In Vivo Chronic Intermittent Ethanol Exposure Reverses the Polarity of Synaptic Plasticity in the Nucleus Accumbens Shell
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ABSTRACT

Glutamatergic synaptic plasticity in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) is implicated in response to sensitization to psychomotor-stimulating agents, yet ethanol effects here are undefined. We studied the acute in vitro and in vivo effects of ethanol in medium spiny neurons from the shell NAc subregion of slices of C57BL/6 mice by using whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings of α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-isoxazole-4-propionic acid (AMPA) excitatory postsynaptic current (EPSCs). Synaptic conditioning (low-frequency stimulation with concurrent postsynaptic depolarization) reliably depressed AMPA EPSCs by nearly 30%; this accumbal long-term depression (LTD) was blocked by a non-selective N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist (±-2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid) and a selective NMDA receptor 2B antagonist [R-(R*)-α-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-β-methyl-4-(phenylmethyl)-1-piperidine propanol]. Acute ethanol exposure inhibited the depression of AMPA EPSCs differentially with increasing concentrations, but this inhibitory action of ethanol was occluded by a D1-selective dopamine receptor agonist. Ethanol dependence was elicited in C57BL/6 mice by two separate 4-day bouts of chronic intermittent ethanol (CIE) vapor exposure. When assessed 24 h after a single bout of in vivo CIE vapor exposure, NAc LTD was absent, and instead NMDA receptor-dependent synaptic potentiation [long-term potentiation (LTP)] was reliably observed. It is noteworthy that both LTP and LTD were completely absent after an extended withdrawal (72 h) after a single 3-day CIE vapor bout. These observations demonstrate that 1) accumbal synaptic depression is mediated by NR2B receptors, 2) accumbal synaptic depression is highly sensitive to both acute and chronic ethanol exposure, and 3) alterations in this synaptic process may constitute a neural adaptation that contributes to the induction and/or expression of ethanol dependence.

Introduction

GABAergic medium spiny neurons (MSNs) of the nucleus accumbens (NAc) in the ventral striatum are principal neurons in the mesocorticolimbic system that process information concerning reward behavior (Nestler, 2001). These neurons receive a dopaminergic projection from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and glutamatergic projections from prefrontal cortex and other limbic structures. It is generally thought that neuroadaptations in response to chronic drug abuse underlie development of craving and other drug-seeking behaviors associated with dependence. Much evidence indicates that NAc MSNs are very likely involved in such aberrant neuroadaptive responses. Although neuroadaptations underlying chronic ethanol abuse remain undefined, interactions between dopaminergic, glutamatergic, and GABAergic systems probably are crucial in this regard (for reviews see Gonzales et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2006).

Indeed, a large amount of literature indicates that ethanol reinforcement involves the activation of the VTA-accumbal dopamine system (Gonzales et al., 2004). Ethanol has unique pharmacological actions to excite VTA dopamine neurons,
and withdrawal from chronic ethanol exposure reduces their firing (Brodie et al., 1990, 1999; Shen and Chiodo, 1993; Shen, 2003). Dopamine release increases in the NAc during operant self-administration of ethanol (Weiss et al., 1993; Gonzales and Weiss, 1998; Yim et al., 1998), and D1-dopamine receptor antagonists reduce operant ethanol responding (Rassnick et al., 1992; Hodge et al., 1993; Samson et al., 1993). In addition, ethanol self-administration is reduced in animals lacking D1 receptors (El-Ghundi et al., 1998) or one of its intracellular partners, dopamine and cAMP regulated phosphoprotein of 32 kDa (DARP-32) (Risinger et al., 2001).

Neuroadaptations that contribute to ethanol abuse probably share common mechanisms with those seen in other abused reinforcers, especially the psychomotor-stimulating agents such as cocaine and amphetamine. Evidence indicates that adaptations in accumbal glutamatergic plasticity constitute a mechanism encoding repetitive drug experience to psychomotor stimulants. In control NAc MSNs, low-frequency conditioning stimulation paired with postsynaptic depolarization, which mimics the upstate of approximately -50 mV common in bistable MSNs, produces long-term depression (LTD) of AMPA EPSCs (Thomas et al., 2000, 2001). Like hippocampal NMDA LTD (Dudek and Bear, 1992; Man et al., 2000), NAc LTD is induced by a moderate increase in intracellular Ca²⁺ through NMDA receptor activation (Thomas et al., 2000).

Thomas et al. (2001) first reported marked differences in basal AMPA EPSCs in NAc MSNs from cocaine-sensitized animals. Furthermore, NAc LTD expression was completely occluded in these sensitized animals, suggesting that repetitive cocaine experience directly induced LTD. In a very elegant series of experiments, Brebner et al. (2005) also reported LTD occlusion in NAC MSNs after amphetamine sensitization and dissected the mechanisms underlying neuroadaptation to psychomotor stimulants. Because expression of hippocampal LTD had previously been demonstrated to depend on GluR2 subunit internalization (Luscher et al., 1999), those investigators also proposed that endocytotic process as a prime mechanism whereby amphetamine experience modulated glutamatergic plasticity. The role of GluR2 internalization in the expression of NAc LTD and sensitization to amphetamine was directly tested by using a peptide that disrupted internalization of GluR2-containing AMPA receptors. Active, but not inactive, peptides completely occluded NAc LTD, and the most critical observations further came from in vivo studies. Intravenous or intra-accumbal, but not intra-VTA, injection of active, but not inactive, peptides completely occluded expression of amphetamine sensitization in previously sensitized rats (Brebner et al., 2005).

No studies investigating neuroadaptive changes in glutamatergic synaptic plasticity in the nucleus accumbens after ethanol exposure exist to our knowledge. This is particularly significant because, in contrast with other drugs, ethanol has a unique action to inhibit NMDA receptors and disrupt NMDA receptor-dependent plasticity in hippocampal and other structures (Sinclair and Lo, 1986; Lovinger et al., 1989, 1990; Morrisett and Swartzwelder, 1993; Nie et al., 1993, 1994; Maldve et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2005). Reports of plasticity changes in MSNs of the dorsal striatum in response to ethanol exposure do exist (Yamamoto et al., 1999; Xia et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007); however, those studies involve different forms of plasticity from that involved herein. In addition, the MSNs of the dorsal striatum are involved in habit formation and are not thought to be involved in ethanol reinforcement and reward such as processed in the NAc (Everitt and Robbins, 2005). Taken together, these findings prompted us to investigate neuroadaptive changes in glutamatergic transmission in NAc medium spiny neurons after in vivo ethanol exposure. Because induction of NAc LTD is NMDA receptor-dependent, we analyzed the direct effects of in vitro ethanol exposure on LTD in the NAc as well.

**Materials and Methods**

**Brain Slice Preparation.** Parasagittal slices (210–250 μm thick) containing the NAc were prepared from the brains of 4- to 8-week-old male C57BL/6J mice (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME). Mice were lightly anesthetized by inhalation of halothane, and the brains were rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold (4°C) oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing 110 mM sodium chlo- ride, 2.5 mM sodium HCO₃, 2.5 mM KCl, 7 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 25 mM dextrose, 11.6 mM Na-ascorbate, and 3.1 mM Na-pyruvate, bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂. Slices were transferred to an incubation ACSF for a minimum of 45 to 60 min before recording that contained 120 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaHCO₃, 1.23 mM NaH₂PO₄, 3.3 mM KCl, 2.4 mM MgCl₂, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, and 10 mM dextrose, bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂, pH 7.4, 32°C. Unless otherwise noted, all drugs and chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

**Patch-Clamp Electrophysiology.** We conducted all recordings at 31 to 33°C in ACSF containing 120 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaHCO₃, 1.23 mM NaH₂PO₄, 3.3 mM KCl, 0.9 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, and 10 mM dextrose, bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂. The GABA_A receptor antagonist picrotoxin (50 μM) was added to the external recording solution throughout all recordings to inhibit GABA_A receptor-mediated synaptic currents; this improves the reliability of synaptic plasticity in the dorsal and ventral striatum by favoring postsynaptic depolarization during conditioning stimuli (Berretta et al., 2008). Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were obtained from NAc shell MSNs visually identified by using the MRR200 Modular Imaging system (Siskiyou Corporation, Grants Pass, OR) mounted on a vibration isolation table under IR-Dodt optics (Siskiyu Corporation, San Diego, CA). MSNs represent ~95% of the neurons in the NAc and have distinctly smaller cell bodies (approximately 10 μm in diameter). MSNs were also identified by their highly negative resting membrane potential (less than ~75 mV). MSNs from the most rostral and ventral areas of the NAc were chosen to make sure all recordings arose from the NAc shell subregion. Only one neuron per slice was used for recording. ACSF continuously perfused the recording chamber at 2.0 to 2.5 ml/min. Recording electrodes (thin-wall glass; WPI Instruments, Sarasota, FL) were made by using a Brown-Flaming model P-88 electrode puller (Sutter Instruments, San Rafael, CA) to yield resistances between 3 and 5 MΩ and contained 135 mM KmSO₄, 12 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM Mg-ATP, 0.3 mM Tris-GTP, (pH 7.3 with KOH). Input and access resistances were monitored throughout all experiments, and the recording was terminated if either resistance varied by more than 20%. These parameters were measured by application of a ~10 mV, 100-ms voltage step at 5- to 10-min intervals. Synaptic currents were monitored at a holding potential of ~80 mV. Changes in the holding current were observed to detect any resealing or other instability of the patch.

**Data Acquisition and Analysis.** Excitatory afferents, the majority of which arise from the prefrontal cortex, were stimulated with a stainless-steel bipolar stimulating electrode (FHC, Inc., Bowdoin, ME) placed between the recorded MSN and prefrontal cortex, typically 150 to 300 μm from the MSN cell body. EPSCs were acquired with an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), filtered at 1 kHz, and digitized at 10 to 20 kHz via a Digidata 1440A interface board using pClamp 10.2 (Molecular Devices). Stan-
dard evoked EPSCs elicited by local stimulation were established in NAc shell MSNs for at least 10 min (at 0.1 Hz) to ensure stable recordings. LTD induction was assessed by delivering conditioning stimuli (500 pulses at 1 Hz at baseline stimulation intensity) while continuously and simultaneously depolarizing the postsynaptic cell to −50 mV (referred to below as conditioning stimulation). EPSCs were then monitored for 30 to 45 min after pairing (at 0.1 Hz).

Peak EPSC values were determined by using Clampfit 10.2 software (Molecular Devices). For each recording, peak EPSC amplitude values were normalized to the average EPSC amplitude of the final 10 min of baseline (60 sweeps) for that single recording. The mean normalized EPSC amplitudes for 12 consecutive sweeps were condensed into 2-min bins and represented as a single data point in scatter plots for each treatment group. Each data point represents the average of 12 consecutive EPSC amplitudes at that time point from each neuron within its respective treatment group. We used two parameters to determine whether plasticity of EPSC amplitudes (either depression or potentiation) occurred. An unpaired Student’s t test (p value < 0.05) was used to compare the five normalized EPSC values from 20 to 30 min (minutes 40–50 on figures) after the pairing protocol to the five normalized EPSC values during the last 10 min of baseline. In addition, the change in average EPSC amplitude after conditioning needed to be more than two standard deviations from baseline. If both of these criteria were met, that treatment group was determined to exhibit plasticity.

For each experiment, the 40- to 50-min time period was used to compare the magnitude of plasticity after different drug exposures. The five normalized EPSC values between min 40 and 50 were compared between groups by using a single-factor ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc analyses. Statistical significance for between-treatment group comparisons was defined as p < 0.05. Thus, LTD was considered the control outcome to which all drug exposures (either in vitro or in vivo) were compared. LTD was determined to be reduced and not completely blocked in situations where the postpairing average EPSC amplitude (min 40–50) was significantly increased from control LTD (ANOVA) and significantly decreased from its respective baseline (Student’s t test). Experiments testing different antagonists were interleaved with control experiments by using slices prepared from the same animals where possible.

Chronic Intermittent Ethanol Exposure. Ethanol dependence was induced by exposing mice to chronic intermittent ethanol (CIE) vapor (Becker and Hale, 1993; Becker and Lopez, 2004; Lopez and Becker, 2005). Ethanol was volatilized by bubbling air through a flask containing 95% ethanol at a rate of 0.2 to 0.3 liter/min. The resulting ethanol vapor then combined with a separate air stream to give a total flow rate of approximately 4 liters/min, which was delivered continuously and simultaneously depolarizing the postsynaptic cell to 100% of baseline; four neurons from four animals, p < 0.05, Student’s t test) in paired-pulse facilitation between baseline EPSCs (1.73 ± 0.18), indicating that changes in neurotransmitter release do not contribute to LTD (1.85 ± 0.18), after induction of LTD (1.91 ± 0.18) in the NAc (Fig. 1C). In addition, we observed reliable LTD of EPSCs from all ethanol-naive MSNs examined (71.4 ± 0.7% of baseline, 21 neurons from 15 animals, p < 0.001, postconditioning versus baseline) (Fig. 1). Baseline and postconditioning EPSCs recorded at −80 mV were solely AMPA receptor-mediated, because they were completely abolished by the AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist, 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (10 μM; Supplemental Data).

We measured the paired-pulse ratio (PPR) of EPSCs (two pulses, 50 ms apart) before and after conditioning stimulation to determine whether LTD expression was related to presynaptic and/or postsynaptic changes in glutamatergic transmission. In six neurons from different mice, we observed LTD by using paired test stimuli identical to that of LTD by using single stimuli (72.9 ± 1.4% of baseline, six neurons from six animals, p > 0.05, paired stimuli LTD versus single-stimuli LTD) (Fig. 1). In addition, we observed no significant difference (p > 0.05, Student’s t test) in paired-pulse facilitation between baseline EPSCs (1.73 ± 0.18) and after induction of LTD (1.85 ± 0.18), indicating that changes in neurotransmitter release do not contribute to 1-Hz LTD in the NAc (Fig. 1C).

Nonselective or Subunit-Specific NMDA Receptor Inhibition Blocks NAc LTD. Thomas et al. (2000) first described low-frequency NAc LTD and showed its induction depended on NMDA receptor activation; therefore, we determined whether the plasticity we observed in response to conditioning likewise depended on NMDA receptors. In the presence of the nonselective NMDA receptor antagonist dl-APV (100 μM), LTD was completely blocked (96.6 ± 1.0% of baseline, four neurons from four animals, p > 0.05 versus baseline; p < 0.05 versus control LTD) (Fig. 2).

We also tested whether NMDA receptors containing the
NR2B subunit are required for induction of NAc LTD. In the presence of the specific NR2B antagonist R-(R*,S*)-α-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-β-methyl-4-(phenylmethyl)-1-piperidine propanol (Ro 25-6981) (0.5 μM), LTD in ethanol-naive mice was completely abolished (105.6 ± 1.2% of baseline, five neurons from four animals, p < 0.05 versus control LTD, versus DL-APV) (Fig. 2). The small potentiation observed in the presence of Ro 25-6981 was significant from baseline; however, the magnitude of potentiation was not more than two standard deviations from baseline, which does not meet our criteria for plasticity expression.

Acute In Vitro Ethanol Exposure of Increasing Concentrations Differentially Inhibits NAc LTD. Ethanol is well known to inhibit NMDA receptors; therefore, we next tested whether ethanol inhibits NAc LTD expression. Bath application of a low intoxicating concentration of ethanol (20 mM) partially, but significantly, reduced NAc LTD (79.7 ± 2.0% of baseline, six neurons from five animals, p < 0.05 versus control LTD) (Fig. 3). LTD expression was completely inhibited by a moderately to strongly intoxicating concentration of ethanol (40 mM) equivalent to the target concentration used in the in vivo vapor model described below (104.3 ± 1.3% of baseline, seven neurons from six animals, p > 0.05 versus 20 mM EtOH, versus control LTD) (Fig. 3). It is noteworthy that when conditioning was performed in a highly intoxicating concentration of ethanol (60 mM) NAc LTD seemed similar in magnitude to that observed in the presence of the lowest concentration of ethanol (20 mM) tested (81.5 ± 0.7% of baseline, seven neurons from six animals, p < 0.05 versus baseline, versus DL-APV) (Fig. 3).

Activation of Dopamine D1 Receptors Restores LTD Expression in the Presence of Ethanol. Previous work in our lab demonstrated that activation of D1-dopamine receptors decreased the ethanol sensitivity of NMDA receptors on MSNs in the NAc (Maldve et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2005). Therefore, we next tested whether D1-dopamine receptor activation might antagonize the inhibitory effects of ethanol on NAc LTD. Bath application of the selective D1-dopamine receptor agonist (+)-1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-(1H)-3-benzaazepine-7,8-diol hydrochloride ([±SKF38393; 50 μM] did not affect the magnitude of LTD expression in control slices (65.9 ± 1.7% of baseline, seven neurons from six animals, p < 0.001
versus baseline) (Fig. 4). However, pretreatment of slices with SKF38393 for 30 min before ethanol (40 mM) application rescued LTD from acute ethanol inhibition (66.8 ± 1.5% of baseline, six neurons from five animals, p < 0.001 versus baseline) (Fig. 4). When LTD magnitude was compared between the (±)SKF38393 only, (±)SKF38393 + EtOH, and control NAc LTD groups, there were no significant differences apparent (p > 0.05, one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni post hoc). Likewise, a specific D1 receptor antagonist, R-(+)8-chloro-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-3-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-3-benzazepine-7-ol (SCH23390) (10 μM), had no effect on LTD expression when applied alone (63.9 ± 1.8% of baseline, four neurons from three animals, p < 0.001 versus baseline) (Fig. 5). Coapplication of both the D1 receptor agonist and antagonist 30 min before ethanol reversed the ability of the D1 agonist to occlude ethanol inhibition of NAc LTD. Thus, in the presence of both D1 agonist and antagonist and ethanol (40 mM), we observed occlusion of NAc LTD similar to that from the ethanol-alone treatment group (97.8 ± 1.7% of baseline, five neurons from four animals, p > 0.05 versus baseline) (Fig. 5).

NAc LTD Is Completely Occluded in the Presence of Ethanol (60 mM) When D1 Receptors Are Inhibited. We next investigated the possibility that the highest concentration of ethanol (60 mM) did not fully inhibit NAc LTD because of a subsequent release of dopamine upon ethanol application. When pretreated for 20 min with the specific D1 receptor antagonist SCH23390 (10 μM), the application of ethanol (60 mM) completely blocked NAc LTD (99.1 ± 2.6% of baseline, six from four animals, p > 0.05 versus baseline; p < 0.05 versus control LTD, versus 60 mM EtOH alone) (Fig. 6).

**Chronic Intermittent Ethanol Exposure Increases Voluntary Ethanol Consumption in C57BL/6J Mice.** Repeated cycles of CIE exposure to C57BL/6 mice will significantly increase voluntary ethanol consumption (Becker and Lopez, 2004; Lopez and Becker, 2005; Griffin et al., 2009). To determine whether NAc LTD may represent an important synaptic process that may be altered in ethanol dependence, we first developed this mouse model in our lab. We examined ethanol intake in 2-h drinking bouts initiated 30 min before the dark cycle and after two successive periods of 4 days of intermittent 16-h ethanol exposure. The average baseline ethanol consumption for air vapor control and ethanol (20 and 60 mM) pairing stimulation induces an LTD magnitude that is decreased from control LTD but not completely occluded (78.7 ± 2.0% of baseline, six neurons from five animals; and 81.5 ± 0.7% of baseline, seven neurons from six animals). B, sample traces of averaged baseline and postpairing EPSCs (60 sweeps, 10 min) of a single representative neuronal recording from each ethanol exposure group. Scale bars represent 5 ms (horizontal) and 50 pA (vertical). C, bar graph representing the percentage of baseline ± S.E.M. for average EPSC amplitude between baseline (min 0–10) and postpairing (min 40–50) for each ethanol exposure group (†, p < 0.05 versus baseline; ††, p < 0.05 versus 40 mM).
vapor exposure), the average ethanol intake of the ethanol vapor group was not significantly increased compared with the air vapor control group (2.90 ± 0.33 versus 2.19 ± 0.23 g/kg/day, eight animals per group, p > 0.05, Student’s t test, Bonferroni post hoc) (Fig. 7). However, only the ethanol vapor group showed a significantly increased ethanol intake compared with baseline (p > 0.05 for air baseline versus air post-CIE 1; p < 0.05 for ethanol baseline versus ethanol post-CIE 1). After the second bout of CIE exposure, the average ethanol intake of the ethanol vapor group was significantly increased compared with the air vapor control group (2.77 ± 0.13 versus 1.75 ± 0.23 g/kg/day, eight animals per group, p < 0.01, Student’s t test, Bonferroni post hoc) (Fig. 7), and neither group differed significantly between their respective post-CIE 1 average ethanol consumptions (p > 0.05).
agents occludes NAc LTD (Thomas et al., 2001; Brebner et al., 2005); therefore, we next investigated whether a similar neuroadaptation occurs after intermittent ethanol exposure. The standard CIE protocol involved two periods of ethanol exposure; however, because ethanol intake was significantly increased after the first ethanol exposure period, we chose to investigate the immediate effects after the first few 16-h bouts of intermittent ethanol exposure for 3 consecutive days. NAc MSNs prepared from mice 24 h after brief CIE exposure displayed marked differences in excitatory transmission in response to the standard conditioning stimulation (Fig. 8). Normal synaptic depression was present in NAc MSNs from the air control mouse group (65.3 ± 1.1% of baseline, four neurons from three animals, p < 0.001 versus baseline; p > 0.05 versus control LTD). Instead, synaptic conditioning elicited a striking, and highly significant, synaptic potentiation of EPSC amplitudes in NAc MSNs prepared 24 h after CIE treatment (124.9 ± 1.3% of baseline, eight neurons from eight animals, p < 0.05 versus baseline; p < 0.001, versus air control). Furthermore, DL-APV (100 μM) completely abolished this synaptic potentiation observed in slices from CIE-exposed mice (91.2 ± 1.6% of baseline, seven neurons from four animals, p < 0.05 versus baseline; p < 0.05, versus air control, versus 24 h post-CIE) (Fig. 9). This small depression satisfied our criteria for plasticity, yet it was still significantly different from air control LTD. Finally, we assessed NAc plasticity in slices from mice that had been allowed 72 h of recovery after the conclusion of their CIE exposure to investigate the endurance of these synaptic changes (Fig. 9). In these mice, conditioning elicited a slight, yet significantly less, depression of synaptic transmission than air control LTD (91.1 ± 0.9% of baseline, five neurons from three animals, p < 0.05 versus baseline, versus 24 h post-CIE, versus air control; p > 0.05 versus CIE-APV).

Discussion

This article includes the following novel observations. First, synaptic depression of glutamatergic excitatory transmission onto medium spiny neurons of the shell of the nucleus accumbens requires activation of NR2B-containing NMDA receptors. Second, acute ethanol exposure blocks NAc LTD in a differential manner depending on the concentration. Third, activation of D1-dopamine receptors completely occludes the ability of ethanol to inhibit NAc LTD. Fourth, a repeated regimen of intermittent ethanol exposure enhances voluntary ethanol intake in C57BL/6J mice, and such intermittent exposure induces NAc metaplasticity from LTD to LTP. Occlusion of both NAc LTD and LTP is retained for at least 72 h after intermittent ethanol exposure.

**NAc LTD, In Vitro Ethanol Exposure, and the Role of NMDA and Dopamine D1 Receptors.** Medium spiny neurons rest at more hyperpolarized membrane potentials (a “down-state” approximately –80 mV) and display transitions to a depolarized potential (the “up-state” approximately –50 mV) coupled with an ensemble of action potentials. When differing patterns of conditioning stimulation are delivered to NAc MSNs at least three forms of synaptic depression may result (Berretta et al., 2008). The focus of this article is the only form expressed via NMDA receptor activation and decreased postsynaptic AMPA receptor function (Brebner et al., 2005). Two forms of presynaptic LTD, independent of NMDA...
In the present article, we again observed that prior activation of D1-dopamine receptors rescues the expression of LTD in the NAc in the presence of ethanol. Thus, NAc LTD is ethanol-sensitive and displays characteristics consistent with NMDA receptor-mediated processes documented previously. One major goal is to determine how pharmacological manipulation of these processes can mitigate the long-term effects of ethanol exposure and therefore may be therapeutic in ethanol dependence; hence, modulation of D1-dopamine signaling may give insights to novel therapeutic targets. Furthermore, the observation that a high concentration of ethanol (60 mM) did not occlude NAc LTD is consistent with the idea that such concentrations of ethanol can induce dopamine release (Brodie et al., 1999, 1999) and DARPP-32 phosphorylation directly (Maldve et al., 2002). Both of these effects therefore increase Ser897-NR1 phosphorylation and thereby decrease ethanol sensitivity of NMDA receptors (Maldve et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2006). Therefore, the observation of NAc LTD in the presence of a high concentration of ethanol, which is occluded by D1 receptor inhibition, is consistent with prior observations.

In Vivo Chronic Ethanol Exposure Increases Intake and Significantly Alters NAc LTD. One major hindrance for basic research into the neurobiological mechanisms of alcoholism has been the difficulties associated with development of a convenient animal model. A model based on two 3- to 4-day regimens of passive ethanol administration via peripheral injection and subsequent 16-h vapor inhalation (termed chronic intermittent exposure) to C57BL/6 mice has become widely adopted (Becker and Lopez, 2004; Lopez and Becker, 2005; Griffin et al., 2009). In this study, we observed significant increases in voluntary ethanol consumption in our own cohort of animals after two bouts of CIE exposure. To test our hypothesis, as seen with chronic exposure to psychomotor stimulants, that occlusion of NAc LTD will result after CIE, we assessed the response of NAc MSNs to conditioning after a single bout of CIE as a baseline to determine the minimal level of ethanol exposure that might occlude LTD. Indeed, we were surprised that such a profound metaplasticity, a switch from LTD to LTP, occurred after such a brief ethanol exposure bout. We interpret such robust changes in NAc plasticity as an indicator of the potential importance of this process in ethanol neuroadaptation and therefore chose to first characterize this initial change in response to short-term CIE. Indeed, after a single 3-day cycle of this CIE model, we contend that significant alterations in glutamatergic synaptic plasticity in the NAc have already begun.

Although we observed increases in drinking at these short exposure durations, Becker and colleagues demonstrated that the maximum increases in voluntary ethanol consumption in mice are not observed until 3 days after withdrawal from CIE exposure (Becker and Lopez, 2004; Lopez and Becker, 2005). Thus, considerable study will be required to determine the temporal characteristics of the consolidation of this neuroadaptive response. Our results indicate that synaptic potentiation has subsided, whereas LTD remains occluded in the NAc shell at this same time point. Just as motor stimulants, that occlusion of NAc LTD will result after CIE, we assessed the response of NAc MSNs to conditioning after a single bout of CIE as a baseline to determine the minimal level of ethanol exposure that might occlude LTD. Indeed, we were surprised that such a profound metaplasticity, a switch from LTD to LTP, occurred after such a brief ethanol exposure bout. We interpret such robust changes in NAc plasticity as an indicator of the potential importance of this process in ethanol neuroadaptation and therefore chose to first characterize this initial change in response to short-term CIE. Indeed, after a single 3-day cycle of this CIE model, we contend that significant alterations in glutamatergic synaptic plasticity in the NAc have already begun.

Although we observed increases in drinking at these short exposure durations, Becker and colleagues demonstrated that the maximum increases in voluntary ethanol consumption in mice are not observed until 3 days after withdrawal from CIE exposure (Becker and Lopez, 2004; Lopez and Becker, 2005). Thus, considerable study will be required to determine the temporal characteristics of the consolidation of this neuroadaptive response. Our results indicate that synaptic potentiation has subsided, whereas LTD remains occluded in the NAc shell at this same time point. Just as
NAc shell at an early time point in a model of ethanol dependence. Future studies will address whether alternations in synaptic plasticity in the NAc shell directly contribute to the behaviors that lead toward increased voluntary ethanol consumption. The matter is made more complex because psycho-stimulants and ethanol have fundamentally distinct effects on NAc LTD. Chronic exposure to either drug seems to artifi-
cially induce an LTD-like state via occlusion of the response to in vitro conditioning stimulation. However, the unique NMDA receptor blocking actions of ethanol result in an ad-
tional potentiating action; thus, we contend that alter-
ations in NAc shell glutamatergic synaptic function caused by CIE exposure are fundamentally distinct from those of ethanol-seeking behavior. However, the ethanol-dependent state may conceivably be further and uniquely driven by the resultant LTP and subsequent enhancement of glutamatergic trans-
duction: from actions to habits to compulsion.
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