Antinociceptive Interactions between the Imidazoline I$_2$ Receptor Agonist 2-BFI and Opioids in Rats: Role of Efficacy at the $\mu$-Opioid Receptor
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ABSTRACT

Although $\mu$-opioids have been reported to interact favorably with imidazoline I$_2$ receptor (I$_2$R) ligands in animal models of chronic pain, the dependence on the $\mu$-opioid receptor ligand efficacy on these interactions had not been previously investigated. This study systematically examined the interactions between the selective I$_2$ receptor ligand 2-(2-benzofuranyl)-2-imidazoline hydrochloride (2-BFI) and three $\mu$-opioid receptor ligands of varying efficacies: fentanyl (high efficacy), buprenorphine (medium-low efficacy), and 17-cyclopropylmethyl-3,14-epoxy-6-$\alpha$-[3'-isoquinolyl] acetamido] morphine (NAQ); very low efficacy. The von Frey test of mechanical nociception and Hargreaves test of thermal nociception were used to examine the antihyperalgesic effects of drug combinations in complete Freund’s adjuvant–induced inflammatory pain in rats. Food-reinforced schedule-controlled responding was used to examine the rate-suppressing effects of each drug combination. Dose-addition and isobolographical analyses were used to characterize the nature of drug-drug interactions in each assay. 2-BFI and fentanyl fully reversed both mechanical and thermal nociception, whereas buprenorphine significantly reversed thermal but only slightly reversed mechanical nociception. NAQ was ineffective in both nociception assays. When studied in combination with fentanyl, NAQ acted as a competitive antagonist (apparent pA$_2$ value: 6.19), 2-BFI/fentanyl mixtures produced additive to infra-additive analgesic interactions, 2-BFI/buprenorphine mixtures produced supra-additive to infra-additive interactions, and 2-BFI/NAQ mixtures produced supra-additive to additive interactions in the nociception assays. The effects of all combinations on schedule-controlled responding were generally additive. Results consistent with these were found in experiments using female rats. These findings indicate that lower-efficacy $\mu$-opioid receptor agonists may interact more favorably with I$_2$R ligands than high-efficacy $\mu$-opioid receptor agonists.

Introduction

Chronic pain is the single largest health care challenge facing the United States. It affects almost one-third of Americans, with an estimated annual cost of $600 billion in treatment and lost productivity, and severely impacts quality of life, second only to bipolar disorder as the leading cause of suicide among all medical illnesses (Asmundson and Katz, 2009; Elman et al., 2013; National Institutes of Health, 2013). With pain research underfunded and clinicians undertaught on the subject, the problem of pain management is exacerbated by the lack of significant breakthrough pharmacotherapies in the past 50 years (Kissin, 2010). Opioids are still the standard against which all analgesics are compared despite being plagued by side effects, including respiratory depression, sedation, and constipation. High abuse liability and analgesic tolerance on top of these side effects make opioid monotherapy strategies poorly suited to controlling chronic pain. A promising strategy for better treatments is combination therapy, which combines two or more drugs in a treatment regimen to increase intended therapeutic effects and decrease side effects that result from using higher doses of either drug alone (Smith, 2008; Gilron et al., 2013).

Many recent preclinical studies have established the imidazoline I$_2$ receptor (I$_2$R) as a promising target to treat chronic pain, both as monotherapy and when combined with $\mu$-opioids (Ferrari et al., 2011; Lanza et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Thorn et al., 2015). The ability of I$_2$R ligands to enhance $\mu$-opioid agonist–induced analgesia in acute pain models, whereas these drugs alone do not produce antinociception (Li et al., 2011b; Thorn et al., 2011; Sampson et al., 2012), demonstrates a unique relationship between these two receptor systems. However, the pool of previous studies used a relatively limited number of $\mu$-opioids (e.g., morphine, oxycodone) which have
relatively high efficacy and are often accompanied by strong side effects (Meert and Vermeirsch, 2005). Given the positive interaction profiles from these former studies, an even more attractive regimen may be to combine I2R ligands with lower-efficacy $\mu$-opioids which have milder side effects than their high-efficacy counterparts. In such a case, low-dose combinations of I2R ligands and low-efficacy $\mu$-opioid receptor agonists may provide adequate analgesia with few side effects.

This study used a quantitative and systematic approach to examine the antihyperalgesic effects of the selective I2R agonist 2-(2-benzofuranyl)-2-imidazoline hydrochloride (2-BFI) alone and in combination with opioids of varying efficacies using the von Frey test of mechanical nociception and the Hargreaves test of thermal nociception in adult male and female rats with complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA)–induced inflammatory pain. The $\mu$ receptor ligands studied were fentanyl (high efficacy), buprenorphine (medium-low efficacy), and 17-cyclopropylmethyl-3,14$\beta$-dihydroxy-4,5$\alpha$-epoxy-6$\alpha$-((3$'\beta$-isoquinolyl)acetamido)morphine (NAQ) (very low efficacy) (Li et al., 2009a). Dose-addition and isobolographical analyses were used to quantitatively examine the nature of the 2-BFI–opioid interactions. Additionally, we examined the effects of 2-BFI alone and in combination with these opioids on schedule-controlled responding in adult male rats to address the possibility of behavioral suppression with the observed antihyperalgesic effects.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects.** Male ($n = 128$) and female ($n = 19$) Sprague-Dawley rats (Harlan, Indianapolis, IN) approximately 10 weeks old at the onset of the experiment were housed individually on a 12/12-hour light/dark cycle (behavioral experiments were conducted during the light period). Subjects had free access to water, except during testing sessions. Animals used in pain tests had free access to standard rodent chow in their home cages and were randomly assigned to different study groups ($n = 6–7/group$). Animals used in the schedule-controlled responding studies ($n = 8$ males) were provided with restricted access to food after their daily sessions, such that their body weights were maintained at 85% of their free-feeding counterparts. Animals were maintained and experiments were conducted in accordance with guidelines of the International Association for the Study of Pain (Zimmermann, 1983) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, University at Buffalo, the State University of New York (Buffalo, NY), and with the 2011 Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources on Life Sciences, National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC).

**Induction of inflammatory pain.** Inflammatory pain was induced by CFA inoculation, as previously described (Li et al., 2014). In brief, 0.1 ml of CFA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) containing approximately 0.05 mg of Mycobacterium butyricum dissolved in paraffin oil was injected in the right foot pad (hind paw) of rats under isoflurane anesthesia (2% isoflurane mixed with 100% oxygen). The level of anesthesia was assessed by the loss of righting reflex. Since neither the course of hyperalgesia nor repeated treatment affects results (Li et al., 2014; Thorn et al., 2015), mechanical nociception tests were conducted 24 hours after CFA inoculation, and thermal nociception tests were conducted after an additional 24 hours (total of 48 hours post-CFA). In Figs. 1, 3, and 4, one group of rats was used to study the effects of each drug or drug combination in both assays of hyperalgesia.

**Mechanical Hyperalgesia.** Mechanical hyperalgesia was measured using the von Frey filament consisting of calibrated filaments (1.4–26 g; North Coast Medical, Morgan Hill, CA). Rats ($n = 6$ per

---

**Fig. 1.** Percentage of maximum possible effects of 2-BFI and $\mu$-opioid receptor ligands on CFA-induced mechanical nociception ($n = 6/group$) (top), CFA-induced thermal nociception ($n = 6/group$) (middle), and schedule-controlled responding ($n = 8$) (bottom). Ordinates: percentage of maximum possible effects (top and middle) or percentage of control responding rate (bottom); abscissa: drug doses (mg/kg, i.p.). PWT, paw withdrawal threshold.
group) were placed in elevated plastic chambers with a wire mesh floor (IITC Life Science Inc., Woodland Hills, CA) immediately before the test. Filaments were applied perpendicularly to the medial plantar surface of the hind paw from below the mesh floor in an ascending order, beginning with the lowest filament (1.4 g). A filament was applied until buckling occurred and maintained for approximately 2 seconds. Mechanical thresholds [expressed in percentage of maximum possible effect (\%MPE)] correspond to the lowest force that elicited a behavioral response (withdrawal of the hind paw) in at least two out of three applications. Tests were performed as cumulatively dosed multiple-cycle procedures, where measurements were taken immediately prior to drug administration, then 20 minutes after drug administration before the next drug administration. These cycles continued until near 100% MPE was achieved (corresponding to 26 g) or until doses caused generalized behavioral suppression. Forces larger than 26 g would physically elevate the non-CFA-treated paw and did not reflect pain-like behavior. When 2-BFI and opioids were studied in combination in males, they were prepared in a mixture and administered as one injection. For the experiment examining the duration of action of NAQ for antagonizing a fixed dose of fentanyl-induced (0.1 mg/kg) antihyperalgesia as measured by the von Frey filament test, three groups of rats (n = 6/group, different pretreatments: saline, 3.2, or 5.6 mg/kg NAQ) were tested six times each with two drug-free days interspersed among the tests. The tests were always conducted 20 minutes after fentanyl administration, with pretreatments given before progressively increased pretreatment times. For the experiment examining the magnitude of antagonism of the fentanyl antinociception dose-effect curve by NAQ, control fentanyl dose-effect curves were first established in different groups of rats. Two days following the fentanyl test, NAQ was given as a single pretreatment 10 minutes before re-establishing the fentanyl dose-effect curve. When 2-BFI and opioids were studied in combination in females, each group of rats was tested three times, with each group only receiving one opioid. Two days were interspersed among the tests, and for each test, a single pretreatment of one opioid was given 10 minutes prior to establishing the 2-BFI dose-effect curve. Experimenters were blind to the treatments, and they received extensive training with this procedure to ensure accurate judgment of paw withdrawal responses and minimize experimenter bias.

Thermal Hyperalgesia. Thermal hyperalgesia was measured using a plantar test apparatus (IITC Life Science Inc.), wherein the paw withdrawal latency (PWL) in response to a thermal stimulus was measured using a plantar test apparatus (IITC Life Science Inc.), wherein the paw withdrawal latency (PWL) was measured immediately after drug administration or until doses that caused generalized behavioral suppression. For the study that examined combinations of NAQ and fentanyl, dose ratios were determined for each rat by dividing the ED50 values for fentanyl studied in combination with each dose of NAQ by the ED50 value for fentanyl studied alone. Schild analysis was conducted as described previously (Li et al., 2009b) using the method of Arunlakshana and Schild (1959). The Schild plot was constructed by plotting the log of the dose ratio (agonist with antagonist divided by agonist alone) − 1 as a function of the negative log dose of antagonist (moles per kilogram). A straight line was simultaneously fitted to the Schild plot using GraphPad Prism version 5.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA) and the following equation: log (dose ratio − 1) = −log (molar dose of antagonist) × slope + intercept. Apparent affinity (paAg) values and 95% CIs with unconstrained slopes were determined for each subject. Slopes of Schild plots were considered to conform to unity when the 95% CI included −1 and did not include 0 (Paronis and Bergman, 1999). For the study that examined the interactions between 2-BFI and opioids in male rats, a fixed proportion dose-addition analysis method was used as described previously (An et al., 2012; Li et al., 2011a,b, 2014). For this analysis, two drugs were combined in fixed proportions (1:1, 1:3, and 3:1) and administered using the cumulative dosing procedure as described earlier. The actual doses of the drugs in the combination were determined by the relative potencies of each drug (based on the ED50 values) in each assay. For example, the 1:1 ratio of 2-BFI/fentanyl consisted of 1 × ED50 of 2-BFI (7.08 mg/kg) and 1 × ED50 of fentanyl (0.013 mg/kg) from the mechanical nociception test. Fractions of this mixture (the combined 0.125 ×, 0.25 ×, 0.5 ×, and 1 × ED50 values of 2-BFI and fentanyl) were administered consecutively by a cumulative dosing
procedure to complete one dose-effect curve test. By this method, the 1:3 ratio consisted of 0.5 × ED\text{50} of 2-BFI and 1.5 × ED\text{50} of fentanyl, and the 3:1 ratio consisted of 1.5 × ED\text{50} of 2-BFI and 0.5 × ED\text{50} of fentanyl. In some cases, the ED\text{50} of a drug could not be calculated due to low efficacy. For buprenorphine, combinations of 2-BFI/buprenorphine for the assay of mechanical nociception were based on the ED\text{50} ratio of these two drugs alone in the assay of thermal nociception. For NAQ, combinations of 2-BFI/NAQ for the assays of mechanical and thermal nociception were based on the ED\text{50} ratio of these two drugs alone in schedule-controlled responding. The shared dose-effect curves of the drug mixtures were determined, and the individual ED\text{50} values of the two drugs in a mixture were calculated. Isobolograms were constructed to visually represent the nature of the drug interactions as additive, supra-additive, or infra-additive. Dose-addition analysis was also performed as described previously (Tullarida, 2000). When both drugs were active in an assay, expected additive ED\text{50} values (±95% CL) (Z\text{add}) were calculated from the equation: $Z_{\text{add}} = \alpha A + (1-\alpha) B$, where A is the ED\text{50} of 2-BFI alone, B is the ED\text{50} of the opioid alone, and α is the fractional multiplier of A in the computation of the additive total dose (e.g., $\alpha = 0.5$ when fixed ratio was 1:1). When only one drug was active in an assay, the hypothesis of additivity predicts that the inactive drug should not contribute to the effects of the mixture, and the equation reduces to $Z_{\text{add}} = \alpha A$, where $\alpha$ is the proportion of 2-BFI in the total drug dose. Experimental ED\text{50} values (±95% CL) (Z\text{mix}) were determined from the 1:3, 1:1, and 3:1 combinations and were defined as the sum of the ED\text{50} values of both drugs in the combination. Effects were considered significant if the $Z_{\text{add}}$ and $Z_{\text{mix}}$ 95% confidence limits did not overlap. If $Z_{\text{mix}}$ was significantly less than $Z_{\text{add}}$, the interaction was considered supra-additive. If $Z_{\text{mix}}$ was significantly greater than $Z_{\text{add}}$, the interaction was considered infra-additive.

To evaluate drug interactions across assays, the relative potency of each drug or drug combination in the assay of schedule-controlled responding and mechanical or thermal nociception was quantified according to the following equation: dose ratio = $Z_{\text{mix}}$ in schedule-controlled responding / $Z_{\text{mix}}$ in thermal or mechanical nociception (Negus et al., 2008). A dose ratio >1 indicates that the drug or mixture tended to be more potent in an assay of nociception, whereas a dose ratio <1 indicates that the drug or mixture tended to be more potent in the assay of schedule-controlled responding. The dose ratio of each drug or drug combination was considered to be statistically significant if the 95% confidence limits of the $Z_{\text{mix}}$ values in the two procedures did not overlap.

For the study that examined the interactions between 2-BFI and opioids in female rats, the ED\text{50} values (±95% CL) of 2-BFI with opioid pretreatment were compared with the ED\text{50} values (±95% CL) of 2-BFI without opioid pretreatment. If the confidence limits did not overlap, the effect was considered significant.

**Drugs.** 2-BFI hydrochloride was synthesized according to standard procedures (Ishihara and Togo, 2007), as was NAQ (Li et al., 2009a). Buprenorphine hydrochloride and fentanyl hydrochloride were provided by Research Technology Branch, National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health (Rockville, MD). All drugs were dissolved in 0.9% saline and administered intraperitoneally.

### Results

CFA injection into the right hindpaw of rats produced mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia that persisted well beyond the duration of the experiments of this study as described previously (Li et al., 2014; Thorn et al., 2015). Mean pre-CFA baseline values (±S.E.M.) of 25.1 ± 0.9 g and 19.42 ± 0.34 seconds for paw withdrawal threshold and PWL, respectively, were reduced to average post-CFA values of 5.9 ± 0.2 g and 8.41 ± 0.31 seconds. To demonstrate the antinociceptive effectiveness of 2-BFI, fentanyl, buprenorphine, and NAQ, we performed multiple-cycle cumulatively dosed tests of each drug alone in the assays of mechanical and thermal nociception in separate groups of rats for each drug (Fig. 1). 2-BFI produced dose-dependent antihyperalgesia and elicited >90% MPE in both assays at 17.8 mg/kg. Fentanyl also produced dose-dependent antihyperalgesia and elicited >90% MPE in both assays at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg. Buprenorphine lost dose dependence and reached a peak effect of 27.1 ± 6.1% MPE in the assay of mechanical nociception, reflecting its lower efficacy property at the μ-opioid receptor. However, buprenorphine dose dependently increased the paw withdrawal threshold and reached >90% MPE at 1.0 mg/kg in the thermal nociception test (Fig. 1). This discrepancy between nociceptive assays for buprenorphine has been documented previously (Meert and Vermeirsch, 2005). NAQ produced no antihyperalgesia (3.5 ± 2.2%) in the mechanical test and modest but statistically significant antihyperalgesia (29.0 ± 9.8%) in the thermal nociception test up to a dose of 32 mg/kg (Fig. 1). Higher doses were not pursued due to behavioral suppression. The ED\text{50} values of the drugs alone for the nociceptive assays are presented in Table 1. To address generalized behavioral suppression (e.g., motor impairment) that may influence the results of these two assays, we also investigated the response rate–suppressing effect of each drug. The average control response rate (±S.E.M.), determined on the 2 days preceding test days throughout the study, was 0.71 ± 0.02 responses/s. Response rates across the six cycles of the procedure were very stable (0.72 ± 0.04, 0.72 ± 0.04, 0.72 ± 0.04, 0.70 ± 0.04, 0.70 ± 0.05, and 0.68 ± 0.05 responses/s, respectively). All four drugs dose dependently decreased the rate of responding, and the ED\text{50} values of the drugs alone for this assay are also presented in Table 1.

Relative potency values between 2-BFI and the opioids were determined. The values for 2-BFI/fentanyl were 545:1 for the mechanical nociception assay and 384:1 for the thermal nociception assay. The value used for 2-BFI/buprenorphine was 714:1 for both nociception assays since an ED\text{50} value for buprenorphine could not be calculated in the mechanical nociception test. The value used for 2-BFI/NAQ was 0.86:1.
since an ED50 value for NAQ could only be calculated in the schedule-controlled responding experiment. These relative potencies for each \( \mu \)-opioid receptor ligand in comparison with 

2-BFI were then used to determine the proportions of each in drug mixtures. 

To confirm NAQ acts at the \( \mu \)-opioid receptor in vivo, and to gain more information about its action, we tested it in combination with fentanyl in the mechanical nociception assay. Different pretreatment times (5, 30, 60, and 120 minutes) with 3.2 or 5.6 mg/kg NAQ were able to antagonize the antihyperalgesic effects of 0.1 mg/kg fentanyl (Fig. 2, top). Because NAQ alone was ineffective in the mechanical nociception assay, we next examined whether NAQ acted as an antagonist at the \( \mu \)-receptor. We performed a Schild analysis by establishing full fentanyl dose-effect curves following injections of several doses of NAQ (Fig. 2, middle). NAQ dose-dependently shifted the fentanyl dose-effect curve rightward in a parallel manner. The ED50 values of fentanyl with NAQ were compared with the ED50 values of fentanyl alone to generate a series of dose ratios (Table 2). The Schild plot was constructed as described in Materials and Methods (Fig. 2, bottom). The average of the slopes of the individual regression lines was not significantly different from –1 (unity), suggesting that NAQ blocked the antinociceptive effect of fentanyl in a simple, reversible, and competitive manner, and that in this assay, NAQ acted as a competitive \( \mu \) receptor antagonist. The apparent pA2 value of NAQ was 6.19 (5.90–6.49). 

Figure 3 shows the dose-effect curves for 2-BFI administered alone and in combination with different proportions of each \( \mu \)-opioid. The left panels show results of the mechanical nociception test, the middle panels show results of the thermal nociception test, and the right panels show the results of the schedule-controlled responding assay. The ED50 values of drugs in the dose-effect curves were calculated and used to perform isobolographical analyses (Fig. 4). Dose-addition analysis was also performed. Table 3 shows predicted \( Z_{\text{add}} \) values and empirically determined \( Z_{\text{mix}} \) values for each drug mixture. From these quantitative tests, we were able to classify the nature of drug-drug interactions for each mixture. In general, 2-BFI/fentanyl mixtures produced effects consistent with those expected. Exceptions were 1:1 and 1:3 2-BFI/fentanyl mixtures in the thermal nociception test, which produced infra-additive interactions, and 1:3 2-BFI/fentanyl doses from the thermal nociception test when used for schedule-controlled responding, which also produced infra-additive effects. 2-BFI/buprenorphine mixtures generally produced additive effects. Exceptions were in the mechanical nociception test, in which 1:3 and 1:1 combinations produced supra-additive effects. 2-BFI/NAQ mixtures generally produced additive effects, with the exception of all mixtures in the mechanical nociception test and the 1:3 mixture in the thermal nociception test, which produced supra-additive effects.

Table 4 shows dose ratios for the potency of each drug and drug combination in decreasing the rate of operant responding versus producing mechanical and thermal antihyperalgesia. 2-BFI alone was slightly more potent in the assay of mechanical nociception, but roughly equipotent in the assay of thermal nociception. Fentanyl alone was significantly more potent in both assays of nociception. Buprenorphine was significantly

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>ED50 (95% CL)</th>
<th>Dose Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl alone</td>
<td>0.018 (0.012, 0.027)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32 NAQ/fent</td>
<td>0.000 (0.021, 0.049)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl alone</td>
<td>0.021 (0.122, 0.035)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 NAQ/fent</td>
<td>0.056 (0.03, 0.098)</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl alone</td>
<td>0.016 (0.009, 0.030)</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 NAQ/fent</td>
<td>0.137 (0.087, 0.216)</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl alone</td>
<td>0.028 (0.032, 0.036)</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 NAQ/fent</td>
<td>0.459 (0.327, 0.644)</td>
<td>16.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

fent, fentanyl.
more potent in the assay of thermal nociception, but dose ratios for buprenorphine in the assay of mechanical nociception and NAQ in either assay of nociception could not be calculated due to low efficacy.

Mixtures of 2-BFI and fentanyl tended to produce dose ratios >1. The effect was significant for both nociceptive assays in the 1:3 2-BFI/fentanyl combination, although the ratios were not much different from those of fentanyl alone. The other 2-BFI/fentanyl combinations produced dose ratios >2 in the assay of mechanical nociception and were roughly equipotent in the assay of thermal nociception. Mixtures of 2-BFI and buprenorphine tended to produce dose ratios >1. The effect was significant for both assays of nociception in the 1:3 2-BFI/buprenorphine combination; however, the dose ratio in the thermal nociception assay was much lower than that of buprenorphine alone. The 1:1 2-BFI/buprenorphine combination produced a statistically significant dose ratio of 3.96 in the assay of mechanical nociception, but these two drugs were roughly equipotent in the remaining assays. Mixtures of 2-BFI/NAQ tended to produce dose ratios of roughly 1. In the assay of mechanical nociception, the 1:3 and 1:1 combinations were significantly more potent, but in all other cases, the results were roughly equipotent. Thus, whereas dose-addition analysis indicated that some 2-BFI/buprenorphine and 2-BFI/NAQ combinations produced supra-additive effects in assays of nociception and additive effects in the assay of schedule-controlled responding, dose-ratio analysis also revealed significant differences in the relative potencies of some of these mixtures (e.g., 1:3 and 1:3 2-BFI/NAQ), but not others (e.g., 3:1 2-BFI/NAQ), to produce two different behavioral effects.

Because chronic pain was reported to be mediated differently in male than female rodents (Sorge et al., 2015), and since gender differences may exist in μ-opioid receptor–mediated analgesia (Cicero et al., 1996; Craft et al., 2001; Stoffel et al., 2005; Peckham and Traynor, 2006), we investigated whether the aforementioned findings applied to female rats as well. In CFA-treated female rats, the ED₅₀ values (95% CL) of 2-BFI and fentanyl were
The maximum effect levels (± S.E.M.) of buprenorphine and NAQ were 17.1 ± 1.5% and 4.7 ± 3.2%, respectively (Fig. 5, left panel). To compare these values to those determined in male rats, data were converted to maximum effect level (95% CL). The maximum effect level of buprenorphine in females [17.1% (14.3, 20.0%)] overlapped with that of the male rats [27.1% (15.1, 39.1%)], and the maximum effect level of NAQ in females [4.7% (−1.5, 10.9%)] also overlapped with that of the male rats [3.5% (−0.9, 7.9)]. To investigate 2-BFI–opoid interactions in females, a single 10-minute pretreatment of a μ-opioid receptor ligand was given before determining the 2-BFI dose-effect curve. Fentanyl, buprenorphine, and NAQ were all able to shift the dose-effect curve of 2-BFI leftward to a level of statistical significance (Fig. 5, three right panels). Table 5 gives the ED50 values of 2-BFI in each combination and the dose-ratio values.

**Discussion**

The main finding of this study was that low-efficacy μ-opioid receptor ligands were able to selectively enhance 2-BFI–induced antihyperalgesia in a rat model of persistent inflammatory pain. Buprenorphine and NAQ, two low-efficacy μ-opioid receptor ligands that were ineffective in at least one of the nociceptive assays, produced supra-additive effects in one or both of the nociceptive assays when combined with 2-BFI. In contrast, the full μ-opioid receptor agonist fentanyl produced additive to infra-additive effects in all assays when combined with 2-BFI. Consistent results were found in experiments using female subjects. These results suggest that lower-efficacy μ-opioid receptor ligands may be useful to
combine with imidazoline I2R ligands for pain management and represent an advantage over current analgesics, both for therapeutic efficacy and when considering side effects that plague strong opioid compounds. For example, I2R ligands appear to be dependent on the I2R ligand (Lanza et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Thorn et al., 2015) or the behavioral tests (Li et al., 2011b, 2014). The interactions between 2-BFI and fentanyl in the thermal nociception test were somewhat additive to infra-additive interactions between 2-BFI and NAQ, 1:1 and 1:3 2-BFI/buprenorphine 3.71* 1.47*.

TABLE 4
Dose ratios of 2-BFI alone, opioids alone, and 2-BFI+opioid mixtures to produce antinociception versus suppression of operant responding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical</th>
<th>Thermal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-BFI alone</td>
<td>1.39 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl alone</td>
<td>4.00* 2.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2 B-FI/fentanyl</td>
<td>4.63* 1.55*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2 B-FI/fentanyl</td>
<td>2.07* 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:1 2-BFI/fentanyl</td>
<td>2.06* 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine alone</td>
<td>&lt;0.43 10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2 B-FI/buprenorphine</td>
<td>3.71* 1.47*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2 B-FI/buprenorphine</td>
<td>3.96* 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2 B-FI/buprenorphine</td>
<td>1.07 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAQ alone</td>
<td>&lt;0.35 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2 B-FI/NAQ</td>
<td>2.17* 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2 B-FI/NAQ</td>
<td>3.48* 1.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:1 2-BFI/NAQ</td>
<td>1.57* 0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aDose ratio for a combination is greater than dose ratio for either component drug. bDrug or drug mixture was significantly more potent in the assay of nociception as determined by nonoverlapping confidence limits of Zmax values.
The goal of this study was to examine the role that $\mu$-opioid receptor efficacy plays in determining the antinociceptive interactions between 2-BFI and opioids. To this end, we used the compound buprenorphine as an intermediate-efficacy $\mu$-opioid receptor ligand and the compound NAQ as a very low-efficacy $\mu$-opioid receptor ligand. NAQ, although not extensively characterized in vivo, was reported to be a selective and very low-efficacy $\mu$-opioid receptor agonist, capable of antagonizing several morphine-elicited behavioral effects (Li et al., 2009a; Zhang et al., 2014). In line with this previous characterization, NAQ produced little effect in the mechanical nociception test and antagonized fentanyl-induced hyperalgesia in a manner consistent with that of a competitive $\mu$-opioid receptor antagonist. However, this did not preclude NAQ from displaying a modest degree of efficacy in the thermal nociception test. Efficacy differences between mechanical and thermal nociceptive assays have been documented before, with buprenorphine as one example in the present and previous investigations (Meert and Vermeirsch, 2005). Such differences are likely due to the varying efficacy demands of the pain assays. Surprisingly, however, buprenorphine and NAQ enhanced the antihyperalgesic effects of 2-BFI to a greater degree than fentanyl did. Moreover, NAQ elicited supra-additive effects in one or even both nociceptive assays (e.g., 1.3 2-BFI/NAQ) while eliciting only additive effects in the schedule-controlled responding assay. These results seem to indicate that combinations of low-efficacy $\mu$-opioid receptor ligands and 2-BFI are superior to combinations of high-efficacy $\mu$-opioid receptor ligands and 2-BFI for the selective reduction CFA-induced hyperalgesia.

Our experiments in female subjects used a different design than in male subjects (fixed-dose $\mu$-opioid pretreatment) and were conducted in only one assay (mechanical nociception). These factors permit a more restricted degree of interpretation, analysis, and comparison with our experiments in male rats. Nonetheless, a greater leftward shift was produced by pretreatments with buprenorphine or NAQ than with fentanyl, and the findings in female rats appear to be consistent with those in male rats. Although recent studies have suggested the importance of gonadal steroids in pain sensitivity (Kumar et al., 2015; Sorge et al., 2015; Taves et al., 2015) and opioid analgesia (Cicero et al., 1996; Craft et al., 2001; Stoffel et al., 2005; Peckham and Traynor, 2006), the current investigation did not find significant differences between males and females for any drug alone in the mechanical nociception test. One possibility for the lack of observed differences is that the impact of gonadal hormones on the drug effect was small, which was lost in averaged group data.

Numerous studies have examined interactions between $\mu$-opioid receptor agonists and various classes of adjunct compounds in assays of nociception and schedule-controlled responding. The following are among the adjuncts that have been demonstrated to selectively enhance $\mu$-opioid antinociception over rate suppression: selective serotonin uptake inhibitors (Gatch et al., 1998; Banks et al., 2010), a $\delta$-opioid receptor agonist (Stevenson et al., 2003), an N-Methyl-D-aspartate antagonist (Fischer and Dykstra, 2006), metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 and metabotropic glutamate receptor 2/3 antagonists (Fischer et al., 2008), and cannabinoids (Maguire and France, 2014). Interestingly, in some cases, greater antinociceptive enhancement of low-compared to high-efficacy $\mu$ agonists was reported (Gatch et al., 1998; Banks et al., 2010), whereas in other cases, the opposite was found (Maguire and France, 2014). These studies represent promising potential novel pain therapies; however, subsequent clinical testing of these therapies has not been performed. Our study with the I$_{3}$R ligand 2-BFI adds to the list of potential $\mu$-opioid receptor adjuncts that could be used to treat chronic pain. More specifically, 2-BFI produced results similar to those in the studies using serotonin uptake inhibitors, where lower-efficacy $\mu$ agonists were enhanced more greatly than high-efficacy $\mu$ agonists. This puts forth the additional attractive option to use low-efficacy $\mu$ agonists, which likely

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>$\text{ED}_{50}$ (95% CL)</th>
<th>Dose Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-BFI alone</td>
<td>7.62 (6.10, 9.55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01 Fentanyl + 2-BFI</td>
<td>5.25 (4.68, 5.88)a</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01 Bup + 2-BFI</td>
<td>2.86 (2.05, 3.99)a</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 Bup + 2-BFI</td>
<td>1.95 (1.50, 2.54)a</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 NAQ + 2-BFI</td>
<td>4.21 (3.23, 5.51)a</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 NAQ + 2-BFI</td>
<td>2.40 (1.89, 3.06)a</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bup, buprenorphine.

*aConfidence limits of combination do not overlap with confidence limits of 2-BFI alone.

Fig. 5. Percentage of maximum possible effects of 2-BFI and $\mu$-opioid receptor ligands on CFA-induced mechanical nociception in female rats ($n = 6–7$ group) (left). Enhancement of 2-BFI-induced antinociception by fentanyl (middle left), buprenorphine (middle right), or NAQ (right). Ordinates: percentage of maximum possible effects (top and middle) or percentage of control responding rate (bottom); abscissa: drug doses (mg/kg, i.p.) (left) or dose of 2-BFI (mg/kg, i.p.) (three right panels). PWT, paw withdrawal threshold.
have milder side effects and lower abuse liability than their higher-efficacy counterparts. These promising findings have not been tested in controlled clinical trials, which reflects the reality that preclinical findings are way ahead of relevant clinical studies and highlights the necessity of expedited translational studies to eventually introduce these potentially valuable pain adjuvants into clinical practice. Interestingly, a recent study demonstrated that NAQ has sufficient efficacy to produce weak facilitation of intracranial self-stimulation in morphine-naive rats (Altarifi et al., 2015), suggesting the possibility of its abuse potential. Abuse potential of opioids is one important factor that limits its adequate clinical use. Future studies that examine the abuse liability of the I$_2$R agonists and opioid combinations will be of interest.

To further analyze the interactions between 2-BFI and opioids across the different assays (assuming that nociception assays are related to therapeutic effects and the operant rate-suppressing effect is related to unwanted effects), a dose-ratio analysis was conducted. As seen from Table 4, several inferences can be drawn. First, the interactions between 2-BFI and opioids are dependent on the efficacy of opioids, with the most favorable interactions seen for 2-BFI–NAQ combinations and least favorable interactions seen for 2-BFI–fentanyl. Second, the interactions were dependent on the nociceptive assays, with more favorable interactions seen in the assay of mechanical nociception and generally unfavorable interactions seen in the assay of thermal nociception. Third, the interactions were dependent on the proportion of 2-BFI–opioid, with more favorable interactions seen when the 2-BFI proportion was low (1:3) than when it was high (3:1). Last, none of the 2-BFI–opioid interactions produced dose ratios higher than fentanyl alone. However, as discussed earlier, since fentanyl use is related to a high rate of unwanted effects, the combination of 2-BFI with low-efficacy opioids (e.g., 1:3 2-BFI/buprenorphine and 1:1 2-BFI/NAQ) may still be clinically beneficial with adequate analgesia and limited unwanted effects.

Although the results of this study are exciting, it is difficult to speculate on the interacting mechanism(s) of these receptor systems that would lead to these findings. Indeed, more is currently known about I$_2$R-mediated behavioral effects and its interactions with other drug targets than about its underlying mechanisms. Previous reports have asserted that a portion of I$_2$Rs exist on a population of monoamine oxidase B (MAO-B) (Sastre and Garcia-Sevilla, 1993; Tesson et al., 1995; Paterson et al., 2007). If true, this might easily explain an array of I$_2$R-related effects. However, it was shown that deprenyl, which is only an MAO-B inhibitor, enhanced morphine antinociception (Sánchez-Blázquez et al., 2000). This suggests that, although a portion of I$_2$Rs seem to inhibit MAO-B, this is not the case for I$_2$/μ receptor interactions. Another interesting possible explanation is the participation of G proteins. Sánchez-Blázquez and colleagues (2000) found that pretreatment of pertussis toxin, which impairs GTP-binding $G_{i/o}$ proteins, blocked the ability of I$_2$Rs to enhance morphine analgesia in mice. To date, no further investigation regarding the relation of I$_2$Rs to G proteins to confirm or deny this possibility has been performed. Last, central glial activity may also play a role in these interactions. Some negative consequences of chronic opioid treatment regimens, such as tolerance and hyperalgesia, have been hypothesized to be mediated by activated spinal microglia (Horvath et al., 2010; Ferrini et al., 2013). Previous reports and our unpublished observations suggest that I$_2$R ligands are capable of attenuating microglial activation in various experimental scenarios (Wang et al., 2009; Ahn et al., 2012). Thus, the attenuation of opioid tolerance by I$_2$R ligand treatment and the selective enhancement of opioid analgesia may fit this hypothesis. Whether this model could explain the acute effects investigated in the present study remains to be seen. Further, although most literature on this subject has used morphine as the prototypical opioid ligand, important differences in addition to efficacy may exist between morphine and compounds such as buprenorphine and NAQ. Together, it seems premature to speculate underlying mechanisms that explain the observed efficacy-dependent interactions of $μ$-opioid receptor agonists and 2-BFI.

In summary, this study found that the varied efficacy of $μ$-opioid receptor ligands (fentanyl > buprenorphine > NAQ) exhibited differing antinociceptive interactions with the selective I$_2$R ligand 2-BFI. In general, opioids with higher efficacy demonstrated additive interactions when studied with 2-BFI for antinociception, whereas opioids with low efficacy demonstrated supra-additive interactions with 2-BFI for antinociception. Results consistent with these were found in experiments using female rats. These findings indicate that lower-efficacy $μ$-opioid receptor agonists may interact more favorably with I$_2$R ligands than high-efficacy $μ$-opioid receptor agonists. Since these results appear to be consistent across a relatively broad range of proportions, such combinations containing lower-efficacy $μ$-opioid receptor agonists likely represent an improvement over the strong opioid compounds currently used to treat chronic pain.
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