Transcriptional Regulation of Renal Cytoprotective Genes by Nrf2 and Its Potential Use as a Therapeutic Target to Mitigate Cisplatin-Induced Nephrotoxicity
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ABSTRACT

The use of the chemotherapeutic drug cisplatin is limited in part by nephrotoxicity. Cisplatin causes renal DNA adducts and oxidative stress in rodents. The transcription factor Nrf2 (nuclear factor E2-related factor 2) induces expression of cytoprotective genes, including Nqo1 (NADPH:quinate oxidoreductase 1), Ho-1 (heme oxygenase-1), and Gclc (glutamate cysteine ligase catalytic subunit), in response to electrophilic and oxidative stress. In the present study, plasma and kidneys from wild-type and Nrf2-null mice were collected after receiving cisplatin for evaluation of renal injury, inflammation, mRNA, and protein expression. Compared with wild types, more extensive nephrotoxicity was observed in Nrf2-null mice after cisplatin treatment. Kidneys from Nrf2-null mice treated with cisplatin had more neutrophil infiltration accompanied by increased p65 nuclear factor κB binding and elevated inflammatory mediator mRNA levels. Cisplatin increased renal mRNA and protein expression of cytoprotective genes (Nqo1, Ho-1, Gclc) and transporters Mrp2 and Mrp4 in wild-type but not in Nrf2-null mice. Lastly, the Nrf2 activator, CDDO-Im [2-cyano-3,12-dioxooleana-1,9-dien-28-oic imidazolide], increased Nrf2 signaling in kidneys from wild-type mice and protected them from cisplatin toxicity. Collectively, these data indicate that the absence of Nrf2 exacerbates cisplatin renal damage and that pharmacological activation of Nrf2 may represent a novel therapy to prevent kidney injury. Coordinated regulation of detoxification enzymes and drug transporters and suppression of inflammation by Nrf2 during cisplatin nephrotoxicity are probable defense mechanisms to eliminate toxic mediators and promote proximal tubule recovery.

Introduction

Cisplatin (cis-diaminedichloroplatinum(II)) is an effective antineoplastic drug for the treatment of solid tumors, although its use is often limited by impairment of renal function. Nephrotoxicity is observed in 32 to 38% of patients after a single dose of cisplatin (Shord et al., 2006). This side effect often delays or precludes subsequent chemotherapy cycles, thereby reducing overall antineoplastic efficacy. Prior research has investigated mechanisms involved in cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity (Pabla and Dong, 2008). Upon uptake into the cell, cisplatin undergoes nonenzymatic hydrolysis to form aquated and electrophilic products through chloride

ABBREVIATIONS: Nrf2, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; CDDO-Im, 2-cyano-3,12-dioxooleana-1,9-dien-28-oic imidazolide; ARE, antioxidant-response element; Mrp(s), multidrug resistance-associated protein(s); Ho-1, heme oxygenase-1; Nqo1, NADPH:quinone oxidoreductase 1; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; Mdr1b, multidrug resistance protein 1b; Kim-1, kidney injury molecule-1; Topo2a, topoisomerase 2a; Gclc, glutamate cysteine ligase, catalytic subunit; TUNEL, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick-end labeling; NFκB, nuclear factor κB; Oct2, organic cation transporter 2; IL, interleukin; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; bp, base pair.
ligand-exchange reactions (Mistry et al., 1989). Loss of labile chloride ligands results in nucleophilic substitution reactions with DNA and proteins, generation of oxidative stress, inflammation, increased cytosolic free calcium, and ultimately cell death (Pabla and Dong, 2008).

A number of signaling pathways, most notably those controlled by the nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) transcription factor, are activated to counteract accumulating reactive oxygen species and electrophiles (Aleksunes and Manautou, 2007). Under basal conditions, Nrf2 is sequestered in the cytoplasm by the repressor protein Keap1 (Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1) and targeted for proteasomal degradation (Itoh et al., 1999). Exposure to pharmacological activators, such as oltipraz or CDDO-Im (2-cyano-3,12-dioxooleana-1,9-dien-28-oic imidazolide) or generation of oxidative stress, triggers Nrf2 to translocate to the nucleus where it transactivates a battery of genes by binding to antioxidant-response elements (ARE) in upstream promoter regions (Friling et al., 1990; Rushmore et al., 1991). Targets of Nrf2 transcription include proteins involved in drug metabolism, efflux transporters (such as multidrug resistance-associated proteins, Mrps), antioxidant enzymes, heat shock responses, and proteasomal degradation.

Phenotypic characterization of Nrf2-null mice has yielded interesting findings. Electron paramagnetic resonance imaging showed that liver and kidneys from female Nrf2-null mice tended to have lower free radical-reducing abilities (Hirayama et al., 2003). Likewise, male Nrf2-null mice exhibit lower constitutive mRNA expression of the heat shock protein Ho-1 and the detoxification enzyme Nqo1 in their kidneys (Tanaka et al., 2008). Therefore, it is thought that Nrf2-null mice have an impaired capacity to quench free radicals and electrophiles in kidneys (Tanaka et al., 2008). Furthermore, it was reported that aged Nrf2-null female mice have accumulation of renal lipid peroxides and develop lupus-like autoimmune glomerulonephritis (Yoh et al., 2001; Li et al., 2004). Because of these findings and the knowledge that Nrf2 is a cytoprotective factor in various pathological processes, the purpose of this study was to comprehensively evaluate the susceptibility of Nrf2-null mice to cisplatin nephrotoxicity, with particular attention to renal apoptosis and necrosis, inflammation, adaptive gene response, and compensatory proliferation. Furthermore, it was determined whether pretreatment with the Nrf2 activator CDDO-Im protects kidneys from cisplatin toxicity.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Wild-type and Nrf2-null mice were obtained from Dr. Jefferson Chan (University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA). The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved the following studies.

Cisplatin Administration. Cisplatin was dissolved in saline after heating to 50°C and cooled to room temperature before injection. Groups of adult male mice were injected with vehicle (10 ml/kg i.p.) or cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg i.p.) after overnight feed-deprivation. Doses of cisplatin used in this study are similar to those used clinically (Shord et al., 2006). Feed was returned to cages 4 h after cisplatin treatment. Mice were euthanized with an overdose of pentobarbital (50 mg/kg i.p.). Kidneys and plasma (in heparinized tubes) were collected at 1 and 4 h as well as 3, 4, 5, and 6 days after cisplatin administration. To determine urine flow rate, mice treated with vehicle or cisplatin (18 mg/kg i.p.) were placed into metabolism cages on day 4, and urine was collected for 6 h. Due to a limited number of metabolism cages, mice from each group were put together in the same cage, and urine volume was adjusted for time and animal weight. Portions of each kidney were fixed in 10% formalin. The remaining tissue was snap-frozen.

CDDO-Im Protection Study. CDDO-Im (a gift of Dr. Michael Sporn, Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, NH) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide and diluted in sesame oil (final concentration of dimethyl sulfoxide, 2%). Vehicle (10 ml/kg or CDDO-Im and 10 mg/kg per day) was administered by oral gavage for 2 days. Tissues were collected 24 h after the last dose of CDDO-Im. Additional CDDO-Im-pretreated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice were feed-deprived overnight and administered cisplatin (20 mg/kg i.p.), and tissues were collected 4 days later.

Urea Nitrogen. Blood urea nitrogen levels were determined as an indicator of renal injury (ThermoTrace, Melbourne, VIC, Australia).

Histopathology. Paraffin-embedded kidney sections (5 μm) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and examined for histopathological changes by a board-certified veterinary pathologist according to a published grading scale (Manautou et al., 1998). Neutrophils were counted from five to nine mice per group in three nonoverlapping fields at 40× magnification.

RNA Isolation and Messenger RNA Quantification. Total RNA was isolated using RNA-Beet reagent (Tel-Test, Inc., Friendswood, TX). Renal mRNA expression was determined with the Quantigene Plex 1.0 and 2.0 Reagent System (Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA). Panomics Plex sets were used: oxidative stress (2.0 panel 21076) and inflammation (1.0 panel 2045). Samples were analyzed by using a Bio-Plex System Array reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Five (panel 2045) or 1 μg (panel 21076) of total RNA was used. Subsequent steps have been reported previously (Aleksunes et al., 2009).

Branched DNA Signal Amplification Assay. The mRNA expression of mouse Kim-1 (kidney injury molecule-1), PCNA (proliferating cell nuclear antigen), c-Myc, Ki67, Topo2a (topoisomerase 2a), Nqo1, Ho-1, Gclc, Mrp2, Mrp4, and Mdr1b (multidrug resistance protein 1b) were quantified using the branched DNA signal amplification assay (Affymetrix, Inc.) (Hartley and Klaassen, 2000). Novel oligonucleotide probe sets are provided in Supplemental Table 1.

Western Blot Analysis. Nrf2 protein expression was determined in nuclear extracts prepared with the NE-PER nuclear protein extraction kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL). Cytosolic (Nqo1, Gclc) and membrane (Ho-1, Mrp2, Mrp4, Mdr1b) kidney fractions were prepared as described previously (Aleksunes et al., 2008).

Proteins (50 μg/lane) were electrophoretically resolved. Staining conditions and sources of antibodies are provided in Supplemental Table 2 and as described previously (Aleksunes et al., 2008). Equal protein loading was confirmed: β-actin protein for cytosolic and membrane proteins and histone H3 for nuclear proteins. The Discovery Series Quantity One 1-D software (Bio-Rad Laboratories) was used to quantify protein bands.

Immunohistochemical Staining. Indirect immunofluorescence staining of Mrp2 and Mrp4 on frozen mouse kidney sections has been reported previously (Aleksunes et al., 2008). PCNA and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) staining were performed on paraffin-embedded and frozen kidney sections using the Zymed PCNA kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and Trevigen Apoptotic Cell System In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), respectively. PCNA-positive and TUNEL-positive nuclei were counted from three to seven mice per group in three nonoverlapping fields at 40× magnification. Plasma and DNA adducts were quantified in frozen kidney sections and quantified as reported previously and expressed in arbitrary fluorescence units (Liedert et al., 2006).
Blood urea nitrogen, urinary rate, and kidney injury molecule-1 mRNA expression in wild-type and Nrf2-null mice after cisplatin treatment. A, blood urea nitrogen levels in wild-type and Nrf2-null mice 3 through 6 days after cisplatin (18 mg/kg i.p.) treatment (n = 4–15). B, blood urea nitrogen levels in wild-type and Nrf2-null mice 4 days after vehicle or cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg i.p.) treatment (n = 8–14). C, urine flow rate of control and cisplatin (18 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. Pooled urine volume was quantified from five to six mice per group in a metabolic cage for 6 h and normalized to body weight and time. D, messenger RNA expression of Kim-1 was quantified using total kidney RNA from control and cisplatin (18 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4 (n = 4–5). Data are presented as means ± S.E. Messenger RNA data were normalized to wild-type control mice. Black bars represent wild-type mice, and gray bars represent Nrf2-null mice. *represents statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) compared with genotype control mice. †represents a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) from cisplatin-treated wild-type mice.

Transcription Factor Binding Assays. Nuclear extracts were used to quantify DNA binding of Nrf2 and the p65 subunit of nuclear factor κB (NFκB) using TransAm Transcription Factor Assay Kits (Kits 50296 and 40096; Active Motif, Inc., Carlsbad, CA). For DNA binding of Nrf2 to ARE in Mrp2, the TransAm Kit 50296 was customized to include a streptavidin-coated plate, and the TransAm Flexi Kit protocol was followed (Kit 43298; Active Motif, Inc.). A biotin-labeled duplex oligonucleotide for the mouse Mrp2 (5′-ACTGGAAGCATGACATCCTAC-3′) ARE was synthesized according to published sequences (IDT Technologies, Coralville, IA) (Vollrath et al., 2006; Maher et al., 2007). Unlabeled oligonucleotides as well as a mutant sequence (5′-ACTGGGAAGCACGACATCC-3′) were used for 40× competition experiments.

Statistical Analysis. The software program Prism version 4 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA) was used for statistical analysis. Differences among groups were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance followed by Newman-Keuls multiple-range test. Histopathological data were rank-ordered before statistical analysis. Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

Results

Nephrotoxicity. Cisplatin caused dose- and time-dependent renal injury in adult male wild-type and Nrf2-null mice (Fig. 1). Blood urea nitrogen levels increased similarly in both genotypes on day 3 (Fig. 1A). Four days after cisplatin treatment, urea nitrogen levels in Nrf2-null mice were higher than those in wild types, and the increase was dose-dependent (Fig. 1, A and B). On day 5, urea nitrogen levels returned to normal in cisplatin-treated wild-type mice but remained elevated in Nrf2-null mice (normal levels by 6 days). Higher blood urea nitrogen levels in Nrf2-null mice were in agreement, with a greater deficit in urinary flow rate ( pooled values from multiple mice) (Fig. 1C) and marked up-regulation of renal Kim-1 mRNA expression, compared with wild-type mice (Fig. 1D).

Histopathologic evaluation of kidneys from cisplatin-treated mice demonstrated cellular degeneration, necrosis, apoptosis, and sloughing of proximal tubule epithelium that was more severe in Nrf2-null mice (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Necrotic tubules containing eosinophilic amorphous material and karyorrhectic debris were more numerous at the higher dose of cisplatin (25 mg/kg), particularly in Nrf2-null mice.

Platinum-DNA Adducts and TUNEL Staining. To determine whether Nrf2-null mice were exposed to greater kidney concentrations of cisplatin, we quantified the mRNA expression of the uptake organic cation transporter 2 (Oct2) and the binding of platinum to GG residues of DNA. Oct2/OCT2 is the primary transporter for renal uptake of cisplatin in mice and humans (Filipski et al., 2009). Messenger RNA expression of renal Oct2 was similar between genotypes (data not shown).

After administration of cisplatin to rodents, cisplatin rapidly accumulates in the kidneys, and the majority of the administered dose is eliminated within the first 12 to 24 h (Siddik et al., 1987). To assess kidney exposure to cisplatin, we quantified platinum (guanine-guanine, GG)-DNA adducts. Formation of platinum (GG)-DNA adducts in the proximal tubule epithelium and other cortical cells was similar in
wild-type and Nrf2-null mice at 1 and 4 h after cisplatin administration (Fig. 3). In addition, mRNA expression of DNA repair enzymes was similarly regulated between genotypes on day 4 in response to cisplatin (data not shown). Four days after cisplatin, renal Xrcc1 (also known as X-ray repair complementing defective repair in Chinese hamster cells) mRNA was unchanged in wild-type and Nrf2-null mice, whereas 8-oxoguanine DNA-glycosylase 1 and apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 mRNA were elevated similarly (1.5–2-fold) in both genotypes (data not shown). Collectively, differences in the binding of cisplatin to DNA and subsequent repair of adducts are not likely mechanisms for greater susceptibility of Nrf2-null mice to cisplatin nephrotoxicity.

Because histological analysis suggested differences in proximal tubule cell apoptosis between genotypes (Fig. 2), TUNEL staining was performed (data not shown). TUNEL-positive nuclei were infrequently observed in vehicle-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. Cisplatin treatment increased the number of TUNEL-positive nuclei in both genotypes. Compared with wild types, there were 2-fold more TUNEL-positive nuclei observed in Nrf2-null mice at the 18 mg/kg dose but not at 25 mg/kg (data not shown).

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histopathology Grade</th>
<th>Percent of Mice with Grades of 2 or Greater</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin (18 mg/kg)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin (25 mg/kg)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrf2-null</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin (18 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin (25 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) compared with genotype control mice.
† Statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) from cisplatin-treated wild-type mice.

**Fig. 3.** Platinum-DNA adducts in kidneys of wild-type and Nrf2-null mice after cisplatin treatment. Platinum-(GG) DNA adducts were quantified after immunofluorescent staining in frozen kidney sections (5 μm) from vehicle and cisplatin (18 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice at 1 and 4 h according to Liedert et al. (2006). Adduct counts are expressed in arbitrary fluorescence units.

**Fig. 4.** Proliferation mRNA expression and immunohistochemical staining in kidneys of wild-type and Nrf2-null mice after cisplatin. A, PCNA staining (brown) in cisplatin (18 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null kidney sections. Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. Images were acquired at 40× magnification. B, PCNA staining was quantified in paraffin-embedded kidney sections (5 μm) from vehicle and cisplatin (18 and 25 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. PCNA-positive nuclei were quantified by counting three high-powered fields at 40× magnification. C, messenger RNA expression of PCNA, c-Myc, Ki67, and Topo2a was quantified using total kidney RNA from control and cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. Data (n = 4–9) are presented as means ± S.E. Messenger RNA data are normalized to wild-type control mice. Black bars represent wild-type mice, and gray bars represent Nrf2-null mice. * represents statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) compared with genotype control mice. † represents a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) from cisplatin-treated wild-type mice.
Compensatory Proliferation. Messenger RNA analysis and immunohistochemical staining were used to assess compensatory proliferation (Fig. 4). Proliferation was quantified by PCNA staining of nuclei (brown) in wild-type and Nrf2-null mice (Fig. 4, A and B). Minimal PCNA-positive nuclei were observed in the kidneys of vehicle-treated mice (images not shown). Cisplatin increased the number of PCNA-positive nuclei in the kidneys of both genotypes in a dose-dependent manner at 4 days (Figs. 4, A and B); however, no differences in PCNA staining were observed between genotypes. The mRNA expression of cell cycle- and DNA synthesis-related genes was also quantified in kidneys from wild-type and Nrf2-null mice 4 days after cisplatin (Fig. 4C). There were no differences in PCNA, c-Myc, Ki67, or Topo2a mRNA between vehicle-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice. Cisplatin increased the mRNA expression of PCNA (2–3-fold) and c-Myc (5–8-fold) to similar extents in both genotypes. In contrast, Ki67 and Topo2a mRNA levels were increased to a greater extent in the kidneys of Nrf2-null mice (3.4–5.2- and 12.7–15-fold higher than genotype controls, respectively) than in wild-type mice.

Inflammation and NFκB Activation. Inflammation is an important determinant of cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in rodents (Pabla and Dong, 2008). Few neutrophils were observed in kidneys of vehicle-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice. After cisplatin treatment, neutrophils were more numerous in kidneys of vehicle-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice. A, the number of neutrophils in three nonoverlapping high-powered fields were quantified in hematoxylin and eosin-stained kidney sections from vehicle or cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. B, binding of kidney nuclear extracts from vehicle and cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg)-treated mice to p65 NFκB DNA-response element using an ELISA-based format. Data are presented as optical density (OD) at 450 nm. C, messenger RNA expression of tumor necrosis factor α, IL-6, IL-1β, cyclooxygenase 2, Col1a1, and Ccl2 was quantified using total kidney RNA from control and cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. Data (n = 3–9) are presented as means ± S.E. Messenger RNA data were normalized to wild-type control mice. Black bars represent wild-type mice, and gray bars represent Nrf2-null mice. * represents statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) compared with genotype control mice. † represents a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) from cisplatin-treated wild-type mice.

Fig. 5. Neutrophil infiltration, p65 NFκB binding, and inflammatory mediator mRNA expression in kidneys of wild-type and Nrf2-null mice after cisplatin treatment. A, the number of neutrophils in three nonoverlapping high-powered fields were quantified in hematoxylin and eosin-stained kidney sections from vehicle or cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. B, binding of kidney nuclear extracts from vehicle and cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice to p65 NFκB DNA-response element using an ELISA-based format. Data are presented as optical density (OD) at 450 nm. C, messenger RNA expression of tumor necrosis factor α, IL-6, IL-1β, cyclooxygenase 2, Col1a1, and Ccl2 was quantified using total kidney RNA from control and cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. Data (n = 3–9) are presented as means ± S.E. Messenger RNA data were normalized to wild-type control mice. Black bars represent wild-type mice, and gray bars represent Nrf2-null mice. * represents statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) compared with genotype control mice. † represents a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) from cisplatin-treated wild-type mice.

Fig. 6. Nrf2 mRNA, DNA binding, and nuclear translocation in kidneys of wild-type and Nrf2-null mice after cisplatin treatment. A, messenger RNA expression of Nrf2 was quantified using total kidney RNA from control and cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. B, expression of Nrf2 was quantified using total kidney RNA from control and cisplatin (18 or 25 mg/kg)-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice on day 4. Data (n = 3–5) are presented as means ± S.E. Messenger RNA and Western blot data are presented as means ± S.E. Messenger RNA and Western blot data are normalized to wild-type control mice. Black bars represent wild-type mice, and gray bars represent Nrf2-null mice. * represents statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) compared with genotype control mice. † represents a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) from cisplatin-treated wild-type mice. ND, not detected.
mRNA expression of chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligands 1 and 10 were up-regulated in response to cisplatin, with little difference between genotypes, whereas Cxcl2 [chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 2] mRNA was elevated to a greater extent in Nrf2-null mice (Supplemental Fig. 1).

**Activation of Nrf2 Signaling.** Activation of Nrf2-mediated gene transcription is a mechanism for cell recovery after toxic insult (Aleksunes and Manautou, 2007). In response to cisplatin, Nrf2 mRNA expression in kidneys was increased 2-fold (Fig. 6A). Likewise, translocation of Nrf2 protein to the nucleus was enhanced 4-fold, and DNA binding to the ARE was enriched 2- to 3-fold in wild-type mice on day 4 after cisplatin administration (Fig. 6, B and C).

**Renal Expression of Nrf2 Target Genes and Proteins.** Functional activation of Nrf2 signaling in response to cisplatin was evident by induction of a number of Nrf2 target genes and proteins (Fig. 7). Messenger RNA expression of Nqo1, Ho-1, and Gclc was up-regulated 3.5-, 5.5-, and 2.2-fold, respectively, in the kidneys of cisplatin-treated wild-type mice on day 4 (Fig. 7A). Little (Ho-1) or no change (Nqo1, Gclc) was observed in cisplatin-treated Nrf2-null mice. Parallel increases in protein expression of Nqo1, Ho-1, and Gclc occurred in kidneys of wild-type mice but not in Nrf2-null mice (Fig. 7B).

Messenger RNA expression of additional detoxification and cytoprotective enzymes was quantified. Similar to Nqo1, thioredoxin reductase-1 mRNA was up-regulated 2.6- to 3.6-fold in a Nrf2-dependent manner 4 days after cisplatin treatment (Supplemental Fig. 2). Expression of epoxide hydrolase-1, glutaredoxin-1, metallothionein-1, and thioredoxin reductase-3 mRNA was elevated to a similar extent in cisplatin-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice (Supplemental Fig. 2).

**Renal Expression of Efflux Transporter Genes and Proteins.** Mrp2, Mrp4, and Mdr1b are apical transporters on the brush-border membrane that efflux chemicals into urine. In addition to renal excretion of drugs, these transporters efflux signaling molecules that are involved in cellu-
lar injury and recovery, including glutathione, leukotrienes, prostaglandins, and cyclic nucleotides. Previous reports demonstrate renal induction of Mrp and Mdr1 genes in cisplatin-treated mice (Aleksunes et al., 2008) and rats (Thompson et al., 2004). Cisplatin increased mRNA expression on day 4 of Mrp2 (2-fold), Mrp4 (2.7-fold), and Mdr1b (6-fold) in wild-type but not in Nrf2-null mice (Fig. 8A). Expression of Mrp1, Mrp3, and Mdr1a mRNA was unchanged by cisplatin treatment (data not shown). Nrf2-dependent induction of Mrp2 (5.2-fold) and Mrp4 (3.7-fold) proteins was also observed in cisplatin-treated wild-type mice (Fig. 8B). Protein levels of Mdr1b (also named P-glycoprotein) were increased approximately 5-fold in both genotypes after cisplatin treatment. However, it should be noted that the antibody (C219) used to detect Mdr1b protein is not specific for only this isoform.

Immunofluorescent detection confirmed apical staining of Mrp2 and Mrp4 proteins (Fig. 9, green) in proximal tubules of vehicle- and cisplatin-treated mice. There were no differences in staining intensity for either protein in kidneys of vehicle-treated wild-type and Nrf2-null mice. Increased Mrp2 and Mrp4 protein staining upon cisplatin treatment was observed in the kidneys of wild-type but not Nrf2-null mice.

To ascertain whether Mrp transporter mRNA induction in cisplatin-treated wild-type mice was due to binding of Nrf2 to regulatory response elements, an ELISA-based format was used to assess Nrf2 binding to the proximal ARE of Mrp2 at −185 bp. Using nuclear extracts from Nrf2-transfected COS-7 cells, we confirmed that Nrf2 binds to an ARE (−185 bp) of the mouse Mrp2 gene (Supplemental Fig. 3). Binding of Nrf2 to the biotinylated Mrp2 ARE was competed by unlabeled wild-type oligonucleotides but not mutant ARE oligonucleotides. Compared with vehicle controls, DNA binding of nuclear extracts from wild-type mice treated with cisplatin was increased 20 to 35% in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 10). Mrp2 ARE DNA binding was unchanged in Nrf2-null mice.

Effect of CDDO-Im on Renal Nrf2 Signaling and Protection against Cisplatin-Induced Nephrotoxicity in Wild-Type and Nrf2-Null Mice. Recently, the triterpenoid CDDO-Im was shown to activate Nrf2 signaling and protect against acetaminophen-induced liver injury (Reisman et al., 2009) as well as lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation and mortality (Thimmulappa et al., 2006). The potential of CDDO-Im to prevent cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity was assessed in wild-type and Nrf2-null mice. Two daily doses of CDDO-Im were administered to wild-type and Nrf2-null mice followed by a single dose of cisplatin (20 mg/kg) a day later. An intermediary dose of cisplatin was selected to achieve sufficient renal injury in wild-type mice to test CDDO-Im
efficacy but not enough to cause irreparable toxicity in Nrf2-null mice. Blood urea nitrogen levels were assessed 4 days after cisplatin treatment and demonstrated dose-dependent lowering by CDDO-Im in wild-type mice (30–53%) and to a lesser extent in Nrf2-null mice (15–32%) (Fig. 11A). Histopathological evaluation of wild-type mice revealed that CDDO-Im pretreatment before cisplatin dosing reduced the severity of proximal tubule degeneration and necrosis as well as the incidence of significant injury (Fig. 11B; Table 2). Similar severity of renal injury was observed in cisplatin-treated Nrf2-null mice irrespective of vehicle- or CDDO-Im pretreatment, suggesting that CDDO-Im conferred protection against cisplatin nephrotoxicity via Nrf2.

In an attempt to determine whether CDDO-Im activates Nrf2 at the time of cisplatin administration, mice were dosed with CDDO-Im (3 and 10 mg/kg per day for 2 days), and kidneys were analyzed 24 h after the second dose. CDDO-Im increased the mRNA expression of Nqo1 dose-dependently and enriched DNA binding of Nrf2 to a prototypical ARE in wild-type mice (Fig. 12, A and B).

Discussion

The present study investigated the mechanisms underlying the heightened sensitivity of Nrf2-null mice to cisplatin nephrotoxicity. Our findings are an in-depth extension of a recent report also demonstrating that renal function and survival are reduced in cisplatin-treated Nrf2-null mice (Liu et al., 2009). We have shown enhanced susceptibility of Nrf2-null mice by multiple endpoints (histopathology, urea nitrogen, urinary flow rate, Kim-1 expression, TUNEL). Differences in the initiation of toxicity and pharmacokinetics are unlikely because the two genotypes have similar expression of the uptake transporter (Oct2), an equal extent of DNA adduct formation, and comparable blood urea nitrogen elevations on day 3.

Subsequent experiments focused on inflammation, DNA repair, compensatory proliferation, and the adaptive gene
TABLE 2
Histopathological analysis of kidneys from wild-type and Nrf2-null mice after CDDO-IM pretreatment and cisplatin challenge

Wild-type and Nrf2-null mice were pretreated with CDDO-IM (oral gavage, 3, 10 mg/kg per day for 2 days) and challenged with cisplatin (20 mg/kg i.p.). Kidneys were removed 4 days after cisplatin and fixed in formalin prior to paraaffin embedding and staining with hematoxylin and eosin. Kidney slices were evaluated for the severity of degeneration and necrosis in proximal tubule segments. Histopathology scoring of renal proximal tubule degeneration and necrosis by a veterinary pathologist: no injury = grade 0; minimal injury (less than 10% of cells with degeneration or necrosis) = grade 1; mild injury involving 10–25% of cells = grade 2; moderate injury involving 25–40% of cells = grade 3; marked injury involving 40–50% of cells = grade 4; severe injury involving greater than 50% of cells = grade 5. The number of mice with a particular histopathological grade is shown in each column. Mice with grades ≥3 are considered to have significant kidney injury. The ratio of mice with grades ≥3 compared to the total number of mice is presented as percentages in the right column. Histopathology grades were rank-ordered prior to statistical analysis. * represents statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) compared with genotype control mice. † represents a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) from treatment-matched wild-type mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Histopathology Grade</th>
<th>Percent of Mice with Grades of 2 or Greater</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>0 3 2 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin/CDDO-Im (3 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0 7 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin/CDDO-Im (10 mg/kg)</td>
<td>1 5 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrf2-null</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>0 2 0 1 2 1</td>
<td>67*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin/CDDO-Im (3 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 0 4</td>
<td>86†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin/CDDO-Im (10 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0 1 3 0 0 3</td>
<td>86†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

response, which can influence the progression and recovery from renal damage. Compensatory proliferation was similar between the two genotypes 4 days after cisplatin, and in fact, up-regulation of some cell cycle and DNA synthesis genes (Ki67 and Topo2a) was higher in Nrf2-null mice, reflective of more damage. Instead, there were dramatic differences in the extent of inflammation between the two genotypes. In the kidneys of Nrf2-null mice, there were more neutrophils, greater induction of acute phase cytokines, fibrogenic and inflammatory mediators, and enriched DNA binding of NFκB, a key transcription factor in the regulation of inflammatory genes. Likewise, expression of detoxification, heat shock, and efflux transport genes and proteins was up-regulated in the kidneys of wild-type but not Nrf2-null mice. Therefore, an exaggerated inflammatory response and impaired adaptive gene regulation (as well as basal expression) likely sensitized Nrf2-null mice to cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity. Lastly, CDDO-Im protected wild-type mice from cisplatin injury, suggesting the therapeutic utility of triterpenoid Nrf2 activators for renal disease. Collectively, this study capitalized on both genetic (Nrf2-null mice) and pharmacological (CDDO-Im) approaches to evaluate the roles of Nrf2 in cisplatin toxicity.

In addition to enhanced nuclear accumulation of Nrf2 protein, mRNA levels of Nrf2 were increased in kidneys from cisplatin-treated wild-type mice, likely reflecting autoregulation of Nrf2 via an ARE in its upstream promoter region (Kwak et al., 2002). Activation of Nrf2 in response to renal injury is not specific to cisplatin exposure and has been documented in vivo and in vitro after ischemia reperfusion (Leonard et al., 2006) or treatment with cadmium chloride (Chen and Shaikh, 2009), cephaloridine (Rokushima et al., 2008), and ochratoxin (Boesch-Saadatmandi et al., 2009). Likewise, Nrf2-null mice are more susceptible to ferric nitrilotriacetate nephrotoxicity (Kanki et al., 2008; Tanaka et al., 2008), ischemia-reperfusion renal injury (Liu et al., 2009), and diabetic nephropathy (Yoh et al., 2008). Although Nrf2 is important in limiting renal damage, other mechanisms appear to be activated in cisplatin-treated Nrf2-null mice to allow the kidney to repair, albeit at a delayed rate. Up-regulation of alternate protective genes (including epoxide hydrolase-1, glutaredoxin-1, metallothionein-1, and...
oredoxin reductase-2) occurred in both genotypes and may have contributed to the ultimate recovery of Nrf2-null mice from cisplatin toxicity.

Efflux drug transporters can be important in toxicology by excreting the insulating toxicant (such as cisplatin). Mrp2 has been shown to transport cisplatin-glutathione conjugates (Ishikawa and Ali-Osman, 1993; Cui et al., 1999) and protect against platinum-DNA formation in cancer cells (Liedert et al., 2003; Materna et al., 2005). Thus, up-regulation of this transporter may enhance renal elimination of a subsequent exposure to cisplatin. In contrast, Mrp overexpression is not thought to be involved in cisplatin transport or cellular resistance (Hamaguchi et al., 1993). Up-regulation of Mrps occurs not only after cisplatin (Thompson et al., 2004; Alesunes et al., 2008) but also after ferric nitritolriacetate (Tanaka et al., 2008) and cephaloridine toxicity (Rokushima et al., 2008), suggesting that this event is one component of a general adaptive response of the kidney regardless of the toxicant. In these cases, up-regulation of efflux transporters in diverse models of nephrotoxicity suggests that transporters may contribute to the repair of proximal tubules by effluxing byproducts of toxicity (such as oxidized glutathione, which is a substrate of Mrp2) (Keppler et al., 1997) or paracrine signaling of endogenous cellular mediators (such as cyclic nucleotides, leukotrienes, and prostaglandins) (Toyota et al., 2008). For example, prostaglandin production increases in rat kidneys within 3 days after cisplatin treatment and appears to be responsible for changes in renal concentrating ability (Moel et al., 1987). It is possible that expression of transporters, such as Mrp2 and Mrp4, that efflux prostaglandins (Reid et al., 2003; de Waart et al., 2006) may be enhanced to regulate the intracellular and extra-cellular levels of prostaglandins during injury. Moreover, increases in Mrp2 and Mrp4 may be part of the global Nrf2 transcriptional response in response to tissue damage. Nrf2-mediated Mrp2 and Mrp4 induction occurs in the liver and in vitro (Vollrath et al., 2006; Maher et al., 2007). However, the present study extends this phenomenon to the kidneys and provides evidence that Nrf2-dependent regulation of Mrp2 during nephrotoxicity probably involves direct transcription factor binding.

Suppression of inflammation alleviates cisplatin toxicity (Pabla and Dong, 2008). Renal inflammation is exaggerated in Nrf2-null mice after cisplatin, probably due to enhanced p65 NFκB binding. Because inflammation and proliferative responses typically follow each other, it was unexpected that there was little difference in proliferation-related pathways between genotypes. Evaluation of PCNA staining on day 4 revealed a similar extent of stained nuclei in kidneys of wild-type and Nrf2-null mice after cisplatin; however, subsequent time points were not evaluated. It is likely that the delayed recovery of Nrf2-null mice to cisplatin toxicity is due to enhanced inflammation, blunted defensive gene transactivation, and impaired cellular repair.

CDDO-Im is a potent activator of Nrf2 and up-regulates target genes in multiple tissues (Liby et al., 2005; Yates et al., 2007). Pretreatment with CDDO-Im protected wild-type mice against cisplatin toxicity, with limited protection of Nrf2-null mice. CDDO-Im not only activates Nrf2 but can suppress NFκB by directly inhibiting IκB kinase β, thus explaining some protection of Nrf2-null mice (Yore et al., 2006). CDDO-Im-mediated protection is probably due to suppression of inflammation as well as coordinated up-regulation of detoxification and transport genes (Liby et al., 2005; Yates et al., 2007). Moreover, CDDO-Im may represent a novel effective drug for protecting the kidneys via Nrf2 signaling. In preliminary studies, we treated mice with other known Nrf2 activators (including oltipraz, butylated hydroxyanisole, ethoxyquin, and sulfuraphane) at doses that enhanced Nrf2-mediated transcription in liver but not in kidneys (data not shown). It is currently unknown whether the discrepancy in tissue-specific Nrf2 activation represents differences in the pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics of CDDO-Im in comparison with other known Nrf2 activators. Additional studies should be designed to better delineate how CDDO-Im activates Nrf2 in the kidneys.

In conclusion, the absence of Nrf2 exacerabtes cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in mice, and pharmacological Nrf2 activation may be a novel therapeutic strategy to suppress renal injury. Moreover, these findings mechanistically reflect the stages of toxicity and repair that are modulated by Nrf2 signaling and demonstrate that coordinated regulation of detoxification enzymes and transporters and suppression of inflammation by Nrf2 are key events in proximal tubule cell recovery.
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