Donepezil Reverses Intermittent Stress-Induced Generalized Chronic Pain Syndrome in Mice
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ABSTRACT

Treatment of fibromyalgia is an unmet medical need. To develop novel therapies for the treatment of fibromyalgia, we explored pain therapeutic actions of existing pharmaceuticals, which inhibit the somatic symptoms frequently observed in fibromyalgia patients. This study first examined the therapeutic actions of pilocarpine, which inhibits dry-eye and dry-mouth symptoms, using an experimental fibromyalgia-like chronic pain model produced by intermittent cold stress (ICS) in mice. A single intraperitoneal and intracerebroventricular, but not intrathecal, pilocarpine administration attenuated ICS-induced thermal hyperalgesia and mechanical allodynia, and this action was abolished by muscarinic antagonist pirenzepine (i.c.v.). Treatment with 1–10 μg/kg donepezil (i.p.), which can easily penetrate into the brain, also showed similar therapeutic effects. Importantly, we found that both pilocarpine and donepezil produced antihyperalgesic effects via supraspinal action. Furthermore, repeated donepezil treatments completely cured the ICS-induced hyperalgesia and allodynia even after the cessation of drug treatments. Acute and chronic treatments of these cholinomimetics had no effects on the nociceptive threshold in control animals. By contrast, the lack of morphine (i.c.v.) analgesia initially observed in the ICS model remained in ICS model mice treated with long-term donepezil. Collectively, these findings suggest that stimulation of the muscarinic cholinergic system effectively inhibits some mechanisms underlying chronic pain in the ICS model, but does not inhibit the lack of descending pain-inhibitory mechanisms, which are driven by central morphine.

Introduction

Acute pain is physiologically significant and serves as a danger alarm to the body, whereas chronic pain exhibits a disease nature and should be prevented (Costigan et al., 2009). Chronic pain is often accompanied by emotional disorders that lead to different types of pain states (Seymour and Dolan, 2013), implying the presence of a vicious cycle of pain (Costigan et al., 2009). Neuropathic pain, a representative chronic pain, occurs after damage of pain pathways from the periphery to the brain (Costigan et al., 2009) and is clearly distinguished from nociceptive pain in terms of its nature, which is characterized by hyperalgesia and allodynia (Baron, 2006). Since the establishment of animal models for neuropathic pain in the late 1980s (Bennett and Xie, 1988; Ossipov and Porreca, 2013), extensive studies of neuronal plasticity, based on physiologic and anatomic characterization (Basaum et al., 2009; Devor, 2013), and molecular biologic studies, based on identification of key molecules, have contributed to the better understanding and research development of therapeutics (Ueda, 2006, 2008; Costigan et al., 2009; Kuner, 2010; Hill, 2013).

Central pain, which is closely related to the emotional disturbance, has been poorly characterized, because it is unclear which parts of the pain-regulatory system are disordered. Fibromyalgia, an intractable generalized pain syndrome, is known to comprise an approximately 2% population ratio in developed countries (Russell, 2013; Clauw, 2014). Limited etiology information of fibromyalgia, a representative central pain, delays the reasonable diagnosis and therapy (Russell, 2013; Clauw, 2014). Basic studies using animal models, which mimic symptoms or pharmacotherapeutic sensitivities in fibromyalgia patients, would be essential for advancing diagnosis or therapy. To establish animal models of fibromyalgia, Levine’s group has used subdiaphragmatic vagotomy (Khasar et al., 2003), whereas Sluka’s group has used repeated intramuscular injections of acidic saline (Sluka et al., 2001). There are also several unique models induced by reserpine treatment (Nagakura et al., 2009) and intermittent sound stress (Khasar et al., 2009). These animal models share some pathophysiological or behavioral features with fibromyalgia patients (DeSantana et al., 2013). In addition, we have reported another fibromyalgia-like pain model caused by intermittent cold stress (ICS) (Nishiyori and Ueda, 2008). This model has shown characteristics of a generalized chronic pain phenotype and shares female-predominant sex differences and pharmacotherapeutic features with fibromyalgia patients. Specifically, ICS-induced pain is sensitive to gabapentin and antidepressants (Nishiyori and Ueda, 2008; Nishiyori et al., 2011), but not to morphine (Nishiyori et al., 2010), which is consistent with the clinical evidence of fibromyalgia patients (Clauw, 2014).
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ABBREVIATIONS: ICS, intermittent cold stress; P, poststress exposure.
Therapy for chronic pain, such as neuropathic pain and fibromyalgia, currently predominantly includes the use of existing analgesics and analgesic adjuvants (Arnold, 2009; Toelle and Bucktonja, 2013). The rationale for using analgesic adjuvants, despite a poor understanding of mechanisms underlying fibromyalgia, is based on the remedy of somatic symptoms. However, because of insufficient therapeutic actions and adverse effects, the therapeutic strategies have not been established (Smith et al., 2011). According to the new guidelines approved by the American College of Rheumatology (Russell, 2013; Clauw, 2014), the criteria for fibromyalgia include severity of somatic symptoms as well as widespread pain. In line with the current situation for chronic pain control, we focused on the muscarinic agonist pilocarpine. Pilocarpine inhibits dry-eye and dry-mouth symptoms, which are often observed in fibromyalgia patients (Bennett, 2005). Here, we report that cholinomimetics such as pilocarpine and donepezil, a remedy for Alzheimer's disease, have significant therapeutic effects against ICS-induced, fibromyalgia-like generalized pain syndrome.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Male C57BL/6J mice (TEXAM Corporation, Nagasaki, Japan), weighing 20–25 g, were used for this study. The mice were housed in a room with a temperature of 22°C ± 3°C with free access to a standard laboratory diet and tap water. All procedures used in this work were approved by the Nagasaki University Animal Care Committee, and they complied with the fundamental guidelines for the proper conduct of animal experiments and related activities in academic research institutions under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

Drug Treatments. Pilocarpine was purchased from Wako (Osaka, Japan), whereas donepezil, atropine, and pirenzipine were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). These drugs were dissolved in physiologic saline for intraperitoneal injection or in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (125 mM NaCl, 3.8 mM KCl, 1.2 mM KH2PO4, 26 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM glucose, pH 7.4) for intrathecal and intracerebroventricular injection. Pirenzipine was injected 10 minutes prior to pilocarpine injection, whereas atropine was administered 10 minutes prior to donepezil injection. Pilocarpine hydrochloride (Takeda Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan) was dissolved in artificial cerebrospinal fluid. The intrathecal injection was given into the space between spinal L5 and L6 segments, according to the method described by Hylden and Wilcox (1980).

ICS Exposure. Mice were exposed to ICS, as previously described (Nishiyori and Ueda, 2008). Briefly, mice were placed in a cold room at 4°C overnight (from 4:30 PM to 10:00 AM), followed by ICS with alternating environmental temperatures between 24 and 4°C every 30 minutes from 10:00 AM to 4:30 PM. These procedures were repeated twice. On day 3, the mice were returned to and adapted to a room temperature of 24°C for 1 hour before the nociception tests. We designated day 3 after the onset of stress exposure as day 1 poststress exposure (P1). Mice in the control group were maintained at 24°C for all 3 days (from 4:30 PM on day 1 to 10:00 AM on day 3). During the stress period, two mice were kept in each cage (12 × 15 × 10.5 cm), with free access to food and agar in place of fluid.

Nociception Tests. In the thermal paw withdrawal tests, the nociception threshold was determined by the latency of paw withdrawal upon a thermal stimulus (Uchida et al., 2010). Nonanesthetized animals were placed in Plexiglas cages on top of a glass sheet, and an adaptation period of 1 hour was allowed. The thermal stimulator (ITTC Inc., Woodland Hills, CA) was positioned under the glass sheet, and the focus of the projection bulb was aimed directly at the middle of the plantar surface of the animal. A mirror attached to the stimulator permitted visualization of the plantar surface. A cutoff time of 20 seconds was set to avoid tissue damage. The mechanical paw pressure test was performed as previously described (Uchida et al., 2010). Briefly, mice were placed in a Plexiglas chamber on a 6 × 6 mm wire mesh-grid floor and were allowed to acclimatize for a period of 1 hour. A mechanical stimulus was then delivered to the middle of the plantar surface of the right hindpaw using a Transducer Indicator (model 1601; ITC Inc.). The pressure needed to induce a flexor response was defined as the pain threshold. A cutoff pressure of 20 g was set to avoid tissue damage. In experiments using mechanical and thermal tests, the thresholds were determined from three repeated challenges at 10-minute intervals, and the response averages were evaluated. An electrical, stimulation-induced paw withdrawal test was performed as previously described (Uchida et al., 2010). Briefly, electrodes (Neurotron, Baltimore, MD) were fastened to the plantar surfaces and insteps of mice. Transcutaneous nerve stimuli with three sine-wave pulses (5, 250, and 2000 Hz) were applied using a Neurometer CPT/C (Neurotron). The minimum intensity (in microamperes) at which each mouse withdrew its paw was defined as the current stimulus threshold.

Statistical Analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using the Dunnett’s test or one-way analysis of variance with the Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison post hoc test. The criterion of significance was set at P < 0.05. All results are expressed as means ± S.E.M.

Results

Systemic Injection of the Muscarinic Agonist Pilocarpine Reverses Hyperalgesia in ICS-Exposed Mice. As shown in Fig. 1A, paw withdrawal latency against thermal stimuli was approximately 10 seconds in naive animals. We previously showed that ICS markedly reduces the thermal pain threshold to the level of roughly 6 seconds at P1 (Nishiyori et al., 2010). Thermal hyperalgesia lasts for at least 15 days, whereas constant cold stress decreases the threshold to 6 seconds at P1, and hyperalgesia disappears at P5 (Nishiyori and Ueda, 2008; Nishiyori et al., 2010). Therefore, we adopted a P5 time point for the ICS-induced chronic pain mechanism studies.

The intraperitoneal injection of pilocarpine at 1 mg/kg markedly increased the threshold to the level of roughly 13 seconds at 30 minutes in ICS-treated mice, whereas it did not significantly affect the threshold of control naive mice (Fig. 1A; 0 minutes, F3,16 = 157.2; 10 minutes, F3,16 = 34.5; 20 minutes, F3,16 = 71.5; 30 minutes, F3,16 = 12.2; 40 minutes, F3,16 = 13.4; 50 minutes, F3,16 = 14.2; 60 minutes, F3,16 = 33.4). Because pilocarpine-induced salivation was only observed at doses greater than 10 mg/kg, the antihyperalgesic effects were likely to be independent of distraction because of salivation. The antihyperalgesic actions of pilocarpine at 20 minutes were dose dependent in the range of 0.1–1.0 mg/kg (Fig. 1B; F3,26 = 27.4). In addition, ICS-induced mechanical allodynia was also significantly inhibited by pilocarpine (1 mg/kg i.p.) treatment (Fig. 1C; 0 minutes, F3,26 = 170.9; 10 minutes, F3,26 = 16.4; 20 minutes, F3,26 = 9.4; 30 minutes, F3,26 = 11.4; 40 minutes, F3,26 = 9.3; 50 minutes, F3,26 = 11.7; 60 minutes, F3,26 = 26.4).

Pilocarpine Produces Antihyperalgesia via Supra spinal Actions. To study the site of therapeutic actions of pilocarpine, this compound was intracerebroventricular or intrathecal administered in ICS-treated mice. The intracerebroventricular pilocarpine injection (1 μg) rapidly reversed the ICS-induced hyperalgesia with a peak time of 10 minutes, and this effect completely disappeared at 40 minutes (Fig. 2A; 0 minutes, F3,16 = 92.2; 10 minutes, F3,16 = 14.7; 20 minutes, F3,16 = 17.3; 30 minutes, F3,16 = 29.3; 40 minutes,
at 3 no significant effect was observed by the intrathecal injection (2012). When doses of donepezil as low as 10 \( \mu g/kg \) were administered at 90–120 minutes postinjection (Fig. 3A; 0 hours, \( F_{3,20} = 120.4; 0.5 \) hour, \( F_{3,20} = 18.8; 1 \) hour, \( F_{3,20} = 15.4; 1.5 \) hours, \( F_{3,20} = 14.7; 2 \) hours, \( F_{3,20} = 12.4; 2.5 \) hours, \( F_{3,20} = 13.8; 3 \) hours, \( F_{3,20} = 16.0 \)). Some, antihyperalgesic effects still remained even at 180 minutes, although they were not significant (Fig. 3A). There was a dose-dependent range of 1–100 \( \mu g/kg \), with an ED\(_{50} \) as low as 2 \( \mu g/kg \) (Fig. 3B; \( F_{3,25} = 9.4 \)).

Similar results were also observed in the mechanical nociception test (Fig. 3C; 0 hours, \( F_{3,12} = 49.0; 0.5 \) hour, \( F_{3,12} = 7.2; 1 \) hour, \( F_{3,12} = 12.0; 1.5 \) hours, \( F_{3,12} = 11.0; 2 \) hours, \( F_{3,12} = 5.0; 2.5 \) hours, \( F_{3,12} = 13.8; 3 \) hours, \( F_{3,12} = 8.9 \)). Similar to the actions of pilocarpine, an intracerebroventricular injection of donepezil at a dose of 10 \( \mu g \) completely reversed the thermal hyperalgesia with a peak time of 60–90 minutes (Fig. 3D; 0 hours, \( F_{3,18} = 105.1; 0.5 \) hour, \( F_{3,18} = 11.3; 1 \) hour, \( F_{3,18} = 14.1; 1.5 \) hours, \( F_{3,18} = 13.4; 2 \) hours, \( F_{3,18} = 18.2; 2.5 \) hours, \( F_{3,18} = 19.2; 3 \) hours, \( F_{3,18} = 27.5 \)). Significant antihyperalgesic effects still remained at 180 minutes after injection. However, as shown in Fig. 3, E and F, there was no change with an intrathecal injection of donepezil at 10 \( \mu g \) (Fig. 3E; 0 hours, \( F_{3,12} = 32.7; 0.5 \) hour, \( F_{3,12} = 8.9; 1 \) hour, \( F_{3,12} = 24.7; 1.5 \) hours, \( F_{3,12} = 15.3; 2 \) hours, \( F_{3,12} = 18.4; 2.5 \) hours, \( F_{3,12} = 15.8; 3 \) hours, \( F_{3,12} = 18.5 \)). According to the dose-dependent effects (Fig. 3F; i.c.v. at P5, \( F_{3,22} = 8.3 \); i.c.v. at P7, \( F_{3,8} = 10.6 \); i.t. at P5, \( F_{3,12} = 15.3 \); i.t. at P7, \( F_{3,12} = 17.8 \), the calculated ED\(_{50} \) (i.c.v.) of donepezil was 1 \( \mu g \). In all experiments above, no significant effects of donepezil, either through systemic or central routes, were observed in control mice. To observe muscarinic antagonism, we tested the basal effects on nociceptive threshold with 100 ng (i.c.v.) atropine sulfate in normal mice. Because this antagonist had no effect on thermal nociception test (Fig. 2D; 0 hours, \( F_{3,12} = 39.8; 10 \) minutes, \( F_{3,12} = 5.8; 20 \) minutes, \( F_{3,12} = 17.9; 30 \) minutes, \( F_{3,12} = 22.7; 40 \) minutes, \( F_{3,12} = 13.5; 50 \) minutes, \( F_{3,12} = 13.6; 60 \) minutes, \( F_{3,12} = 11.7 \)). The intracerebroventricular or intrathecal pilocarpine injection had no effects on basal pain thresholds in control mice (Fig. 2, A and B). The therapeutic effects of pilocarpine (i.c.v.) were dose dependent in the range of 0.1–1 \( \mu g \), whereas no significant effect was observed by the intrathecal injection at 3 \( \mu g \) (Fig. 2C; i.c.v., \( F_{5,22} = 12.5 \); i.t. at P5, \( F_{3,12} = 5.8 \); i.t. at P7, \( F_{3,12} = 12.2 \)), suggesting that pilocarpine produced antihyperalgesic effects via activation of supraspinal targets. Indeed, we found that the pilocarpine (1 mg/kg i.p.)–induced antihyperalgesic effect was markedly blocked by intracerebroventricular injection of pirenzepine (1 \( \mu g \)), an antagonist of the muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (Fig. 2D; \( F_{3,12} = 26.6 \)).

**Donepezil Reverses ICS-Induced Pain via Supraspinal Actions.** The above findings prompted us to investigate whether similar beneficial effects were observed with donepezil, which readily penetrates into the brain (Banks, 2012). When doses of donepezil as low as 10 \( \mu g/kg \) were intraperitoneally administered to ICS-treated mice, antihyperalgesic actions of this compound were observed with peak

**Complete Cure of Chronic Pain by Repeated Treatments with Donepezil.** Based on the long-lasting effects of donepezil by systemic or intracerebroventricular injection, we examined the possibility of cumulative donepezil effects. In our paradigm, donepezil was administered at a dosage of 10 \( \mu g/kg \) (i.p.) once daily from P5 to P10. As seen in Fig. 4A (0 hours at P5, \( F_{3,20} = 120.4; 0.5 \) hour at P5, \( F_{3,20} = 18.8; 1 \) hour at P5, \( F_{3,20} = 15.4; 1.5 \) hours at P5, \( F_{3,20} = 14.7; 2 \) hours at P5, \( F_{3,20} = 12.4; 2.5 \) hours at P5, \( F_{3,20} = 13.8; 3 \) hours at P5, \( F_{3,20} = 16.0; 0 \) hours at P7, \( F_{3,20} = 88.5; 0.5 \) hour at P7, \( F_{3,20} = 17.6; 1 \) hour at P7, \( F_{3,20} = 17.4; 1.5 \) hours at P7, \( F_{3,20} = 9.7; 2 \) hours at P7, \( F_{3,20} = 18.3; 2.5 \) hours at P7, \( F_{3,20} = 9.6; 3 \) hours at P7, \( F_{3,20} = 15.0; 0 \) hours at P9, \( F_{3,20} = 32.7; 0.5 \) hour at P9, \( F_{3,20} = 13.4; 1 \) hour at P9, \( F_{3,20} = 19.6; 1.5 \) hours at P9, \( F_{3,20} = 11.1; 2 \) hours at P9, \( F_{3,20} = 10.3; 2.5 \) hours at P9, \( F_{3,20} = 14.2; 3 \) hours at P9, \( F_{3,20} = 22.3 \)), donepezil had no acute effects at day P5, P7, or P9 in control mice. In addition, the basal threshold at day P11 was not affected by previous donepezil administrations in control mice (Fig. 4B; P1, \( F_{3,8} = 72.3; P5, \( F_{3,8} = 63.2; P6, \( F_{3,8} = 45.4; P7, \( F_{3,8} = 88.1; P8, \( F_{3,8} = 37.8; P9, \( F_{3,8} = 36.6; P10, \( F_{3,8} = 32.1; P11, \( F_{3,8} = 47.7; P12, \( F_{3,8} = 32.1; P14, \( F_{3,8} = 15.6; P16, \( F_{3,8} = 19.0; P18, \( F_{3,8} = 70.0 \). In experiments using ICS mice, however, the basal nociceptive threshold at P7 was

![Fig. 1. Inhibition of ICS-induced hyperalgesia and allodynia by systemic pilocarpine injection. Thermal and mechanical paw withdrawal tests were performed at P5 after ICS exposure. (A) Time course of thermal paw withdrawal latencies (in seconds) after pilocarpine (1 mg/kg i.p.) injection. (B) Dose-dependent effects of pilocarpine injection on thermal pain thresholds in control mice and ICS-treated mice assessed at 20 minutes after pilocarpine (i.p.) treatment. (C) Time course of mechanical paw withdrawal latencies (in grams) after pilocarpine (1 mg/kg i.p.) injection. Data represent means ± S.E.M. from experiments using 3–14 mice. **P < 0.01 versus vehicle-treated control mice; *P < 0.05 versus vehicle-treated ICS mice; **P < 0.01 versus vehicle-treated control mice. Cont, control; PWT, paw withdrawal latency; PWL, paw withdrawal latency; PWT, paw withdrawal latency.](image-url)
significantly greater than the basal threshold on P5 (Fig. 4B). The acute antihyperalgesia effects of donepezil were still observed, but the maximum threshold never exceeded the naive threshold (Fig. 4A). This fact resulted in some decreases in the acute antihyperalgesia effects, which were evaluated by the area under the curve (Fig. 4C; \( F_{5,21} = 32.2 \)). Similar results were also observed with donepezil injection at P9 (Fig. 4C). In addition, the basal threshold at P11 reached approximately 10 seconds, which corresponds to the threshold of naive mice (Fig. 4B).

When the basal thresholds prior to donepezil injection were plotted, they gradually increased along with daily injection of donepezil (six times from P5 to P10), as shown in Fig. 4B. The basal thresholds from P11 to P18, even after cessation of donepezil treatments, were similar to the naive level (Fig. 4B). Repeated treatments with donepezil showed no significant changes in the basal threshold of control mice (Fig. 4B). When mechanical allodynia effects were evaluated instead of thermal hyperalgesia, the basal thresholds of ICS-treated mice at P1 through P18 were significantly less than the control (Fig. 4D; P1, \( F_{3,8} = 47.7 \); P12, \( F_{3,8} = 13.8 \); P18, \( F_{3,8} = 22.9 \)). Repeated treatments with donepezil completely reversed mechanical allodynia at P12 and P18 in ICS-treated mice, but these treatments had no effect on basal mechanical threshold in control mice (Fig. 4D). When paw withdrawal behaviors induced by differential frequencies of electrical stimulation were evaluated, there were significant decreases in the nociceptive threshold to electrical stimuli of 250 and 2000 Hz, but not 5 Hz (Fig. 4E; 250 Hz at P13, \( F_{3,8} = 7.1 \); 250 Hz at P18, \( F_{3,8} = 18.0 \); 2000 Hz at P12, \( F_{3,8} = 7.8 \); 2000 Hz at P19, \( F_{3,8} = 11.7 \)). This type of hypersensitivity to 250 Hz or 2000 Hz electrical stimuli was also completely reversed with repeated donepezil treatments at P12 to P13 and P18 to P19 (Fig. 4E).

No Influence on the Lack of Morphine Analgesia by Repeated Donepezil Treatments. We have successfully demonstrated that no significant analgesia is observed by subcutaneous or intracerebroventricular injection of morphine in ICS-treated mice (Nishiyori et al., 2010). As shown in Fig. 5, analgesic activity of morphine (i.c.v.) remained poor...
Fig. 3. Antihyperalgesic effects of donepezil via supraspinal action. (A) Time course of thermal paw withdrawal latencies (in seconds) after donepezil (10 μg/kg i.p.) injection at P5 after ICS. (B) Dose-dependent effects of donepezil (i.p.) injection on thermal pain thresholds in control and ICS-treated mice, assessed at 2 hours after donepezil treatment. (C) Time course of mechanical paw withdrawal latencies (in grams) after donepezil (10 μg/kg i.p.) injection. (D and E) Time course of thermal pain thresholds after i.c.v. (D) and i.t. (E) injection of donepezil (10 μg). (F) Dose-dependent effects of donepezil (i.c.v. and i.t.) injection on thermal pain thresholds in control and ICS-treated mice, assessed at 1.5 hours after donepezil (i.c.v. and i.t.) treatment. Mice treated with donepezil (10 μg) at P5 were used to evaluate effects of donepezil (100 μg) at P7. *P < 0.05 versus vehicle-treated control mice; **P < 0.01 versus vehicle-treated control mice; ***P < 0.01 versus vehicle-treated ICS mice. (G) Effects of atropine (100 ng i.c.v.) on basal pain threshold in control mice and antihyperalgesic action of donepezil (10 μg/kg i.p.) in ICS-treated mice. (H) Dose-dependent inhibitory
even after the basal nociceptive threshold in ICS-treated mice completely recovered after repeated donepezil treatments (Fig. 5A; control-vehicle-morphine, \( F_{0.21} = 6.3 \); control-donepezil-morphine, \( F_{0.21} = 4.5 \)). Figure 5B demonstrated quantitative evidence that repeated donepezil treatments did not affect the dose-dependent (0.03–0.3 nmol i.c.v.) morphine analgesia in control mice or the lack of morphine analgesia in ICS-treated mice (Fig. 5B; control-vehicle-morphine, \( F_{3,12} = 10.2 \); control-donepezil-morphine, \( F_{3,12} = 9.7 \)).

**Discussion**

In this study, we used an ICS model to replicate a unique, generalized, chronic pain syndrome, which includes fibromyalgia. Our previous study showed that ICS triggers chronic and bilateral thermal hyperalgesia, as well as mechanical allodynia (Nishiyori and Ueda, 2008). ICS-induced hyperalgesia and allodynia is specific for intermittent stress, because pain hypersensitivity against thermal and mechanical stimuli can be observed for only 1 day after constant cold stress (Nishiyori and Ueda, 2008; Nishiyori et al., 2010). Although there is no significant difference in the basal pain threshold between male and female mice, significant female-predominant sex differences in mechanical allodynia can be observed (Nishiyori and Ueda, 2008). Compared with other experimental fibromyalgia-like pain models, the ICS model appears to have several advantages in terms of pharmacotherapeutic responses or their description in terms of similarity to clinical evidence.

First, the gabapentin dose required for the suppression of ICS-induced mechanical allodynia is 10 times less than that for the partial sciatic nerve ligation–induced neuropathic pain (Nishiyori and Ueda, 2008). Interestingly, intracerebroventricular injection of gabapentin as low as 3 \( \mu \)g completely inhibits ICS-induced allodynia for 2 days, and significant antiallodynia effects last for at least 4 days, whereas intracerebroventricular injection of gabapentin has no significant action on neuropathic pain (Nishiyori and Ueda, 2008). Similar differences between ICS and injury models can be observed in the analgesic action of morphine. The analgesic effects of systemically administered morphine are 10 times less potent in nerve injury–induced neuropathic pain model mice but are completely lost in the ICS model (Nishiyori et al., 2010). Clinical evidence has also shown that opioids are ineffective in suppressing pain in fibromyalgia patients (Clauw, 2014). One of the underlying mechanisms could be attributed to reduced availability of opioid receptors in the brain (Harris et al., 2007), possibly owing to excess amounts of endogenous opioids in the spinal fluid of fibromyalgia patients (Baraniuk et al., 2004). The reduced sensitivity to morphine in the ICS model may be related to functional deficits in the descending serotonergic activity (Ohara et al., 1991; Omiya et al., 2000; Nishiyori et al., 2010), which is consistent with biochemical evidence in clinical samples (Craw, 2009).

Our initial intention was to use various existing drugs that have some indications for fibromyalgia patients. Pilocarpine is the representative drug used to treat dry-eye and dry-mouth symptoms, which are often observed in fibromyalgia patients (Bennett, 2005). Systemic injection of pilocarpine reversed thermal hyperalgesia and mechanical allodynia after ICS exposure. Analysis of its dose dependence revealed that pilocarpine caused not only reversal of ICS-induced hyperalgesia and allodynia, but also some “analgesic” activity in the ICS model. Although details remain to be determined, we speculate the involvements of upregulation of muscarinic receptors in pilocarpine and donepezil actions from the following findings. First, because pirenzepine or atropine (i.c.v.) had no hyperalgesic action in naive animals, the muscarinic activation by tonic release of acetylcholine unlikely plays pain inhibitory roles in the brain. Second, the findings that pilocarpine (i.c.v.) showed analgesic actions over the reversal of abnormal pain in the ICS model mice, but had only less significant effects in naive mice, suggested that upregulated muscarinic receptor or related downstream mechanisms may underlie the analgesic activity and blockade of abnormal pain in the ICS model mice. The identification of the muscarinic receptor subtype would be the next important subject. Pilocarpine-induced inhibition of abnormal pain and pirenzepine-induced antagonism were observed when it was administered by intracerebroventricular injection, but not by intrathecal injection, which suggests that major sites of muscarinic receptor–mediated actions are in the brain.

To further assess the role of central cholinergic systems in fibromyalgia pain, we used donepezil, which has a high uptake into the brain (De Vos et al., 2000). Donepezil showed potent beneficial actions in the ICS model. It should be noted that the dose required for maximum inhibition of abnormal hyperalgesia and allodynia was 10 \( \mu \)g/kg (i.p.), which was several hundred times less than that reported to treat dementia in animal models (Saxena et al., 2008). Similar to pilocarpine, donepezil inhibited ICS-induced thermal hyperalgesia only by intracerebroventricular injection, and the action by systemic donepezil was antagonized by intracerebroventricular atropine and pirenzepine. Considering that the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor is also known to participate in the pain regulation (Jones and Dunlop, 2007), further studies are required to elucidate whether donepezil could inhibit ICS-induced hyperalgesia and allodynia via central nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. On the other hand, it should be noted that pilocarpine treatment reversed the hyperalgesia and exceeded the normal pain threshold, whereas donepezil treatment only reversed it. Although mechanisms underlying different actions of pilocarpine and donepezil remain elusive, it may be interesting to compare the pharmacological mechanisms of both compounds. Because donepezil inhibits degradation of released acetylcholine, the action is presumably confined in the synaptic area, whereas pilocarpine may have more potential targets, including extrasynaptic receptors, which could be expressed only under pathologic situations.

Of importance, systemic or intracerebroventricular donepezil inhibited ICS-induced hyperalgesia and allodynia for more than 3 hours. As shown in Fig. 4B, the basal threshold prior to the donepezil treatment at P5 after ICS was approximately 6 seconds, whereas it increased to approximately 8 seconds 2 days later at P7. As expected, repeated daily treatments with...
Donepezil gradually increased the threshold to the level of normal mice. The most important finding was observed in the complete amelioration of chronic pain, even after the cessation of donepezil treatments, implying that pain memory in fibromyalgia might disappear after repeated treatments with donepezil. Likewise, a complete amelioration of chronic pain in the ICS model has also been observed when antidepressants, such as milnacipran, amitriptyline, mianserin, and paroxetine, are repeatedly administered by intrathecal injection (Nishiyori et al., 2011). Thus, chronic pain in this model may be maintained by vicious cycles, which include the attenuation of a spinally descending, pain inhibitory system and enhancement...
the present successful therapeutic actions of donepezil may be a result of simple experimental animal models. In addition, because the majority of fibromyalgia patients may have more complicated causes, some additional drugs might be required to treat their symptoms.

In conclusion, we successfully demonstrated that cholinomimetics, such as pilocarpine and donepezil, inhibited generalized pain syndrome in ICS-exposed mice, which share some pathophysiological and pharmacotherapeutical features with fibromyalgia patients. Repeated treatments with donepezil completely ameliorated the chronic pain, although the lack of morphine analgesia remained even after complete amelioration of pain. Because the current criteria of fibromyalgia (Wolfe et al., 2010) use pain-related somatic symptoms as well as muscle pain, future investigation is necessary to evaluate different assessments, such as muscle pain using the Randall–Seltzer test, according to the report in rats using a similar paradigm of ICS/repeated cold stress (Nasu et al., 2010) as well as known tail-flick and hot plate tests.
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