Selective Monoacylglycerol Lipase Inhibitors: Antinociceptive versus Cannabimimetic Effects in Mice
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ABSTRACT

The endogenous cannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) plays an important role in a variety of physiologic processes, but its rapid breakdown by monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) results in short-lived actions. Initial MAGL inhibitors were limited by poor selectivity and low potency. In this study, we tested JZL184 [4-nitrophenyl 4-[(1R,2R,5R)-5-hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxypropyl)cyclohexyl]-5-(2-methyloctan-2-yl)phenol] and MJN110 [2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl 4-(bis[4-chlorophenyl)methyl]piperazine-1-carboxylate] MAGL inhibitors that possess increased selectivity and potency, in mouse behavioral assays of neuropathic pain (chronic constriction injury (CCI) of the sciatic nerve), in intracerebral (Hohmann et al., 2005), systemic (Wiskerke et al., 2011), and locomotor activity in an open field test. MJN110 (1.25 and 2.5 mg/kg) and JZL184 (16 and 40 mg/kg) significantly elevated 2-AG and decreased arachidonic acid but did not affect anandamide in whole brains. Both MAGL inhibitors significantly reduced CCI-induced mechanical allodynia with the following potencies [ED50 (95% confidence limit [CL]) values in mg/kg: MJN110 (0.43 [0.30–0.63]) > JZL184 (17.8 [11.6–27.4])] and also substituted for the potent cannabinoid receptor agonist CP55,940 [2-[(1R,2R,5R)-5-hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxypropyl)cyclohexyl]-5-(2-methyloctan-2-yl)phenol] in the drug-discrimination paradigm [ED50 (95% CL) values in mg/kg: MJN110 (0.84 [0.69–1.02]) > JZL184 (24.9 [14.6–42.5])]; however, these compounds elicited differential effects on locomotor behavior. Similar to cannabinoid 1 (CB1) receptor agonists, JZL184 produced hypomotility, whereas MJN110 increased locomotor behavior and did not produce catalepsy or hypothermia. Although both drugs substituted for CP55,940 in the drug-discrimination assay, MJN110 was more potent in reversing allodynia in the CCI model than in producing CP55,940-like effects. Overall, these results suggest that MAGL inhibition may alleviate neuropathic pain, while displaying limited cannabimimetic effects compared with direct CB1 receptor agonists.

Introduction

Neuropathic pain is a debilitating chronic pain condition, but available pharmacotherapies lack efficacy and are associated with various adverse side effects (Nightingale, 2012). Monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL), the major serine hydrolase responsible for degradation of the most abundant endocannabinoid in the central nervous system, 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) (Dinh et al., 2002), represents a promising target for the treatment of neuropathic pain and other pain-related therapeudic indications. MAGL inhibitors block 2-AG degradation, leading to increased levels of this endocannabinoid, and consequently, to increased signaling at cannabinoid cannabinoid 1 (CB1) and cannabinoid 2 (CB2) receptors. Initial compounds used to inhibit MAGL in whole animals, such as methylarachidonoylfluorophosphonate and N-arachidonyl maleimide, have poor selectivity when administered systemically (Makara et al., 2005; Saario et al., 2005; Burston et al., 2008), which makes it difficult to discern whether the observed pharmacologic effects were due to MAGL inhibition, to off-target actions (e.g., another serine hydrolase), or to a combination of MAGL inhibition and off-target effects. Although an in vitro study showed that URB602 (1,1′-biphenyl)-3-yl-carbamic acid cyclohexyl ester) lacks selectivity between MAGL and fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) (Vandevoorde et al., 2007), in vivo studies found that intracerebral (Hohmann et al., 2005), systemic (Wiskerke et al., 2012), or intraplantar (Guindon et al., 2011) URB602 administration raises 2-AG levels but not anandamide (AEA) levels.
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The recent development of MAGL inhibitors with increased selectivity compared with previous compounds has provided useful tools to investigate the consequences of inhibiting this enzyme in the whole animal. Results from several preclinical studies using the first selective MAGL inhibitor, JZL184 [4-nitrophenyl 4-[bis[2H-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl]hydroxy)methyl]piperidine-1-carboxylate] (Long et al., 2009a), suggested that this enzyme represents a unique target to treat pain-related conditions. Acute administration of JZL184 was reported to reduce nociception in acetic acid–induced abdominal stretching, the carrageenan model of inflammatory pain, the chronic constriction injury (CCI) of the sciatic nerve model, cisplatin-induced neuropathic pain model, and capsaicin-induced behavioral sensitization (Kinsey et al., 2009, 2013; Long et al., 2009a; Spradley et al., 2010; Guindon et al., 2011; Ghosh et al., 2013). Importantly, JZL184 elicited a decreased spectrum of other cannabimimetic effects associated with Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and other CB1 receptor agonists. Although JZL184 also inhibits the metabolism of 2-AG as well as arachidonic acid. Of note, MAGL serves a function as a fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) and noncannabinoid fatty acid amidase [i.e., palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) and oleoylethanolamide (OEA)].

Materials and Methods

Animals. Male C57BL/6J mice (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were used in all experiments. The body mass of mice ranged from 18 to 35 g. Four to five mice were housed per cage in all experiments, except in the drug discrimination experiments, in which subjects were singly housed. Animals were maintained in a 12-hour light/dark cycle (6:00 AM on/6:00 PM off) in a temperature- (20–22°C) and humidity- (55% ± 10%) controlled Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International–approved facility. Animals had ad libitum access to water and food, with the exception of animals used in drug-discrimination experiments, which were food restricted to 85%–90% of free-feeding body weight. All tests were conducted during the light phase. The sample sizes selected for each treatment group in each experiment were based on previous studies from our laboratory.

All animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Virginia Commonwealth University and were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Drugs. MJN110 was synthesized in the Cravatt Laboratory at the Scripps Research Institute (La Jolla, CA) as described previously (Chang et al., 2012; Niphakis et al., 2013). JZL184 (Long et al., 2009a), the CB1 receptor antagonist rimonabant (SR141716A), the CB2 receptor antagonist SR144528 [5-(4-chloro-3-methylphenyl)-1-[4-(3-methylphenyl)-4-piperidyl]carboxamide] and CP55,940 [2-[(1R,5R)-5-hydroxy-2-(3-hydroxypropyl)cyclohexyl]-5-[(2-methyloctan-2-yl)phenol] were obtained from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (Bethesda, MD). Drugs were dissolved in a vehicle solution consisting of a mixture of ethanol, alkamuls-620 (Sanofi-Aventis, Bridgewater, NJ), and saline (0.9% NaCl) in a 1:1:8 ratio. Each drug was given intraperitoneally, with the exception of drug discrimination studies, in which injections were given subcutaneously. All drugs were administered in a volume of 10 μl/kg of body mass, with the exception of the drug-discrimination experiment assessing 100 mg/kg JZL184, which used an injection volume of 20 μl/kg of body mass. Drug treatments were randomized in all experiments.

Behavioral Assessment of Nociceptive Behavior. Mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia were used to assess nociceptive behavior after sham or CCI surgery (see Discussion). Before surgery, mice were habituated to the testing environment, and then from for von Frey monofilaments (North Coast Medical, Morgan Hills, CA) were used to establish baseline responses to light mechanical touch and to assess the development and presence of allodynia after surgery (Murphy et al., 1999). Specifically, the mice were placed on top of a wire mesh screen, spaced 0.5 mm apart and habituated for approximately 30 minutes on 4 consecutive days. Mice were unrestrained and were singly placed under an inverted Plexiglas basket (8 cm diameter, 15 cm height), with a wire mesh top to allow for unrestricted airflow. The von Frey test uses a series of calibrated monofilaments (2.83–4.31 log stimulus intensity) applied randomly to the left and right plantar surface of the hind paw for 3 seconds. Lifting, licking, or shaking the paw was considered a response. After completion of allodynia testing, the mice were placed on a heated (52°C) enclosed Hot Plate Analgesia Meter (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH). The latency to jump, lick, or shake a hind paw was assessed. A 30-second cutoff time was used to avoid potential tissue damage (Garcia-Martinez et al., 2002). For each assay, testing was performed in a blinded fashion, and nociceptive behavior was assessed at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 3, 5, and 24 hours. Chronic Constriction Injury Surgery. After baseline behavioral assessment, the surgical procedure for chronic constriction of the sciatic nerve was completed as previously described (Bennett and Xie,
rates were recorded for each test session. Mice placed individually in a clear, dimly lit Plexiglas box (42.7 cm × 21.0 cm, 24.6 cm) 120 minutes after injection of JZL184 or MJN110. Activity was measured for 3 hours in ipsilateral [F(3,27) = 12.8, P < 0.0001] and contralateral [F(3,27) = 18.4, P < 0.0001] paws. MJN110 produced maximal antiallodynic effects at 3 hours in ipsilateral [F(3,27) = 18.4, P < 0.0001] paws. MJN110 produced maximal antiallodynic effects at 3 hours in ipsilateral [F(3,27) = 23.4, P < 0.0001]. Neither MAGL inhibitor altered paw withdrawal thresholds in sham mice at any time point (Supplemental Figs. 1 and 2).

Each MAGL inhibitor significantly reversed CCI-induced thermal hyperalgesia in a dose-related and time-dependent manners (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. 3, A and B). Before injection of vehicle or drug, all CCI mice displayed comparable levels of thermal hyperalgesia (P = 0.9). JZL184 [F(3,27) = 8.11, P < 0.05] and MJN110 [F(5,41) = 3.72, P < 0.05] significantly reversed thermal hyperalgesia at 3 hours. Neither drug altered hotplate latencies in sham mice (Supplemental Fig. 3).

To assess the involvement of CB1 and CB2 receptors in the antiallodynic and antithermal hyperalgesic actions of JZL184 (40 mg/kg; Fig. 2, A and C; Supplemental Fig. 4A) and MJN110 (1.25 mg/kg; Fig. 2, B and D; Supplemental Fig. 4B), mice were pretreated with rimonabant (3 mg/kg) or SR144528 (3 mg/kg). JZL184 [F(4,48) = 28.1; P < 0.0001] and MJN110 [F(8,48) = 8.26; P < 0.0001] significantly reversed CCI-induced alldynia. Rimonabant blocked the antiallodynic effects of each MAGL inhibitor (JZL184: P < 0.0001; MJN110: P < 0.0001). Similarly, SR144528 prevented the antiallodynic effects of each inhibitor (JZL184: P < 0.001; MJN110: P < 0.05). After allodynia testing, the mice were tested for thermal hyperalgesia in the hotplate test. Again, JZL184 [F(8,48) = 19.9; P < 0.0001] and MJN110 [F(8,48) = 24.6; P < 0.0001] produced significant antithermal hyperalgesic effects.
Rimonabant significantly reduced the antihyperalgesic effects of JZL184 \( (P < 0.001) \) and MJN110 \( (P < 0.001) \). In contrast, SR144528 did not antagonize the antithermal hyperalgesic effects of JZL184 \( (P = 0.5) \) or MJN110 \( (P = 0.6) \). Rimonabant and SR144528 alone did not alter thermal responses or paw-withdrawal thresholds in sham or CCI mice at any time point.

**Evaluation of MAGL Inhibitors in the Drug Discrimination Paradigm.** The training dose of CP55,940 fully generalized for itself, whereas control injections of vehicle did not produce any response on the CP55,940 aperture (Fig. 3A). Each MAGL inhibitor increased the responses on the aperture associated with CP55,940 (0.1 mg/kg) but with different potencies (Fig. 3A). JZL184 \( F_{(4,27)} = 9.57, P < 0.001; ED_{50} (95\% \text{ CL}) = 24.9 (14.6–42.5) \text{ mg/kg} \) and MJN110 \( F_{(4,32)} = 40.3, P < 0.001; ED_{50} (95\% \text{ CL}) = 0.84 (0.69–1.02) \text{ mg/kg} \) fully and dose dependently substituted for CP55,940. MJN110 was 30.4 (18.9–47.6) [potency ratio (95\% CL)] more potent than JZL184 in substituting for CP55,940. JZL184 did not alter response rates, but 1.25 and 2.5 mg/kg MJN110 significantly increased the response rates \( F_{(4,32)} = 10.1, P < 0.001 \). Rimonabant (1 mg/kg) significantly blocked substitution of MJN110 (2.5 mg/kg) and partial substitution of JZL184 (40 mg/kg) \( F_{(3,13)} = 42.9, P < 0.0001 \). Rimonabant also significantly decreased response rates regardless of inhibitor treatment \( F_{(1,13)} = 87.3, P < 0.0001 \).

**Locomotor Activity.** As shown in Fig. 4, JZL184, and MJN110 differentially affected locomotor activity (see Supplemental Results and Supplemental Fig. 5 for time course data). JZL184 (16 and 40 mg/kg) produced profound decreases in the distance traveled \( F_{(3,30)} = 25.0, P < 0.0001 \) and the mobility time \( F_{(3,30)} = 52.8, P < 0.0001 \), but it did not alter running speed at any dose \( P = 0.08 \). MJN110 significantly...
increased running speed \([F(3,30) = 9.13, P < 0.001]\) and concomitantly increased the distance traveled \([F(3,30) = 5.6, P < 0.01]\) but did not affect mobility time \((P = 0.5)\). Significant increases in running speed were observed after 1.25 mg/kg and 2.5 mg/kg MJN110, and the distance traveled was significantly increased by 1.25 mg/kg MJN110.

Assessment of Acute Cannabimimetic Effects of MJN110. MJN110 (5 mg/kg) produced a small but significant increase in the tail-withdrawal latency \([F(1,11) = 5.43, P = 0.05]\) from 1.25 ± 0.09 seconds before injection to 3.85 ± 1.12 seconds 2 hours postinjection, when this effect was most pronounced. MJN110 did not elicit cataleptic \((P = 0.4)\) or hypothermic \((P = 0.8)\) effects (Supplemental Fig. 6).

Evaluation of Brain Endocannabinoid levels after MAGL Inhibitor Treatment. The effects of acute administration of each MAGL inhibitor on 2-AG, arachidonic acid, and AEA levels in whole brains 3 hours after drug administration are shown in Fig. 5. JZL184 \([F(3,25) = 40.1, P < 0.001]\) and MJN110 \([F(3,25) = 41.5, P < 0.001]\) significantly increased 2-AG brain levels. Each of these inhibitors concomitantly decreased whole-brain arachidonic acid levels \([JZL184: F(3,25) = 7.9, P < 0.001; MJN110: F(3,25) = 12.4, P < 0.001]\). 2-AG and arachidonic acid brain levels were negatively correlated for both JZL184 \((r = -0.98, P < 0.05)\) and MJN110 \((r = -0.97, P < 0.05)\). In contrast, neither of the inhibitors significantly affected brain levels of AEA, OEA, or PEA (Supplemental Fig. 7).

Discussion

The primary objective of the present study was to evaluate the impact of MAGL inhibition in reducing neuropathic pain versus eliciting common cannabimimetic side effects. Here, we report that two MAGL inhibitors, JZL184 and MJN110, significantly reversed mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia in the CCI model of neuropathic pain. These effects were associated with elevated 2-AG and decreased free arachidonic acid in whole brains; however, these inhibitors produced differential effects on locomotor activity at doses that produced full inhibition of MAGL.

Each MAGL inhibitor reversed CCI-induced bilateral allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia. MJN110 was approximately 42-fold more potent than JZL184. The onset of effects for each drug was 1 hour, but MJN110 had a longer duration of action than JZL184. Pharmacokinetic differences between these drugs may account for the quicker onset of peak antinociceptive effects of MJN110 than the maximal effects of JZL184. The antiallodynic effects of each inhibitor are likely mediated by 2-AG at cannabinoid receptors, as cannabinoid receptor antagonists blocked these antiallodynic effects. Given that 2-AG is a major source of arachidonic acid synthesis in the brain (Nomura et al., 2011), however, consequences related to reductions in this lipid may play a contributory role. For example, MAGL inhibition results in decreased levels of prostaglandins (Nomura et al., 2011), which are known to play an important role in inflammatory processes (Vane, 1971). In contrast, neither of the MAGL inhibitors increased levels AEA, PEA, or OEA in brain.

In the present study, the antiallodynic effects of both JZL184 and MJN110 required activation of CB1 and CB2 receptors. Likewise, other reports have demonstrated that both cannabinoid receptors play a necessary role in the antinociceptive effects of JZL184 in neuropathic pain models (Woodhams et al., 2012; Guindon et al., 2013). The current findings demonstrating that CB2 receptors play a necessary role in the antiallodynic effects of both JZL184 and MJN110 are consistent with these reports.
role in the mediation of the antiallodynic effects of the MAGL inhibitors are divergent with previous mouse CCI studies from our laboratory in which the antiallodynic effects of JZL184 and KML29 (Kinsey et al., 2009, 2013; Ignatowska-Jankowska et al., 2014) were mediated by CB1 receptors, whereas CB2 receptors did not play a necessary role. A key difference in the methods used in our previous study and in our present study is the type of suture used to ligate the sciatic nerve. Previous studies used silk sutures that elicited unilateral allodynia of the nerve-injured paw (Kinsey et al., 2009, 2010, 2011, 2013), whereas in the present study, we used chromic gut suture that led to a bilateral allodynia. Reports of CCI-induced allodynia in rodents vary between unilateral and bilateral allodynia, depending on the use of silk or chromic gut suture material, respectively (Paulson et al., 2000; Milligan et al., 2006; Jancalek et al., 2010). Accordingly, the bilateral allodynia resulting from chromic gut suture may have elicited an increased inflammatory response that was ameliorated by 2-AG stimulation of CB2 receptors. Indeed, CB2 receptor stimulation has been found to reduce levels of proinflammatory cytokines and secondary signaling molecules associated with neuropathic pain, as well as increase levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-10 (Wilkerson et al., 2012).

In contrast to the necessary role of both cannabinoid receptors in mediating the antiallodynic effects of JZL184 and MJN1110, reversal of CCI-induced thermal hyperalgesia required only the CB1 receptor, whereas the CB2 receptor was dispensable. Thus, the CB2 receptor plays distinct roles in these two hallmark components of neuropathic pain, which is likely related to different mechanisms subserving the two pain modalities. Thermal hypersensitivity is mediated by lightly myelinated α-δ and myelinated C fibers, whereas mechanical allodynia is mediated by heavily myelinated α-β fibers (Woolf and Mannion, 1999; Costigan et al., 2009). A disconnect between sensory and thermal alterations in pain modalities has been previously reported in a rat model of peripheral interleukin-1β–induced allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia. In these studies, a protein kinase A inhibitor fully blocked allodynia, but it did not alter thermal hyperalgesic responses (Kim et al., 2014). Likewise, in several animal models of pain, transient receptor potential vanilloid type-1 (TRPV1) antagonists are sufficient to block or reverse thermal hyperalgesia without producing antiallodynic effects (Urano et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2014). Therefore, the underpinnings of observed behavioral measurements of allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia are complex and warrant further studies.

Each MAGL inhibitor fully substituted for CP55,940 in the drug discrimination assay, which is in contrast to the effects of MAGL inhibitors in previously reported drug discrimination studies. Specifically, the selective MAGL inhibitor KML29 did not substitute for THC (Ignatowska-Jankowska et al., 2014), which indicates differences between discriminative stimulus effects of those compounds. Moreover, JZL184 only partially substituted for THC (Long et al., 2009b; Wiley et al., 2014).
however, the maximum doses of JZL184 tested in these respective prior studies were 40 and 30 mg/kg. Higher doses were not assessed in those studies because of solubility constraints; however, in the present study, we found that administration of 100 mg/kg JZL184 in a double-volume suspension fully substituted for CP55,940. The finding that MJN110 was more potent than JZL184 is consistent with its lower IC\text{50} for MAGL inhibition (Chang et al., 2012; Niphakis et al., 2013). For each inhibitor, substitution or partial substitution occurred at doses that also elicited significant increases of brain 2-AG, but not AEA, and in each case, interoceptive effects were blocked by rimonabant. Although brain endocannabinoid levels were not assessed after 100 mg/kg JZL184, these results are consistent with the notion that the CP55,940-like discriminative stimulus effects of these MAGL inhibitors were mediated by 2-AG activation of the CB\text{1} receptor.

JZL184 has previously been found to produce a subset of effects in the tetrad assay (Long et al., 2009a,b; Ignatowska-Jankowska et al., 2014), a paradigm that has been used to infer cannabimimetic activity (Little et al., 1988). It is important to note that cannabinoid receptor agonists are generally more potent in the drug-discrimination paradigm than the tetrad assay (Wiley et al., 1995a,b), which is consistent with the current study. Specifically, JZL184 produced a small increase in the tail-withdrawal latencies (Long et al., 2009a,b) and decreases in spontaneous locomotor activity. Likewise, here we found that MJN110 produced a low magnitude of effect in the warm-water tail-withdrawal test. Interestingly, MJN110 did not produce hypomotility, but it significantly increased running speed during the first 10 minutes of the test, which led to concomitant increases in distances traveled. Moreover, MJN110 did not produce hypothermic effects. The observations that MJN110 increased running speed are reminiscent with studies showing that low doses of THC and CP55,940 stimulate locomotor behavior (Anderson et al., 1975; Evans et al., 1976; McGregor et al., 1996).
As JZL184 and MJN110 elevated 2-AG in whole brain to a similar degree, the cause of the differential effects of these drugs on locomotor activity remains an open question. One possibility is related to their off-target effects. Specifically, JZL184 inhibits FAAH, albeit with considerable less potency than its inhibition of MAGL (Long et al., 2009b). Nonetheless, acute administration of JZL184 does not lead to an increase in brain AEA levels. MJN110 also inhibits α/β-hydrolase domain 6 (AβHD6) (Niphakis et al., 2013), a serine hydrolase that is postsynaptically located and known to metabolize 2-AG (Blankman et al., 2007). Another possibility is that pharmacokinetic differences may have affected absorption and distribution of each drug. Accordingly, these drugs may have led to differential rates of 2-AG elevation in specific neural circuits that mediate locomotor activity.

In conclusion, MAGL inhibitors reliably reverse nociceptive behaviors in the CCI model of neuropathic pain with reduced cannabimimetic side effects. Although both JZL184 and MJN110 produced cannabimimetic interoceptive effects in mice through distinct cannabinoid receptor mechanisms, they produced opposing effects on locomotor behavior. In particular, MJN110 reversed nociceptive behavior in the CCI model of neuropathic pain with a 2-fold greater potency than required to achieve CP55,940 in the drug discrimination paradigm, and it did not produce hypomotility, catalepsy, or hyperthermia. More generally, the present study, taken together with the results of previous work (Kinsey et al., 2009, 2013; Long et al., 2009b; Schlosburg et al., 2010; Guindon et al., 2011, 2013; Ignatowska-Jankowska et al., 2014), suggests that MAGL inhibition represents a promising strategy to alleviate neuropathic pain with decreased incidence of cannabimimetic side effects.
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