Inhibition of Extracellular Cyclophilins with Cyclosporine Analog and Development of Atherosclerosis in Apolipoprotein E–Deficient Mice
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ABSTRACT

Cyclophilins exert both intracellular and extracellular activities related to immune responses and inflammation, which have been implicated in pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. Pan-inhibition of cyclophilins has both pro- and antiatherosclerotic properties, but specific contributions of extracellular and intracellular cyclophilins to these effects have not been characterized. Here, using selective inhibitor of extracellular cyclophilins, we investigated the role of these molecules in atherosclerosis. Apolipoprotein E–null mice fed a high-fat diet received intraperitoneal injections every second day of either vehicle or two analogs of cyclosporine A (CsA): [Melle]4-CsA (NIM811), a nonimmunosuppressive cell-permeable inhibitor of both intracellular and extracellular cyclophilins; and [4R]-4-[[6-carboxy-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl]-methyl]-4-methyl-L-threonine]1-CsA (MM284), cell-impermeable analog only inhibiting extracellular cyclophilins. Development of atherosclerosis and composition of plaques in aorta and innominate artery were studied. Both analogs increased abundance and cross-sectional size of the atherosclerotic plaques in aorta but did not affect development of atherosclerosis in innominate artery. Neither compound affected abundance of macrophages and amount of vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 or nitrotyrosine in the plaques of both arteries. Both compounds reduced the amount of collagen in innominate artery without affecting abundance of collagen in aortic sinus. MM284, but not NIM811, significantly reduced plasma concentration of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα); neither compound affected plasma concentrations of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-10 or monocyte chemoattractant protein-1. Ratio between different populations of immune cells in blood or isolated from lymph nodes and spleen as well as plasma lipoprotein profile were unaffected by both compounds. In conclusion, selective inhibition of extracellular cyclophilins reduced TNFα levels in plasma but increased atherosclerosis.

Introduction

Inflammation is an important element of pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, and cyclophilins are key mediators of inflammation (Kockx et al., 2010; Bukrinsky et al., 2013). Cyclophilins are expressed ubiquitously and participate in many intracellular inflammation-related pathways, including those relevant to the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. For example, they stimulate expression of scavenger receptors (Nigro et al., 2011), activate platelets (Seizer et al., 2015), and regulate normal function of cholesterol transporter ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (Le Goff et al., 2004). Additionally, cyclophilins are secreted both locally and into circulation; secreted extracellular cyclophilins also interfere with pathways relevant to atherosclerosis. Thus, extracellular cyclophilins were shown to activate endothelial cells (Jin et al., 2004), enhance secretion of matrix metalloproteinases by macrophages (Seizer et al., 2010), and proliferation of smooth muscle cells (Jin et al., 2000); they are also powerful chemotactic agents (Bukrinsky, 2002). Given that cyclophilins are involved in a considerable number of atherosclerosis-related pathways, both pro- and antiatherogenic, the overall effect of inhibition of cyclophilins on development of atherosclerosis is difficult to predict and experimental findings are contradictory. Thus, genetic ablation of CypA (cyclophilin A) in apolipoprotein E (apoE)–null mouse model of atherosclerosis was antiatherogenic (Nigro et al., 2011), whereas treatment of apoE–null mice with cyclosporine A (CsA) did not affect development of atherosclerosis (Moghadasian, 2006). In rabbits,
treatment with CsA was proatherogenic in long-term treatment (Roselaar et al., 1995), but antiatherogenic in short-term experiments (Drew and Tipping, 1995). Such equivocal outcomes make pan-inhibition of cyclophilins a poor pharmacological approach for treatment of atherosclerosis and emphasize a need to separate pro- from antiatherogenic effects of cyclophilins.

In this study we tested a hypothesis that selective inhibition of extracellular activities of cyclophilins without affecting their intracellular activities, an approach proven beneficial in allergic lung inflammation (Balsley et al., 2010), may achieve separation of proatherogenic from antiatherogenic effects of cyclophilin inhibition. This anticipation was based on predominantly proinflammatory activities exerted by extracellular cyclophilins (Bukrinsky, 2015). We took advantage of availability of CsA derivative MM284 ([4R]-4-[(6-carboxy-1H-benzo[de]imidazol-2-yl)-methyl]-4-methyl-L-threonine)-1-CsA), a cell-impermeable cyclosporine derivative that only binds to extracellular cyclophilins (Malesevic et al., 2013; Seizer et al., 2015), and compared the effect of MM284 to the effect of NIM811 (Melle)-CsA), a cell-permeable nonimmunosuppressive cyclosporine A derivative, on development of atherosclerosis in an animal model of atherosclerosis. Surprisingly, while MM284 did exert anti-inflammatory activity, it exacerbated atherosclerosis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animal Studies.** Male 8-week-old apoE-deficient mice were placed on high-fat diet (SF-00219; Specialty Feeds, Glen Forrest, WA, Australia) containing 21% fat and 0.15% cholesterol, available ad libitum and separated into three groups of 10 mice. Where possible, each litter was equally split between treatment groups to reduce the possible effect of genetic drift or epigenetic differences between litters. The mice were treated with modified cyclosporine compounds MM284 and NIM811 dissolved in vehicle (15% ethanol in 15% cremophore EL (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO)) at 6.6 mg/kg administered every second day by intraperitoneal injections. Control mice received the same volume of vehicle. After 6 weeks of treatment, the mice were euthanized by CO2 inhalation and blood was collected by cardiac puncture into EDTA tubes. The aorta, aortic sinus, and innominate artery were collected for analysis of plaque development. Spleen and iliac lymph nodes were collected for flow cytometry. All animal experiments were approved by Alfred Medical Research and Education Precinct ethics committee, were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and conformed to the Australian code of practice for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes.

**Histology.** Before collection, aortae were perfused with phosphate-buffered saline containing 1% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum and 2 mM EDTA (FACS buffer). Single cell suspensions were created with gentleMACS tissue dissociator (Mytenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) using C tubes per manufacturer’s instructions. Red blood cells were lysed by short incubation with 156 mM ammonium chloride, and cells were washed twice with FACS buffer. Cells were counted on a Coulter counter and 2 × 10^6 cells were aliquoted for staining. Nonspecific staining was blocked with Mouse BD Fc Block (BD Pharmingen), and the cell suspensions were labeled with anti-CD19 (1D3), -CD4 (RM4-5), -CD8a (53-6.7), -Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), -CD11b (M1/70) (BD Pharmingen), the cell suspensions were labeled with anti-CD19 (1D3), -CD4 (RM4-5), -CD8a (53-6.7), -Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), -CD11b (M1/70) (BD Pharmingen), and -F4/80 (A3-1; AbD Serotec, Kidlington, UK). The antibodies were removed by two rounds of centrifugation and resuspended in FACS buffer. Data were acquired on FACSCanto II (Becton and Dickson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and analyzed on FCAP Array software (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) as per manufacturer’s specifications. Data were collected on FACSCanto II (Becton and Dickson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and analyzed on FCAP Array software (BD Bioscience).

**Immune Cells Analysis.** Spleen and lymph nodes were collected from the mice and placed into phosphate-buffered saline containing 1% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum and 2 mM EDTA (FACS buffer) and counted. Single cell suspensions were created with gentleMACS tissue dissociator (Mytenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) using C tubes per manufacturer’s instructions. Red blood cells were lysed by short incubation with 156 mM ammonium chloride, and cells were washed twice with FACS buffer. Cells were counted on a Coulter counter and 2 × 10^6 cells were aliquoted for staining. Nonspecific staining was blocked with Mouse BD Fc Block (BD Pharmingen), and the cell suspensions were labeled with anti-CD19 (1D3), -CD4 (RM4-5), -CD8a (53-6.7), -Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), -CD11b (M1/70) (BD Pharmingen), and -F4/80 (A3-1; AbD Serotec, Kidlington, UK). The antibodies were removed by two rounds of centrifugation and resuspended in FACS buffer. Data were acquired on FACSCanto II, and data were analyzed with FlowJo software (TreeStar, Ashland, OR) as per manufacturer’s specifications. Data were collected on FACSCanto II (Becton and Dickson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and analyzed on FCAP Array software (BD Bioscience).

**Statistics.** Mean ± S.E.M. are shown. Grubbs test was performed to check for significant outliers. Statistical significance of difference between groups was assessed with one way analysis of variance. Post hoc analysis was performed with Tukey’s test when the data followed normal distribution; Dunn’s test was used for other cases.

**Compounds and Animal Model.** In this study we tested a cell-impermeable analog of CsA, MM284. MM284 is a CsA derivative that includes a negatively charged moiety coupled to presynthesized CsA (Malesevic et al., 2013; Seizer et al., 2015). The presence of this charged moiety prohibits passage of the compound through the plasma membrane, making it cell impermeable and capable of interacting with and inhibiting only extracellular pools of cyclophilins (Damsker et al., 2009). This analog was compared with a cell-permeable analog of CsA, NIM811 (Rosenwirth et al., 1994; Seizer et al., 2015), which probably blocks activity of most mammalian cyclophilins (Arora et al., 2005). NIM811 was chosen as a control for MM284, because both analogs are nonimmunosuppressive. Use of NIM811 instead of parent...
compound, cyclosporine A, allowed eliminating a confounding effect of immunosupression on atherosclerosis, limiting the effects of inhibition of cyclophilins. Each compound was administered every second day by intraperitoneal injection at a dose of 6.6 mg/kg; we previously found that this dose inhibited allergic lung inflammation (Balsley et al., 2010). Control mice were injected with vehicle (15% ethanol in 15% cremophore EL).

**Fig. 1.** Effect of cyclophilin inhibition on atherosclerosis. (A–C) En face analysis of total aorta (A), aortic arch (B), and thoracic aorta (C). Lesion burden was calculated as a percentage of Sudan IV–stained area from total vessel area. (D) Cross-sectional analysis of total lesion area in the aortic sinus stained with Oil red O. (E) Representative micrographs of the aortic sinus stained with Oil red O. (F) Cross-sectional analysis of total lesion area in the innominate artery stained with Oil red O. (G) Representative micrographs of Oil red O–stained sections of the innominate artery. All graphs are presented as mean ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05 versus vehicle.

**Fig. 2.** Cyclophilin inhibition and markers of inflammation in the atherosclerotic plaque. (A) Quantitation of CD68 staining for macrophages in the aortic sinus. Percentages of anti-CD68–stained area of total plaque area are shown. (B) Representative micrographs of the CD68 staining in the aortic sinus of mice treated with vehicle (left), NIM811 (center), and MM284 (right). (C) Quantitation of CD68 staining for macrophages in the innominate artery. (D) Representative micrographs of the innominate artery stained for CD68 of mice treated with vehicle (left), NIM811 (center), and MM284 (right). (E) Quantitation of VCAM-1 content of lesions in the aortic sinus. Percentages of anti-VCAM-1–stained area of total plaque area are shown. (F) Representative micrographs of VCAM-1 staining in the aortic sinus of mice treated with vehicle (left), NIM811 (center), and MM284 (right). (G) Quantitation of VCAM-1 content of lesions in the innominate artery. Percentages of anti-VCAM-1–stained area of total plaque area are shown. (H) Representative micrographs of the VCAM-1 staining in the innominate artery of mice treated with vehicle (left), NIM811 (center), and MM284 (right). All graphs are presented as mean ± S.E.M.
The compounds were tested in a well established mouse model of atherosclerosis, apoE−/− mice fed with high-fat diet. Development of atherosclerosis was assessed in two vessels, aorta, and innominate artery. Aortic sinus is an early site of atherosclerosis development with rapidly developing complex lesions providing an insight into underlying mechanisms of plaque formation. The innominate artery develops atherosclerosis at a slower rate; previous studies found that it closely represents the development and morphology of the human lesion (Rosenfeld et al., 2000).

Development of Atherosclerosis. En face analysis of atherosclerosis in aortic arch and thoracic aorta demonstrated that, if anything, CsA analogs enhanced the development of atherosclerosis. Both compounds increased abundance of atherosclerotic plaques (Fig. 1, A–C). The effect was statistically significant in thoracic aorta (Fig. 1C) but not in aortic arch (Fig. 1B). There was little difference between the effects of NIM811 and MM284.

Analysis of sections of aortic sinus produced similar results: the area of atherosclerotic plaque increased after treatment with either compounds, for MM284 this increase was statistically significant in thoracic aorta (Fig. 1C) but not in aortic arch (Fig. 1B). There was little difference between the effects of NIM811 and MM284.

Plaque Composition. There was no effect of either NIM811 or MM284 on macrophage infiltration of the lesions (CD68-positive staining) in both aortic sinus (Fig. 2, A and B) and innominate artery (Fig. 2, C and D). Abundance of VCAM-1 in the lesions in aortic sinus (Fig. 2, E and F) and innominate artery (Fig. 2, G and H) was also unaffected by both compounds.

The abundance of collagen in the plaques was assessed using Masson’s trichrome staining. There was no statistically significant effect of either compound on the abundance of collagen in the plaques in aortic sinus (Fig. 3, A and B). However, there was a statistically significant reduction of collagen abundance in innominate artery of animals treated with both compounds; there was no difference between the cell-permeable and -impermeable analogs (Fig. 3, C and D).

The abundance of nitrotyrosine, a marker of protein oxidation and nitric oxide availability, was not statistically significantly affected by either compound in both arteries (Fig. 3, E and F).

Thus, both cell-permeable and -impermeable analogs of CsA did not affect markers of inflammation and oxidation in the atherosclerotic plaque. Both analogs, however, similarly reduced the abundance of collagen in plaques of innominate artery, indicating possible stabilizing effect of cyclophilins on early atherosclerotic plaques.

Blood Markers of Inflammation and Plasma Lipoproteins. When concentration of various cytokines in plasma was measured, MM284, but not NIM811, significantly reduced concentration of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα) (Fig. 4A). This effect is consistent with previously demonstrated induction of TNFα production in monocytes by extracellular cyclophilin (Yuan et al., 2010). However, neither compound affected plasma concentrations of other cytokines: levels of interleukin (IL)-6 (Fig. 4B), IL-10 (Fig. 4C), or monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (Fig. 4D) remained unaffected by cell-permeable and -impermeable analogs of cyclophilin in apoE−/− mouse model.

Figure 3. Effect of cyclophilin inhibition on markers of plaque stability and oxidation. (A) Quantitation of collagen content in of the aortic sinus after staining with Masson’s trichrome. Percentages of positive staining of total lesion area are shown. (B) Representative micrographs of staining of the aortic sinus with Masson’s trichrome of mice treated with vehicle (left), NIM811 (center), and MM284 (right). (C) Quantitation of collagen content in the innominate artery. Percentages of positive staining of total lesion area are shown. (D) Representative micrographs of staining of the innominate artery with Masson’s trichrome of mice treated with vehicle (left), NIM811 (center), and MM284 (right). (E) Quantitation of nitrotyrosine content in of the aortic sinus. Percentages of positive anti nitrotyrosine staining of total lesion area are shown. (F) Representative micrographs of staining of the aortic sinus for nitrotyrosine in mice treated with vehicle (left), NIM811 (center), and MM284 (right). (G) Quantitation of nitrotyrosine contents in the innominate artery. Percentages of positive anti nitrotyrosine staining of total lesion area are shown. (H) Representative micrographs of staining of the innominate artery for nitrotyrosine in mice treated with vehicle (left), NIM811 (center), and MM284 (right). All graphs are presented as mean ± S.E.M., *P < 0.05 versus vehicle; **P < 0.001 versus vehicle.
treatment. We then used flow cytometry to assess ratio between different populations of immune cells (CD8+ T cells, CD4+ T cells, B cells, GR1 lo monocytes, GR1 hi monocytes, neutrophils, and macrophages). No effect of either compound was found (Supplemental Table 1). We also analyzed the ratio between different populations of immune cells isolated from lymph nodes and spleen. Again, no effect of either compound was found (Supplemental Table 1).

Neither compound affected plasma concentrations of total cholesterol (Fig. 5A), low-density lipoproteins cholesterol (Fig. 5B), triglyceride (Fig. 5C), HDL cholesterol (Fig. 5D), nor apolipoprotein A-I (Fig. 5E).

### Discussion

In this study we investigated the role of extracellular cyclophilins in atherosclerosis. Our findings suggest that extracellular cyclophilins may have antiatherogenic activity. Depending on location of the atherosclerotic plaque, treatment with both permeable (NIM811) and impermeable (MM284) cyclosporine either did not affect or increased development of atherosclerosis and there was no difference in the effects of the two compounds. This finding is consistent with a number of previous studies using pan-inhibition of cyclophilins (for review, see Kockx et al., 2010; Bukrinsky et al., 2013). Previously, protection from atherosclerosis of apoE−/− mice with knocked out cyclophilin A gene was demonstrated (Nigro et al., 2011). Knockout of cypA gene eliminates both intracellular and extracellular CypA and should have been mimicked by NIM811. Instead, we observed the proatherogenic effect of NIM811, similar to that of MM284, which targets only extracellular cyclophilins. This finding suggests that the proatherogenic activity is exerted specifically by the intracellular CypA, whereas other intracellular cyclophilins, which are also inhibited by NIM811, may be essential for protection from atherosclerosis. Given that NIM811 targets both extracellular and intracellular cyclophilins, the fact that the effects of this compound on atherosclerosis were similar to the effects of MM284 suggests that inhibition of extracellular cyclophilins was responsible for proatherogenic effect. Consequently, separation of antiatherogenic from proatherogenic effects of cyclophilin inhibition could not be achieved by selective inhibition of extracellular cyclophilins.

The proatherogenic activity of MM284 seems to be inconsistent with limited anti-inflammatory activity of the compound evidenced by decreased levels of TNFα. However, the systemic level of TNFα in untreated animals was low, suggesting that systemic inflammation does not play a major role in pathogenesis of atherosclerosis in this model. The mechanisms behind the antiatherogenic activity of extracellular cyclophilins remain to be better characterized, but they are consistent with previously reported ability of extracellular CypA to attenuate oxidative stress and prevent apoptosis, thus protecting vascular smooth muscle cells (Jin et al., 2000). We did not observe any effect of MM284 or NIM811 on plaque composition, cellularity of the plaque, or markers of inflammation and oxidation nor did we find changes in plasma lipoproteins in drug-treated animals. Locally, consistent with previous findings (Kohjima et al., 2007; Seizer et al., 2010),
both cyclosporines reduced stability of the plaques in innominate artery. The doses and delivery mode of the CsA analogs were similar to our previous study (Balsley et al., 2010) where profound effects of the compounds were observed and slightly lower compared with those shown to have acute antithrombo- genic effects (Seizer et al., 2015). This and several effects observed in this study make it unlikely that limited availability of the compounds was an issue. It is important to recognize, however, that both analogs of CsA tested in this study were nonimmunosuppressive (Billich et al., 1995); this property may have limited some anti-inflammatory effects of the compounds compared with CsA.
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**Supplemental Table 1.** Effect of cyclophilin inhibition on immune cell populations in blood, spleen and lymph nodes

Male 8 week old ApoE deficient mice were placed on high fat diet containing 21% fat and 0.15% cholesterol. The mice were treated for 6 weeks with cyclosporine compounds MM284 and NIM811 dissolved in vehicle at 6.6mg/kg administered every second day by IP injections. Blood was collected by cardiac puncture. Spleen and lymph nodes were collected and placed into PBS containing 1% heat inactivated FBS and 2mM EDTA (FACS buffer). Single cell suspensions were created with tissue dissociator. Red blood cells were lysed by short incubation with 156 mM ammonium chloride and cells were washed twice with FACS buffer. Non-specific staining was blocked with Mouse BD Fc Block and the cell suspensions were labelled with anti: CD19, CD4, CD8a, Gr-1, CD11b and F4/80. The antibodies were removed by two rounds of centrifugation and resuspended in FACS buffer. Data was acquired on FACSCanto II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Treatment Comparisons</th>
<th>CD8 T cells Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>CD4 T cells Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>B cells total Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>GR1 lo Monocytes Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>GR1 hi Monocytes Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Total Monocytes Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Granulocytes / Neutrophils Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Macrophage Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Vehicle: NIM811 VS MM284</td>
<td>4.6627 ± 1.0061</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>10.7753 ± 2.3946</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>7.0557 ± 1.8224</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>7.692 ± 1.8661</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>2.6261 ± 0.777</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>10.1419 ± 1.7285</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>19.6773 ± 3.0409</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NIM811 Vehicle VS NIM811</td>
<td>4.0709 ± 1.586</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>9.3833 ± 3.1276</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>6.5221 ± 1.9846</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>8.2244 ± 1.4666</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>2.9284 ± 0.9029</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>11.6703 ± 1.665</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>22.241 ± 6.3248</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MM284 Vehicle VS MM284</td>
<td>4.1331 ± 1.4117</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>8.67 ± 2.6996</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>6.9817 ± 1.5747</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>8.4675 ± 2.4013</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>3.0727 ± 1.0034</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>11.9793 ± 2.9148</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>23.1927 ± 4.918</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>Vehicle: NIM811 VS MM284</td>
<td>11.67 ± 1.5655</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>26.5586 ± 1.8313</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>18.593 ± 1.8313</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.0496 ± 0.023</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.0038 ± 0.0019</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.0561 ± 0.0278</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.0435 ± 0.0158</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NIM811 Vehicle VS NIM811</td>
<td>10.3574 ± 1.7114</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>24.6969 ± 2.8599</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>19.531 ± 1.1516</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.0489 ± 0.0175</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.0056 ± 0.0033</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.0575 ± 0.0204</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.0511 ± 0.0224</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MM284 Vehicle VS MM284</td>
<td>10.0709 ± 1.6553</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>23.9631 ± 3.669</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>20.2012 ± 3.0913</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.0638 ± 0.0313</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.0065 ± 0.0053</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.0726 ± 0.0371</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.0598 ± 0.0209</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>Vehicle: NIM811 VS MM284</td>
<td>3.0974 ± 0.4869</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>12.4977 ± 2.5407</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>18.2867 ± 1.1171</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.9017 ± 0.2788</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.4708 ± 0.1071</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>1.4992 ± 0.3458</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>1.9274 ± 0.4731</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NIM811 Vehicle VS NIM811</td>
<td>3.3482 ± 1.2105</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>13.1042 ± 2.2897</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>19.1291 ± 1.3254</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.8897 ± 0.2407</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.5392 ± 0.1147</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>1.5946 ± 0.3658</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>2.2627 ± 1.1295</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MM284 Vehicle VS MM284</td>
<td>2.6969 ± 0.6952</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>12.2256 ± 0.9891</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>18.2688 ± 1.0982</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.9243 ± 0.2615</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.5928 ± 0.1306</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>1.7022 ± 0.4315</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>2.3237 ± 0.3846</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>