Effect of Flow on First-Pass Metabolism of Drugs: Single Pass Studies on 4-Methylumbelliferone Conjugation in the Serially Perfused Rat Intestine and Liver Preparations
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ABSTRACT
The vascularly perfused rat intestine and liver preparations were used to examine the effect of flow (8 and 10 ml/min) on the sequential metabolism of 4-methylumbelliferone (4MU), which forms primarily the glucuronide conjugate (4MUG) in intestine and the sulfate conjugate (4MUS) in liver at low input concentrations of 4MU. In this system, a constant tracer concentration of [3H]4MU was delivered systematically at 8 or 10 ml/min to the perfused rat small intestine preparation; the portal venous outflow perfusate at 8 and 10 ml/min was collected at steady state, reoxygenated and in turn delivered to the perfused rat liver preparation from a second rat donor. The intestinal extraction ratio and formation of 4MUG were decreased from 0.57 ± 0.07 to 0.49 ± 0.06 and 42 ± 5 to 36 ± 4% input rate, respectively, upon increasing the flow rate from 8 to 10 ml/min (P < .05). These decreases were the result of the reduction in transit time with increasing flows. In contrast, hepatic 4MU conjugation was increased (from 40 ± 7% to 48 ± 6% input rate to intestine) upon increasing the flow rate from 8 and 10 ml/min (P < .05), attributed primarily to increased formation of the major metabolite, 4 MUS, in liver (from 35 ± 9% to 39 ± 9% input rate to intestine). The unusual observation on increased hepatic metabolism formation with increasing flow could be rationalized. With increased flow to the serially perfused organs, there was an increased supply of substrate to the liver, the posterior organ, because of a faster hepatic transit time. Decreased intestinal metabolism (formation of 4MUG) at increased flow was compensated by increased hepatic metabolism (formation of 4MUS), albeit attenuated because of a faster hepatic transit time. The proportions of total 4MU conjugates formed (4MUG + 4MUS) across the intestine and liver remained constant at both flow rates. Hence, a rather constant overall extraction ratio (0.98 ± 0.004 and 0.97 ± 0.005, P > .05) existed across the two organs. The results demonstrate that the intestine, the anterior organ, plays a regulatory role on substrate supply to the posterior organ, the liver. With an increase in flow, the contribution of the intestine will decrease, whereas the contribution of the liver will increase in the overall first-pass metabolism.

The effect of flow on substrate removal in the liver has been widely studied. A reduction in flow normally brings about a more prolonged transit of drug through an eliminating organ, promoting efficient uptake and enhancing elimination, unless the flow rate and its accompanying pressure are insufficient in supporting full opening of the hepatic vasculature (Brauer et al., 1956; Pang et al. 1988). Conversely speaking, a faster rate of flow hastens drug transit and reduces drug extraction (Shand et al., 1975; Pang and Rowland, 1977a; Wilkinson, 1987). These have been found to occur for substrates such as oxphenbutazone (Whitsett et al., 1971), lidocaine (Pang and Rowland, 1977b) and meperidine (Ahmad et al., 1983).

By contrast, the effect of flow on metabolite formation in the liver is rarely explored (Pang and Rowland, 1977c; Dawson et al., 1985). It is recognized that the metabolism of substrates is greatly modulated by acinar localization of enzymatic systems, that the anteriorly distributed system regulates the flux of substrate flowing downstream, a phenomenon exemplified in the conjugation of phenolic substrates (Morris and Pang, 1987). Under constant flow conditions, the proportion of sulfate formed decreases with increasing concentration, accompanied by compensatory increased glucuronidation. Saturation of the anterior, higher affinity sulfocoujugation system will render a disproportionately higher substrate flux reaching downstream for glucuronidation (Pang et al., 1981, 1983). When the reduction in sulfation is fully compensated by increased glucuronidation, the overall extraction ratio, E, will remain apparently constant despite

ABBREVIATIONS: SMA, superior mesenteric artery; PV, portal vein; HV, hepatic vein; I, intestine; L, liver; TLC, thin layer chromatography; 4MU, 4-methylumbelliferone; 4MUS, 4-methylumbelliferyl sulfate; 4MUG, 4-methylumbelliferyl glucuronide; SGOT, serum glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase.
that shifts in conjugation have occurred. The observation was first noted for harmol (Pang et al., 1981), then for other phenolic substrates: gentisinamide, salicylamide and 4MU (Morris et al., 1988; Xu et al., 1990; Ratna et al., 1993).

With the realization that increased organ flow shortens the transit time of drug molecules and effectively reduces metabolism, Pang and Mulder (1990) explained the data of Dawson et al. (1985) which showed a reduction in harmful sulfation but unchanged glucuronidation (expressed in relation to the input rate to liver) with increasing flow to the liver. Constancy in the proportion of harmful undergoing glucuronidation downstream was caused by two diametrically opposing flow effects: substrate supply and transit time. With increased flow, an increased substrate flux spared from reduced sulfation now advanced downstream for glucuronidation, but, at the same time, the transit time within the downstream region was decreased. When these factors counterbalanced each other, the proportion of harmful undergoing glucuronidation would remain unchanged with increasing flow.

The effect of flow on the removal of drugs in first-pass organs has yet to be examined. The intestine and liver are two serially arranged first-pass organs known to possess metabolic activities. The anatomical placement of the intestine (anterior) and liver (posterior) may be viewed analogous to sulfation and glucuronidation, being upstream and downstream, respectively, in the liver. The purpose of the present work was to develop a meaningful vascular perfusion system for examination of flow effects on intestinal and hepatic metabolism. 4MU was chosen as the model substrate because it is conjugated appreciably, forming primarily 4MUG by the intestine (intestinal extraction ratio, $E_I$, varying from 0.36 to 0.6 (Mulder et al., 1984; Zimmerman et al., 1991)) but predominantly 4MUS in liver at low input concentrations (Ratna et al., 1993). The hepatic extraction ratio ($E_H$) is high (0.92–0.97) (Mulder et al., 1985; Zimmerman et al., 1991; Ratna et al., 1993). Tracer $[^3H]$4MU concentration was used for the present studies such that the presence of 4MUG reflects mostly intestinal metabolism, and 4MUS, liver metabolism. The known futile cycling between 4MUS and 4MU (El-Mouelhi and Kauffman, 1986; Ratna et al., 1993) was assumed to be nonexistent in view of recently obtained high $K_m$ value (760 $\mu M$) obtained for 4MUS desulfation from in vitro incubations (Chiba and Pang, unpublished data). Drawing on the analogy to harmful conjugation in liver (Dawson et al., 1985; Pang and Mulder, 1990), we hypothesized that an increased flow rate to the intestine and liver will bring about decreased $E_I$ and 4MUG formation, but no change in $E_H$ or perhaps increases in heptically formed 4MUS. If hepatic metabolism compensated totally for the loss in intestinal metabolism of 4MU within this serially arranged unit, the intestine-liver, no net change in the total removal (expressed in relation to the input rate to intestine) will exist across these organs. However, changes in the total formation rate of 4MUS and 4MUG from the intestine and liver are expected to result with variation in flow.

The perfused rat small intestine-liver preparation developed by Hirayama et al. (1989), who used one rat donor, was modified. In view of the present objective of the flow study, only the superior mesenteric artery/portal venous circulation was used to perfuse the intestine and liver. The hepatic artery was ligated because its circulation to the liver would complicate results on liver metabolism with the flow changes. In view of omission of the hepatic arterial circulation for oxygen carriage in the circuit, adequate oxygen supply to both the intestine and liver must be ensured. Alternate perfusion models were therefore developed for this purpose. The modification entailed two rat donors and reoxygenation of the portal venous perfusate. Viability and oxygen consumption rates of the various intestinal and liver preparations were first assessed.

### Materials and Methods

#### Materials

Unlabeled 4MU, 4MUS and 4MUG were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). $[^3H]$4MU (specific activity, 31.8 Ci/mmole) was synthesized by catalytic tritium exchange (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA, and ICN Radiochemicals, Irvine, CA) and purified by TLC (Mulder et al., 1985) and high-performance liquid chromatography (Zimmerman et al., 1991) before use. The radioactive purity of $[^3H]$4MU was > 97%.

#### Perfusion Apparatus and Perfusate

A TWO/TEN Perfuser (MX International, Aurora, CO) was used. Perfusate consisted of 20% of washed human red blood cells (Red Cross, Toronto, Canada), 3% dextan T40 (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Piscataway, NJ), 1% bovine serum albumin (25% in Tyrode’s buffer, Sigma), 300 mg/dl glucose (50% dextrose, Abbott Laboratories, Limited, Montreal, Canada) in Krebs-Henseleit Bicarbonate solution buffered to pH 7.4. Perfusate was oxygenated in rotating reservoirs or with a home-built oxygenator (Lindros, 1974) with 95% O$_2$,5% CO$_2$ (fig. 1).

#### Intestine-Liver Perfusion

The surgical procedure for rat small intestine perfusion (Hirayama et al., 1989) and liver perfusion (Pang and Rowland, 1977b) have been described previously. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (240–313 g, Charles River, St. Constant, QC, Canada) were used as donors. Rats were abstained from food but not glucose (2% sugar solution) nor water for 24 h before study. The hepatic artery was ligated for the present flow studies, such that the same flow rate entering the intestine would also reach the liver. Two rats were used for each experiment, one as the intestine donor and the other as the liver donor. The weights of rat liver donors varied from 240 to 310 g, such that the accompanying liver weights were less than 8.6 g. With the designated flow rates (8 and 10 ml/min), the lowest hepatic flow rate was 0.93 ml/min/g, thus avoiding derecruitment of the liver which could occur at reduced flow rates (<0.75 ml/min/g) to the liver (Pang et al., 1988).

**The IL preparation.** In this IL preparation (fig. 1A), the outflow from the portal vein of the intestine was fed into a home-built oxygenator (Lindros, 1974) made up with a coil of gas-permeable silastic tubing (170 cm) encased in a sealed conical flask as an oxygen-exchange chamber (95% O$_2$,5% CO$_2$). Reoxygenated perfusate was then used to perfuse the liver via the portal vein of the second donor. The IL preparation was perfused in a recirculation manner for 60 min each for the two chosen flow rates (8 or 10 ml/min) for the determination of viability (1985) and the alternate single pass 1-L perfusion system. Because leakage of perfusate was associated with the backpressure of the oxygenator of the IL preparation due to the long tubing (see fig. 1A), and because intense sampling of the portal vein during the experiment would inevitably affect the flow rate to the liver, the perfusion circuit was modified further (fig. 1B). In the alternate 1-L preparation, intestine perfusion was first conducted at 8, then 10 ml/min, each of 60-min duration with perfusate containing the same concentration of $[^3H]$4MU from reservoir 1 (R1). Portal venous outflow was...
diverted, at steady state (20 min after commencement of perfusion period, predetermined in preliminary experiments), into two reservoirs (R2 and R3) for collection of the perfusate outflows from the intestine at flow rates of 8 and 10 ml/min. The liver of the second rat donor was perfused with the reoxygenated perfusate from R2 (8 ml/min outflow from intestine) then R3 (10 ml/min outflow from intestine) for 27 to 30 min at 8 and 10 ml/min, respectively; the hepatic venous perfusate exited the liver via the hepatic vein. Bile was collected at 5- to 10-min intervals.

**Tracer [3H]4MU Experiments**

A constant concentration of tracer [3H]4MU (154–356 × 10³ dpm/ml) was employed in R1 for intestinal perfusion. Three to four samples were taken from R1 at each flow rate, and the mean of these determinations was taken as $C_{SMA}$ (the steady-state input concentration to the intestine). Five portal venous samples were collected during steady state (20–60 min) per perfusion period. Data so obtained were averaged for the steady-state outflow concentrations for the intestine $C_{PV}$. Luminal fluid was collected in toto for each flow rate, and the lumen was rinsed twice with saline; all washes and luminal fluids were pooled and the radioactivity quantified.

Perfusate from R2 and R3 were assayed for the respective input concentrations to the liver. Five hepatic venous samples were collected at 10 to 27 min and these determinations were averaged to provide the steady-state hepatic venous outflow concentration, $C_{HV}$. Bile usually was collected at 5-min intervals into prearel vials.

**Viability of the IL Preparations**

**Pressure.** Pressure at SMA was monitored at 5-min intervals throughout the entire perfusion period.

**Oxygen consumption.** The reservoir perfusate, portal venous perfusate (before and after oxygenation), and hepatic venous perfusate for the recirculating IL preparation were sampled for oxygen consumption determinations by an oxygen electrode (Instech Laboratories, Inc., Horesham, PA) and for other viability tests. Oxygen consumption rate by the intestine was estimated as the arteriovenous difference in oxygen tension after correction for the pH of the inflow and outflow perfusate. The oxygen consumption rate for each organ/tissue was estimated as follows:

$$O_2 \text{ consumption (ml/min)} = Q \cdot (O_2_{\text{in}} - O_2_{\text{out}})$$

where $O_2_{\text{in}}$ and $O_2_{\text{out}}$ are the oxygen concentrations in perfusate immediately entering and exiting the organ, respectively, and $Q$ is the blood perfusate flow rate. For the liver, $O_2_{\text{in}}$, the oxygen concentration is the concentration in the reoxygenated portal venous perfusate. The concentration of oxygen in blood perfusate was determined by the equation:

$$O_2 \text{ concentration (ml/100 ml)} = 0.003 \cdot pO_2 + 1.34 \cdot [Hb] \cdot O_2\text{Sat%}/100\quad(2)$$

where the degree of saturation ($O_2\text{Sat%}$), influenced by both the hemoglobin concentration ([Hb], g/100 ml) and pH, was read from a nomogram (Severinghaus, 1958). Hemoglobin concentration was determined spectrophotometrically at 540 nm (Uvikon 860, Kontron Instruments, Everett, MA) in 20-μl perfusate samples, with cyanmethemoglobin reagents as the standards (Stanbio Laboratory, Inc., San Antonio, TX).

**Other viability tests.** SGOT concentrations in portal venous perfusate plasma (from R2 and R3) and hepatic venous perfusate plasma were estimated by use of a kit (Sigma Diagnostics, St. Louis, MO). The time course of the bile production rate was expressed per gram of liver tissue. The weight of bile collected was converted to volume, assuming a density of one.

**Quantitation of [3H]4MU and Metabolites in Perfusate Blood, Plasma and Bile**

[3H]4MU in perfusate blood (0.5–2 ml) was quantified by an extraction procedure with ethyl acetate as described elsewhere (Zimmerman et al., 1991). Standards consisting of varying known counts of [3H]4MU were processed along with the samples for construction of a calibration curve for the correction of lack of complete recovery. [3H]4MU, [3H]4MUG and [3H]4MUS in plasma and bile were assayed by TLC (Mulder et al., 1984), with appropriate corrections made for on-plate recoveries; an equal aliquot of the sample used for
TLC was subjected to liquid scintillation spectrometry (Beckman 5801, Mississauga, ONT). The solvent system was 1-butanol/ethanol/water, 6:2:2 (v/v/v). Silica Gel GF plates (5 × 20 cm, 250 μm, Analtech, Inc., Newark, DE) were spotted with authentic 4MU, 4MUS and 4MUG at the origin. Plasma (100 μl) or bile samples (50 μl, diluted with 200 μl water) were then applied onto the origin. After development, the bands corresponding to unlabeled 4MU, 4MUS and 4MUG which coeluted with their labeled counterparts were identified under UV light (Rf = 0.43, 0.75 and 0.90 for 4MUG, 4MUS and 4MU, respectively) and scraped into 6-ml poly Q vials; 0.5 ml of water and 5 ml of liquid scintillation fluid (Ready Safe, Beckman, CA) were added. After mixing, the vials were maintained in darkness for 24 h to reduce chemiluminescence before liquid scintillation spectrometry.

Calculation

All data were subsequently expressed as dpm/ml in blood perfusate for accountability of the recovery, inasmuch as [3H]4MU concentrations in blood perfusate was used as an input to the SMA. Plasma concentrations were converted to blood concentrations by multiplying the blood/plasma (Cp/Cp) partitioning ratio; these were (1-Hct) for 4MUS and 4MUG, where Hct is the hematocrit, because 4MUS and 4MUG were not distributed in red blood cells; for 4MU, Cj/Cp = 1.2 (Ratna et al., 1993). The steady-state extraction ratio of the intestine or liver was expressed as the difference between the steady-state inflow and outflow concentrations divided by the inflow concentration. The intestinal extraction ratio, $E_I$ is:

$$E_I = \frac{C_{SMA} - C_{PV}}{C_{SMA}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The intestinal availability, $F_I$ is:

$$F_I = 1 - E_I$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The hepatic extraction ratio, $E_H$ is:

$$E_H = \frac{C_{PV} - C_{HV}}{C_{PV}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The hepatic availability, $F_H$ is:

$$F_H = 1 - E_H$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The effective (total) extraction ratio, $E_{tot}$, across the first-pass organs, is:

$$E_{tot} = \frac{C_{SMA} - C_{HV}}{C_{SMA}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The complement fraction, the overall availability, $F_{tot}$, across these organs, is given by the product of the availability of the intestine and the liver.

$$F_{tot} = \frac{C_{PV}}{C_{SMA}} = 1 - E_{tot} = F_IF_H = (1-E_I)(1-E_H)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The intestinal formation rate of the conjugate (primary metabolite mi), or $v_{imi}$ could be assessed with the following equation:

$$v_{imi} = QC_{PV}[mi] + \Delta A^{[mi]}_I/[mi]/\Delta t$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $\Delta A^{[mi]}_I/[mi]/\Delta t$ is the luminal excretion rate of the conjugate, and $C_{PV}[mi]$ is the portal venous concentration of the conjugate. The rate of intestinal formation of each conjugate was further expressed as a percent of the input rate of 4MU to the intestine ($QC_{SMA}$).

The intestinally formed 4MU metabolites also entered the liver. The total output rate from the liver (summed hepatic venous rate output and biliary excretion rate) thus contained the intestinally formed metabolites. The assumption that little futile cycling had occurred for 4MU and 4MUS at tracer [3H]4MU concentrations (El-Mouelhi and Kauffman, 1986; Ratna et al., 1993) was taken, such that the hepatic formation rate of each conjugate (primary metabolite mi), or $v_{imi}$ could be assessed with the following equation (Xu et al., 1989):

$$v_{imi} = QC_{HV}[mi] + \Delta A^{[mi]}_H/[mi]/\Delta t - QC_{PV}[mi]$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\Delta A^{[mi]}_H/[mi]/\Delta t$ is the biliary excretion rate of the conjugate, and $C_{HV}[mi]$ and $C_{PV}[mi]$ are the hepatic venous and portal venous concentration of the conjugate, respectively. The rate of hepatic formation of each conjugate may be further expressed as a percent of the input rate of 4MU to the intestine ($QC_{SMA}$) or as a percent of the input rate of 4MU to the liver ($QC_{PV}$).

The total I+L formation rate of each conjugate (primary metabolite mi), or $v_{imi}$ could be assessed with the following equation:

$$v_{imi} = QC_{HR}[mi] + \Delta A^{[mi]}_I/[mi]/\Delta t + \Delta A^{[mi]}_H/[mi]/\Delta t$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Upon summing the rates of conjugation for both conjugates (4MUS and 4MUG) according to equation 11, the total conjugation rate which represents the total first-pass metabolism may be obtained. The contribution of the intestine and liver to the formation of each conjugate was further expressed as a percent of the total rate of first-pass metabolism.

Recovery from the intestine was estimated as the summed output rate from the PV and luminal contents, divided by the input rate into the intestine ($QC_{SMA}$). Similarly, the recovery from the intestine and the liver was taken as the summed hepatic venous output rates, biliary excretion rates and luminal outflow rates of 4MUG, 4MUS and 4MU divided by the input rate of 4MU to the intestine, $QC_{SMA}$.

Statistics

Data were expressed as the mean ± S.D. A paired t test was used to compare the means, and a P value of 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Viability of IL Preparations

The IL preparation. For this recirculating preparation, the pressure at SMA was 50 ± 2 mm Hg at 8 ml/min and 62 ± 2 mm Hg at 10 ml/min, and the values were constant throughout the perfusion period (table 1). The intestinal oxygen consumption rates were 0.80 ± 0.1 and 1.0 ± 0.1 μmol/min/g intestine at 8 and 10 ml/min, respectively, values similar to those reported by Hirayama et al. (1989). The perfusate volume at the end of recirculation was only 79 ml, Anal...
matically to 2.6 to 2.7 \( \mu \text{mol/min/g} \). Bile flow rates were maintained constant and were considerably higher than those for the IL preparation; the values found were similar to those reported by others (St. Pierre et al., 1989; Ratna et al., 1993). SGOT concentration in hepatic venous perfuse plasma was less than 10 U/l throughout the perfusion period (table 1). The viability data showed that the I+L single-pass preparation was superior to the IL preparation for both intestine and liver functions.

**Flow Effects on \[^{3}H\]4MU Intestinal and Hepatic Metabolism in the I+L Preparation**

**Intestinal extraction ratio and metabolite formation rate.** Further studies were therefore conducted with the I+L preparation. Both 4MUS and 4MUG were observed in portal venous perfuse; 4MUG was the predominant metabolite, accounting for about 76% of total intestinal metabolism. The results were expected. The luminal contents accounted for less than 3.3% of the infused 4MU and contained 4MUG as the predominant species. With an increase in flow rate from 8 to 10 ml/min, the steady-state intestinal extraction ratio \( E \) of 4MU decreased from 0.57 ± 0.07 to 0.49 ± 0.06 (P < .05), with reduced formation of the major metabolite 4MUG (from 42 ± 5% to 36 ± 4% input rate of 4MU delivered to the intestine; P < .05) but virtually constant formation of 4MUS (13 ± 3% and 12 ± 3% input rate; P > .05) (table 2). The formation rates of 4MUS and 4MUG, expressed as percent input rate to intestine, decreased from 55 ± 7% to 48 ± 5% (P < .05) with the increase in flow rate.

**Hepatic extraction ratio and metabolite formation rate.** In hepatic venous outflow blood, 4MUS and 4MUG each accounted for about 43 to 55% of the total metabolites formed (table 3). Bile contained 4MU, 4MUS and 4MUG, and the total excretion rate accounted for 11 to 14% of the input rate of 4MU delivered to the intestine, with 4MUS as the predominant species. Upon summing the hepatic venous outflow rate and biliary excretion rate (expressed as percent of input rate to intestine) and subtracting the respective input rates of 4MUS and 4MUG entering the portal vein (from table 2), hepatic metabolite formation rates (equation 10) were obtained; 4MUS was the predominant metabolite formed in liver, accounting for 81 to 88% of total liver metabolism. When viewed with respect to the delivery rate of 4MU to the intestine, both 4MUS and 4MUG formation rates, hence the total hepatic conjugation rate, were increased (P < .05) from 35 to 39% and from 5 to 9%, respectively, when the flow rate increased from 8 to 10 ml/min.

When data were normalized with respect to the input rate of 4MU delivered to the liver, the steady-state hepatic extraction ratio \( E_H \) of 4MU (equation 5) was constant (0.943 ± 0.01 and 0.947 ± 0.01, P > .05) at both flow rates. Biliary excretion rates of 4MU and the 4MU conjugates were reduced at the higher flow rate. However, the proportions of 4MUS and 4MUG formed remained quite constant at both flow rates, rendering the total conjugation of 4MU unchanged (94–95%, P > .05) (table 4).

**Total metabolism in the intestine and liver with flow changes.** Upon addition of the output rates in luminal contents and bile to the output rate appearing in hepatic venous perfuse, the total conjugation rate by the intestine and liver, when expressed as percent input rate of 4MU to the intestine, was found to be constant (from 98 to 97%, P > .05; table 5). There was a small but statistical decrease in 4MUG but insignificant increase in 4MUS formation with increased flow. The effective extraction ratio \( E_{tot} \) across the intestine and liver (equation 7) remained constant at 0.98 ± 0.004 and 0.97 ± 0.004 (P > .05) at both flow rates. The overall availability \( F_{tot} \) equaled \( F_{int} \) according to equation 8.

The composite changes in intestinal and liver conjugation rates are depicted with respect to total first-pass conjugation (fig. 2). Accompanying the increment in flow rate from 8 to 10 ml/min, there was a significant decrease in the proportion of intestinal glucuronidation and compensatory increases in the proportion of hepatic sulfation and glucuronidation.

**Discussion**

Although the intestine of the IL preparation (fig. 1A) displayed adequate oxygenation consumption (cf. with 0.87 ± 0.38 \( \mu \text{mol/min/g} \), Hirayama et al., 1989), the higher pressure at the SMA (because of backpressure created by the long tubing in oxygenator), the reduced hepatic oxygenation consumption (cf. 2.5–2.9 \( \mu \text{mol/min/g} \); Hirayama et al., 1989; St. Pierre et al., 1989; deLannoy and Pang, 1993), decreased bile flow rate and the lack of conservation of reservoir volume rendered the combined preparation less desirable for exploration (table 1). The alternate, the serially perfused intestine and liver (I+L) preparation (fig. 1B), however, proved to be superior to the IL preparation. Leakage of blood was not observed, and SGOT levels were low; the pressure at the

### TABLE 1

**Summarized viability of intestine and liver preparations: the IL preparation for recirculation, and the serially perfused intestine and liver (I+L) preparation for single-pass perfusion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>IL Preparation&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>I+L Preparation&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 ml/min</td>
<td>10 ml/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure at SMA (mm Hg)</td>
<td>50 ± 1.6</td>
<td>62 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen consumption rate (( \mu \text{mol/min/g} ))</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfusion volume recovery (%)</td>
<td>79 ± 8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen consumption rate (( \mu \text{mol/min/g} ))</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile flow rate (( \mu \text{l/min} ))</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGOT concentration (U/l)</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Values are mean ± S.D. for 2 h recirculating perfusion, n = 5. (Liver weights were 6.7 ± 0.6 g.)

<sup>b</sup> Values are mean ± S.D. for single-pass perfusion, n = 3. (Liver weights were 6.4 ± 0.3 g.)

* Data were significantly different from those of IL preparation; P < .05.
TABLE 2
Effect of flow rate on formation of 4MU metabolites in the intestine of the serially perfused I+L preparation*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perfusate Flow Rate (Q)</th>
<th>8 ml/min</th>
<th>10 ml/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate (ml/min/g)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MU input concentration to intestine, C_{I+L} (dpm/ml)</td>
<td>243,000 ± 80,000</td>
<td>242,000 ± 74,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MU input rate to intestine, Q C_{I+L} (dpm/min)</td>
<td>1,943,000 ± 642,000</td>
<td>2,423,000 ± 737,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction ratio (E)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability (F)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.06*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Portal venous outflow rate (F) | 97 ± 3 | 98 ± 2 |

| Luminal outflow rate (F) | 0.2 ± 0.06 | 0.1 ± 0.04 |
| Luminal input rate (F) | 1.7 ± 0.9 | 1.2 ± 0.5 |
| 4MU + 4MU | 1.4 ± 0.4 | 0.3 ± 0.1 |

| Intestinal metabolite formation rate (%) | 3.3 ± 0.6 | 1.7 ± 0.6 |
| 4MU + 4MU | 0.2 ± 0.06 | 0.1 ± 0.04 |
| 4MU input rate to the intestine | 1.7 ± 0.9 | 1.2 ± 0.5 |
| 4MU + 4MU | 1.4 ± 0.4 | 0.3 ± 0.1 |

| Recovery (%) | 99 ± 1 | 98 ± 1 |

* Steady-state data were expressed as mean ± S.D. of six experiments. (Intestines weights were 6.1 ± 0.3 g.)

** Equation 3.
** Equation 4.
** Luminal outflow rate was obtained by dividing the dpm in luminal fluid by the time of perfusion, and normalized by the input rate, Q C_{I+L}.

** Equation 9. (Data were expressed as percent of input rate to the intestine.)
** Sum of portal venous outflow and luminal outflow rates of 4MU, 4MUG and 4MU, divided by the input rate of 4MU to the intestine.

* Data were significantly different from those at 8 ml/min; P < .05.

SMA at 8 ml/min was similar to that reported by Hirayama et al. (1989) who used a comparable intestinal flow rate (7.5 ml/min). Moreover, the bile flow rate and oxygen consumption rate of this preparation were similar to those obtained by others (Hirayama et al., 1989; St. Pierre et al., 1989; deLannoy and Pang, 1993).

Use of this I+L serially perfusion system for hypothesis testing of flow effects on tracer [3H]4MU intestinal glucuronidation and hepatic sulfation was thus appropriate. Data obtained at varying flow rates from the preparation indeed support this view. The placement of the organs/pathways and transit time considerations will influence the type of 4MU metabolites formed. Metabolism by the intestine and liver, two serially arranged organs, could be viewed conceptually analogous to sulfation (upstream) and glucuronidation (downstream), occurring sequentially within the liver: the intestine is analogous to the anterior sulfation system, and liver is analogous to the posterior glucuronidation system.

The scenario is akin to anterior sulfation regulating the quantity of substrate for downstream glucuronidation (Pang and Mulder, 1990). Indeed, the presence of intestinal metabolism has been found to regulate the supply of substrates for hepatic elimination (Hirayama and Pang, 1990; Xu et al., 1989). When the effects of flow on upstream sulfation and downstream glucuronidation in liver were applied for postulating intestinal and liver metabolism (Pang and Mulder, 1990), two diametrically opposing factors should exist at the higher flow rate: substrate sparing from intestine metabolism and faster hepatic transit time. With increased flow rate, there will be reduced metabolism by the intestine, the anterior organ; metabolism by the liver, the posterior organ, will increase to compensate for the loss. The present data showed a reduction in E_{I} (table 2) but an unchanged E_{H} (table 4) with increased flow, a pattern which showed much similarity to harmol conjugation with flow: reduced sulfation but unchanged glucuronidation (Dawson et al., 1985). The reduction in E_{I} but unchanged E_{H} with increased flow is

TABLE 3
Effect of flow rate on 4MU metabolite formation in rat liver of the serially perfused I+L preparation*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perfusate Flow Rate (Q)</th>
<th>8 ml/min</th>
<th>10 ml/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate to liver (ml/min/g)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MU input rate to intestine, Q C_{I+L} (dpm/min)</td>
<td>1,943,000 ± 642,000</td>
<td>2,423,000 ± 737,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Total) hepatic venous outflow rate: QC_{I+L} (% 4MU input rate to the intestine)</td>
<td>4MUS</td>
<td>43 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MUG</td>
<td>39 ± 5</td>
<td>38 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MU</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum: 4MUG + 4MU</td>
<td>85 ± 5</td>
<td>89 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary excretion rate (% 4MU input rate to the intestine)</td>
<td>4MUS</td>
<td>9.8 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MUG</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MU</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.07*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum: 4MUS + 4MUG</td>
<td>14 ± 6</td>
<td>11 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summed hepatic venous outflow rate and biliary excretion rate (% 4MU input rate to the intestine)</td>
<td>4MUS</td>
<td>53 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MUG</td>
<td>44 ± 5</td>
<td>41 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MU</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum: 4MUS + 4MUG</td>
<td>99 ± 0.9</td>
<td>100 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic metabolite formation rate (%)</td>
<td>4MUS</td>
<td>35 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MUG</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>9 ± 3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum: 4MUS + 4MUG</td>
<td>40 ± 7</td>
<td>48 ± 6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Steady-state data were expressed as mean ± S.D. of six experiments. (Livers weights were 7.3 ± 0.9 g.) Data were expressed as percent of rate to the intestine.

Data were expressed as percent of rate to the intestine.

* Data were significantly different from those at 8 ml/min; P < .05.
The study above exemplified the interaction between flow and the intestine and liver, organs which are arranged serially, in first-pass metabolism. Increased perfusion flow to the intestine and liver had led to a reduced contribution of the intestine but increased hepatic contribution to first-pass metabolism (fig. 2). At lower perfusion flow to the intestine and liver, the contribution of the intestine to the first-pass effect is more prominent; the converse also holds true. 4MUG, a dominant metabolite of the intestine, was reduced with increased flow, whereas 4MUS, a dominant metabolite of the liver, became more prominent in the overall first-pass metabolism. The concepts developed herein will apply to first-pass drug metabolism in vivo. In vivo, flow to the intestine is known to increase with hypoxic vasodilation and gastrointestinal hormones such as secretin, neurotensin and cholecystokinin (Chou et al., 1984; Premen et al., 1985). Moreover, food intake (Svensson et al., 1983; Olanoff et al., 1986; Modena et al., 1988) and vasoactive agents (Svensson et al., 1985) are known to increase intestinal and liver blood flow. Stimulation by glucose in lumen (Shepherd and Granger, 1984) can further increase intestine blood flow, and the increase is mainly to the mucosal layer (Granger et al., 1980; Yu et al., 1975; Shepherd and Riedel, 1985). Flow rate to the intestine is decreased in elderly sick patients, congestive

---

**TABLE 4**

Effect of flow rate on 4MU metabolite formation in rat liver of the serially perfused I+L preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perfusate Flow Rate (Q)</th>
<th>8 ml/min</th>
<th>10 ml/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4MU input concentration to intestine, C$_{PV}$ (dpm/ml)</td>
<td>104,500 ± 38,000</td>
<td>124,000 ± 45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MU input rate to liver, Q C$_{PV}$ (dpm/min)</td>
<td>836,000 ± 304,000</td>
<td>1,240,000 ± 450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction ratio (E$_{in}$)$^b$</td>
<td>0.943 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.947 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability (F$_{in}$)$^b$</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.053 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary excretion rate (‰ input rate to the liver)</td>
<td>4MUS</td>
<td>4MUG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
<td>12 ± 1$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4MUG</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|$^a$ Steady-state data were expressed as mean ± S.D. of six experiments. (Livers weights were 7.3 ± 0.9 g.)

|$^b$ Equation 5.

|$^c$ Equation 6.

|$^d$ Equation 10. (Data were expressed as percent of rate to the liver.)

|$^e$ Data were significantly different from those at 8 ml/min; P < .05.

**TABLE 5**

Effect of flow rate on 4MU metabolite formation across the intestine and liver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perfusate Flow Rate (Q)</th>
<th>8 ml/min</th>
<th>10 ml/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4MU input rate to intestine (dpm/min)</td>
<td>1,943,000 ± 642,000</td>
<td>2,423,000 ± 737,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction ratio (E$_{int}$)$^b$</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability (F$_{int}$)$^b$</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolite formation rate$^c$ (‰ 4MU input rate to the intestine)</td>
<td>4MUS</td>
<td>4MUG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53 ± 5</td>
<td>45 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4MUG</td>
<td>45 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum: 4MUS + 4MUG</td>
<td>98 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery$^c$ (%)</td>
<td>101 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|$^a$ Steady-state data were expressed as mean ± S.D. of six experiments.

|$^b$ Equation 7.

|$^c$ Equation 8.

|$^d$ Equation 11.

|$^e$ Sum of total hepatic venous outflow rate, biliary excretion rate and luminal outflow rate of 4MUS, 4MUG and 4MU divided by the input rate of 4MU to the intestine, Q$_{int}$

|$^f$ Data were significantly different from those at 8 ml/min; P < .05.
cardiac failure, digitalis toxemia, arteriosclerosis and intes-
tinal ischemia (Jacobson, 1991). These flow changes to the in-
testine and liver are expected to bring about altered con-
tributions of intestinal versus hepatic metabolism for drugs
that are metabolized by both the intestine and liver.
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