The Soluble Guanylyl Cyclase Activator YC-1 Increases Intracellular cGMP and cAMP via Independent Mechanisms in INS-1E Cells
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ABSTRACT

In addition to increasing cGMP, the soluble guanylyl cyclase (sGC) activator 3-(5′-hydroxymethyl-2′-furyl)-1-benzylindazole (YC-1) can elevate intracellular cAMP levels. This response was assumed to be as a result of cGMP-dependent inhibition of cAMP phosphodiesterases; however, in this study, we show that YC-1-induced cAMP production in the rat pancreatic beta cell line INS-1E occurs independent of its function as a sGC activator and independent of its ability to inhibit phosphodiesterases. This YC-1-induced cAMP increase is dependent upon soluble adenyl cyclase and not on transmembrane adenyl cyclase activity. We previously showed that soluble adenyl cyclase-generated cAMP can lead to extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation and that YC-1-stimulated cAMP production also stimulates extracellular signal-regulated kinase. Although YC-1 has been used as a tool for investigating sGC and cGMP-mediated pathways, this study reveals cGMP-independent pharmacological actions of this compound.

Introduction

The derivative of benzylindazole 3-(5′-hydroxymethyl-2′-furyl)-1-benzylindazole (YC-1) was the first of a class of compounds identified to directly activate soluble guanylyl cyclase (sGC). Work on purified sGC (Mülisch et al., 1997) revealed that YC-1 stimulates sGC in a heme-dependent and nitric oxide (NO)-independent manner (Ko et al., 1994; Wu et al., 1995; Friebel et al., 1996). YC-1 can sensitize the enzyme for NO activation in vitro (Friebel et al., 1996), in human platelets (Teng et al., 1997), and in smooth muscle (Galle et al., 1999), and it has been shown to enhance extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and cAMP response element-binding protein phosphorylation in amygdala and hippocampus through a NO-cGMP-protein kinase G pathway (Chien et al., 2003, 2008). Thus, YC-1 has been a valuable reagent for exploring sGC/cGMP-dependent signaling pathways in biological systems.

YC-1 has other reported effects as well. It inhibited the activity of cyclic nucleotide-catabolizing phosphodiesterases (PDEs) in aortic extracts, specifically isoforms 1 to 5 (Galle et al., 1999). This effect would augment the elevation of cGMP levels due to the stimulation of sGC. Other reported activities of YC-1, which were found to be independent of its effects on sGC activity, included stimulating NO production in endothelial cells (Wohlfart et al., 1999), protecting optic nerves (Garthwaite et al., 2002), inhibiting voltage-dependent K+ channels in rabbit coronary arterial smooth muscle cells (Park et al., 2010), inhibiting respiratory burst and degranulation in human neutrophils (Hwang et al., 2003), and inhibiting proliferation of mesangial cells through p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation (Chiang et al., 2005).

YC-1 has also been reported to elevate levels of the distinct second messenger cAMP. In platelets (Ko et al., 1994) and human neutrophils (Hwang et al., 2003), YC-1 increases
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cAMP levels (and protein kinase A activity). Such effects were postulated to be mediated via the inhibition of a putative cGMP-inhibited cAMP PDE (Ko et al., 1994).

During the course of our studies exploring the role of cAMP in beta cells of the pancreas, we found that YC-1 also elevated cAMP, independent of any effect on cGMP, in the beta cell-like insulinoma cell line INS-1E. Beta cells produce cAMP when exposed to high concentrations of glucose (Charles et al., 1973; Grill and Cerasi, 1973; Rutter, 2001; Tian et al., 2011), and this response can be studied in INS-1E cells (Ramos et al., 2008). We previously demonstrated that the bicarbonate-, calcium-, and ATP-regulated soluble adenylyl cyclase (sAC) is at least partially responsible for glucose-induced cAMP generation in INS-1E cells and that glucose-induced ERK phosphorylation is exclusively dependent upon sAC-generated cAMP (Ramos et al., 2008). We now demonstrate that YC-1 increases cAMP accumulation in INS-1E cells independent of its effects on sGC or cAMP-catabolizing PDEs and dependent upon sAC. Thus, although it has long been appreciated that cAMP levels can be affected during YC-1 treatment, we now demonstrate that such effects are cGMP independent and reveal a unique, unknown direct target of YC-1 actions.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. INS-1E cells were a gift from Claus Wollheim (University Medical Center, Geneva, Switzerland). RPMI 1640 medium, l-glutamine, and HEPES were acquired from Cellgro (Manassas, VA). Dimethyl sulfoxide, β-mercaptoethanol, sodium pyruvate, and glucose were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), and fetal bovine serum was obtained from Gemini Bio-Products (West Sacramento, CA). Phospho-ERK and total ERK rabbit polyclonal antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Horseradish peroxidase-linked anti-mouse and anti-rabbit antibodies and SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). The anti-sAC monoclonal antibody R21 was developed in our laboratory and is directed against amino acids 203 to 216 of human sAC.

The following reagents were used in this study: YC-1, 1H-[1,2,4]oxadiazolo[4,3-a]quinolizin-1-one (ODQ), 8-bromo-2′,3′-dioxa-3,9b-diaza-cyclopenta[c]napththalen-1-one (NS2028), and 2′-[2′-fluorophenyl]methyl]-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyridin-3-yl]-5-(4-morpholiny1)-4-pyrimidinediamine (BAY 41-8543) (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI). S-Nitroso-N-acyethylpenicillamine (SNAP) and 2′,5′-dideoxycadenosine (2′,5′-ddado) were obtained from EMD Chemicals (Gibbstown, NJ), and 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The sAC-specific inhibitor 2′-((1H-benzoimidazol-2-ylthio)-2′-[5-bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl]methylenyl)hydrazide propanoic acid (KH7) was synthesized by ChemDiv, Inc. (San Diego, CA) and by the Abby and Howard P. Milstein Synthetic Chemistry Core Facility of Weill Cornell Medical College.

Cell Culture. INS-1E cells (passage 150–175) were cultured as described previously (Asfari et al., 1992). The cells were passaged every 3 days and cultured under 5% CO2 in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol.

Adenylyl Cyclase Assays and cAMP Determinations. In vitro adenylyl cyclase (AC) activity was measured on purified recombinant rat truncated sAC (sACt) protein as described previously (Buck et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2000; Litvin et al., 2003). For in vivo cAMP accumulation assays, 2.5 × 105 INS-1E cells were plated in each well of a 24-well plate. Two days later, cells were incubated in 2.5 mM glucose Krebs-Ringer solution (pH 7.5) supplemented with 2 mM sodium bicarbonate, 10 mM HEPES, and 0.1% bovine serum albumin for 1 to 2 h before the start of the assay. At time 0, media was replaced with Krebs-Ringer solution containing 2.5 mM glucose or 16 mM glucose in the presence of 500 μM IBMX and the different inhibitors or vehicle controls as specified in the figure legends. We found no difference in accumulated cAMP levels whether IBMX was preincubated for 10 min or added simultaneously with glucose or the various drugs (data not shown). Cells were incubated for the indicated time at 37°C, followed by aspiration of media, and the cells were lysed with 200 μl of 0.1 M HCl per well. Intracellular cAMP content was determined using Correlate-EIA cAMP Direct Assay (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY).

For assays of in vitro transcription/translation products, rat full-length sAC (sACfl) and sACt cDNAs were expressed (Buck et al., 1999). In vitro transcription/translation was performed using the TNT Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation System (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Synthesis of sACt and sACfl proteins was confirmed by Western blot with the sAC monoclonal antibody R21. cAMP production was measured with 15 μl of in vitro transcription/translation products assayed in 100 μl of 200 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 5 mM ATP, 20 mM MgCl2, 2 mM CaCl2, 10 mM NaH2CO3, and 0.5 mM IBMX in the presence of vehicle control or KH7 or YC-1 as specified in the figure legends. Cyclase reactions were incubated at 30°C for 20 min and stopped with 100 μl of 0.2 M HCl. cAMP production was measured by using the Correlate-EIA Direct cAMP Enzyme Immunoassay Kit (Assay Designs).

Guanylyl Cyclase Assays and cGMP Determinations. A total of 2.5 × 105 INS-1E cells were plated in each well of a 24-well plate. The assay was performed the same way as the AC assay described above. Intracellular cGMP content was determined using Correlate-EIA cGMP Direct Assay (Enzo Life Sciences).

PDE Assays and cAMP Determination. INS-1E cells were grown in 10-cm dishes to 80% confluence. Cells were lysed in cold lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0) in the presence of 10 μg/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 5 mM benzamidine, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. To measure PDE activity, 1/20 of total lystate was used per reaction in the presence of 25 pmol of cAMP. Lysates were incubated in 200 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 20 mM MgCl2, and 3 mM dithiothreitol in the presence or absence of IBMX, YC-1, and other PDE inhibitors as indicated in the figure legends for 30 min at 37°C. To stop the assay, 0.2 M HCl was added, and intracellular cAMP content was determined using Correlate-EIA Direct Assay (Enzo Life Sciences). Assays were performed in triplicate.

Western Blot. Equal numbers of cells were plated on six-well dishes. cAMP accumulation assays were performed in the presence of inhibitors or activators as specified in the figure legends. After 15 min of incubation, 100 μl of Laemmli sample buffer was added directly to the cells in the wells. Ten to fifteen microliters of the sample was resolved by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, and probed with specific antibodies (i.e., anti-phospho-ERK or anti-ERK antibodies).

Results

YC-1 Increases cAMP Production in INS-1E Cells Independent of sGC. In INS-1E cells, incubation in high (16 mM) glucose elevates intracellular cAMP levels (Ramos et al., 2008). YC-1 increased cAMP accumulation in INS-1E cells in both low (2.5 mM) and high (16 mM) glucose (Fig. 1A). YC-1 stimulation was dose dependent and seemed to be additive with the effect of high glucose. At a concentration of 100 μM, which is the level of YC-1 required for maximal activation of purified sGC (Friebe and Koesling, 1998), cAMP accumulation was stimulated approximately 2-fold compared with the cAMP level in the absence of the drug under both low and high glucose conditions. YC-1-induced cAMP generation was specific to this drug; a structurally unrelated acti-
York of sGC, BAY 41-8543 (Stasch et al., 2002), had no effect on cAMP production in INS-1E cells (Fig. 1B).

The absence of a cAMP increase stimulated by BAY 41-8543 suggested that the YC-1-induced increase in cAMP production would be independent of sGC. We tested this directly by including two pharmacological inhibitors of sGC, ODQ or NS2028 (Garthwaite et al., 1995; Olesen et al., 1998). After confirming that neither sGC inhibitor affected cAMP levels in INS-1E cells under low or high glucose conditions (Fig. 2), we found that they also had no effect on the YC-1-induced cAMP increase (Fig. 3A). As expected, both YC-1 and BAY 41-8543 stimulated cGMP accumulation in INS-1E cells, and both ODQ and NS 2028 inhibited cGMP production induced by either (Fig. 3B). Thus, YC-1’s effect on cAMP appears to be independent of its stimulation of sGC.

**YC-1 Induces cAMP Accumulation Independent of Its Ability To Inhibit PDE Activity.** cAMP accumulates as a consequence of an imbalance between second messenger generation by ACs and its degradation by catabolizing PDEs. YC-1 has reported effects on PDE activity (Ko et al., 1994; Galle et al., 1999; Hwang et al., 2008), so we explored whether its ability to elevate intracellular cAMP levels was mediated by the inhibition of a cAMP-catabolizing PDE. To test directly whether YC-1 affected PDE activity in INS-1E cells, we measured cAMP PDE activity in INS-1E lysates in the presence of YC-1 alone or in concert with the broad specificity PDE inhibitor IBMX and the more selective dipyridamole, which potently inhibits the only known IBMX-insensitive cAMP-catabolizing PDE, PDE8 (Fisher et al., 1998; Soderling et al., 1998). YC-1 alone slightly decreased the cAMP PDE activity in INS-1E lysates; its effect was approximately equal to the effect of the PDE8 inhibitor dipyridamole (Fig. 4A). However, the effects of YC-1 were abrogated completely in the presence of 0.5 mM IBMX, suggesting that its effect on PDE activity in INS-1E cells is mediated through the inhibition of an IBMX-sensitive PDE isoform. The cellular cAMP accumulation assays described above (Figs. 1–3) were performed in the presence of IBMX, suggesting that YC-1’s effects were not due to PDE inhibition. We retested this by repeating the cellular cAMP accumulation experiments in the presence of both dipyridamole and IBMX. Dipyridamole (in the presence of IBMX) had a slight, but not statistically significant, effect on the level of cAMP accumu-

---

**Fig. 1.** YC-1, but not BAY 41-8543, potentiates cAMP production in both low and high glucose conditions in INS-1E cells. Two days before the assay, 2.5 × 10^5 INS-1E cells were plated in each well of a 24-well plate. A, total cellular cAMP was measured in INS-1E cells in Krebs-Ringer solution after incubation for 15 min with 2.5 mM glucose or 16 mM glucose in the presence of 0.5 mM IBMX with either vehicle control (0) or with YC-1 at corresponding concentrations. Values represent means ± S.E.M. (n = 4) of total cAMP content per well. B, cAMP was measured in INS-1E cells after incubation for 15 min in 2.5 mM glucose in the presence of 0.5 mM IBMX with either vehicle control or BAY 41-8543. Values represent means ± S.E.M. (n = 3). Analysis of variance statistical analyses were performed with the Bonferroni posttest. *, P < 0.01; **, P < 0.001; ***, P < 0.0001.

---

**Fig. 2.** sGC inhibitors have no effect on glucose-induced cAMP production in INS-1E cells. Two days before the assay, 2.5 × 10^5 INS-1E cells were plated in each well of a 24-well plate. Total cellular cAMP was measured in INS-1E cells in Krebs-Ringer solution with 2.5 mM glucose or 16 mM glucose in the presence of 0.5 mM IBMX or with 2.5 mM glucose or 16 mM glucose with the corresponding amounts of sGC inhibitors ODQ (A) and NS2028 (B). Values represent means ± S.E.M. (n = 3) of total cAMP content per well.
lation in INS-1E cells, and YC-1 still induced a cAMP increase when both IBMX and dipyridamole were present (Fig. 4B). Therefore, although YC-1 may exhibit some PDE-inhibiting ability, its ability to diminish cAMP-catabolizing activity cannot be solely responsible for its elevation of cAMP levels in whole cells.

**YC-1-Induced cAMP Production Requires sAC Activity.** Because YC-1 did not appear to be increasing cAMP levels solely by inhibiting a PDE activity, we hypothesized that it should be stimulating AC activity. In mammalian cells in general and in INS-1E cells in particular (Ramos et al., 2008), there are two classes of ACs: a family of G protein-regulated transmembrane ACs (tmACs) and bicarbonate-, calcium-, and ATP-regulated sAC. We previously established the use of small-molecule inhibitors selective for each class to distinguish which AC was responsible for a specific cAMP signaling cascade (Stessin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2006; Ramos et al., 2008). KH7 is a small molecule that specifically inhibits sAC (Hess et al., 2005), and the P site ligand 2',5'-ddAdo is selective for tmACs when used at a concentration ≤50 μM (Johnson et al., 1997; Gille et al., 2004). Using these inhibitors, we demonstrated that in INS-1E cells incretin-stimulated cAMP is generated by tmACs, whereas sAC is responsible for the cAMP induced by elevated glucose (Ramos et al., 2008). Similar to what we observed previously (Ramos et al., 2008), 2',5'-ddAdo, but not KH7, diminished the "basal" cAMP accumulation in low glucose (cAMP amounts in low glucose in the absence of any inhibitor = 12.976 ± 0.442 pmol/well, in the presence of KH7 = 13.286 ± 0.815 pmol/well, and in the presence of 2',5'-ddAdo = 9.755 ± 1.384 pmol/well). In contrast, YC-1-induced cAMP was inhibited by KH7 and unaffected by 2',5'-ddAdo (Fig. 5). Thus, the cAMP increase observed in the presence of YC-1 is dependent upon sAC and not tmACs.

We tested the effect of YC-1 on the activities of the two sAC isoforms, sACα and sACβ, which have been characterized in vitro (Buck et al., 1999; Jaiswal and Conti, 2003; Chaloupka et al., 2006). The truncated and highly active sACα isoform can be expressed heterologously and purified (Chen et al., 2000; Litvin et al., 2003), whereas sACβ has thus far only ever been assayed in whole-cell lysates from transfected cells (Chen et al., 2000; Jaiswal and Conti, 2003; Chaloupka et al., 2006) or in immunoprecipitates (Jaiswal and Conti, 2003). We now demonstrate that active sACα can be produced and assayed by in vitro transcription/translation systems. In our hands, YC-1 had no effect on basal sACα or sACβ activities,
nor did it potentiate calcium and bicarbonate stimulation of sAC, (Fig. 6).

**YC-1-Induced cAMP Stimulates the MAPK Cascade.**

In beta cells, elevated glucose activates the MAPK cascade, and in our previous work, we demonstrated that glucose-induced, sAC-generated cAMP is required for the phosphorylation of the MAPK ERK (Ramos et al., 2008). We now show that the YC-1-induced, sAC-dependent cAMP increase also stimulates the MAPK cascade. YC-1 treatment increases ERK phosphorylation, although not to the same extent as stimulation (i.e., by BAY 41-8543). In contrast, YC-1 remains a useful reagent for studying sGC activation in vitro. A great deal of effort has been invested in understanding how it binds to the enzyme, yet where YC-1 binds to sGC remains a matter of debate (Friebe et al., 1999; Derbyshire et al., 2009). Some mutational studies indicated that YC-1 interacts with the catalytic domain of sGC (Russwurm et al., 2002); however, photoaffinity labeling and other mutational studies suggested that it binds within the \( \alpha_1 \) subunit linker region between the heme-NO and PAS (Per-Arnt-Sim) domains (Stasch et al., 2001; Koglin and Behrends, 2003). Such a mechanism may be consistent with resonance Raman studies, which revealed that YC-1 binding caused a conformational change, which resulted in heme adjustment (Ibrahim et al., 2010).

Galle et al. (1999) showed that YC-1 inhibited the activities of the cGMP-specific PDE5 as well as the activities of the nonselective (i.e., cAMP- and cGMP-catabolizing) PDE1, PDE2, and PDE3 (Galle et al., 1999). Each of these cAMP-catabolizing isoforms is sensitive to inhibition by IBMX (Soderling and Beavo, 2000; Boswell-Smith et al., 2006). There are also cAMP-catabolizing PDEs that are IBMX insensitive (or whose sensitivity to IBMX is unknown). PDE8 is an IBMX-insensitive, cAMP-selective PDE (Soderling et al., 1998), whereas PDE10 and PDE11 are nonselective PDEs whose IBMX sensitivity remains unclear. Fortunately, all three isoforms (along with cGMP-specific PDE5 and PDE6) can be inhibited by dipyridamole (Hetman et al., 2000; Ghosh et al., 2009), and in INS-1E extracts, dipyridamole’s small
but significant ability to inhibit PDE activity seemed to be additive with that of IBMX. Hwang et al. (2003) showed that YC-1 increased cAMP accumulation in human neutrophils, and because the effect was not seen in the presence of IBMX, they concluded that YC-1 elevated cAMP levels via the inhibition of an IBMX-sensitive PDE. We similarly observed a small, but significant, decrease in cAMP PDE activity in extracts from INS-1E cells in the presence of YC-1. Consistent with YC-1 inhibiting an IBMX-sensitive PDE, the effect of YC-1 seemed to be additive with that of dipyridamole and absent in the presence of IBMX. In any event, in the presence of both IBMX and dipyridamole, YC-1 had no effect on PDE activity in vitro, yet it was still able to stimulate intracellular cAMP accumulation in INS-1E cells. These results suggest that YC-1 affects cAMP accumulation independent of its ability to inhibit PDEs. However, it remains possible that YC-1’s inhibitory effects on PDEs are greater or more efficient in vivo than in vitro or that it affects a PDE activity not reflected in the in vitro PDE assay.

The YC-1-induced cAMP elevation is inhibited by the sAC-specific inhibitor KH7, whereas selective inhibition of tmAC activity had no effect. Therefore, YC-1 induction of cAMP requires sAC activity to generate the second messenger. Yet, we were unable to demonstrate that sAC was the target of YC-1; the two biochemically characterized sAC isoforms were inert when tested in vitro. Other sAC isoforms derived from an internal promoter are predicted to exist (Geng et al., 2005; Farrell et al., 2008). These are likely to be distinctly regulated, and they may be the target of YC-1.

It also remains possible that YC-1 increases sAC activity by modulating the intracellular concentration of one of its regulators. sAC catalytic activity is regulated directly by bicarbonate (Chen et al., 2000) and calcium (Jaiswal and Conti, 2003; Litvin et al., 2003), and its affinity for substrate ATP (approximately 1 mM) suggests that it will be sensitive to intracellular fluctuations of ATP (Litvin et al., 2003). All three modulators may contribute to the glucose-induced stimulation of sAC activity in INS-1E cells (Ramos et al., 2008). Glucose metabolism leads to increased intracellular ATP and CO₂/bicarbonate levels, and because INS-1E are beta cell-like, the glucose-dependent increase in intracellular ATP level closes the ATP-regulated potassium channel, which depolarizes the cell, opening a voltage-dependent calcium channel and elevating the intracellular calcium level (Ashcroft and Rorsman, 1989; Rutter et al., 1993). We previously demonstrated that the glucose-dependent stimulation of sAC in INS1-E cells is dependent upon the voltage-dependent calcium channel-mediated calcium increase and that the sAC response could be mimicked by potassium depolarization (Ramos et al., 2008). YC-1 can inhibit other types of potassium channels (Park et al., 2010), suggesting that YC-1 may activate sAC subsequent to depolarization-induced calcium entry. However, YC-1 was able to induce approximately the same fold stimulation of cAMP accumulation in both low and high glucose (Fig. 1), implying that glucose and YC-1 increase cAMP via distinct mechanisms. Finally, there are additional modes of regulation of sAC yet to be understood (Chaloupka et al., 2006), and therefore, it remains possible that YC-1 elevates the intracellular cAMP level via modulation of one of these known, or unknown, sAC activators.

Future studies examining whether YC-1 also elevates cAMP levels in other contexts and whether any observed cAMP increase is sAC dependent and sGC and PDE independent may help to shed light on its precise mechanism of action. In conclusion, we identified an effect of YC-1 that is entirely independent of its sGC-activating properties. Due to such unwanted consequences, caution should be taken in the interpretation of results when using this compound, especially because there are known pathways where cAMP and cGMP modulate the same physiological effect, either oppositely or in concert.
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