Lung Institute, Dovehouse Street, London SW3 6LY, U.K^{*} (MC, MB, EL, JdA, MG)

Royal Brompton & Harefield Hospital, London. (SHY)

Department of Asthma Biology, GlaxoSmithKline PLC, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage SG1 2NY, UK GSK, Stevenage, UK. (SF)

JPET #136275 **2**

Running Title: Effect of ER β agonist in asthma models

Correspondence: Professor Maria G. Belvisi

Head Respiratory Pharmacology Group, Imperial College

Faculty of Medicine, National Heart & Lung Institute

Dovehouse Street, London, SW3 6LY, UK

Tel: +44 (0)207 351 8270, Fax: +44 (0)207 351 8173

E-mail: m.belvisi@imperial.ac.uk

Manuscript: 12 pages

No of Figures: 5

No of Tables: 0

No of References: 31

Word count for Abstract: 198

Word count for Introduction: 514

Word count for Discussion: 930

Abbreviations: ER - Oestrogen Receptor

GR - Glucocorticoid Receptor

HASM – Human Smooth Muscle Airway

Recommended Section Assignment: Inflammation, Immunopharmacology, and

Asthma

Abstract

Background: Oestrogen receptor (ER) β agonists have been demonstrated to possess anti-inflammatory properties in inflammatory disease models. Objectives: To determine if ERB agonists impact on in vitro and in vivo pre-clinical models of asthma. Methods: mRNA expression assays were validated in human and rodent tissue panels. These assays were then used to measure expression in human cells and our characterised rat model of allergic asthma. ERB-041, an ERβ agonist, was profiled on cytokine release from IL-1β-stimulated human airway smooth muscle (HASM) cells and in the rodent asthma model. **Results:** Although ERβ expression was demonstrated at the gene and protein level in HASM cells, the agonist failed to impact on the inflammatory response. Similarly, in vivo, we observed temporal modulation of ERβ expression after antigen-challenge. However, the agonist failed to impact on the model end-points such as airway inflammation even though plasma levels reflected linear compound exposure and was associated with an increase in receptor activation after drug administration. Conclusions: In these modelling systems of airway inflammation an ER β agonist was ineffective. Capsule Summary: Although ERβ agonists are anti-inflammatory in certain models this novel study would suggest that they would not be clinically useful in the treatment of asthma.

Introduction

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disease of the lung characterised by reversible airflow obstruction, airway hyper-responsiveness and airway inflammation (Bousquet et al, 2000). Most asthmatics are effectively treated using a combination of β_2 -adrenergic receptor agonists to relieve the constriction and corticosteroids to suppress inflammation (Bousquet et al, 2000). However, a small proportion of asthmatics have severe or corticosteroid insensitive asthma which is poorly controlled by corticosteroids causing a reduced quality of life and imposing a considerable cost burden on health services (Ito et al, 2006; Moore & Peters, 2006). Moreover, there are also concerns about the systemic effects of long term corticosteroid treatment (Barnes, 1993). Thus, there is a desperate need for novel anti-inflammatory asthma therapies.

Corticosteroids elicit their actions by binding the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), which is one of the 48, mostly, ligand activated transcription factors of the nuclear receptor super family (Gronemeyer et al, 2004). Nuclear receptors have proved amenable targets for small molecular weight pharmacological agents that modulate their activity and there is considerable interest in the effect of modulating nuclear receptor activity in a number of diseases (Gronemeyer et al, 2004). The pharmacological modulation of oestrogen receptor (ER) activity has proved therapeutically valuable for hormone therapy and the treatment of cancer (Shang, 2006; Vogelvang et al, 2004). Recent reports have also indicated that activation of ER may be beneficial in treating diseases with an inflammatory component (Harris et al, 2003; Harris, 2006). Although one caveat is that asthma is more prevalent in women which might suggest that high levels of ER activation via endogenous estrogen could be pro-asthmatic (Melgert et al, 2007).

In rats ERα agonists are able to mediate most of the classical effects of oestrogen

such as increased uterine wet weight, maintenance of bone mineral density and

vasomotor stability (Harris et al, 2002; Hillisch et al, 2004). Furthermore, estrogen/estradiol has been shown to be anti-inflammatory in various models of allergic asthma through an effect mediated by activation of the ERa receptor (Haggerty et al, 2003; Dgeano et al, 2001; Carey et al, 2007; Matsubara et al, 2007). In contrast, ERβ selective agonists, such as ERB-041, are incapable of producing the classical oestrogen responses (Harris et al, 2003) but are able to produce benefit in models of adjuvant induced arthritis and inflammatory bowel disease (Harris et al, 2003). The ERβ agonist WAY-202196 also increased survival in rodent models of septic shock (Cristofaro et al, 2006). Since, ERβ agonists have demonstrated utility in models of disease with an inflammatory component we postulated that an ERB agonist could be beneficial for the treatment of asthma through the suppression of the associated inflammation thought to drive the pathogenesis of the disease (Cristofaro et al, 2006; Harris et al, 2003). Therefore, the aim of this novel study was to determine the effects of an ERβ agonist in characterised *in vitro* and *in vivo* pre-clinical models of asthma-like inflammation. We initiated our investigation by first developing and validating assays to measure the mRNA expression of ERβ in our cell based assay systems. From this data we selected a cell type shown to express the receptor, confirmed the expression of the receptor at the protein level and then profiled the impact of ERB-041 (7-ethenyl-2-(3-fluoro-4-hydroxyphenyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-5-ol), and a number of other selective tool compounds, on IL-1β-induced inflammation. The

temporal modulation of ERβ mRNA expression in the lungs of our fully characterised

rodent model of allergic asthma not only demonstrated the presence of the receptor in

the target organ but also encouraged us to continue with the profiling of the selective

ligand. This study is the first such study to investigate the effects of a selective $\text{ER}\beta$ agonist in human and rodent models of asthma-like inflammation.

Methods

 $ER\beta$ mRNA expression in human and rat tissues.

Validation of assay:

cDNA was prepared from RNA extracted from a panel of human tissues (Clontech Laboratories) and a parallel panel collected from 3 male Brown Norway rats (180-200 g, this strain was chosen because it is the same as used in our asthma model) as previously described (Birrell et al., 2005). Amplification of the cDNA and detection of target PCR product was carried out by Real-Time PCR using pre-developed assays (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). Reactions were internally controlled with the 18S rRNA internal control as previously described (Birrell et al, 2005). Results were analysed using the Sequence Detection Software and the relative amount of target gene transcript was normalised to the amount of 18S rRNA internal control transcript in the same cDNA sample. The data were then converted from the exponential form into linear data by using the calculation 2^{-(target ct - 18S ct)} and then arbitrarily multiplied by 10⁶ to change the values into whole numbers. The assay was then validated according to instructions from Applied Biosystems using a tissue type (human and rat) highly expressing ERβ mRNA. Assay validations were performed to ensure that the threshold cycles (ct) of both the target and internal control, determined in the linear exponential phase of the amplifications, had equal efficiencies.

 $ER\beta$ expression in cells used in our in vitro inflammatory assay systems.

 $ER\beta$ mRNA expression was assessed in human cells (primary airway smooth muscle cells, lung tissue macrophages and epithelial cells plus monocyte (THP-1) and epithelial (A549) cell lines) we have previously characterised using the developed and validated assay described above.

Having shown that human airway smooth muscle (HASM) cells express $ER\beta$ at the gene level we used Western analysis (Catley et al, 2006) to show presence of the receptor at the protein level. $ER\beta$ antibody used was from Upstate/Chemicon (product number #05-824).

 $ER\beta$ mRNA expression after antigen challenge in our characterised rat model of asthma.

Samples of lung tissue were collected 2, 4, 6, 8, 12 or 24 hours after vehicle or antigen challenge. Level of mRNA expression was measured using the validated assay described above.

Effect of ER agonists in cultured human airway smooth muscle cells.

HASM cells were isolated from normal lung transplant donor tissue surplus to requirement and cultured as previously described (Belvisi et al, 1997). Consent from relatives and ethical approval were obtained. Cells were rinsed with fresh medium (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Gibco, UK) plus supplements as previously described) and treated with indomethacin (10⁻⁵M, Sigma UK) for 30 minutes, and throughout the study, to block endogenous cyclo-oxygenase activity which can impact on cytokine production (Lazzeri et al, 2001). The cells were then pre-treated with ERβ selective agonists (Diarylpropionitrile (DPN, Sigma, UK) or (ERB-041, kind gift from GlaxoSmithKline, UK) or the ERα/β non-selective agonist (17β-Estradiol, Sigma, UK) (10⁻¹²-10⁻⁵M) for 1 hour prior to stimulation with IL-1β (0.1 ng/ml). Dexamethasone (10⁻⁶M, Sigma, UK) was included as an intra-assay control. Twenty-four hours after stimulation, the supernatants were collected and stored at -20°C, cells were then assayed for cell viability by MTT assay. Cytokine levels in the supernatants were determined by ELISA (R&D systems, UK).

Impact of an ER β agonist in an antigen driven rat model of asthma

Male Brown Norway Rats (200-225g) were obtained from Harlan UK Limited (Bicester, UK) and housed for 1 week before initiating experiments. Food and water were supplied *ad libitum*. Experiments were performed in accordance with the UK Home Office guidelines for animal welfare based on the Animals (Scientific Procedures) act 1986.

Ovalbumin (Sigma, UK) sensitisation and challenge in this model has previously been described (Birrell et al, 2005). For this study there were two parallel arms, the first entailed collecting plasma and lung tissue samples six hours after the OVA challenge. The second arm of the study was designed to measure the level of airway eosinophilia in the airway lumen and lung tissue 24 hours after challenge (as described in 14). Where appropriate rats were orally dosed (5 ml/kg) with vehicle (1% DMSO:66% PEG:33% H₂0) or compounds (ERB-041 at 3, 10 and 30 mg/kg) 1 hour before and 1 and 6 hours after challenge. Budesonide (3 mg/kg) was included as a positive control (14).

Plasma levels of ERB-041 (n.b. 5 hours after last oral dose) were determined using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. ER β activation was demonstrated by measuring IGFBP4 mRNA expression, believed to be linked to ligand binding to this receptor (Harris et al, 2003), using the real-time RT-PCR technique outlined above.

Results

 $ER\beta$ expression

Using the validated real-time PCR assay we demonstrated that, under these conditions, primary HASM and epithelial cells expressed ER β mRNA to a greater extent compared to the other cell types tested (Figure 1A). Of the two cell types shown to express ER β ; at the mRNA level it was decided to choose HASM cells to further our work as this cell is known to be central to pathogenesis of asthma (Oliver & Black, 2006) and has been well characterised in our group (Birrell et al, 2005;Catley et al, 2006;Belvisi et al, 1997). Having shown the presence of the receptor at the mRNA level we wanted to ensure this corresponded to expression at the protein level before continuing with profiling the selective ligands. Figure 1B clearly shows a band on a Western blot which corresponds to the molecule weight for this receptor suggesting that ER β is indeed expressed at the protein level in these primary cells.

When we measured the level of ER β mRNA expression in the BN allergic rat lung we observed a temporal decrease in the level of this receptor (Figure 2). The presence of the receptor in the primary human cell based system (Figure 1) and modulation of the expression levels in the pre-clinical asthma model (Figure 2) encouraged us to continue with studying the role of this receptor in models of asthma.

Downloaded from jpet.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on April 18, 2024

The effect of ER β agonists on cytokine release from human airway smooth muscle Stimulation of HASM cells with IL-1 β evoked the release of a significant amount of GM-CSF, G-CSF and IL-8 (Figure 3). Pre-incubation with the ER β agonist failed to significantly impact on any of the IL-1 β -induced cytokines measured (Figure 3A, B and C). The positive control dexamethasone, however, had the expected impact on

these cytokines insomuch as it blocked GM-CSF, partially blocked IL-8 and had little effect on G-CSF (Figure 3). To confirm this lack of effect of ERB-041 we profiled a second selective ER β agonist DPN and the dual ER α/β agonist β -estradiol. Neither compound had any significant impact on IL-1 β -induced cytokine release (data not shown).

The inflammatory process *in vivo* involves a diverse range of cells and it is possible that ER agonists may be able to exert an anti-inflammatory effect on a limited range of cells which are essential to this process. Alternatively, systemic exposure to ER β agonists could affect infiltration of inflammatory cells into the lung. Therefore the impact of the ER β agonist ERB-041 was examined in a clinically relevant *in vivo* rat model of allergic asthma.

Effect of the ER β agonist on antigen-induced allergic airway inflammation in the rat To check for adequate compound exposure we measured plasma levels and showed that using this dosing regimen there was linear, dose related compound exposure and even 5 hours after the last oral dose there were levels in excess of 1 μ M (= 300 ng/ml, at 30 mg/kg) in the plasma (Figure 4A). Furthermore, the IC₅₀ for ERB-041 binding to the rat ER β ligand binding domain is 3.1 nM and ERB-041 is able to increase the expression of the ER responsive gene IGFBP4 with an ED₅₀ of 20nM in cultured SAOA-2 cells expressing the ER β receptor (Harris et al, 2003). Therefore, the PK data shows that systemic levels of ERB-041 were sufficient to activate ER β in the lung. To confirm there was sufficient exposure to elicit a functional effect *in vivo*, IGFBP4 mRNA expression in the rat lung was used as a marker of ER β activation (Harris et al, 2003). The expression of IGFBP4 in the lung tissue was up regulated by

the top dose of ERB-041 used at this time point, indicating that there is sufficient compound exposure in the lung to activate ER β (Figure 4B).

The positive pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data encouraged us to continue with the investigation. At the 24hr end point we showed that ovalbumin challenge caused significant eosinophilia in the BALF (Figure 5A) and lung tissue (Figure 5B) of sensitised rats. The numbers of eosinophils in the BALF and tissue were significantly reduced by treatment with budesonide at 3 mg/kg (Figure 5). However, treatment with ERB-041 had no significant effect on the eosinophilia in the BALF (Figure 5A) or lung tissue (Figure 5B).

Discussion

ERβ agonists have demonstrated utility in models of disease with an inflammatory component therefore we postulated that an ERB agonist could be beneficial for the treatment of asthma through the suppression of the associated inflammation thought to drive the pathogenesis of the disease (Haggerty et al, 2003; Cristofaro et al, 2006; Harris et al, 2003). The aim of this study was to determine the effects of an ERβ agonist in characterised in vitro and in vivo pre-clinical models of asthma-like inflammation. The initial results were promising insomuch as we were able to show that HASM cells expressed the target receptor, ER β , at the mRNA and protein level. In parallel with this we found that ERβ expression was reduced by ovalbumin challenge in our pre-clinical rat model of asthma. The timing of the reduction of ERB expression corresponds with key processes in the ovalbumin induced inflammatory response, such as the induction of inflammatory cytokine gene expression at 6 hours and the influx of immune cells at 24 hours (Underwood et al, 2002). This data indicates that reduction of ER β expression may be an important process in the development of inflammation in the rat lung after ovalbumin challenge. Thus it seemed possible that agonist induced activation of ERβ receptors may ameliorate effects of antigen challenge and reduce the inflammatory response. When, however, we profiled the impact of various ligands in the human cell based assay and the selective ER β agonist in the pre-clinical model of asthma we failed to observe any anti-inflammatory activity. The demonstration that ERB agonists were effective in models of inflammatory bowel disease and arthritis raised the possibility that ERβ agonists could be used to treat a range of inflammatory diseases including asthma (Harris et al, 2003; Harris, 2006). However, the data presented here demonstrates for the first time that, although ERβ receptors are expressed in the lung, agonists have no

effect on inflammation. The data presented here is on the whole negative but makes an important addition to the literature regarding ER β agonists in inflammatory disease. Our data, however does not rule out any impact on airway smooth muscle hypertrophy/hyperplasia as suggested by others (Hughes et al 2002). Indeed, considerable data has been published regarding the positive effects of ER β agonists in certain models of inflammatory disease (Cristofaro et al, 2006; Harris et al, 2003; Cristofaro et al, 2006; Follettie et al, 2006; Harris, 2006). However, a recent review indicated that ER β agonists are ineffective in a range of inflammatory models including collagen induced arthritis (Harris, 2006). Unfortunately, none of this data has been peer reviewed and published and the experimental details are not available for critical evaluation. Thus the data presented here is one of the first published accounts demonstrating the lack of effect of an ER β agonist in an inflammatory airway disease. This is important since it demonstrates that ER β agonists are not general anti-inflammatory agents but have some beneficial effects in certain models of inflammatory diseases and not in others.

Several studies have indicated that estrogenic compounds have a positive effect in lung disease and in animal models (Speyer et al, 2005; Cuzzocrea et al, 2001; Shirai et al, 1995; Degano et al, 2001; Carey et al, 2007; Matsubara et al, 2007). These have looked at either the effect of pregnancy on inflammatory lung disease in humans or the effect of oestrogen administration to ovariectomised female mice or the effect of ovariectomy on lung inflammation. None have used selective agonists of the individual ERs (Haggerty et al, 2003). It is therefore possible that some of these positive effects were mediated through ER α which has documented anti-inflammatory properties in some *in vivo* models (Harris, 2006). The fact that ER β agonists are effective in models of IBD and arthritis but not other inflammatory

disease may represent fundamental differences between the inflammatory responses present in these diseases. There is data to suggest that oestrogen promotes a T-helper(Th)-2 cytokine profile and this is why some Th-1 driven disease including arthritis show some improvement during pregnancy when oestrogen levels are high (Doria et al, 2006). Conversely, there is evidence that Th-2 driven diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus show increased flare up during pregnancy (Doria et al, 2006). Asthma is a Th-2 driven disease and as such it would be expected to show increased asthma pathology if ERβ receptor agonists are skewing the Th cell balance to a Th-2 profile, this was not seen in our model. However, other studies have demonstrated that oestrogen has pro-inflammatory effects in ovalbumin sensitised rats (Ligeiro de Oliveira et al, 2004). Since oestrogen is a dual ERα/ERβ agonist it is not clear which receptor is responsible for skewing the Th-2 cell responses. If oestrogen receptor agonists are skewing the T cell response to a more Th-2 profile then ERβ agonists may be more beneficial for the treatment of diseases where a Th-1 cell profile is thought to drive the disease such as arthritis.

This is the first report to examine the effect of specific ER β agonists in an *in vivo* preclinical rat model of allergic inflammation. The ability of ER β agonist ERB-041 to resolve inflammation in rat models of IBD and arthritis suggested that activation of ER β may be able to resolve inflammation in a range of inflammatory disease. We were able to demonstrate the presence of the target receptor at the mRNA and protein level in the human cell type employed for *in vitro* assessment. In addition, antigen challenge caused temporal modulation of ER β mRNA expression and the dosing regimen used resulted in plasma levels in excess of 1 μ M which was associated with an increased expression of a biomarker linked to activation of ER β . Despite these positive observations the agonists failed to induce any measurable anti-inflammatory

activity. In general this study would suggest that $ER\beta$ agonists are not general antiinflammatory compounds and would have questionable benefit in the treatment of asthma.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the following for their assistance with this study: Sissie Wong; Kristof Raemdonck; Abd el-ilah Dekkak (Imperial College) for help with the *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies and Eirwen Palmer, Claire Smith and Boris Chambon (GlaxoSmithKline) for the assessment of systemic compound levels.

JPET #136275 17

References

Barnes NC (1993) Safety of high-dose inhaled corticosteroids. *Respir Med* **87** Suppl A:27-31

Belvisi MG, Saunders MA, Haddad e, Hirst SJ, Yacoub MH, Barnes PJ, Mitchell JA (1997) Induction of cyclo-oxygenase-2 by cytokines in human cultured airway smooth muscle cells: novel inflammatory role of this cell type. *Br J Pharmacol* **120**:910-916

Birrell MA, Hardaker E, Wong S, McCluskie K, Catley M, De Alba J, Newton R, Haj-Yahia S, Pun KT, Watts CJ, Shaw RJ, Savage TJ, Belvisi MG (2005) Ikappa-B kinase 2 inhibitor blocks inflammation in human airway smooth muscle and a rat model of asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **172**:962-971

Bousquet J, Jeffery PK, Busse WW, Johnson M, Vignola AM (2000) Asthma. From bronchoconstriction to airways inflammation and remodeling. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **161**:1720-1745

Carey MA, Card JW, Bradbury JA, Moorman MP, Haykal-Coates N, Gavett SH, Graves JP, Walker VR, Flake GP, Voltz JW, Zhu D, Jacobs ER, Dakhama A, Larsen GL, Loader JE, Gelfand EW, Germolec DR, Korach KS, Zeldin DC (2007)

Spontaneous airway hyperresponsiveness in estrogen receptor-alpha-deficient mice.

Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 175:126-35.

Catley MC, Sukkar MB, Chung KF, Jaffee B, Liao SM, Coyle AJ, Haddad EB, Barnes

JPET #136275 18

PJ, Newton R (2006) Validation of the anti-inflammatory properties of small molecule IKK2 inhibitors by comparison to adenoviral-mediated delivery of dominant negative IKK1 and IKK2 in human airways smooth muscle. *Mol Pharmacol* **70**: 697-705.

Cristofaro PA, Opal SM, Palardy JE, Parejo NA, Jhung J, Keith JC, Jr., Harris HA (2006) WAY-202196, a selective estrogen receptor-beta agonist, protects against death in experimental septic shock. *Crit Care Med* **34**: 2188-2193.

Cuzzocrea S, Mazzon E, Sautebin L, Serraino I, Dugo L, Calabro G, Caputi AP, Maggi A (2001) The protective role of endogenous estrogens in carrageenan-induced lung injury in the rat. *Mol Med* **7**:478-487

Degano B, Prévost MC, Berger P, Molimard M, Pontier S, Rami J, Escamilla R (2001) Estradiol decreases the acetylcholine-elicited airway reactivity in ovariectomized rats through an increase in epithelial acetylcholinesterase activity. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* **15**:1849-54.

Doria A, Iaccarino L, Arienti S, Ghirardello A, Zampieri S, Rampudda ME, Cutolo M, Tincani A, Todesco S (2006) Th2 immune deviation induced by pregnancy: The two faces of autoimmune rheumatic diseases. *Reprod Toxicol* **22**: 234-241.

Elloso MM, Phiel K, Henderson RA, Harris HA, Adelman SJ (2005) Suppression of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis using estrogen receptor-selective ligands. *J Endocrinol* **185**:243-252

JPET #136275 19

Follettie MT, Pind M, Keith JC, Jr., Wang L, Chelsky D, Hayward C, Kearney P, Thibault P, Paramithiotis E, Dorner AJ, Harris HA (2006) Organ messenger ribonucleic acid and plasma proteome changes in the adjuvant-induced arthritis model: responses to disease induction and therapy with the estrogen receptor-beta selective agonist ERB-041. *Endocrinology* **147**:714-723

Gronemeyer H, Gustafsson JA, Laudet V (2004) Principles for modulation of the nuclear receptor superfamily. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **3**:950-964

Haggerty CL, Ness RB, Kelsey S, Waterer GW (2003) The impact of estrogen and progesterone on asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* **90**:284-291

Harris HA (2006) Estrogen receptor-{beta}: Recent lessons from in vivo studies. *Mol Endocrinol* **21**: 1-13.

Harris HA, Albert LM, Leathurby Y, Malamas MS, Mewshaw RE, Miller CP, Kharode YP, Marzolf J, Komm BS, Winneker RC, Frail DE, Henderson RA, Zhu Y, Keith JC, Jr. (2003) Evaluation of an estrogen receptor-beta agonist in animal models of human disease. *Endocrinology* **144**:4241-4249

Harris HA, Katzenellenbogen JA, Katzenellenbogen BS (2002) Characterization of the biological roles of the estrogen receptors, ERalpha and ERbeta, in estrogen target tissues in vivo through the use of an ERalpha-selective ligand. *Endocrinology* **143**:4172-4177

JPET #136275 **20**

Hillisch A, Peters O, Kosemund D, Muller G, Walter A, Schneider B, Reddersen G, Elger W, Fritzemeier KH (2004) Dissecting physiological roles of estrogen receptor alpha and beta with potent selective ligands from structure-based design. *Mol Endocrinol* **18**:1599-1609

Hughes RA, Harris T, Altmann E, McAllister D, Vlahos R, Robertson A, Cushman M, Wang Z, Stewart AG. (2002) 2-Methoxyestradiol and analogs as novel antiproliferative agents: analysis of three-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationships for DNA synthesis inhibition and estrogen receptor binding. *Mol Pharmacol.* **61**:1053-1069.

Ito K, Chung KF, Adcock IM (2006) Update on glucocorticoid action and resistance. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **117**:522-543

Lazzeri N, Belvisi MG, Patel HJ, Yacoub MH, Chung KF, Mitchell JA (2001) Effects of prostaglandin E2 and cAMP elevating drugs on GM-CSF release by cultured human airway smooth muscle cells. Relevance to asthma therapy. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* **24**:44-48

Ligeiro de Oliveira AP, Oliveira-Filho RM, da Silva ZL, Borelli P, Tavares dL 2004 Regulation of allergic lung inflammation in rats: interaction between estradiol and corticosterone. *Neuroimmunomodulation* **11**:20-27

Matsubara S, Swasey CH, Loader JE, Dakhama A, Joetham A, Ohnishi H, Balhorn A, Miyahara N, Takeda K, Gelfand EW. (2007) Estrogen Determines Gender Differences

JPET #136275 **21**

in Airway Responsiveness After Allergen Exposure. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol*. [Epub ahead of print]

Melgert BN, Ray A, Hylkema MN, Timens W, Postma DS. (2007) Are there reasons why adult asthma is more common in females? Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 7:143-150.

Moore WC, Peters SP (2006) Severe asthma: an overview. J Allergy *Clin Immunol* **117**:487-494

Oliver BG, Black JL. (2006) Airway smooth muscle and asthma. *Allergol Int.* **55**:215-223.

Shang Y (2006) Molecular mechanisms of oestrogen and SERMs in endometrial carcinogenesis. *Nat Rev Cancer* **6**:360-368

Shirai M, Sato A, Chida K (1995) The influence of ovarian hormones on the granulomatous inflammatory process in the rat lung. *Eur Respir J* 8:272-277

Speyer CL, Rancilio NJ, McClintock SD, Crawford JD, Gao H, Sarma JV, Ward PA (2005) Regulatory effects of estrogen on acute lung inflammation in mice. Am J *Physiol Cell Physiol* **288**:C881-C890

Underwood SL, Haddad e, Birrell MA, McCluskie K, Pecoraro M, Dabrowski D, Webber SE, Foster ML, Belvisi MG (2002) Functional characterization and biomarker

JPET Fast Forward. Published on March 28, 2008 as DOI: 10.1124/jpet.108.136275 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

identification in the Brown Norway model of allergic airway inflammation. $Br\,J$ Pharmacol 137:263-275

Vogelvang TE, van der Mooren MJ, Mijatovic V (2004) Hormone replacement therapy, selective estrogen receptor modulators, and tissue-specific compounds: cardiovascular effects and clinical implications. *Treat Endocrinol* **3**:105-115

Footnotes

The authors were funded by Imperial College, Imperial College Trust or the Royal Brompton & Harefield Hospital. ERB-041 was a gift from GlaxoSmithKline PLC

Legends for Figures

Figure 1: Expression of ER β in human cells.

A: The expression of ER β mRNA in primary human lung cells was measured using

RT-PCR from donors macrophages (n=6, mean age 48, age range 34 to 59, 4 female

and 2 male), human airway smooth muscle (n=8 mean age 43, age range 34 to 54, 3

female and 5 male), primary airway epithelial cells (n=2, mean age 40, age range 38

to 42, 2 female). ERβ mRNA expression in THP-1 cells (n=6) and A549 (n=6) cell

lines. Data is expressed as mean \pm s.e.mean (2^{- Δ}Ct 10⁻⁶)

B: Representative blot showing expression of ERβ protein in HASM cells by

Western analysis.

Figure 2: Expression of ERβ mRNA in Brown Norway rat lung after ovalbumin

challenge in sensitised rats.

ERβ mRNA expression in the lungs taken at various time points after antigen

challenge in sensitised Brown Norway rat. Data is expressed as mean ± s.e.mean (2

 $^{\Delta}$ Ct 10⁻⁶), n = 8. * indicates statistical (Student T test) significance from time-matched

vehicle control group.

Figure 3: Impact of ERβ agonist (ERB-041) on IL-1β-induced cytokine release

from cultured human airway smooth muscle.

Cells were growth arrested for 24 hours and pre-treated for 30 min with indomethacin

prior to treatment with ERB-041. Twenty-four hours after stimulation with IL-1β

supernatants were collected and assayed for cytokine release (A: GM-CSF; B: G-CSF;

C: IL-8). Dexamethasone was included as positive control. Cell viability was assessed

by MTT (D). Data is expressed as a mean of two determinates from 4 patients ±

s.e.mean (mean age 43, age range 35 to 50, 2 female and 2 male). # indicates statistical (Student T test, Mann-Whitney) significance difference from non-stimulated vehicle control, * indicates statistical (One-way ANOVA, Dunn post-test) significance difference from non-stimulated vehicle control,

Figure 4: ERB-041 pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics.

Sensitised BN rats were dosed with ERB-041 and then challenged with ovalbumin. Plasma levels 5 hours after the last oral dose and levels of compound determined (A) The expression of IGFBP4 mRNA (marker of ER β activation) in the lung tissue 5 hours after the last oral dose measured using RT-PCR (B). Data (n=8) is expressed as means \pm s.e.mean.

Figure 5: Effect of ERB-041 on antigen-induced airway eosinophilia.

Sensitised BN rats were dosed with ERB-041 and then challenged with ovalbumin. Twenty-fours later the BALF and lung samples were collected. Eosinophilia was assessed in the BALF (A) and lung tissue (B). Data (n=12) is expressed as means ± s.e.mean. # indicates statistical (Student T test, Mann-Whitney) significance difference from vehicle dosed / saline challenged group, * indicates statistical (Oneway ANOVA, Dunn post-test) significance difference from vehicle dosed / OVA challenged group/non-stimulated vehicle control.

Figure 1A:




Figure 1B:




Figure 2:







Figure 3:










Figure 4A




Figure 4B




Figure 5A:




Figure 5B:

