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ABSTRACT

The interaction between a novel G protein-coupled receptor modulator, \(N\)-(2,3-diphenyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole-5-(2\text{H})-ylidene) methanamine hydrobromide (SCH-202676), and the \(M_1\) muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (mACHR) was investigated. In contrast to the prototypical mAChR allosteric modulator, heptane 1,7-bis-(dimethyl-3-\text{H}11032-phthalimidopropyl)-ammonium bromide (C7/3-phth), SCH-202676 had no effect on the dissociation kinetics of \(\text{[H}]\text{N-methylscopolamine (}[\text{H}])\text{NMS}\) at \(M_1\) mAChRs stably expressed in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell membranes. However, SCH-202676 completely inhibited the binding of \(\text{[H]}\text{NMS}\) in membrane preparations, with a Hill slope significantly greater than unity, indicative of positive cooperativity in the binding of the inhibitor. Moreover, SCH-202676 caused dextral shifts of the \(\text{[H]}\text{NMS}\) saturation binding curve that were greater than expected for a competitive interaction. The addition of C7/3-phth (100 \(\mu\text{M}\)) had no significant effect on the inhibitory potency of SCH-202676. In contrast to the findings in cell membranes, the interaction between SCH-202676 and \(\text{[H]}\text{NMS}\) in intact \(M_1\) CHO cells yielded saturation and inhibition isotherms that were compatible with the predictions for a competitive interaction. Intact cell assays of acetylcholine-mediated phosphoinositide hydrolysis in the absence or presence of SCH-202676 revealed a mixed competitive/noncompetitive mode of interaction that was dependent on the concentration of SCH-202676. These data reveal that the nature of the interaction between SCH-202676 and the \(M_1\) mAChR is dependent on whether it is studied using intact versus broken cell preparations. It is proposed that SCH-202676 uses a dual mode of ligand-receptor interaction involving both extra- and intracellular attachment points on the \(M_1\) mAChR that are distinct from the allosteric binding site recognized by prototypical mACHR modulators such as C7/3-phth.
or negative cooperativity between the two sites on the occupied receptor (Ehler, 1988; Christopoulos, 2002).

One of the best studied model systems for investigation of allosteric ligands has been the muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChRs; Lee and El-Fakahany, 1991; Tucek and Prosk, 1995; Ellis, 1997; Christopoulos et al., 1998), and it is currently believed that at least one allosteric site on these receptors is located at a more extracellular level to the orthosteric site (Leppik et al., 1994; Matsui et al., 1995; Christopoulos et al., 1998; Buller et al., 2002). Because the extracellular regions of mAChRs, as well as other GPCRs, tend to show less sequence homology across receptor subtypes than the orthosteric domains, targeting allosteric sites is a logical approach to defining more selective ligands for such GPCRs.

Recently, a novel thiadiazole compound, N-(2,3-diphenyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole-5-ylidene)methanamine (SCH-202676; Fig. 2), was identified as an allosteric modulator of a variety of GPCRs, including mAChRs (Fawzi et al., 2001). This finding was based on radioligand binding assays performed on membrane preparations derived from cells transfected to individually express different types of GPCRs. In particular, SCH-202676 was found to cause a reduction in both agonist and antagonist maximal binding capacity (Bmax) with only a slight effect on radioligand affinity when investigated via saturation binding assays at α2 adrenoceptors. Additional inhibition binding assays also demonstrated an antagonistic effect on the binding of [3H]N-methylscopolamine ([3H]NMS) to M1 and M2 mAChRs, although this was not studied in any further detail (Fawzi et al., 2001). Given that the simple TCM (Fig. 1) only predicts changes in orthosteric ligand affinity and not Bmax, the nature of the interaction between SCH-202676 and its target GPCRs is likely to be different from that of classic allosteric modulators that act in accordance with the TCM and/or is different between one GPCR and another.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the mode of interaction of SCH-202676 with the M1 mAChR using radioligand binding and functional assays. For comparative purposes, some experiments used the prototypical and well characterized mAChR allosteric modulator heptane, 1,7-bis-(dimethyl-3'-phthalimidopropyl)-ammonium bromide (C7/3-phth; Fig. 2) (Christopoulos et al., 1999). We show that the nature of the interaction between SCH-202676 and the M1 mAChR is highly dependent on whether it is studied using intact versus broken cell preparations. The noncompetitive behavior of SCH-202676 cannot be explained by the simple TCM and suggests that SCH-202676 may possess a dual mode of ligand-receptor interaction involving both extra- and intracellular attachment points on the M1 mAChR.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** Drugs and chemicals were obtained from the following sources: [3H]NMS was from PerkinElmer Life Sciences (Boston, MA), [3H]Imyo-inositol was from Amersham Biosciences UK Ltd. (Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK), SCH-202676 was from Tocris Cookson Inc. (Ballwin, MO), C7/3-phth was synthesized by the Institute of Drug Technology (Boronx, Victoria, Australia), Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) and genetin were from Invitrogen (Carsbad, CA), fetal bovine serum was from Thermotrace, (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia), and DOWEX AG1-X8 ion-exchange resin was obtained from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA). All other materials were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

**Cell Culture.** Chinese hamster ovary (CHO-K1) cells, stably transfected with the human M1 mAChR (M1 CHO cells), were kindly provided by Dr. M. Brann (University of Vermont Medical School, Burlington, VT). Cells were grown and maintained in DMEM containing 20 mM HEPES, 10% fetal bovine serum, and 50 μg/ml genetin for 4 days at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO2, 95% O2, before harvesting by trypsinization followed by centrifugation (300g, 3 min) and resuspension of the pellet in DMEM.

**Cell Membrane Preparation.** M1 CHO cells were grown, harvested, and centrifuged as described above, with the final pellet resuspended in 5 ml of ice-cold Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM Tris, 3 mM MgCl2, and 0.2 mM EGTA; pH 7.4 with HCl) and then homogenized using a Polytron homogenizer for three 10 s intervals at maximum setting with 30 s cooling periods used between each burst. The cell homogenate was centrifuged (1000g, 10 min, 25°C), the pellet discarded, and the supernatant was recentlyrifuged at 30,000g for 30 min at 4°C. The resulting pellet containing cell membrane was resuspended in 5 ml of Tris-HCl buffer and protein content was determined using the method of Bradford (Bradford, 1976). The homogenate was then aliquoted into 1-ml amounts and stored frozen at −80°C until required for radioligand binding assays.

**Saturation Binding Assays.** In these assays, M1 CHO cell membranes (10 μg/assay tube) or intact CHO cells (200,000 cells/assay tube) were incubated in a total volume of 1 ml of HEPES buffer (110

![Fig. 1. Ternary complex model, where A represents orthosteric ligand, B represents allosteric ligand, K₁ and K₂ represent equilibrium dissociation constants for the binding of ligands A and B, respectively, and α represents the cooperativity factor for the allosteric interaction between ligand B and ligand A. This model, α > 1 denotes positive cooperativity, α < 1 denotes negative cooperativity, and α = 1 denotes neutral cooperativity.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Structures of SCH-202676 and C7/3-phth.](image2)
mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1.85 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgSO₄, 25 mM glucose, 50 mM HEPES, and 58 mM sucrose; pH 7.4) with varying concentrations of [³H]NMS (10–20 nM) in the absence and presence of different concentrations of SCH-202676 (1–10 μM) at 37°C. The reaction was then terminated by rapid filtration through Whatman GF/B filters using a cell harvester (Brandel Inc., Gaithersburg, MD). Non-specific binding was determined using 10 μM atropine. Filters were washed three times with 4-ml aliquots of ice-cold saline and dried before radioactivity (dpm) was measured using liquid scintillation counting.

**Inhibition Binding Assays.** Initial experiments were performed in a total volume of 1 ml of HEPES buffer using M₁ CHO cell membrane homogenates (10 μg/assay tube) or intact cells (100,000 cells/assay tube), incubated with [³H]NMS (0.2 or 2 nM), and various concentrations of SCH-202676 (30 nM–300 μM) for 1 h at 37°C, unless otherwise indicated. Additional SCH-202676 experiments were conducted in M₁ CHO membranes in the presence of 100 μM C₇/3-phth. Non-specific binding, reaction termination, and radioactivity determination were as described above.

**Radioligand Dissociation Kinetic Assays.** [³H]NMS dissociation kinetic binding curves were performed in HEPES buffer, using a reverse time protocol. In these experiments, M₁ CHO membranes (10 μg/assay tube in a total volume of 1 ml) were added to tubes containing [³H]NMS (0.5 nM) in a time-staggered approach so that each replicate was allowed to equilibrate for 1 h at 37°C. Once the receptors and radioligand had equilibrated, atropine (10 μM) was added at appropriate time intervals to prevent reassociation of [³H]NMS to the M₁ mAChr in the absence or presence of C₇/3-phth or SCH-202676, as indicated under Results. Non-specific binding was determined using atropine (10 μM). In some experiments, SCH-202676 (1 μM) was added alone after [³H]NMS-receptor equilibration to prevent reassociation of the radioligand. Non-specific binding, reaction termination, and radioactivity determination were as described above.

**Phosphoinositide (PI) Hydrolysis Assays.** M₁ CHO cells were loaded overnight with [¹⁴C]myo-inositol (1 μCi/ml) at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂, 95% O₂. On the day of the experiment, cells were harvested and centrifuged (300g, 3 min), and the final pellet was resuspended in 5 ml of HEPES buffer and used for cell counting. The cell suspension was then diluted in HEPES buffer containing 10 mM LiCl, distributed into assay tubes (~500,000 cells/tube), and allowed to incubate for 15 min at 37°C. After this preincubation period, ACh, SCH-202676, or appropriate vehicle controls (see below) were added to the remaining assay tubes and the reaction was allowed to proceed for a further 30 min before being terminated by stop solution (methanol/chloroform, 2:1). Assay tubes were centrifuged at 450g for 5 min at room temperature, and total inositol phosphates were separated by ion exchange chromatography on Dowex AG1-X8 resin. [¹⁴C]Inositol-1-phosphate was used as an internal recovery standard. The amount of radioactivity (dpm) in each sample was measured using a liquid scintillation counter and values were corrected for recovery determined for each sample. Initial experiments involved the construction of concentration-kinetic binding (C-R) curves to increasing concentrations of ACh (10 nM–1 mM) in the absence or presence of SCH-202676 (10 nM–100 μM), which was preincubated for 15 min before agonist addition. Further experiments involved the establishment of antagonist inhibition curves using increasing concentrations of SCH-202676 (30 nM–0.1 mM), in the presence of ACh (1 μM), which was preincubated for 15 min before addition of SCH-202676. In these latter experiments, a complete C-R curve to ACh was also established in parallel as a measure of the responsiveness of the system to agonist stimulation.

**Data Analysis.** Data sets of total and nonspecific binding, derived from each complete saturation binding assay, were analyzed according to the following equation using Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA):

\[
\text{Binding} = \frac{B_{\text{max}}[A]}{[A] + K_A} + \text{NS}[A] \tag{1}
\]

where \(B_{\text{max}}\) denotes the maximal density of binding sites; \(K_A\), the radioligand equilibrium dissociation constant; and NS, the fraction of non-specific binding. The hyperbolic term in eq. 1 was not used when fitting the non-specific binding data, whereas the parameter NS was shared between both total and non-specific binding data sets. Radioligand inhibition binding isotherms were analyzed according to the following logistic function:

\[
Y = \frac{\text{Top} - \text{Bottom})[I]^{\text{max}}}{[I]^{\text{max}} + K_{\text{IC50}^\text{uni}}^{\text{uni}}} \tag{2}
\]

where \(Y\) denotes the percentage of specific binding; Top and Bottom denote the maximal and minimal asymptotes, respectively; \([I]\) denotes the inhibitor concentration; \(K_{\text{IC50}^\text{uni}}\) denotes the inhibitor potency (midpoint location) parameter; and \(n_H\) denotes the Hill slope factor. Where appropriate, and assuming simple competition, \(K_{\text{IC50}^\text{uni}}\) values were converted to \(K_I\) values (inhibitor equilibrium dissociation constant) using the Cheng and Prusoff (1973) equation.

For the dissociation kinetic experiments, complete dissociation curves for the radioligand, in the absence and presence of the highest concentration of applied modulator were evaluated by nonlinear regression using the following equation:

\[
B_t = B_0 e^{-k_{\text{off}}t} \tag{3}
\]

where \(B_t\) denotes the specific binding of radioligand at time \(t\), \(B_0\) denotes the specific binding of radioligand at equilibrium (time = 0) and \(k_{\text{off}}\) denotes the observed radioligand dissociation rate constant. For the C₇/3-phth/[³H]NMS dissociation kinetic data, graphs of this equation were globally fitted to the eq. 3 with the parameter \(k_{\text{off}}\) defined as follows:

\[
k_{\text{off}} = \frac{[B]_{\text{top}}}{{K_{\text{top}}}} + \frac{k_{\text{off}}}{1 + \frac{[B]_{\text{top}}}{{K_{\text{top}}}}} \tag{4}
\]

where \(k_{\text{off}}\) denotes the rate constant for dissociation of the radioligand from the free (unoccupied) receptor, \(k_{\text{off}}\) denotes the rate constant for the dissociation of the radioligand from the modulator-occupied receptor, and \(K_{\text{top}}\) denotes the dissociation constant of the modulator for the radioligand-occupied receptor (Lazareno and Birdsell, 1995; Christopoulos et al., 1999). The parameter slope denotes an empirical slope factor; a value not significantly different from unity may be taken as presumptive evidence of a simple, one-to-one, mass-action relationship between the allosteric modulator and its binding site on the receptor.

For the functional assays, agonist C-R curves in the absence and presence of antagonist, as well as antagonist inhibition curves, were globally fitted to the following equation using Prism 4 (Motulsky and Christopoulos, 2003):

\[
\text{Response} = \frac{\text{Top} - \text{Bottom}}{10^{\log \text{EC50}} + 1} + \frac{[B]}{1 + \frac{[B]}{10^{\log \text{EC50}}}} \tag{5}
\]

where Top represents the maximal asymptote of the C-R curves, Bottom represents the lowest asymptote of the C-R curves, \(\log \text{EC50}\) represents the logarithm of the agonist EC₅₀ in the absence of antagonist, \(A\) represents the concentration of the agonist, \(B\) represents the concentration of the antagonist, \(n_H\) represents the Hill slope of the agonist curve, \(s\) represents the slope of the Schild slope for the competitive antagonist, and \(pA_2\) represents the negative logarithm of the concentration of antagonist that shifts the agonist EC₅₀ by a factor of 2. If the Schild slope was not significantly different from unity, it was
constrained as such and the estimate of \( p_{K_d} \) represented the \( \log K_{d} \). Equation 5 was also used to analyze the saturation binding data obtained using intact cells, with the \( \log EC_{50} \) term being replaced by \( \log K_{d} \) and the value of \( n_H \) being constrained to 1.

In all cases, potency and affinity values were estimated as logarithms (Christopoulos, 1998). Data shown are the mean \( \pm \) S.E.M. Comparisons between mean values were performed by unpaired \( t \) tests. Unless otherwise stated, values of \( p < 0.05 \) were taken as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Dissociation Kinetic Assays.** A hallmark of many prototypical allosteric modulators of mAChRs is the ability to retard orthosteric ligand dissociation (Kostenis and Mohr, 1996). As shown in Fig. 3A, C7/3-phth produced a concentration-dependent retardation of \(^{3}H\)NMS dissociation at the M\(_1\) mAChR in CHO cell membranes, indicative of an allosteric mode of action. The dissociation curves for the radioligand in the absence and presence of the highest concentration of C7/3-phth on the apparent radioligand dissociation rate were assessed using a two-point experimental design (Kostenis and Mohr, 1996). A constrained simultaneous analysis of each complete family of experimental design (Kostenis and Mohr 1996). As shown in Fig. 3A, C7/3-phth produced a concentration-dependent retardation of \(^{3}H\)NMS dissociation at the M\(_1\) mAChR in CHO cell membranes, indicative of an allosteric mode of action. The dissociation curves for the radioligand in the absence and presence of the highest concentration of C7/3-phth on the apparent radioligand dissociation rate were assessed using a two-point experimental design (Kostenis and Mohr, 1996). A constrained simultaneous analysis of each complete family of curves observed per experiment, according to eqs. 3 and 4, was used to obtain a \( k_{off} \) value of 0.31 \( \pm \) 0.06 min\(^{-1}\) (n = 3–9) for the dissociation rate of \(^{3}H\)NMS from the M\(_1\) mAChR in the absence of modulator. The parameter \( k_{off} \) was found to be not significantly different from 0 min\(^{-1}\) (\( p > 0.05 \)) and was constrained as such. This finding is consistent with C7/3-phth being able to completely prevent \(^{3}H\)NMS dissociation from the receptor. The value for the slope factor in eq. 4 was 0.89 \( \pm \) 0.37 (n = 3–9) and found not to differ significantly from unity (\( p > 0.05 \)), implying a simple-mass action relationship between C7/3-phth and the allosteric binding site. The value of \( \log (K_{d}/\alpha) \) for C7/3-phth was \( -5.15 \pm 0.08 \).

In contrast, kinetic assays examining \(^{3}H\)NMS dissociation in M\(_1\) CHO cell membranes in the absence and presence of SCH-202676 found no effect of the latter compound on radioligand dissociation (Fig. 3B). The \( k_{off} \) value of 0.29 \( \pm \) 0.03 min\(^{-1}\) (n = 4) observed in the presence of 1 \( \mu \)M SCH-202676 was not significantly different (\( p > 0.05 \)) from the control \( k_{off} \) value determined in its absence (0.26 \( \pm \) 0.02 min\(^{-1}\); n = 4). Interestingly, the addition of SCH-202676 alone also resulted in \(^{3}H\)NMS dissociation (\( k_{off} \) of 0.23 \( \pm \) 0.02 min\(^{-1}\); n = 4) that was indistinguishable from that observed in the presence of atropine alone.

**Inhibition Binding Assays Using M\(_1\) CHO Membranes.** In M\(_1\) CHO cell homogenates, SCH-202676 completely inhibited the binding of 0.2 nM \(^{3}H\)NMS, with an \( n_H \) significantly greater than unity (\( p < 0.05 \); Fig. 4A; Table 1). Increasing the concentration of \(^{3}H\)NMS from 0.2 to 2 nM did not change the location or shape of the SCH-202676 inhibition curve; the LogIC\(_{50}\) value being \(-6.80 \pm 0.09\) (n = 4) and \( n_{H} \) being 2.52 \( \pm \) 0.35 in the presence of 2 nM \(^{3}H\)NMS (Fig. 4A).

To investigate whether the steep inhibition curves observed with SCH-202676 were due to possible nonequilibrium artifacts, additional inhibition binding assays used a

---

**Fig. 3.** Effects of C7/3-phth (A) or SCH-202676 (B) on the dissociation of \(^{3}H\)NMS in CHO cell membranes expressing the M\(_1\) mAChR. Membranes were equilibrated with 0.5 nM \(^{3}H\)NMS at 37°C for 1 h in HEPES buffer after which reassociation was prevented by the addition of 10 \( \mu \)M atropine alone (■) or in combination with 10 \( \mu \)M (●), 30 \( \mu \)M (△), 100 \( \mu \)M (▲), or 300 \( \mu \)M (○) C7/3-phth (A) or 1 \( \mu \)M SCH-202676 (○) (B). Additional experiments were also performed where radioligand dissociation was monitored after the addition of 1 \( \mu \)M SCH-202676 alone (○) (B). Data points represent the mean \( \pm \) S.E.M. of three to nine experiments conducted in triplicate. Where error bars are not shown they lie within the dimensions of the symbol.

**Fig. 4.** Inhibition binding of SCH-202676 (closed symbols), or dimethyl sulfoxide vehicle equivalents (open symbols) against: 0.2 nM (■) or 2 nM (●) \(^{3}H\)NMS (A); or against 0.2 nM \(^{3}H\)NMS in the absence (■) or presence (○) of 100 \( \mu \)M C7/3-phth (B) at human M\(_1\) mAChRs expressed in CHO cell membranes. Incubation was for 1 h at 37°C in HEPES buffer, pH 7.4. Nonspecific binding was defined by 10 \( \mu \)M atropine. Data points represent the mean \( \pm \) S.E.M. of three to four experiments conducted in duplicate. Where error bars are not shown, they lie within the dimensions of the symbol.
TABLE 1

Inhibition binding parameters for SCH-202676 against 0.2 nM [3H]NMS at M₁ mAChRs expressed in CHO cell membranes versus intact CHO cells, determined using an equilibrium binding assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Membranes</th>
<th>Intact Cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log IC₅₀</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nₚ</td>
<td>−6.78 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−6.54 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.25*</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.04*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Logarithm of the midpoint potency parameter for SCH-202676 (n = 4–6).

Fig. 5. A, normalized saturation binding of [3H]NMS in the absence (■, B_max = 5466 ± 696 fmol/mg protein) and presence of 0.1 μM (□), 0.2 μM (○), or 0.3 μM (●) SCH-202676 at human M₁ mAChRs expressed in CHO cell membranes. Dashed lines were generated assuming no change in the maximal asymptote, to illustrate the profound dextral shift that may be mediated by SCH-202676. Data points represent the mean ± S.E.M. of three to nine experiments conducted in duplicate. B, normalized saturation binding of [3H]NMS in the absence (■, B_max = 71 ± 17 fmol/10⁵ cells) and presence of 0.1 μM (□), 0.2 μM (○), or 1 μM (●) SCH-202676 at human M₁ mAChRs expressed in intact CHO cells. Data points represent the mean ± S.E.M. of three to four experiments conducted in duplicate. Where error bars are not shown they lie within the dimensions of the symbol. Curves through the data points represent the best global fit of a competitive binding model (eq. 5 under Materials and Methods) to all data sets.
tained from SCH-202676 binding in the intact cells were not significantly different from 1 (p > 0.05; F test) the IC50 value was converted to a K value, yielding a LogK estimate for the interaction between SCH-202676 and [3H]NMS of −6.71 ± 0.14 (n = 4) in intact cells. This value was not significantly different (p > 0.05) from the LogK value determined above from the intact cell saturation binding assays.

**PI Hydrolysis Assays.** To determine the effects of SCH-202676 on cellular function, PI hydrolysis experiments were conducted in intact M1 CHO cells under similar assay conditions to those used in the radioligand binding experiments. As shown in Fig. 7, ACh was able to mediate a robust response in PI accumulation. In contrast, SCH-202676 alone (up to 100 μM) had no effect on basal PI hydrolysis (data not shown). The presence of increasing concentrations of SCH-202676 led to progressive dextral displacements of the ACh C-R curves (Fig. 7A). Although no significant effect was noted on the maximal agonist response in the presence of 1 μM SCH-202676, as expected for a competitive interaction, higher concentrations caused a significant reduction in ACh Emax values (p < 0.05; Fig. 7A; Table 2). Without prejudice to mechanism, the shift of the ACh concentration-response curve observed in the presence of 1 μM SCH-202676 was used to derive an apparent LogK value against ACh, which was −6.27 ± 0.23 (n = 5).

To examine a larger range of SCH-202676 concentrations on ACh function, antagonist inhibition curves were constructed against the response to a fixed (1 μM) concentration of ACh (Fig. 7B). Analysis of the data using eq. 5 gave a Schild slope of 0.95 ± 0.08 (n = 3), which was not significantly different from unity using an F test (p > 0.05). Constraining the Schild slope to 1 yielded a logK value of −6.28 ± 0.15 (n = 3).

**Discussion**

The development of selective allosteric compounds is currently of great therapeutic relevance, especially in the field of GPCRs (Christopoulos, 2002). The present study used the M1 mAChR to investigate the pharmacology of a recently identified GPCR allosteric ligand. Although some of the findings reported herein confirm and extend a previous (and thus far the only) report of the effect of SCH-202676 as a noncompetitive inhibitor of GPCR binding and function (Fawzi et al., 2001), they also highlight striking differences in the pharmacological properties of the compound when studied in intact cells compared with broken cell preparations. SCH-202676 may possess a dual mode of interaction at the M1 mAChR that uses both extracellular and intracellular receptor attachment points. Furthermore, the interaction of this compound with the M1 mAChR does not seem to involve the well defined allosteric site recognized by more specific mAChR modulator ligands, such as C-/p-phth.

A key theoretical advantage of allosteric modulators of GPCRs that act according to the TCM (Fig. 1) is the potential for greater selectivity of action. This can arise due to modulator specificity for receptor binding domains that are not conserved across receptor subtypes and/or due to differential degrees of cooperativity between orthosteric and allosteric sites at a particular receptor subtype compared with others (Lazareno at al., 1998; Birdsell et al., 1999; Christopoulos and Kenakin, 2002). Although SCH-202676 possesses the desirable property of being a synthetic small molecule ligand, there are a number of experimental observations to suggest that it does not act according to the TCM. First, unlike C-/p-phth, which is a prototypical mAChR modulator (Chris-
topoulos and Mitchelson, 1994; Lanzafame et al., 1996; Christopoulos et al., 1999), SCH-202676 does not promote a change in receptor conformation that manifests as an alteration in orthosteric radioligand dissociation (Fig. 3). Second, membrane-based inhibition binding assays between SCH-202676 and [3H]NMS were characterized by Hill slopes significantly greater than unity (Fig. 4, Table 1), which is not predicted by the simple TCM and not observed with C3/3-phth under similar assay conditions (Christopoulos and Mitchelson, 1998; Christopoulos et al., 1999). Third, the TCM predicts that negative allosteric modulators can display a progressive inability to fully inhibit specific radioligand binding when the concentration of the radioligand is increased (Christopoulos and Kenakin, 2002); increasing the concentration of [3H]NMS by a factor of 10 had no effect whatsoever on the shape or location of the SCH-202676 inhibition curve (Fig. 4A), in contrast to previous observations with C3/3-phth (Christopoulos and Mitchelson, 1998; Christopoulos et al., 1999). Finally, the inhibition binding isotherm for SCH-202676 was not significantly modified by the addition of C3/3-phth (Fig. 4B), indicating that these two ligands do not compete for the same topographically distinct binding domain on the mAChR.

Another aspect of the pharmacology of SCH-202676 that is not in accord with the TCM was reported by Fawzi et al. (2001) during studies of agonist and antagonist binding to α2 adrenoceptors, namely, a significant inhibition by SCH-202676 of radioligand Bmax values with only a minimal effect on radioligand affinity. In the present study, similar membrane-based binding experiments at the M1 mAChR using [3H]NMS in the presence of increasing concentrations of SCH-202676 revealed a different pattern of behavior, that is, a marked change in apparent radioligand affinity with no clear effect on radioligand Bmax (Fig. 5A). Unfortunately, these experiments were limited by the lack of concentrations of [3H]NMS large enough to define full radioligand-receptor occupancy in the presence of all concentrations of SCH-202676 that were tested. Nevertheless, it is clear that the apparent shifts of the [3H]NMS saturation curves are much greater than expected for simple competition and may be indicative of positive cooperativity in the binding of SCH-202676 to the M1 mAChR. This type of cooperative interaction would also be expected to yield steep radioligand inhibition curves, as was indeed observed in this study (Fig. 4).

Given the possibility of cooperativity in the binding of SCH-202676, and the previous suggestion by Fawzi et al. (2001) that the compound may be using an intracellular attachment point conserved across different GPCRs, subsequent experiments in our study focused on the pharmacology of the modulator in intact cells. In addition to being more physiologically relevant than membrane-based studies, it was anticipated that the intact cell assays would provide further insight into the mode of action of SCH-202676 at the M1 mAChR. Significant differences were indeed noted for the interaction between SCH-202676 and [3H]NMS in whole CHO cells compared with homogenates; both intact cell saturation and inhibition assays yielded binding curves that were in accord with the predictions of simple competitive antagonism, such as parallel dextral shifts of the [3H]NMS saturation curve with no change in Bmax (Fig. 5B) and a Hill slope factor not significantly different from unity (Fig. 6; Table 1). The assumption of a competitive interaction in the intact cell binding studies allowed for the estimation of apparent SCH-202676 LogKs values that were not significantly different from one another. This finding suggests that, in intact cells, SCH-202676 may not readily access the intracellular milieu but, rather, bind to an extracellular attachment point on the mAChR and interact in a pseudocompetitive manner with orthosteric ligands such as [3H]NMS.

The ability of SCH-202676 to antagonize ACh-mediated PI hydrolysis (Fig. 7) is also in agreement with the finding by Fawzi et al. (2001) that the inhibitory effects of SCH-202676 extend to GPCR agonists, as well as antagonists. Importantly, the assay used in the present study utilized intact CHO cells, in contrast to the membrane-based [35S]guanosine 5'-O-(3-thio)triphosphate functional studies of Fawzi et al. (2001). The observation that concentrations of SCH-202676 less than 10 μM seem to interact competitively with ACh supports the findings in the intact cell binding assays. However, higher concentrations of the modulator resulted in a profound inhibition of the maximal attainable agonist effect. There are at least four possibilities to account for this effect of SCH-202676. The first is that the apparent noncompetitive inhibition of ACh-mediated responses at the highest concentrations of SCH-202676 reflect a nonspecific, toxic effect. Although we cannot totally rule out this possibility, we deem it unlikely as SCH-202676 alone (up to 100 μM) had no effect on basal (receptor-independent) PI hydrolysis. The second possibility is that, in intact cells, SCH-202676 binds in a pseudoreversible manner to the orthosteric site on the M1 mAChR and that the progressive decline in ACh maximal responsiveness represents the progressive diminution of receptor reserve by increasing concentrations of SCH-202676. However, this is also unlikely because binding assays using prolonged equilibration times found no evidence for an enhanced antagonistic effect of SCH-202676, and Fawzi et al. (2001) have previously demonstrated that the compound can be washed out after prolonged exposure to cell membranes. The third possibility is that the effects of SCH-202676 are purely noncompetitive and mediated entirely via an allosteric site on the M1 mAChR that is topographically distinct from the better characterized allosteric site recognized by modulators such as C3/3-phth. Although possible, this cannot account for the markedly different effects of the inhibitor when assayed in membranes compared with intact cells if the same allosteric site mediates the inhibition in each instance. A final possibility is that SCH-202676 recognizes more than one site on the mAChR, with an extracellular attachment point that preferentially mediates the effects seen in intact cells, and an intracellular attachment point that contributes to the effects seen in membranes; the noncompetitive inhibition of agonist function noted with high micromolar concentrations of SCH-202676 may then represent a concentration gradient-driven accumulation of some of the compound in the proximity of or at the intracellular attachment point. This dual binding-mode mechanism can also account for the apparent cooperativity in binding noted for SCH-202676 in the membrane assays, where, presumably, both attachment points on the receptor are readily accessible to the modulator.

Irrespective of the mechanism of action of SCH-202676, the current findings highlight important issues in the study of
allosteric modulators of GPCRs. For instance, as suggested by Fawzi et al. (2001) and supported by some of the findings of the present study, SCH-202676 may recognize a conserved regulatory domain that is located in the intracellular-facing regions of the receptor. In terms of drug discovery, such a site is a relatively unattractive target for two reasons. First, intracellular targets are generally not as readily accessible as extracellular targets, and antagonizing intracellular GPCR domains may impair receptor coupling to accessory cellular proteins in addition to modulating ligand binding. Second, the conserved nature of such a regulatory site implies that ligands acting at this site will lack receptor specificity; allosteric modulators that target less conserved domains on GPCRs are preferable therapeutic candidates. Another important issue highlighted by the present study is that the nature of the assay (e.g., broken cell versus intact cell) can reveal marked differences in the apparent mode of action of modulator ligands. Given that SCH-202676 represents a useful small molecule tool with which to study certain aspects of GPCR allosteric modulation, it would be interesting to determine whether it displays more than one possible mode of interaction at other GPCRs.
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