The Cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) Is the Main Catalyst of Efavirenz Primary and Secondary Metabolism: Implication for HIV/AIDS Therapy and Utility of Efavirenz as a Substrate Marker of CYP2B6 Catalytic Activity
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ABSTRACT

We used human liver microsomes (HLMs) and recombinant cytochromes P450 (P450s) to identify the routes of efavirenz metabolism and the P450s involved. In HLMs, efavirenz undergoes primary oxidative hydroxylation to 8-hydroxyefavirenz (major) and 7-hydroxyefavirenz (minor) and secondary metabolism to 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz. The formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz in two HLMs showed sigmoidal kinetics (average apparent $K_m$, 20.2 $\mu$M; $V_{max}$, 140 pmol/min/mg protein; and Hill coefficient, 1.5), whereas that of 7-hydroxyefavirenz formation was characterized by hyperbolic kinetics ($K_m$, 40.1 $\mu$M and $V_{max}$, 20.5 pmol/min/mg protein). In a panel of 10 P450s, CYP2B6 formed 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz (10 $\mu$M) at the highest rate. The $K_m$ value for the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz in CYP2B6 derived from hyperbolic Eq. 12.4 ($12.4$) was close to that obtained in HLMs ($K_m$, 20.2 $\mu$M). None of the P450s tested showed activity toward 7-hydroxylation of efavirenz. When 8-hydroxyefavirenz (2.5 $\mu$M) was used as a substrate, 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz was formed by CYP2B6 at the highest rate, and its kinetics showed substrate inhibition ($K_{si}$, ~94 $\mu$M) in HLMs and ~234 $\mu$M in CYP2B6). In a panel of 11 HLMs, 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz formation rates from efavirenz (10 $\mu$M) correlated significantly with the activity of CYP2B6 and CYP3A, N,N',N''-Triethylenethiophosphoramide (thioTEPA; 50 $\mu$M) inhibited the formation rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz (10 $\mu$M) by $\geq60\%$ in HLMs and CYP2B6, with $K_i$ values $< 4 \mu$M. In conclusion, CYP2B6 is the principal catalyst of efavirenz sequential hydroxylation. Efavirenz systemic exposure is likely to be subject to interindividual variability in CYP2B6 activity and to drug interactions involving this isozyme. Efavirenz may be a valuable phenotyping tool to study the role of CYP2B6 in human drug metabolism.

Efavirenz [(S)-6-chloro-4-(cyclopropylethynyl)-1,4-dihydro-4-(trifluoromethyl)-2H-3,1-benzoxazin-2-one] belongs to the non-nucleoside HIV-1 reverse transcriptase inhibitor class of drugs. By binding to reverse transcriptase noncompetitively, efavirenz alters the function of this enzyme and renders it incapable of converting viral RNA to DNA. Efavirenz has gained a definitive and important place in the treatment of HIV-1/AIDS as part of combination therapy. Its long half-life (~40–55 h after repeated dosing) (Smith et al., 2001) allows a durable, long-lasting reduction in HIV RNA after once-a-day dosing (600 mg) (Staszewski et al., 1999), presenting an advantage for treatment compliance and efficacy. Efavirenz is widely distributed in body compartments and is likely to be effective in protected tissues such as the central nervous system and testes (Taylor et al., 2001; Wynn et al., 2002). Recent clinical trials suggest that efavirenz has favorable effects on lipid profiles (McComsey et al., 2003), which make it an important drug to replace (switch from) protease inhibitor-based therapy to minimize the consequences of hyperlipidemia. However, the use of efavirenz is associated with variable response: genotypic viral resistance and failure of therapy in some patients (Marzolini et al., 2001; Langmann et al., 2002) and CNS adverse effects in a substantial number of patients (up to 50%) (Marzolini et al., 2001). Studies have shown that the plasma concentrations of efavirenz predict its efficacy and CNS adverse effects: patients with subtherapeutic plasma concentrations (<1 mg/l) appear to be

ABBREVIATIONS: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; CNS, central nervous system; P450, cytochrome P450; HLM, human liver microsome; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; LC/MS, liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry; MIC, metabolite intermediate complex; $K_{si}$, substrate inhibition constant.
at greater risk for development of drug resistance and treatment failure (Marzolini et al., 2001; Langmann et al., 2002), and those with plasma concentrations over 4 mg/l are at increased risk of CNS side effects (Marzolini et al., 2001). Therefore, it appears important to maintain maximum virally suppressive efavirenz concentrations that will prevent the emergence of resistance, while also ensuring an adverse event profile that is not only safe, but does not significantly compromise overall quality of life. Achieving this goal has become increasingly difficult, in part because the pharmacokinetics of efavirenz varies widely among individuals (Marzolini et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2001), and probably for this reason, the severity of drug interactions with efavirenz is variable and unpredictable (Smith et al., 2001; Lopez-Cortes et al., 2002). The propensity for drug interactions with efavirenz is particularly very high, which needs due attention. Efavirenz is always used in combination therapy, frequently in the presence of herbal and nutritional supplements or in concert with drugs directed at the treatment of opportunistic infections and other HIV-related disorders. Efavirenz, being a substrate (Mutlib et al., 1999; Smith et al., 2001), an inhibitor (Von Molkte et al., 2001), and an inducer of cytochromes P450 P450s (Mouly et al., 2002), exhibits multiple interactions with the P450 system. Evaluation of drug interactions with efavirenz is further complicated because efavirenz is reported to enhance its own metabolism during repeated administration (Smith et al., 2001). To predict and identify factors that alter efavirenz pharmacokinetics, drug interaction, and response, it is important to thoroughly understand the mechanisms underlying intersubject variability in efavirenz systemic exposure.

Efavirenz is extensively metabolized in humans by the P450 system to inactive hydroxylated metabolites that include 8- and 7-hydroxyefavirenz, with subsequent urinary and biliary excretion of these metabolites after conjugation (mainly glucuronidation) (Mutlib et al., 1999). It follows that variable activity of the specific P450s involved in efavirenz metabolism may account for the substantial interindividual variability in efavirenz pharmacokinetics. The safe and effective use of this drug largely depends on our ability to unequivocally identify the P450s involved and determine the precise contribution of each isoform in efavirenz metabolism. The product label of efavirenz [Product Information of Efavirenz (Sustiva), Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, April 2002] and review articles (Smith et al., 2001) implicate CYP3A and CYP2B6 in efavirenz metabolism, but there have been no published data that comprehensively address the contribution of these or any other enzymes in vitro or in vivo. According to Mouly et al. (2002), there was no correlation between efavirenz systemic exposure and hepatic CYP3A activity in humans. It is also important to note that clarithromycin, a known inhibitor of CYP3A in the gut wall and the liver (Gorski et al., 1998), had little effect on the elimination of efavirenz in healthy volunteers [Product Information of Efavirenz (Sustiva), Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, April 2002]. Recently, Chen et al. (2003) have shown that CYP2B6 is the principal catalyst of the in vitro metabolism of DPC 963, another non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor that is structurally similar to efavirenz. All these data suggest that P450s (most likely CYP2B6) other than CYP3A might be responsible for the human metabolism of efavirenz.

The primary objective of the present study was to identify the mechanisms underlying interindividual variability in efavirenz pharmacokinetic and drug interactions. Using human liver microsomes (HLMs) and recombinant P450s, we determined the primary and secondary metabolism of efavirenz and the specific P450s involved. We also tested the utility of efavirenz as a novel substrate probe of CYP2B6. This enzyme system does play an important role in the metabolism of a growing list of frequently prescribed drugs and other chemicals (Ekins and Wrighton, 1999; Wang and Halpert, 2002), but it has been studied less because of unavailability of a specific and safe substrate reaction marker that will allow prediction of in vivo activity from in vitro studies.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals. Efavirenz was obtained from DuPont Pharmaceutical Company (Wilmington, DE). Ritonavir was obtained from Abbot Laboratories (Abbott Park, IL). 8-Hydroxyefavirenz was a generous gift of Dr. David Christ (DuPont Pharmaceuticals, Wilmington, DE). Quinidine, diethylidithiocarbamate, troleandomycin, ketoconazole, glucose 6-phosphate, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, and β-NADP were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Sulfinaphenazole and furafylline were obtained from Ultrafine Chemicals (Manchester, UK). thioTEPA was purchased from the U.S. Pharmacopeia (Rockville, MD). Omeprazole was a generous gift from Dr. Tommy Anderson (Clinical Pharmacology, Astra Hässle AB, Mölndal, Sweden). All other chemicals and solvents were of HPLC grade.

Microsomal Preparations. The HLMs that we used were prepared from human liver tissues that were medically unsuitable for transplantation. Microsomal fractions were prepared by ultracentrifugation using standard protocols as described elsewhere (Desta et al., 1998), and protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976) using bovine serum albumin as a standard. The microsomal pellets were suspended in a reaction buffer to a protein concentration of 10 mg/ml (stock) and were kept at −80°C until used. Additional HLMs, baculovirus-insect cell-expressed human P450s (1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4, and 3A5) (with oxido-reductase) and cytochrome b5 were purchased from BD Gentest (Woburn, MA). The microsomal preparations were stored at −80°C until used. The total P450 content, protein concentrations, and specific activity of each P450 isoform were as supplied by the manufacturer.

Incubation Conditions and HPLC Assay. Pilot incubation experiments were performed in HLMs to identify potential oxidative metabolites and to optimize conditions for incubation and HPLC analysis. Efavirenz was dissolved in methanol and serially diluted in methanol to the required concentration. Any methanol was removed by drying in a speed vacuum before reconstituting the residue with phosphate buffer and addition of other incubation components. For the pilot studies, efavirenz (10 µM) and a NADPH-generating system (13 mM NADP, 33 mM glucose 6-phosphate, 33 mM MgCl2, and 0.4 U/ml glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase) in potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) were allowed to equilibrate for 5 min at 37°C. After the reaction was initiated by adding 25 µl of HLMs (10 µg protein/ml) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C (final volume, 250 µl), the reaction was terminated by placing tubes on ice and immediately adding 500 µl of acetonitrile. Ritonavir (50 µl of 0.01 mg/ml) was added as an internal standard to the incubation sample, vortex-mixed, and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 min in an Eppendorf model 5415C centrifuge (Brinkmann Instruments, Westbury, NY). The supernatant was removed to a clean tube and extracted twice using ethyl acetate under alkaline pH (0.5 ml of 0.5 M NaOH, adjusted to pH = 10 using 1% phosphoric acid). The organic phase was removed and evaporated to dryness. The residue was reconstituted with 150 µl of mobile phase, and 100 µl was injected onto the
HPLC system (see below). Negative control incubations were run in parallel that included exclusion of efavirenz, a NADPH-generating system, or microsomes (bovine serum albumin was used instead) from incubation mixture.

An HPLC assay method with UV detection was developed for the quantification of efavirenz and its metabolites. The HPLC system consisted of a Waters (Milford, MA) model 515 pump, model 717 autosampler, and model 490 programmable absorbance UV detector. The separation system consisted of a Zorbax SB-C18 column (150 × 4.6 mm, 3.5-μm particle size; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA), a Luna C18 Guard column (30 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm; Phenomenex), and a mobile phase composed of 55% 10 mM KH2PO4 (adjusted to pH 2.4 with 1% phosphoric acid) and 45% (v/v) acetonitrile (flow rate, 0.8 ml/min). The column eluate was monitored by UV detection at 245 nm. Efavirenz and its metabolites were quantified by using the ratio of peak area of the metabolite to peak area of internal standard and calibration curves that were constructed using known efavirenz concentrations. Although we recognize that standard curves constructed using synthetic metabolite references provide optimal quantification of efavirenz metabolism, we used efavirenz standard curves for quantification because we had initially no access to the metabolites of efavirenz or the amounts were not enough to construct repeated standard curves. Of note, when equimolar concentrations (10 μM) of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and efavirenz were directly injected to the HPLC system, the difference in UV activity between 8-hydroxyefavirenz and efavirenz was minimal (5%). At 1 and 10 μM efavirenz, the interday and intraday coefficient of variance of the assay was less than 10% and 4%, respectively.

Using the incubation and HPLC assay conditions described above, three well separated chromatographic HPLC peaks after injection of microsomal incubation mixture consisting of efavirenz, a NADPH-generating system, and HLMs (but not in the negative control experiments). Linearity in the formation rate of these metabolites was established with regard to microsomal protein concentrations and incubation time. Efavirenz (10 μM) was incubated in HLMs (0–1.5 mg protein/ml) and a NADPH-generating system at 37°C across a range of incubation times (0–75 min). Based on the results obtained, linear conditions for efavirenz incubation consisted of 30-min incubation and a final protein concentration of 0.5 mg/ml, and were used in subsequent experiments unless otherwise stated.

Identification of Efavirenz Metabolites. We used two approaches to obtain structural evidence for the metabolites of efavirenz in microsomal incubates. First, retention times of efavirenz metabolite peaks after injection of microsomal incubation mixture into the HPLC system were compared with that of reference metabolite standard (8-hydroxyefavirenz). The retention time of 8-hydroxyefavirenz was determined after direct injection or after adding it to incubation mixture that did not contain active microsomes. Second, we used liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) analysis. Efavirenz (10 μM) was incubated with NADPH and HLMs for 30 min at 37°C, and the reaction was terminated and processed as described above. Peaks of interest were separated on a Luna 3-μm C18–2 column (100 × 2.00 mm i.d.; Phenomenex). The eluate was delivered at a rate of 0.2 ml/min without splitting to an electrospray ionization probe. LC/MS analysis was performed in the extracted samples to determine the MS fragmental pattern. The LC/MS was performed using a Thermo Finnigan (San Jose, CA) AQA mass spectrometer equipped with a Thermo Finnigan HPLC system, which consisted of an autosampler (AS3000), binary pump (P4000), and photodiode array detector (UV6000LP). Mass spectrometer settings were as follows: cone voltage, 29 V; probe voltage, 4.00 V; and probe temperature, 350°C. A gradient elution profile was used to separate the metabolites. Initial mobile phase was 75% ammonium formate (adjusted to pH 3.5 with formic acid) with 25% acetonitrile. One minute after the start of the run, the acetonitrile percentage was increased linearly over 19 min to 80% and held for 8 min. Then, initial mobile phase conditions were resumed after 4 min. The column was allowed to equilibrate for 5 min between injections. Data were collected in negative electrospray ionization mode (single ion monitoring scan). The MS fragmentation patterns obtained were compared with those from urinary metabolite profiles of efavirenz described in humans (Mutlib et al., 1999) and with those of synthetic standards of efavirenz and its metabolite.

Kinetic Analyses in HLMs. Kinetic studies for efavirenz metabolism were conducted in two different human liver microsomal preparations. Efavirenz (0.1–200 μM) was incubated in duplicate for 30 min at 37°C with HLMs (0.5 mg protein/ml) and a NADPH-generating system, and the reaction was terminated and processed as described above. The formation rates of metabolites versus efavirenz substrate concentrations were fit to hyperbolic and nonhyperbolic enzyme kinetic models to estimate apparent kinetic parameters (e.g., Km and Vmax; see Data Analysis).

Correlation Experiments. Efavirenz (10 μM) was incubated with microsomes from 11 different livers to test the correlation of efavirenz metabolism with the activity of individual P450s. The total P450 contents, oxidoreductase, and activity of each P450 isoform were as supplied by the supplier (BD Gentest). Isoform-specific action markers were used to determine the activity of each P450: phenacetin O-deethylase (CYP1A2), coumarin 7-hydroxylase (CYP2A6), S-mephenytoin N-demethylation (CYP2B6), paclitaxel 6-hydroxylase (CYP2C8), diclofenac 4′-hydroxylation (CYP2C9), S-mephenytoin 4′-hydroxylation (CYP2C19), bufuralol 1′-hydroxylation (CYP2D6), chloroxazone 6-hydroxylation (CYP2E1), testosterone 6α-hydroxylation (CYP3A), and lauric acid 12-hydroxylation (CYP4A) (see http://www.gentest.com). The correlation coefficients between the formation rates of efavirenz metabolites and the activity of each P450 isoform in different HLMs were calculated by nonparametric regression analysis (Spearman’s rank correlation test) with GraphPad Prism Software Version 3.1 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). p < 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Inhibition Studies. The rates of efavirenz (10 μM) metabolism in HLMs were evaluated in the absence (control) and presence of the following known isoform-specific inhibitors: furafylline (20 μM) for CYP1A2, sulfaphenazole (20 μM) for CYP2C9, omeprazole (10 μM) for 2C19, quinidine (1 μM) for CYP2D6, troleandomycin (50 μM) and ketoconazole (1 μM) for CYP3A, diethyldithiocarbamate (50 μM) for CYP2E1, and thioTEPA (50 μM) for CYP2B6. The specific conditions used with these inhibitors have been described in detail in earlier publications (Desta et al., 1998; Rae et al., 2002). Efavirenz was incubated in HLMs (0.5 mg/ml) with or without P450 isoform-specific inhibitor and with a NADPH-regenerating system for 30 min. Troleandomycin, furafylline, and diethyldithiocarbamate were preincubated with HLMs (0.5 mg protein/ml) for 15 min at 37°C before initiation of the reaction by addition of efavirenz and further incubation for 30 min. The inhibition (percentage) of metabolite formation rate by P450 isoform-specific inhibitors was calculated by comparing the inhibited activity with uninhibited controls (without inhibitors).

The inhibition data identified thioTEPA as the only potent inhibitor of efavirenz metabolism (with no marked effects by other inhibitors). Subsequent experiments were designed to further characterize this inhibition. A single concentration of efavirenz (10 μM) was incubated without or with multiple thioTEPA concentrations (1–25 μM) in HLMs and a NADPH-generating system. The data obtained from this experiment were used to calculate IC50 and to simulate appropriate ranges of efavirenz and thioTEPA concentrations to construct Dixon plots to estimate KI values for the inhibition of efavirenz metabolism. Efavirenz concentration ranged from 5 to 25 μM and thioTEPA ranged from 0 to 10 μM. The inhibition data were modeled using appropriate enzyme inhibition equations (see Data Analysis).

An earlier report (Rae et al., 2002) suggests that thioTEPA inhibits S-mephenytoin N-demethylation, an in vitro substrate probe of CYP2B6 (Ko et al., 1998), in a time-dependent manner. Thus, the time-dependent inhibition of efavirenz metabolism by thioTEPA was also tested. thioTEPA (5 μM) was incubated with HLMs and a
NADPH-generating system for 0 to 30 min before the reaction was initiated by addition of efavirenz (10 μM) and further incubated for 30 min. To determine whether thiOEP2 caused metabolite intermediate complex (MIC) formation, thiOEP2 (50 μM) was incubated in pooled HLMs (1 mg/ml) and recombinant human CYP2B6 (200 pmol/ml). MIC formation was characterized by dual wavelength spectroscopy (Uvikon 933 double beam UV/Vis Spectrophotometer; Research Instruments International, San Diego CA) by scanning from 380 to 500 nm as described in detail elsewhere (Jones et al., 1999).

**Metabolism of Efavirenz by Recombinant Human P450 Isoforms.** To further identify the specific P450 isoforms catalyzing the metabolism of efavirenz, recombinant human CYP 1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4, or 3A5 (13 pmol each) was incubated with efavirenz (1, 10, and 100 μM) and a NADPH-generating system (same composition as above) at 37°C for 30 min. All other incubation conditions and assay of the metabolites were the same as described for HLMs above. The rates of formation of efavirenz metabolites as well as the amount of efavirenz remaining in the microsomal incubates after 30-min incubation relative to the initial concentrations added were calculated.

For those P450s showing activity toward efavirenz metabolism, full kinetic analyses were performed. Efavirenz (0–200 μM) was incubated with 13 μl of 1 nmol of P450/50 ml (recombinant human CYP2B6, CYP1A2, CYP3A4, and CYP3A5). To test whether the presence of cytochrome b6 modifies the kinetics of efavirenz metabolism by CYP2B6, 0 to 150 μM efavirenz was incubated with CYP2B6 (13 μl of 1 nmol of CYP2B6/ml) that was expressed with cytochrome b6. The kinetic parameters were obtained using hyperbolic and nonhyperbolic enzyme kinetic equations (see Data Analysis).

**Sequential Metabolism of Efavirenz.** Our LC/MS data indicated that one (MIII) of the three efavirenz metabolite peaks is consistent with a dihydroxylated metabolite of efavirenz, suggesting that it might represent a secondary metabolite. Thus, we conducted experiments to systematically test this possibility. First, we determined the time course for the formation of each metabolite from efavirenz in HLMs. Efavirenz (5 μM) was incubated in HLMs (0.5 mg/ml) and a NADPH-generating system (final volume, 250 μM) at 37°C. Reaction was terminated at time = 0 and after 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 45, 60, and 90 min of incubation. Second, we tested whether MIII might be formed when 8-hydroxyefavirenz is incubated in HLMs. Efavirenz is believed to undergo relatively rapid 8-hydroxylation in humans (Muthib et al., 1999), raising the possibility that the secondary metabolite is formed from efavirenz through step-wise hydroxylation with 8-hydroxyefavirenz as an intermediate. Thus, 8-hydroxyefavirenz (10 μM) was incubated with HLMs (0.5 mg/ml) and a NADPH-generating system at 37°C for 30 min, and reaction was terminated by addition of 500 ml of acetonitrile. Appropriate negative controls and efavirenz (2.5 μM) incubation experiments were also performed in parallel. Extraction protocols and HPLC assay were similar to those described above for efavirenz. The retention time of the metabolite peak formed from 8-hydroxyefavirenz microsomal incubation was compared with those produced when efavirenz was used as a substrate. LC/MS analysis was performed according to the protocol described for efavirenz metabolites (see above). These data suggested that 8-hydroxyefavirenz is formed when both efavirenz and 8-hydroxyefavirenz were used as substrates. Third, since our studies with recombinant human P450s indicated that the formation of the dihydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz is dependent on CYP2B6, we tested whether the same enzyme also catalyzes the conversion of 8-hydroxyefavirenz to dihydroxyefavirenz. 8-Hydroxyefavirenz (2.5 μM) was incubated in HLMs or a panel of cDNA-expressed human P450s (13 pmol each) and a NADPH generating system for 30 min at 37°C. The formation rates of dihydroxyefavirenz and the disappearance of 8-hydroxyefavirenz from microsomal incubate were quantified. Fourth, we determined full kinetic analysis for the formation of dihydroxyefavirenz from 8-hydroxyefavirenz in HLMs and CYP2B6. 8-Hydroxyefavirenz (0–200 μM) was incubated in HLMs (0.5 mg/ml) (or CYP2B6, 52 pmol of P450/50 ml) and a NADPH-generating system. The formation rates of dihydroxyefavirenz versus 8-hydroxyefavirenz concentrations were fit to appropriate enzyme kinetic models to estimate kinetic parameters (see Data Analysis).

**Data Analysis.** Estimates of kinetic constants were obtained by nonlinear regression analysis using WinNonlin Software Version 4.0 (Pharsight, Mountain View, CA). The simple single-site Michaelis-Menten equation ($V = V_{max} \cdot C/(K_m + C)$), Hill equation ($V = V_{max} \cdot C^n/(C^n + C_0^n)$), or substrate inhibition equation ($V = V_{max}(1 + K_i/C + C/K_i)$) was fitted to formation rates (V) of efavirenz metabolites versus efavirenz (or 8-hydroxyefavirenz) concentrations (C). The apparent maximum formation rate ($V_{max}$) and apparent substrate concentration resulting in 50% of $V_{max}$, Hill coefficient (n), and substrate inhibition constant ($K_i$) were calculated. In vitro intrinsic clearances (Cl_{int}) were given as $V_{max}/K_m$ or $V_{max}/(K_m + C)$. Inhibition constants ($K_i$ values) were estimated from the inhibition data using nonlinear regression for the noncompetitive inhibition model using WinNonlin. The models that best fit were selected based on the dispersion of residuals and standard errors of the parameter estimates. Kinetic constants were given as mean ± S.D. (or ± S.E. of parameter estimates).

**Results**

Efavirenz Metabolism in HLMs and Identification of Metabolites. A typical high-performance liquid chromatogram of efavirenz and its metabolites in human liver microsomal incubate is shown in Fig. 1. Two major metabolite peaks designated as MII and MIII were separated at retention times of 15.80 ± 0.04 min and 8.30 ± 0.03 min, respectively. A minor metabolite peak was identified at 13.20 ± 0.02 min. Ritonavir (internal standard) and efavirenz appeared at re-

---

**Fig. 1.** HPLC traces of efavirenz and its metabolites from in vitro incubation with HLMs. Efavirenz (10 μM) was incubated with HLMs (0.5 mg/ml protein) and a NADPH-generating system for 30 min at 37°C. The appearances of metabolite peaks were monitored by HPLC with UV detection (see Materials and Methods).
tention times of 17.2 ± 0.13 and 27.20 ± 0.08 min, respectively. The formations of all three metabolites were dependent on the NADPH-regenerating system, duration of incubation, and microsomal protein and efavirenz concentrations. The peak eluting at 4.2 min (Fig. 1) was related neither to efavirenz nor to the internal standard since it was still observed in microsomal incubations with no efavirenz or when ritonavir was not added to the microsomal incubates.

The identity of the metabolite peaks shown in Fig. 1 was confirmed by comparison of LC retention times with synthetic reference compounds and LC/MS analysis. When we compare the HPLC retention times of efavirenz metabolites peaks in microsomal incubates with that of 8-hydroxyefavirenz, the retention time of MI (15.80 ± 0.04 min) coeluted with that of 8-hydroxyefavirenz (15.80 ± 0.01 min). The LC/MS fragmentation patterns were compared with previously published fragmentation patterns of efavirenz metabolism in vivo (Mutlib et al., 1999). The major metabolite peak (MI) obtained following incubation of efavirenz with HLMs (LC retention time, 18 min) had characteristic ions at 330 m/z, 286 m/z, and 258.0 m/z, consistent with the fragmentation pattern of 8-hydroxyefavirenz. A smaller peak (MII) from efavirenz incubation with a retention time of 17.2 min showed characteristic ions at 258.0 m/z and 330 m/z that concur with 7-hydroxyefavirenz. MIII had characteristic ions at 346 m/z, 302 m/z, and 274 m/z, consistent with 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz. In this in vitro study, 8-hydroxylation to 8-hydroxyefavirenz (MI), 7-hydroxylation to 7-hydroxyefavirenz (MII), and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz (MIII) were identified as efavirenz oxidative metabolites.

In Fig. 2, the time course for the formation of efavirenz metabolites is depicted. The formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz showed a significant lag-time relative to the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz. These data, together with the results from LC/MS analysis, suggest that 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz is a secondary metabolite of efavirenz. It is unlikely that 8- and 14-hydroxylation occurs simultaneously. There are two possible routes for the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz: 1) efavirenz undergoes 14-hydroxylation first, followed by 8-hydroxylation of the product, or 2) 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz is formed via a step-wise hydroxylation of efavirenz, with 8-hydroxyefavirenz as an intermediate. Although it was not determined experimentally, there are reasons to believe that the first possibility is unlikely. In vivo studies indicate that 8-hydroxyefavirenz is the main metabolite and is rapidly formed from efavirenz in humans, but there is no evidence that 14-hydroxyefavirenz is formed (Mutlib et al., 1999). To test the second possibility, we incubated 8-hydroxyefavirenz (2.5 μM) in HLMs (HL9) and monitored the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz. Indeed, 8-hydroxyefavirenz was efficiently metabolized to 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz (V = 52.7 pmol/min/mg protein). Collectively, our data provide evidence that 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz is formed from efavirenz by step-wise hydroxylation; i.e., first 8-hydroxyefavirenz is formed, followed by further 14-hydroxylation. A significant quantity of both the primary and the secondary metabolites was detected when efavirenz was used as a substrate (Fig. 1); the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz (but not that of 8-hydroxyefavirenz) behaved as a secondary metabolite because the time course for its formation was characterized by a significant lag-time; and, more importantly, 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz was formed efficiently when 8-hydroxyefavirenz was used as a substrate. Assuming the metabolites have similar UV-absorbance intensity at equimolar concentrations, the data in Fig. 1 and the time course profile (Fig. 2) suggest that the 8-hydroxylation pathway (formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz + its sequential metabolism to 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz) represents a major route of efavirenz metabolism (over 92% of efavirenz oxidation), whereas the contribution of 7-hydroxylation appears to be small (<8%).

**Kinetic Analysis in HLMs.** Kinetic analysis of efavirenz metabolite formation rates was performed in two different HLM preparations. The kinetic parameters estimated are summarized in Table 1. The kinetic profiles of efavirenz metabolism to 8-hydroxyefavirenz in HLMs (HL9 and HL9/14/99) are shown in Fig. 3. The formation rate of 8-hydroxyefavirenz revealed sigmoidal saturation curves (Fig. 3, left panel) that were fit to a Hill equation (n = 1.33 in HL9 and 1.72 in HL9/14/99) (Table 1). The Eadie-Hofstee plots [(V/S) vs substrate concentration] of this metabolite showed curvilinear relationships (Fig. 3, right panel), indicating positive cooperativity. The formation rate of 7-hydroxyefavirenz in HLMs was characterized by a hyperbolic saturation curve and an Eadie-Hofstee plot that was linear (data not shown), suggesting the involvement of a single enzyme or more than one enzyme with similar affinity. The in vitro intrinsic clearances (CLint) for the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz was 17- and 14-fold higher in HL9 and HL9/14/99, respectively, than that for 7-hydroxyefavirenz. Of note, the CLint for the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz described here is clearly underestimated because it does not account for the sequential metabolism. The fold difference in CLint between the formation rate of 7-hydroxyefavirenz and the total 8-hydroxylation pathway (8-hydroxyefavirenz + 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz) is therefore expected to be larger than that provided here.

The formation rate of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz versus 8-hydroxyefavirenz concentrations was characterized by an initial rapid increase at lower substrate concentrations followed by a progressive decline at higher substrate concentrations (Fig. 4). Comparison of the goodness-of-fit values generated from these data indicates that a substrate inhibition equation enzyme model provided a better fit than did other mod-
The corresponding Eadie-Hofstee plot indicated a “hook” in the upper region of this plot (Fig. 4, inset), which is characteristic of substrate inhibition. The $K_m$ ($\mu$M), $V_{max}$ (pmol/min/mg protein), and $K_s$ ($\mu$M) estimated from these data, respectively, were 0.78 $\mu$M, 27.66 pmol/min/mg protein, and 94 $\mu$M. When efavirenz was used as a substrate, the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz in HLMs showed kinetic profiles essentially similar to that described when 8-hydroxyefavirenz was used as a substrate: 6.6 $\mu$M, 66 pmol/min/mg protein, and 228 $\mu$M, 30 pmol/min/mg protein, and 91 $\mu$M in HL9. Although these data provided valuable information on what might be expected with respect to the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz, the fact that 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz is formed from efavirenz by a two-step reaction would mean that the kinetic parameters generated for the formation of this metabolite using efavirenz as a substrate are likely to be compromised by the rate of the first hydroxylation (8-hydroxylation) and the second hydroxylation (14-hydroxylation).

**Correlation Analysis.** Figure 5 shows the rate of efavirenz (10 $\mu$M) metabolism in a panel of 11 characterized HLMs. We assessed the formation rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz independently and as a summation. The average formation rates (pmol/min/mg protein) of efavirenz metabolism to 8-hydroxyefavirenz, 7-hydroxyefavirenz, 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz, and 8-hydroxyefavirenz + 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz in a panel of 11 HLMs were 38.7 ± 24.5 (range, 10.8–83.1; 7.7-fold), 2.8 ± 1.9 (range, 0–4.4), 9.5 ± 9.7 (range, 2.4–13.9; 13.4-fold), and 48.3 ± 33.9 (range, 13.6–114.1; 8.4-fold), respectively. 7-Hydroxyefavirenz was not detected in one of the HLMs, but in the 10 HLMs in which this metabolite was detected, variability between HLM preparations was low (3.5-fold). The summation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz formation rates was significantly correlated with the formation

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic Parameters</th>
<th>HL9</th>
<th>MII</th>
<th>HL9/14/99</th>
<th>MII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}$ (pmol/min/mg protein)</td>
<td>122.4 (3.9)</td>
<td>5.5 (0.2)</td>
<td>157.4 (4.7)</td>
<td>32.5 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_m$ ((\mu)M)</td>
<td>17.4 (1.5)</td>
<td>13.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>22.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>66.6 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill coefficient</td>
<td>1.33 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.1)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3.** Kinetics for the formation rate of 8-hydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz in two HLMs (HL9 and HL9/14/99). An increasing concentration of efavirenz (0–200 $\mu$M) was incubated with HLMs (0.5 mg/ml) and a NADPH-generating system for 30 min at 37°C. Left panel, rate of formation of MI (8-hydroxyefavirenz) (pmol/min/mg protein) versus efavirenz concentration curves where the kinetic data were fit to a Hill equation (see Data Analysis). Right panel, the corresponding Eadie-Hofstee plots (rate versus rate/efavirenz concentration). Each point represents the average of duplicate incubations.
accounted for by 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz formation from 8-hydroxyefavirenz, while 82.9 ± 5.3% (range, 71.2- to 91.1; 1.3-fold) was accounted for by the remaining 8-hydroxyefavirenz formation rate. Assuming that 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz is not further metabolized, it seems that the formation rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz might be underestimated by <20%.

Correlations between the rate of efavirenz metabolism and the activities of P450s and total P450 contents in HLMs are summarized in Table 2. The formation rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz correlated significantly with the activity of CYP3A, CYP2B6, and total P450 content. In addition, CYP2C8 showed weak but significant correlation with the formation rate of 8-hydroxyefavirenz. When the combined formation rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz were analyzed, the correlation was similar to the correlations noted with 8-hydroxyefavirenz alone (Table 2). The formation rate of 7-hydroxyefavirenz was correlated with none of the P450s tested, except a tendency for CYP4A (r = 0.6; p = 0.07).

Metabolism of Efavirenz by Recombinant Human P450s. Three concentrations of efavirenz (1 μM, 10 μM, and 100 μM) were incubated with a panel of recombinant P450s. At 1 μM efavirenz, CYP2B6 significantly reduced the concentration of efavirenz in the microsomal incubates (by about 96%) relative to other isoforms or incubations that do not contain microsomes (instead, bovine serum albumin was used) (data not shown). The formation rates (pmol of product/min/pmol P450) of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz after incubation of efavirenz (10 μM) with P450s are shown in Fig. 6 (left panel). Except for CYP2B6, none of the P450s tested catalyzed the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz. CYP2B6 formed 8-hydroxyefavirenz at the highest rate. CYP3A5, CYP1A2, and CYP3A4 also catalyzed the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz, but when compared with CYP2B6, the involvement of these isoforms in the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz was low (10.2-, 8.4-, and 24-fold lower, respectively). At 100 μM efavirenz (Fig. 6, right panel), the following isoforms contributed to the formation rates (pmol/min/pmol P450) of 8-hydroxyefavirenz: CYP2B6, CYP3A5, CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP2A6, and CYP2C9. These data show that CYP2B6 is 11.8-, 5.2-, and 30-fold more catalytically active than CYP1A2, CYP3A5, and CYP3A4, respectively, toward the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz. CYP2B6 was also the main catalyst of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz formation, with small contribution of CYP2C9. Although CYP2B6 remained the main isoform responsible for efavirenz metabolism at all substrate concentrations tested, the pattern of metabolite formation varied with increasing substrate concentration. For example, 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz was formed more efficiently at lower substrate concentrations, whereas 8-hydroxyefavirenz was predominant with increasing concentration of efavirenz. [The formation rates of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz by CYP2B6 were decreased (by ~53%) from 0.496 ± 0.039 pmol/min/pmol P450 at 10 μM to 0.262 ± 0.014 pmol/min/pmol P450 at 100 μM. These data were essentially similar to the data observed in HLMs. At any of the concentrations of efavirenz used, none of the recombinant P450s tested formed 7-hydroxyefavirenz.

Full kinetic analysis was performed for the formation rate of 8-hydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz by recombinant human CYP2B6 (Fig. 7). The formation rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz
versus efavirenz concentration fit better to a single-site Michaelis-Menten equation. The respective data obtained are listed in Table 3. In HLMs, the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz was characterized by a sigmoidal curve (Fig. 3). Fitting the data obtained from recombinant CYP2B6 to the Hill equation did not improve the estimation (Hill coefficient, 1.19 ± 0.19; n = 3 experiments in duplicate determinations). The \( K_m \) values obtained in recombinant CYP2B6 (12.4 ± 1.8 \( \mu M \)) (Table 3) were close to that obtained from HLMs (average from the two HLMs, 20.16 ± 2.0 \( \mu M \)) (Table 1), suggesting that this same enzyme is catalyzing efavirenz metabolism in both preparations. Besides CYP2B6, CYP1A2, CYP3A5, and CYP3A4 showed some activity toward the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz (Fig. 6). Thus, we examined the kinetics for the formation rate of 8-hydroxyefavirenz in CYP1A2, CYP3A5, and CYP3A4 and compared the data with the formation rate in CYP2B6 (Fig. 8). The respective kinetic parameters derived from fitting the data to a single-site Michaelis-Menten equation are shown in Table 3. The \( V_{max} \) values for the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz by CYP1A2, CYP3A5, and CYP3A4 were comparable to that obtained with CYP2B6, but the \( V_{max} \) values obtained from CYP1A2, CYP3A5, and CYP3A4 were much smaller compared with those obtained in CYP2B6. Accordingly, the in vitro intrinsic clearance (\( \mu L/min/pmol P450 \)) for the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz in CYP2B6 was 5.8-, 13.5-, and 60-fold higher than that of CYP1A2, CYP3A5, and CYP3A4, respectively.

CYP2B6 is the main enzyme that catalyzes the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz, but this metabolite is subject to additional metabolism by the same enzyme. The \( C_L_{int} \) for the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz by CYP2B6 (and the fold difference) would be larger than that listed in Table 3 when corrected for sequential metabolism.

The data in Fig. 6 suggested to us that CYP2B6 is the dominant enzyme responsible for the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz (Fig. 6), and we have evidence that this metabolite is also formed in HLMs when 8-hydroxyefavirenz is used as a substrate. We tested whether

---

**Table 2**

Correlation of formation rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz (MI), 7-hydroxyefavirenz (MII), and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz (MIII) from efavirenz (10 \( \mu M \)) with the activities of different P450 isoforms and total P450 contents in 11 HLMs.

Data were analyzed using the nonparametric correlation test (Spearman \( r \)). The activity of each isoform was determined using the respective specific substrate probe reaction as indicated by the supplier (see Materials and Methods). \( p = 0.05 \) is considered statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P450s</th>
<th>MI</th>
<th>MII</th>
<th>MIII</th>
<th>MI + MII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( r )</td>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>( r )</td>
<td>( p )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P450</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidoreductase</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome b56</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A2</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A6</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B6</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C8</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C9</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D6</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CYP2B6 catalyzes this reaction when 8-hydroxyefavirenz was used as a substrate. As shown in Fig. 9, CYP2B6 catalyzed the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from 8-hydroxyefavirenz at the highest rate. Other enzymes also showed some activity, but at very low rate (>29-fold lower). Accordingly, CYP2B6 (but not other enzymes) decreased the amount of 8-hydroxyefavirenz remaining in microsomal incubate by 89%. Our data from HLMs indicate that the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz exhibit substrate inhibition (Fig. 4). Consistent with these data, the kinetics for the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from 8-hydroxyefavirenz was characterized by the substrate inhibition equation (Fig. 10). When the substrate-velocity curve of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz was modeled using the substrate inhibition model, the following kinetic parameters were estimated: $K_m$, 2.12 μM; $V_{max}$, 8.5 pmol/min/pmol P450; and $K_{ir}$, 233.6 μM.

Studies with CYP3A have provided useful insight into the mechanism by which substrate inhibition might occur. Cytochrome $b_5$ may modulate the efficiency of CYP3A-mediated substrate inhibitions through enhancement of the efficiency of CYP3A4-reactive oxygen production and, thus, the availability of heme bound oxygen for the reaction and increasing the partition of the iron-oxygen complex toward substrate oxidation (Schrag and Wienkers, 2001, and references therein). Since the kinetic profiles for the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz or 8-hydroxyefavirenz were similar, we determined the kinetics of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz formation from efavirenz in CYP2B6, which was enriched with cytochrome $b_5$. We wished to test whether cytochrome $b_5$ might reverse the substrate inhibition observed for 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz or explain differences in the kinetics observed in HLMs and CYP2B6 with respect to the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz. As shown in Table 3, cytochrome $b_5$ decreased the $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values for the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz, but $Cl_{int}$ remained similar to that without cytochrome $b_5$. The formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz was not influenced in a significant way by cytochrome $b_5$.

**Chemical Inhibition of Efavirenz Metabolism.** To further probe the P450 isoforms participating in efavirenz metabolism, 10 μM efavirenz was incubated with P450 isoform-specific inhibitors in HLMs. As shown in Table 2, thioTEPA (50 μM) inhibited the rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-hydroxyefavirenz formation by 65% and 75%, respectively. The effect of the other inhibitors tested on the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-hydroxyefavirenz was <20%. The formation rates of 7-hydroxyefavirenz were modestly inhibited by sulfaphenazole, omeprazole, and troleandomycin, weakly inhibited by ketoconazole and quinidine, and activated by thioTEPA (Table 4). The inhibition profiles in HLMs were compared with those inhibition data in recombinant human CYP2B6 (Table 4). Consistent with the HLMs, thioTEPA (50 μM) was the only inhibitor of the formation rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz (by 60%) and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz (by 78%) in CYP2B6. Similarly, this TEPA (0–25 μM) inhibits the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-hydroxyefavirenz in a concentration-dependent manner (IC50, ~10 μM and 5 μM, respectively). It seems that 8,14-hydroxyefavirenz is more susceptible to inhibition (by 12.4–18.8% at 2.5–25 μM inhibitor) by thioTEPA than is 8-hydroxyefavirenz.

Compared with microsomal incubations without inhibitor, thioTEPA increased the rate of 7-hydroxyefavirenz at low concentrations (1–10 μM) by over 18% (peak 31%), whereas it showed a 49% decrease at 25 μM (data not shown). We do not believe that the increase we noted was due to coelution of thioTEPA or its metabolites with 7-hydroxyefavirenz because no peak was observed at the retention time of 7-hydroxyefavirenz when thioTEPA was incubated alone (without efavirenz).

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic Parameters</th>
<th>CYP2B6</th>
<th>CYP2B6 + thioTEPA</th>
<th>CYP3A4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}$ (pmol/min/pmol P450)</td>
<td>5.20 ± 0.76</td>
<td>3.50 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_m$ (μM)</td>
<td>12.40 ± 1.80</td>
<td>6.40 (0.5)</td>
<td>8.30 (2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}/K_m$ (μl/min/pmol P450)</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To estimate $K_i$ values for the inhibition of efavirenz metabolism by thioTEPA, a range of substrate concentrations (1–25 μM) was incubated without or with thioTEPA (2.5–281 μM) in HLMs. Representative Dixon plots for the inhibition of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-hydroxyefavirenz formation rate from efavirenz by thioTEPA are shown in Fig. 11. The $K_i$ values estimated by nonlinear regression using a noncompetitive enzyme inhibition model were 3.0 μM and 2.5 μM for the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-hydroxyefavirenz, respectively, and these values fall within the range of therapeutic concentrations reported for thioTEPA (1.1–18.6 μM) during a 4-day intravenous infusion at a dose of 400 to 800 mg/m² (Kennedy et al., 1995). No Dixon plot was presented for 7-hydroxyefavirenz because thioTEPA showed activation at the substrate concentrations tested (data not shown). The inhibition of efavirenz metabolism in HLMs was time-dependent; i.e., the rate of metabolism of efavirenz markedly decreased during preincubation of thioTEPA with HLMs and the NADPH-generating system (Fig. 12) and concurs with our earlier report with $S$-mephenytoin N-demethylation (Rae et al., 2002), a probe of CYP2B6 (Ko et al., 1998). Experiments were performed to assess whether this inhibition of thioTEPA is mediated by metabolite-intermediate complex. thioTEPA did not form any detectable MIC in pooled human liver microsomes or cDNA-expressed CYP2B6 (data not shown). It is possible that the time-dependent effect of thioTEPA on CYP2B6 activity is due to its effect on CYP2B6 apoprotein. Of note, Hollenberg and coworkers have identified numerous compounds that inactivate CYP2B6 by interfering with apoprotein (e.g., Chun et al., 2000; Kent et al., 1999).

Discussion

We present here a detailed characterization of the in vitro human metabolism of efavirenz as summarized in Fig. 13. We have demonstrated that: 1) efavirenz undergoes primary oxidative hydroxylation to 8-hydroxyefavirenz (major) and 7-hydroxyefavirenz (minor), and secondary metabolism to 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz; 2) the major route of efavirenz metabolism is primarily catalyzed by CYP2B6; and 3) CYP2B6 exhibits atypical kinetics. These data should provide a scientific base upon which to build focused clinical studies that will help in understanding the pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenetic factors influencing efavirenz therapeutic efficacy, drug interactions, and safety. Besides, efavirenz seems an
attractive tool to study CYP2B6 activity in vitro and probably in vivo.

That 8-hydroxyefavirenz is the major metabolite of efavirenz in vitro is consistent with human studies in which this metabolite has been reported to be the principal metabolite of the drug (Mutlib et al., 1999). Glucuronide and sulfate conjugates of 7-hydroxyefavirenz have been identified in human urine (Mutlib et al., 1999), but the contribution of 7-hydroxylation to the overall clearance of efavirenz is likely to be small because the average CL$_{int}$ estimated from two HLMs for 7-hydroxylation was 15-fold lower than that for 8-hydroxylation reaction. In addition to the two primary metabolites, we also identified 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz as a secondary metabolite of efavirenz. According to Mutlib et al. (1999), 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz glucuronide has been detected in human plasma and urine. These authors proposed that efavirenz undergoes step-wise hydroxylation to form 8-hydroxyefavirenz and then 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz in vivo (Mutlib et al., 1999). We have shown that 8-hydroxyefavirenz was efficiently metabolized in vitro to 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz. The time course for the formation of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz in vitro (present study) and in vivo (Mutlib et al., 1999) indicates a significant lag-time relative to that of 8-hydroxyefavirenz. Our findings provide direct evidence that 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz is a secondary metabolite of efavirenz in that its formation proceeds stepwise from efavirenz, with 8-hydroxyefavirenz as intermediate. Based on our in vitro results (and assuming no further metabolism of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz and similar UV-absorbance intensity between the two metabolites), we estimate that ~17% of 8-hydroxyefavirenz formed from efavirenz is further oxidized to 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz in vitro. The fraction is likely to

---

**TABLE 4**

Inhibition of efavirenz metabolism by P450 isofrom-specific inhibitors in HLMs and recombinant human CYP2B6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>MI (HLMs)</th>
<th>CYP2B6</th>
<th>MII* (HLMs)</th>
<th>MI (HLMs)</th>
<th>CYP2B6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Furafylline (20 μM)</td>
<td>97 ± 9.8</td>
<td>108.5</td>
<td>103.8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>92.0 ± 7.9</td>
<td>88.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thioTEPA (50 μM)</td>
<td>35 ± 1.3</td>
<td>40 ± 3.5</td>
<td>143.3 ± 5</td>
<td>25 ± 1.6</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfaphenazole (20 μM)</td>
<td>80.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>56 ± 5.1</td>
<td>92.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omeprazole (10 μM)</td>
<td>80.6 ± 5.7</td>
<td>107.1</td>
<td>58.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>83.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>100.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinidine (1 μM)</td>
<td>83.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>79.2 ± 18.6</td>
<td>95.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyldithiocarbamate (50 μM)</td>
<td>94.4 ± 13.9</td>
<td>115.3</td>
<td>64.9 ± 16</td>
<td>89.4 ± 11.9</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketoconazole (1 μM)</td>
<td>82.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>104.7</td>
<td>100.5 ± 16.4</td>
<td>86.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troledomycin (50 μM)</td>
<td>101.8 ± 10.9</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>48.6 ± 6.9</td>
<td>80.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>109.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MII was formed in HLMs, but not by CYP2B6. Inhibition data in HLMs are presented as mean ± S.D. (n = at least 3 independent experiments in duplicate), whereas the data from CYP2B6 are given as average of duplicate measurements.
be small in vivo, where 8-hydroxyefavirenz might undergo rapid glucuronidation (Mutlib et al., 1999), although this claim needs experimental testing. Since the main source of 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz in vitro seems to be 8-hydroxyefavirenz, the overall metabolism of efavirenz via the 8-hydroxylation route will be the summation of the formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz (measured) and its subsequent 14-hydroxylation. When corrected for sequential metabolism, we would then predict substantially higher estimates of CLint for the overall 8-hydroxylation than those listed in Tables 1 and 3. Our in vitro data allow us to estimate that the contribution of the total 8-hydroxylation pathway to the overall efavirenz oxidative metabolic clearance is \( >90\% \), with 7-hydroxylation playing a minor role.

The oxidation of efavirenz to 8-hydroxyefavirenz in HLMs is characterized by a sigmoidal substrate-velocity curve (Fig. 3) (Hill coefficient of 1.33–1.7), unlike the kinetic data obtained in recombinant human P450s, which were characterized by a hyperbolic Michaelis-Menten equation (Fig. 7). A similar kinetic profile has been observed with another CYP2B6-mediated bupropion hydroxylation in HLMs (Faucette et al., 2000). Other recombinant CYP2B6-mediated reactions seem to depend on the substrate probe used: no autoactivation of efavirenz hydroxylation (present data) and bupropion hydroxylation (Faucette et al., 2000), or allosteric kinetics of 7-ethoxy-4-fluoromethyl coumarin -hydroxylation (Ekins et al., 1994)–regioselective hydroxylation, which are suggestive of multiple substrate-binding sites (or multiple regions within a single active site). To our knowledge, this is the first report of CYP2B6-mediated substrate inhibition. Although this observation may have no clinical relevance because it does not affect the initial 8-hydroxylation of efavirenz and because the expected in vivo steady-state concentrations of the substrate (efavirenz or 8-hydroxyefavirenz) after standard doses of efavirenz (Smith et al., 2001) are much lower than the substrate inhibition constants we obtained here, it may offer insight into the characteristics of the enzyme.

Although our inhibition data (Table 4) implicate multiple enzymes in the 7-hydroxylation of efavirenz, the specific enzymes responsible remain unclear, because we were unable to further confirm them with experiments involving recombinant P450s and correlation analysis. It may be that the sensitivity of the assay did not allow us to measure 7-hydroxyefavirenz when recombinant P450s were used, or this metabolite is catalyzed by P450s other than those tested here or through other NADPH-dependent reactions. Because the contribution of 7-hydroxylation to the overall clearance of efavirenz is likely to be small, no further attempt was made to identify the P450s involved.

We provide strong evidence that efavirenz 8-hydroxylation and the subsequent oxidation to 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz is predominantly catalyzed by CYP2B6. First, the formation rates of these metabolites from efavirenz were potently inhibited by thioTEPA (Table 4), a specific inhibitor of CYP2B6 (Rae et al., 2002). Second, CYP2B6 formed 8-hydroxyefavirenz and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz (Fig. 6) [and 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz from 8-hydroxyefavirenz (Fig. 9)] with the highest specific activity. Third, the \( K_m \) value of 8-hydroxyefavirenz derived from HLMs (\( \sim 20.2 \mu M \)) (Table 1) was similar to that observed in CYP2B6 (12.4 \( \mu M \)) (Table 3). Fourth, the formation rates of 8-hydroxyefavirenz (or 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz) correlated significantly with the activity of CYP2B6 in a panel of HLMs (Table 2). We also noted that recombinant human CYP1A2, CYP3A5, and CYP3A4 formed 8-hydroxyefavirenz from efavirenz, but the contributions of these isofoms to efavirenz metabolism appear minor: 1) the CLint for 8-hydroxyefavirenz formation by CYP1A2, CYP3A5, and CYP3A4 was 5.8-, 13.5-, and 60-fold lower, respectively (fold difference is larger when sequential metabolism is taken in to account), than that obtained in recombinant human CYP2B6 (Table 3); 2) a CYP1A2-specific inhibitor (furafylline) and CYP3A-specific inhibitors (ketoconazole and troleandomycin) did not inhibit the rates of formation of 8-hydroxyefavirenz or 8,14-dihydroxyefavirenz in HLMs and CYP2B6 (Table 4); and 3) the disappearance of efavirenz (1 \( \mu M \)) from microsomal incubates was catalyzed by CYP2B6 (but not by coincubation with recombinant human CYP3A4, CYP3A5, or CYP1A2). The significant correlation we observed between the activity CYP3A and efavirenz 8-hydroxylation in the panel of HLMs tested may not be due to the actual involvement of CYP3A in efavirenz metabolism. Because our inhibition and recombinant experiments do not support a significant role of CYP3A in efavirenz metabolism, the observed significant correlation between efavirenz me-
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Collectively, our in vitro data together with in vivo evidence from the literature strongly suggest that CYP2B6 is the principal catalyst of efavirenz metabolism (Fig. 13), which may have important implications for HIV/AIDS therapy. In human livers in vitro, wide interindividual variability in the expression of CYP2B6 is seen at the level of mRNA (Chang et al., 2003), protein (Code et al., 1997; Stresser and Kupfer, 1999; Faucette et al., 2000; Lang et al., 2001), and catalytic activity (Ekins et al., 1998; Faucette et al., 2000). This variability is probably due to effects of genetic polymorphisms of CYP2B6 (Ariyoshi et al., 2001; Lang et al., 2001) or exposure to drugs that are inducers (Gervasi et al., 1999; Faucette et al., 2001; Sueyoshi and Negishi, 2001) or inhibitors (Hesse et al., 2001; Rae et al., 2002) of CYP2B6. If our in vitro data can be extrapolated to in vivo conditions, we would expect that variability in efavirenz pharmacokinetics and drug interactions is primarily a reflection of the large interindividual differences in the activity of CYP2B6. It is now believed that ~8% of the drugs on the market are fully or partially metabolized by CYP2B6 (Treger and Stoll, 2002), and it represents ~6% of the total liver P450 content (Stresser and Kupfer, 1999), in contrast to earlier estimates that it represented less than 1% (Shimada et al., 1994). Despite this and the identification of a growing list of clinically important drugs, environmental chemicals, and endogenous substances as substrates of CYP2B6 in vitro (Ekins and Wrighton, 1999; Wang and Halpert, 2002), it remains difficult to determine or predict its clinical consequences because of the unavailability of a specific and safe probe to measure the activity of the enzyme in vivo. Our data indicate that efavirenz 8-hydroxylation is a specific in vitro reaction marker of CYP2B6 and may have utility as a phenotyping tool to study the role of this enzyme in human drug metabolism.
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